

## CONSTRUCTING FORMAL GROUPS. VIII: FORMAL A-MODULES

Michiel Hazewinkel

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic integers, let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let  $A$  be the ring of integers of  $K$ . A formal  $A$ -module is, grosso modo, a commutative one dimensional formal group which admits  $A$  as a ring of endomorphisms. For a more precise definition cf. 2.1 below. For some results concerning formal  $A$ -modules cf. [1], [2] and [6].

It is the purpose of the present note to use the techniques of [3] and [5], cf. also [4], to construct a universal formal  $A$ -module, a universal  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -module and a universal strict isomorphism of  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules. For the notion of a  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -module, cf. 2.6 below. As corollaries one then obtains a number of the results of [1], [2] and [6].

In particular we thus find a new proof that two formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$  are (strictly) isomorphic iff their reductions over  $k$ , the residue field of  $K$ , are (strictly) isomorphic.

As a matter of fact the techniques developed below also work in the characteristic  $p > 0$  case. Thus we simultaneously obtain the analogues of some of the results of [1], [2], [6] for the case of formal  $A$ -modules where  $A$  is the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is the field of  $p$ -elements.

All formal groups will be commutative and one dimensional;  $\mathbf{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ;  $\mathbf{Z}$  stands for the integers,  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for the ring of  $p$ -adic integers,  $\mathbf{Q}$  for the rational numbers and  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  the  $p$ -adic numbers.

$A$  will always be the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ , the field of Laurent series over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The quotient field of  $A$  is denoted  $K$ ,  $\pi$  is a uniformizing element of  $A$  and  $k = A/\pi A$  is the residue field. We use  $q$  to denote the number of elements of  $k$ .

**2. Definitions, constructions and statement of main results.**

2.1. DEFINITIONS. Let  $B \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$ , the category of  $A$ -algebras. A formal  $A$ -module over  $B$  is a formal group law  $F(X, Y)$  over  $B$  together with a homomorphism of rings  $\rho_F: A \rightarrow \text{End}_B(F(X, Y))$  such that  $\rho_F(a) \equiv aX \pmod{\text{degree } 2}$  for all  $a \in A$ . We shall also write  $[a](X)$  for  $\rho_F(a)$ .

If  $B$  is torsion free (i.e.  $B \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is injective) and  $F(X, Y)$  is a formal group over  $B$ , then there is at most one formal  $A$ -module structure on  $F(X, Y)$ , viz  $\rho_F(a) = f^{-1}(af(X))$  where  $f(X)$  is the logarithm of  $F(X, Y)$ . On the other hand if  $\text{char}(K) = p$ , then every formal  $A$ -module over  $B \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$  is isomorphic to the additive formal group  $G_a(X, Y) = X + Y$  over  $B$ . In this case all the structure sits in the structural morphism  $\rho_F: A \rightarrow \text{End}_B(F(X, Y))$ .

Let  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F), (G(X, Y), \rho_G)$  be two formal  $A$ -modules over  $B$ . A homomorphism of formal  $A$ -modules over  $B$ ,  $\alpha(X): (F(X, Y), \rho_F) \rightarrow (G(X, Y), \rho_G)$  is a power series  $\alpha(X) = b_1X + b_2X^2 + \dots, b_i \in B$  such that  $\alpha(F(X, Y)) = G(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)), \alpha([a]_F(X)) = [a]_G(\alpha(X)); \alpha(X)$  is an isomorphism if  $b_1$  is a unit and  $\alpha$  is a strict isomorphism if  $b_1 = 1$ .

2.2. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $R[U] = R[U_1, U_2, \dots]$ . If  $f(X)$  is a power series over  $R[U]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $f^{(n)}(X)$  the power series obtained from  $f(X)$  by replacing each  $U_i$  with  $U_i^n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $A[V], A[V; T], A[S]$  denote respectively the rings  $A[V_1, V_2, \dots], A[V_1, V_2, \dots; T_1, T_2, \dots], A[S_2, S_3, \dots]$ . Let  $p$  be the residue characteristic of  $A$ . The three power series  $g_V(X), g_{V,T}(X), g_S(X)$  over respectively  $K[V], K[V; T]$  and  $K[S]$  are defined by the functional equations

$$(2.2.1) \quad g_V(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_i}{\pi} g^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad g_{V,T}(X) = X + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i X^{q^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_i}{\pi} g^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad g_S(X) = X + \sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \text{ not a} \\ \text{power of } q}}^{\infty} S_i X^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{q^i}}{\pi} g^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})$$

The first few terms are

$$(2.2.4) \quad g_V(X) = X + \frac{V_1}{\pi} X^q + \left( \frac{V_1 V_1^q}{\pi^2} + \frac{V_2}{\pi} \right) X^{q^2} + \dots$$

$$(2.2.5) \quad g_{v,T}(X) = X + \left(\frac{V_1}{\pi} + T_1\right)X^q \\ + \left(\frac{V_1V_1^q}{\pi^2} + \frac{V_1T_1^q}{\pi} + \frac{V_2}{\pi} + T_2\right)X^{q^2} + \dots$$

$$(2.2.6) \quad g_S(X) = X + S_2X^2 + \dots + S_{q-1}X^{q-1} + \frac{S_q}{\pi}X^q + S_{q+1}X^{q+1} + \dots \\ + S_{2q-1}X^{2q-1} + \left(\frac{S_qS_2^q}{\pi} + S_{2q}\right)X^{q^2} + \dots$$

