# On lower bounds for permanents

A. Schrijver<sup>1</sup> and W.G. Valiant

Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Communicated by Prof. J.H. van Lint at the meeting of December 22, 1979

### ABSTRACT

Let  $\Lambda_n^k$  be the collection of  $n \times n$  matrices with nonnegative integer coefficients such that each row and column sum equals k. We prove that

min {per  $A \mid A \in \Lambda_n^k$ }  $\leq k^{2n} / \binom{nk}{k}$ .

# 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $\Lambda_n^k$  be the collection of  $n \times n$  matrices with nonnegative integer coefficients such that each row and column sum equals k. We define

(1) 
$$\lambda_k(n) := \min \{ \text{per } A \mid A \in \Lambda_n^k \}$$

and

$$\theta_k:=\inf \{\lambda_k(n)^{1/n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

If  $A \in \Lambda_n^k$ ,  $B \in \Lambda_m^k$  such that per  $A = \lambda_k(n)$  and per  $B = \lambda_k(m)$ , then

$$\lambda_k(n+m) \leq \operatorname{per}\begin{pmatrix} A & 0\\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_k(n)\lambda_k(m).$$

Combining this observation with Fekete's lemma, this ensures that, actually,

$$\theta_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda_k(n))^{1/n}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Research supported by the Netherlands Organization of pure Research (Z.W.O.)

Trivially,  $\lambda_1(n) = 1$ . It is easy to show that  $\lambda_2(n) = 2$ , by considering the matrices with entries 1 in the main diagonal and an adjacent diagonal. So  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 1$ . Erdös and Rényi [2] conjectured that  $\theta_k > 1$  for  $k \ge 3$ . This conjecture was proved by M. Voorhoeve [7], T. Bang [1] and S. Friedland [3]. Voorhoeve [7] showed by elementary methods that

$$\lambda_3(n) \ge 3 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-1},$$

so  $\theta_3 \ge 4/3$ . Friedland [3] and Bang [1] proved by a method due to Bang that the permanent of a doubly stochastic  $n \times n$  matrix is at least  $e^{-n}$ . This immediately yields

$