We now define

$$(2.2.7) \quad G_V(X, Y) = g_V^{-1}(g_V(X) + g_V(Y))$$

$$(2.2.8) \quad G_{v,T}(X, Y) = g_{v,T}^{-1}(g_{v,T}(X) + g_{v,T}(Y))$$

$$(2.2.9) \quad G_S(X, Y) = g_S^{-1}(g_S(X) + g_S(Y))$$

where if  $f(X) = X + r_2X^2 + \dots$  is a power series over  $R$ , then  $f^{-1}(X)$  denotes the inverse power series, i.e.  $f^{-1}(f(X)) = X = f(f^{-1}(X))$ . And for all  $a \in A$  we define

$$(2.2.10) \quad [a]_V(X) = g_V^{-1}(ag_V(X))$$

$$(2.2.11) \quad [a]_{v,T}(X) = g_{v,T}^{-1}(ag_{v,T}(X))$$

$$(2.2.12) \quad [a]_S(X) = g_S^{-1}(ag_S(X))$$

2.3. INTEGRALITY THEOREMS: (i) *The power series  $G_V(X, Y)$ ,  $G_{v,T}(X, Y)$  and  $G_S(X, Y)$  have their coefficients respectively in  $A[V]$ ,  $A[V, T]$ ,  $A[S]$ ; (ii) *For all  $a \in A$ , the power series  $[a]_V(X)$ ,  $[a]_{v,T}(X)$ ,  $[a]_S(X)$  have their coefficients respectively in  $A[V]$ ,  $A[V, T]$ ,  $A[S]$ .**

2.4. COROLLARY:  $G_V(X, Y)$ ,  $G_{v,T}(X, Y)$  and  $G_S(X, Y)$  with the structural homomorphisms  $\rho_V(a) = [a]_V(X)$ ,  $\rho_{v,T}(a) = [a]_{v,T}(X)$ ,  $\rho_S(a) = [a]_S(X)$  are formal  $A$ -modules.

2.5. UNIVERSALITY THEOREM:  $(G_S(X, Y), \rho_S)$ , where  $\rho_S(a) = [a]_S(X)$ , is a universal formal  $A$ -module.

I.e. for every formal  $A$ -module  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  over  $B \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$ , there is a unique  $A$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$  such that  $\phi_*G_S(X, Y) = F(X, Y)$  and  $\phi_*[a]_S(X) = \rho_F(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Here  $\phi_*$  means: “apply  $\phi$  to the coefficients of the power series involved”.

### 2.6. $A$ -logarithms

Let  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  be a formal  $A$ -module over  $B \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$ . Suppose that  $B$  is  $A$ -torsion free, i.e. that  $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A K$  is injective. Let  $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$  be the unique homomorphism taking  $(G_S(X, Y), \rho_S)$  into  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$ . Then  $\phi_* g_S(X) = f(X) \in B \otimes_A K[[X]]$  is a power series such that  $F(X, Y) = f^{-1}(f(X) + f(Y))$ ,  $[a](X) = f^{-1}(af(X))$  for all  $a \in A$ , and such that  $f(X) \equiv X \pmod{(\text{degree } 2)}$ . We shall call such a power series an  $A$ -logarithm for  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$ . We have just seen that  $A$ -logarithms always exist (if  $B$  is  $A$ -torsion free). They are also unique because there are no nontrivial strict formal  $A$ -module automorphisms of the additive formal  $A$ -module  $G_a(X, Y) = X + Y$ ,  $[a](X) = aX$  over  $B \otimes_A K$ , as is easily checked.

### 2.7. $A$ -typical formal $A$ -modules

A formal  $A$ -module  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  over  $B \in \mathbf{Alg}_A$  is said to be  $A$ -typical if it is of the form  $F(X, Y) = \phi_* G_V(X, Y)$ ,  $\rho_F(a) = \phi_* [a]_V(X)$  for some homomorphism  $\phi: A[V] \rightarrow B$ . It is then an immediate consequence of the constructions of  $G_S(X, Y)$  and  $G_V(X, Y)$  that  $(G_V(X, Y), \rho_V)$ ,  $\rho_V(a) = [a]_V(X)$ , is a universal  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -module (given theorem 2.5).

2.8. THEOREM: *Let  $B$  be  $A$ -torsion free. Then  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  is an  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -module if and only if its  $A$ -logarithm  $f(X)$  is of the form*

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^{q^i}, \quad a_i \in B \otimes_A K, \quad a_0 = 1$$

Let  $\kappa: A[V] \rightarrow A[S]$  be the injective homomorphism defined by  $\kappa(V_i) = S_{q^i}$ , and let  $\lambda: A[V] \rightarrow A[V, T]$  be the natural inclusion.

2.9. THEOREM: (i) *The formal  $A$ -modules  $G_V^*(X, Y)$  and  $G_S(X, Y)$  are strictly isomorphic; (ii) *The formal  $A$ -modules  $G_V^\lambda(X, Y)$  and  $G_{V,T}(X, Y)$  are strictly isomorphic.**

2.10. COROLLARY: *Every formal  $A$ -module is isomorphic to an  $A$ -typical one.*

2.11. Let  $\alpha_{V,T}(X)$  be the (unique) strict isomorphism from  $G_V^\lambda(X, Y)$  to  $G_{V,T}(X, Y)$ . I.e.  $\alpha_{V,T}(X) = g_{V,T}^{-1}(g_V(X))$ .

2.12. THEOREM: *The triple  $(G_V(X, Y), \alpha_{V,T}(X), G_{V,T}(X, Y))$  is universal for triples consisting of two  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules*

and a strict isomorphism between them over  $A$ -algebras  $B$  which are  $A$ -torsion free.

There is also a triple  $(G_S(X, Y), \alpha_{S,U}(X), G_{S,U}(X, Y))$  which is universal for triples of two formal  $A$ -modules and a strict isomorphism between them. The formal  $A$ -module  $G_{S,U}(X, Y)$  over  $A[S; U]$  is defined as follows

$$(2.12.1) \quad g_{S,U}(X) = X + \sum_{\substack{i \geq 2 \\ i \text{ not power} \\ \text{of } q}} S_i X^i + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} U_i X^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_i}{\pi} g_{S,U}^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})$$

$$(2.12.2) \quad G_{S,U}(X, Y) = g_{S,U}^{-1}(g_{S,U}(X) + g_{S,U}(Y))$$

The strict isomorphism between  $G_{S,U}(X, Y)$  and  $G_S(X, Y)$  is  $\alpha_{S,U}(X) = g_{S,U}^{-1}(g_S(X))$ .

2.13. Let  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  be a formal  $A$ -module over  $A$  itself. Let  $\omega: A \rightarrow k = A/\pi A$  be the natural projection. The formal  $A$ -module  $(\omega_* F(X, Y), \omega_* \rho_F)$  is called the reduction mod  $\pi$  of  $F(X, Y)$ . We also write  $(F^*(X, Y), \rho_F^*)$  for  $(\omega_* F(X, Y), \omega_* \rho_F)$ .

2.14. THEOREM: (Lubin [6] in the case  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ ): *Two formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$  are (strictly) isomorphic if and only if their reductions over  $A$  are (strictly) isomorphic.*

2.15. REMARK: If the two formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$  are both  $A$ -typical then they are (strictly) isomorphic if and only if their reductions are equal.

### 3. Formulae

#### 3.1. Some formulae

The following formulae are all proved rather easily, directly from the definitions in 2.2. Write

$$(3.1.1) \quad g_V(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(V) X^{q^i}, \quad a_0(V) = 1$$

$$(3.1.2) \quad g_{V,T}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(V, T) X^{q^i}, \quad a_0(V, T) = 1$$

Then we have

$$(3.1.3) \quad a_i(V) = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_r=i} \frac{V_{i_1} V_{i_2}^{q^{i_1}} \dots V_{i_r}^{q^{i_1+\dots+i_{r-1}}}}{\pi^r}$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad a_i(V) = a_0(V) \frac{V_i}{\pi} + a_1(V) \frac{V_{i-1}^q}{\pi} + \dots + a_{i-1}(V) \frac{V_1^{q^{i-1}}}{\pi}$$

$$(3.1.5) \quad a_i(V, T) = a_i(V) + a_{i-1}(V) T_1^{q^{i-1}} + \dots + a_1(V) T_{i-1}^q + a_0(V) T_i$$

3.2. We define for all  $i, j \geq 1$ .

$$(3.2.1) \quad Y_{ij} = \pi^{-1}(V_i T_j^{q^i} - T_i V_j^{q^i}), \quad Z_{ij} = \pi^{-1}(V_i T_j^{q^i} - T_j V_i^{q^j})$$

The symbols  $Y_{ij}^{(q^r)}$ ,  $Z_{ij}^{(q^r)}$  then have the usual meaning, i.e.  $Y_{ij}^{(q^r)} = \pi^{-1}(V_i^{q^r} T_j^{q^{r+i}} - T_i^{q^r} V_j^{q^{r+i}})$

3.3. LEMMA:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(V, T) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-i}(V, T) \frac{V_i^{q^{n-i}}}{\pi} + \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(V) Y_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})} + T_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-i}(V, T) \frac{V_i^{q^{n-i}}}{\pi} + \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(V) Z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})} + T_n \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: That the two expressions on the right are equal is obvious from the definitions of  $Z_{ij}$  and  $Y_{ij}$  (because  $Z_{ij} + Z_{ji} = Y_{ij} + Y_{ji}$ ). We have according to (3.1.4) and (3.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(V, T) &= a_n(V) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-i}(V) T_i^{q^{n-i}} \\ &= \pi^{-1} V_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V) V_i^{q^{n-i}} + T_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) V_j^{q^{n-i-j}} T_i^{q^{n-i}} \\ &= \pi^{-1} V_n + T_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_i^{q^{n-i}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) T_j^{q^{n-i-j}} V_i^{q^{n-i}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) V_j^{q^{n-i-j}} T_i^{q^{n-i}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= T_n + \pi^{-1} V_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_i^{q^{n-i}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j} Y_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})} \\
&= T_n + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_i^{q^{n-i}} + \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j} Y_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.4. Some congruence formulae

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we write  $g_{V(n)}(X), G_{V(n)}(X, Y), \dots$  for the power series obtained from  $g_V(X), G_V(X, Y), \dots$  by substituting 0 for all  $V_i$  with  $i \geq n$ .

One then has

$$(3.4.1) \quad g_V(x) \equiv g_{V(n)}(X) + \frac{V_n}{\pi} X^{q^n} \pmod{\text{degree } q^n + 1}$$

$$(3.4.2) \quad g_S(X) \equiv g_{S(n)}(X) + \tau(n) S_n X^n \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$$

where  $\tau(n) = 1$  if  $n$  is not a power of  $q$  and  $\tau(n) = \pi^{-1}$  if  $n$  is a power of  $q$ . Further

$$(3.4.3) \quad g_{V,T}(X) \equiv g_{V,T(n)}(X) + T_n X^{q^n} \pmod{\text{degree } q^n + 1}$$

$$(3.4.4) \quad G_V(X, Y) \equiv G_{V(n)}(X, Y) - V_n \pi^{-1} B_{q^n}(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } q^n + 1}$$

$$(3.4.5) \quad G_S(X, Y) \equiv G_{S(n)}(X, Y) - S_n \tau(n) B_n(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$$

where  $B_i(X, Y) = (X + Y)^i - X^i - Y^i$ , and finally

$$(3.4.6) \quad g_{S,U}(X) \equiv g_{S,U(n)}(X) + U_n X^n \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$$

## 4. The functional equation lemma

Let  $A[V; W] = A[V_1, V_2, \dots; W_1, W_2, \dots]$ . If  $f(X)$  is a power series with coefficients in  $K[V; W]$  we write  $P_{1,2}f(X_1, X_2) = f(X_1) + f(X_2)$  and  $P_a f(X_1, X_2) = af(X_1)$ ,  $a \in A$ .

4.1. Let  $e_r(X)$ ,  $r = 1, 2$  be two power series with coefficients in  $A[V, W]$  such that  $e_r(X) \equiv X \pmod{\text{degree } 2}$ . Define

$$(4.1.1) \quad f_r(X) = e_r(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_i}{\pi} f_r^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})$$

And for each operator  $P$ , where  $P = P_{1,2}$  or  $P = P_a$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $r$ ,

$t \in \{1, 2\}$  we define

$$(4.1.2) \quad F_{V, e_r, e_t}^P(X_1, X_2) = f_r^{-1}(Pf_t(X_1, X_2))$$

4.2. FUNCTIONAL EQUATION LEMMA: (i) *The power series  $F_{V, e_r, e_t}^P(X_1, X_2)$  have their coefficients in  $A[V; W]$  for all  $P, e_r, e_t$ ; (ii) If  $d(X)$  is a power series with coefficients in  $A[V; W]$  such that  $d(X) \equiv X \pmod{\text{degree } 2}$  then  $f_r(d(X))$  satisfies a functional equation of type (4.1.1).*

PROOF: Write  $F(X_1, X_2)$  for  $F_{V, e_r, e_t}^P(X_1, X_2)$ . (If  $P \neq P_{1,2}$ ,  $X_2$  does not occur). Write

$$F(X_1, X_2) = F_1 + F_2 + \cdots$$

where  $F_i$  is homogeneous of degree  $i$ . We are going to prove by induction that all the  $F_i$  have their coefficients in  $A[V; W]$ . This is obvious for  $F_1$  because  $e_r(X) \equiv e_t(X) \equiv X \pmod{\text{degree } 2}$ . Let  $a(X_1, X_2)$  be any power series with coefficients in  $A[V; W]$ . Then we have for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4.2.1) \quad (a(X_1, X_2))^{q^{i+j}} \equiv (a^{(q^i)}(X_1^{q^i}, X_2^{q^i}))^{q^j} \pmod{\pi^{j+1}}$$

This follows immediately from the fact that  $a^q \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $p \in \pi A$ . Write

$$(4.2.2) \quad f_r(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(r)X^i, \quad b_1(r) = 1$$

Then we have, if  $q^\ell | n$  but  $q^{\ell+1} \nmid n$ , that

$$(4.2.3) \quad b_n(r)\pi^\ell \in A[V; W]$$

This is obvious from the defining equation (4.1.1). Now suppose we have shown that  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  have their coefficients in  $A[V; W]$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . We have for all  $d \geq 2$ .

$$(4.2.4) \quad F(X_1, X_2)^d \equiv (F_1 + \cdots + F_n)^d \pmod{\text{degree } n+2}$$

It now follows from (4.2.4), (4.2.3) and (4.2.1) that

$$(4.2.5) \quad f_r^{(q^i)}(F(X_1, X_2)^{q^i}) \equiv f_r^{(q^i)}(F^{(q^i)}(X_1^{q^i}, X_2^{q^i})) \pmod{\pi, \text{degree } n+2}$$



Now from (4.1.2) it follows that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4.2.6) \quad f_r^{(q^i)}(F^{(q^i)}(X_1, X_2)) = Pf_t^{(q^i)}(X_1, X_2).$$

Using (4.2.5), (4.2.6) and (4.1.1) we now see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_r(F(X_1, X_2)) &= e_r(F(X_1, X_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i f_r^{(q^i)}(F(X_1, X_2))^{q^i}) \\ &\equiv e_r(F(X_1, X_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i f_r^{(q^i)}(F^{(q^i)}(X_1^{q^i}, X_2^{q^i}))) \\ &= e_r(F(X_1, X_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i Pf_t^{(q^i)}(X_1^{q^i}, X_2^{q^i})) \\ &= e_r(F(X_1, X_2)) + \left( P \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i f_t^{(q^i)} \right) (X_1, X_2) \\ &= e_r(F(X_1, X_2)) + Pf_t(X_1, X_2) - Pe_t(X_1, X_2), \end{aligned}$$

where all congruences are mod(1, degree  $n+2$ ). But  $f_r(F(X_1, X_2)) = Pf_t(X_1, X_2)$ . And hence  $e_r(F(X_1, X_2)) - (Pe_t)(X_1, X_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{(1, \text{degree } n+2)}$ , which implies that  $F_{n+1}$  has its coefficients in  $A[V, W]$ . This proves the first part of the functional equation lemma. Now let  $d(X)$  be a power series with coefficients in  $A[V, W]$  such that  $d(X) \equiv X \pmod{(\text{degree } 2)}$ . Then we have because of (4.2.1) and (4.2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} g_r(X) = f_r(d(X)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i f_r^{(q^i)}(d(X))^{q^i} \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i f_r^{(q^i)}(d^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_i g_r^{(q^i)}(X^{q^i}) \end{aligned}$$

where the congruences are mod(1). This proves the second part.

4.3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3 (and corollary 2.4): Apply the functional equation lemma part (i). (For  $G_S(X, Y)$  and  $[a]_S(X)$  take  $V_i = S_{q^i}$ ).

## 5. Proof of the universality theorems

We first recall the usual comparison lemma for formal groups (cf. e.g. [3]).

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $B_n(X, Y) = ((X + Y)^n - X^n - Y^n)$  and  $C_n(X, Y) = \nu(n)^{-1}B_n(X, Y)$ , where  $\nu(n) = 1$  if  $n$  is not a power of a prime number, and  $\nu(p^r) = p$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $p$  is a prime number.

5.1. If  $F(X, Y)$ ,  $G(X, Y)$  are formal groups over a ring  $B$ , and  $F(X, Y) \equiv G(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } n}$ , there is a unique  $b \in B$  such that  $F(X, Y) \equiv G(X, Y) + bC_n(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$ .

5.2. PROOF OF THE UNIVERSALITY THEOREM 2.5: Let  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  be a formal  $A$ -module over  $B$ . Let  $A[S]_n$  be the subalgebra  $A[S_2, \dots, S_{n-1}]$  of  $A[S]$ . Suppose we have shown that there exists a homomorphism  $\phi_n: A[S]_n \rightarrow B$  such that

$$(5.2.1) \quad \phi_{n*}(G_S(X, Y)) \equiv F(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } n}$$

$$(5.2.2) \quad \phi_{n*}[a]_S(X) \equiv [a]_F(X) \pmod{\text{degree } n}$$

and that  $\phi_n$  is uniquely determined on  $A[S]_n$  by this condition. This holds obviously for  $n = 2$  so that the induction starts.

Now, according to the comparison lemma 5.1 above there exist unique elements  $m, m_a, a \in A$ , in  $B$  such that

$$(5.2.3) \quad \phi_{n*}G_S(X, Y) \equiv F(X, Y) + mC_n(X, Y) \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$$

$$(5.2.4) \quad \phi_{n*}[a]_S(X) \equiv [a]_F(X) + m_a X^n \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}$$

From the fact that  $a \mapsto [a]_S(X)$  and  $a \mapsto [a]_F(X)$  are ring homomorphisms one now obtains easily the following relations between the  $m$  and  $m_a$

$$(5.2.5) \quad (a^n - a)m = \nu(n)m_a$$

$$(5.2.6) \quad m_{a+b} - m_a - m_b = C_n(a, b)m$$

$$(5.2.7) \quad am_b + b^n m_a = m_{ab}$$

If  $n$  is not a power of  $p = \text{char}(k)$ , then  $\nu(n)$  is a unit. Let  $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$  be the unique homomorphism, which agrees with  $\phi_n$  on  $A[S]_n$  and which is such that  $\phi_{n+1}(S_n) = m\nu(n)^{-1}$ . Then obviously (5.2.1) holds with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ , and (5.2.2) holds with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$  because of (5.2.5) and because (3.4.2) implies that (with the obvious notations)

$$(5.2.8) \quad [a]_S(X) \equiv [a]_{S(n)}(X) - \tau(n)(a^n - a)S_n X^n \pmod{\text{degree } n + 1}.$$

Now let  $n = p^r$ , but  $n$  not a power of  $q$ , the number of elements of  $k$ . Then there is an  $y \in A$  such that  $(y - y^n)$  is a unit in  $A$ . Let  $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$  be the unique homomorphism which agrees with  $\phi_n$  on  $A[S]_n$  and which takes  $S_n$  to  $(y - y^n)^{-1}m_y$ . Now (5.2.7) implies that for all  $a \in A$

$$(y - y^n)m_a = (a - a^n)m_y$$

Hence  $\phi_{n+1}(a - a^n)S_n = m_a$  for all  $a$  so that (5.2.2) holds with  $n$  replaced with  $n + 1$ . Finally, again because  $(y - y^n)$  is a unit, we find

$$(\phi_{n+1})_*(-S_n\tau(n)B_n(X, Y)) = (y^n - y)^{-1}m_yB_n(X, Y) = mC_n(X, Y)$$

Finally let  $n$  be a power of  $q$ . In this case there is a unique homomorphism  $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$  which agrees with  $\phi_n$  on  $A[S]_n$  and which takes  $S_n$  into  $(1 - \pi^{n-1})^{-1}m_\pi$ . Of course this is the only possible choice for  $\phi_{n+1}$  because of (5.2.8).

Now consider the  $A$ -module generated by symbols  $\hat{m}, \hat{m}_a, a \in A$  subject to the relations  $(a^n - a)\hat{m} = \nu(n)\hat{m}_a, \hat{m}_{a+b} - \hat{m}_a - \hat{m}_b = C_n(a, b)\hat{m}, a\hat{m}_b + b^n\hat{m}_a = \hat{m}_{ab}$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ . This module is free on one generator  $\hat{m}_\pi$ . This will be proved in 5.3 below. It follows that all the  $\hat{m}_a$  and  $\hat{m}$  can be written as multiples of  $\hat{m}_\pi$ . These multiples turn out to be

$$\hat{m}_a = \pi^{-1}(a^n - a)(\pi^{n-1} - 1)^{-1}\hat{m}_\pi, \quad \hat{m} = \pi^{-1}\nu(n)(\pi^{n-1} - 1)^{-1}.$$

simply because if one takes an arbitrary element  $\hat{m}_\pi$  and one defines  $\hat{m}_a, \hat{m}$  as above, then all the required relations are satisfied. It follows in particular that

$$m_a = \pi^{-1}(a^n - a)(\pi^{n-1} - 1)^{-1}m_\pi, \quad m = \pi^{-1}\nu(n)(\pi^{n-1} - 1)^{-1}m_\pi$$

where  $m, m_a, a \in A$  are as in (5.2.3), (5.2.4) above. Hence

$$\phi_{n+1}(\pi^{-1}(a - a^n)S_n) = m_a, \quad \phi_{n+1}(-S_n\pi^{-1}\nu(n)) = m$$

so that, by (5.2.8) and (3.45), (5.2.1) and (5.2.2) hold with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ . This completes the induction step and (hence) the proof of theorem 2.5.

5.3. LEMMA: *Let  $X$  be the  $A$ -module generated by symbols  $m, m_a$  for all  $a \in A$  subject to the relations*

$$(5.3.1) \quad (a^n - a)m = \nu(n)m_a \quad \text{for all } a \in A$$

$$(5.3.2) \quad m_{a+b} - m_a - m_b = C_n(a, b)m \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A$$

$$(5.3.3) \quad am_b + b^nm_a = m_{ab} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A$$

Suppose moreover that  $n$  is a power of  $q$ . Then  $X$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank 1, with generator  $m_\pi$ .

PROOF: Let  $\bar{X} = X/Am_\pi$ . For each  $x \in X$  we denote with  $\bar{x}$  its image in  $\bar{X}$ . Then because  $(\pi - \pi^n)m_a = (a - a^n)m_\pi$  and because  $1 - \pi^{n-1}$  is a unit in  $A$  we have that  $\pi\bar{m}_a = 0$  in  $\bar{X}$ . Further  $(\pi^n - \pi)m = \nu(n)m_\pi$  so that also  $\pi\bar{m} = 0$  in  $\bar{X}$ . This proves that  $\bar{X}$  is a  $k$ -module. Now  $b^n \equiv b \pmod{\pi}$  (as  $n$  is a power of  $q$ ). Hence  $\bar{m}_{ab} = a\bar{m}_b + b\bar{m}_a$  in  $\bar{X}$  proving that the map  $C: k \rightarrow \bar{X}$ , defined by  $\bar{a} \mapsto \bar{m}_a$  is well defined and satisfies  $C(\bar{a}\bar{b}) = \bar{a}C(\bar{b}) + \bar{b}C(\bar{a})$ . In particular  $C(\bar{a}^n) = n\bar{a}^{n-1}C(\bar{a}) = 0$ . But  $\bar{a}^n = \bar{a}$ . Hence  $C(\bar{a}) = \bar{m}_a = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

With induction one finds from (5.3.2) that

$$(5.3.4) \quad m_{a_1+\dots+a_p} - m_{a_1} - \dots - m_{a_p} = C_{n,p}(a_1, \dots, a_p)m$$

where  $C_{n,p}(Z_1, \dots, Z_p) = p^{-1}((Z_1 + \dots + Z_p)^n - Z_1^n - \dots - Z_p^n)$ . Taking  $a_1 = \dots = a_p = 1$  we find that  $m_p - pm_1 = (p^{n-1} - 1)m$ , and hence  $\bar{m} = 0$  because  $1 - p^{n-1}$  is a unit of  $A$ . This proves that  $\bar{X}$  is zero so that  $X$  is generated by  $m_\pi$ . Now define  $X \rightarrow A$  by  $m_a \mapsto \pi^{-1}(a^n - a)$ ,  $m \mapsto \pi^{-1}p$ . This is well defined and surjective. Hence  $X \simeq A$ .

5.4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.8: First,  $(G_V(X, Y), \rho_V)$  has the  $A$ -logarithm  $g_V(X)$  and hence satisfies the  $A$ -logarithm condition of theorem 2.8. The  $A$ -logarithm of  $(\phi_*G_V(X, Y), \phi_*\rho_V)$  is  $\phi_*g_V(X)$ , which also satisfies the condition of theorem 2.8. Inversely, let  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  have an  $A$ -logarithm of the type indicated. Let  $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$  be such that  $\phi_*G_S(X, Y) = F(X, Y)$ ,  $\phi_*\rho_S = \rho_F$ . Then, as  $B$  is  $A$ -torsion free,  $\phi_*g_S(X) = f(X)$  because  $A$ -logarithms are unique. From the definition of  $G_S(X, Y)$  (cf. (3.4.1), (3.4.2)) we see that  $\phi(S_i) = 0$  unless  $i$  is a power of  $q$ . Hence  $\phi$  factorizes through  $A[S] \rightarrow A[V]$ ,  $S_i \mapsto 0$  if  $i$  is not a power of  $q$ ,  $S_{q^i} \mapsto V_i$ , and, comparing  $g_V(X)$  and  $g_S(X)$ , we see that  $\psi_*g_V(X) = f(X)$ , where  $\psi$  is the  $A$ -homomorphism  $A[V] \rightarrow B$  induced by  $\phi$ . q.e.d.

## 6. Proofs of the isomorphism theorems

6.1. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.9: Apply the functional equation lemma.

6.2. PROOF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE TRIPLE:  $(G_S(X, Y), \alpha_{S,U}(X), G_{S,U}(X, Y))$ : Let  $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$  be two formal  $A$ -modules over  $B$  and let  $\beta(X)$  be a strict isomorphism from  $F(X, Y)$  to  $G(X, Y)$ . Because  $G_S(X, Y)$  is universal there is a unique homomorphism  $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$  such that  $\phi_* G_S(X, Y) = F(X, Y)$ ,  $\phi_* \rho_S = \rho_F$ . Now  $\alpha_{S,U}(X) = g_{S,U}^{-1}(g_S(X))$ , hence we have by (3.4.6)

$$(6.2.1) \quad \alpha_{S,U}(X) \equiv \alpha_{S,U(n)}(X) - U_n X^n \pmod{\text{degree } n+1}$$

It follows from this that there is a unique extension  $\psi: A[S, U] \rightarrow B$  such that  $\psi_* \alpha_{S,U}(X) = \beta(X)$ , and then  $\psi_* G_{S,U}(X, Y) = G(X, Y)$ ,  $\psi_* \rho_{S,U} = \rho_G$ , automatically.

6.3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.12: Let  $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$  be two  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules over  $B$ , and let  $\beta(X)$  be a strict isomorphism from  $F(X, Y)$  to  $G(X, Y)$ . Let  $f(X), g(X)$  be the logarithms of  $F(X, Y)$  and  $G(X, Y)$ . Then  $g(\beta(X)) = f(X)$ . Because of the universality of the triple  $(G_S(X, Y), \alpha_{S,U}(X), G_{S,U}(X, Y))$  there is a unique  $A$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi: A[S, U] \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\psi_* G_S(X, Y) = F(X, Y), \quad \psi_* \rho_S = \rho_F \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_* \alpha_{S,U}(X) = \beta(X).$$

Because  $F(X, Y)$  is  $A$ -typical we know that  $\psi(S_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is not a power of  $q$ . Because  $F(X, Y)$  and  $G(X, Y)$  are  $A$ -typical we know that  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$  are of the form  $\sum c_i X^{q^i}$ . But  $g(\beta(X)) = f(X)$ . It now follows from (6.2.1) that we must have  $\psi(U_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is not a power of  $q$ . This proves the theorem.

6.4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.14: It suffices to prove the theorem for the case of strict isomorphisms. Let  $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$  be two formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$  and suppose that  $F^*(X, Y)$  and  $G^*(X, Y)$  are strictly isomorphic. By taking any strict lift of the strict isomorphism we can assume that  $F^*(X, Y) = G^*(X, Y)$ . Finally by Theorem 2.9 (i) and its corollary 2.10 we can make  $F(X, Y)$  and  $G(X, Y)$  both  $A$ -typical and this does not destroy the equality  $F^*(X, Y) = G^*(X, Y)$  because the theorem gives us a universal way of making an  $A$ -module  $A$ -typical. So we are reduced to the situation:  $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$  are  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$  and  $F^*(X, Y) = G^*(X, Y)$ . Let  $\phi, \phi'$  be the unique homomorphisms  $A[V] \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* G_V(X, Y) &= F(X, Y), & \phi_* \rho_V &= \rho_F, \\ \phi'_* G_V(X, Y) &= G(X, Y), & \phi'_* \rho_V &= \rho_G. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v_i = \phi(V_i)$ ,  $v'_i = \phi'(V_i)$ . Because  $F^*(X, Y) = G^*(X, Y)$ ,  $\rho \# = \rho \#$  we must have

$$(6.4.1) \quad v_i \equiv v'_i \pmod{\pi A}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

(by the uniqueness part of the universality of  $(F_V(X, Y), \rho_V)$ ).

If we can find  $t_i \in A$  such that  $a_n(v, t) = a_n(v')$  for all  $n$  then  $\alpha_{v, t}(X)$  will be the desired isomorphism. Let us write  $z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})}$  for the element of  $A \otimes_Z \mathbf{Q}$  obtained by substituting  $v_i$  for  $V_i$  and  $t_j$  for  $T_j$  in  $Z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})}$ . Then the problem is to find  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  such that

$$(6.4.2) \quad a_n(v') = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(v') v_i^{q^{n-i}} + \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(v) z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})} + t_n$$

Now

$$(6.4.3) \quad a_n(v') = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(v') v_i^{q^{n-i}}$$

So that  $t_n$  is determined by the recursion formula

$$(6.4.4) \quad t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-i}(v') \pi^{-1} (v_i^{q^{n-i}} - v_i^{q^{n-i}}) - \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(v) z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})}$$

And what we have left to prove is that these  $t_n$  are elements of  $A$  (and not just elements of  $K$ ). However,

$$(6.4.5) \quad \pi^{n-i} a_{n-i}(v') \in A, \quad z_{ij} = \pi^{-1} (v_i t_j^{q^i} - t_j v_i^{q^j}), \quad v_i \equiv v'_i \pmod{\pi}$$

Hence

$$(6.4.6) \quad v_i^{q^{n-i}} \equiv v_i^{q^{n-i}} \pmod{\pi^{n-i+1}}, \quad z_{ij}^{(q^{n-i-j})} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{n-i-j}}$$

and it follows recursively that the  $t_n$  are integral. This proves the theorem.

6.5. PROOF OF REMARK 2.15. If  $F(X, Y)$  and  $G(X, Y)$  are  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules over  $A$ , which are strictly isomorphic then  $F^*(X, Y) = G^*(X, Y)$ . Indeed, because  $F(X, Y)$ ,  $G(X, Y)$  are strictly isomorphic  $A$ -typical formal  $A$ -modules we have that there exist unique  $v_i, v'_i, t_i \in A$  such that (6.4.2), (6.4.3) and hence (6.4.4) hold. Taking  $n = 1$  we see that  $v_1 \equiv v'_1 \pmod{\pi}$ . Assuming that  $v_i \equiv v'_i \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , it follows from (6.4.4) that  $v_n \equiv v'_n$ . Finally, let  $F(X, Y)$  be an  $A$ -typical

formal  $A$ -module,  $F(X, Y) = G_v(X, Y)$ ,  $v_1, v_2, \dots \in A$ , and let  $u \in A$  be an invertible element of  $A$ . If  $f(X) = \sum a_i X^{q^i}$  is the logarithm of  $F(X, Y)$ , then the logarithm of  $F'(X, Y) = u^{-1}F(uX, uY)$  is equal to  $\sum a_i u^{q^i-1} X^{q^i}$ , so that  $F'(X, Y) = G_{v'}(X, Y)$  with  $v'_1 = u^{q-1}v_1, \dots, v'_n = u^{q^n-1}v_n, \dots$  and it follows that  $v'_i \equiv v_i \pmod{\pi}$ , i.e.  $F'^*(X, Y) = F^*(X, Y)$ .

### 7. Concluding remarks

Several of the results in [1], [2] and [6] follow readily from the theorems proved above. For example the following. Let  $F(X, Y)$  be a formal  $A$ -module; define  $\text{END}(F)$ , the absolute endomorphism ring of  $F$ , to be the ring of all endomorphisms of  $F$  defined over some finite extension of  $K$ . Let  $\phi_h: A[V] \rightarrow A$  be any homomorphism such that  $\phi_h(V_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$ ,  $\phi_h(V_h) \in A^*$ , the units of  $A$  and  $\phi_h(V_{h+1}) \neq 0$ . Then  $((\phi_h)_* F_v(X, Y), (\phi_h)_* \rho_v)$  is a formal  $A$ -module of formal  $A$ -module height  $h$  and with absolute endomorphism ring equal to  $A$ .

(If  $\text{char}(K) = p$  then formal  $A$ -module height is defined as follows. Let  $B$  be the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $K$ ; let  $m$  be the maximal ideal of  $B$ . Consider  $[\pi]_F(X)$  for  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F)$  a formal  $A$ -module over  $B$ . If  $[\pi]_F(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , then one shows that the first monomial of  $[\pi]_F(X)$  which is not  $\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  is necessarily of the form  $aX^{q^h}$ . Then  $A$ -height  $(F(X, Y), \rho_F) = h$ . If  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  this agrees with the usual definition  $A$ -height  $= [K: \mathbf{Q}_p]^{-1}$  Height).

### REFERENCES

- [1] L. COX: Formal  $A$ -modules. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79, 4 (1973) 690–6994.
- [2] L. COX: Formal  $A$ -modules over  $p$ -adic integer rings, *Compositio Math.* 29 (1974) 287–308.
- [3] M. HAZEWINKEL: Constructing Formal Groups I–VII; I: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 9 (1977), 131–149; II: *ibid.* 151–161; III: *ibid.* 10 (1977) 1–18; IV–VII: *Adv. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] M. HAZEWINKEL: A Universal Formal Group and Complex Cobordism. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1975) 930–933.
- [5] M. HAZEWINKEL: A Universal Isomorphism for  $p$ -typical Formal Groups and Operations in Brown-Peterson Cohomology, *Indagationes Math.* 38 (1976) 195–199.
- [6] J. LUBIN: Formal  $A$ -modules defined over  $A$ . *Symposia Mat. INDAM* 3 (1970) 241–245.

(Oblatum 30–VIII–1976 & 14–X–1977)

Erasmus University  
Econometric Institute  
Burg. Oud-laan 50, Rotterdam  
The Netherlands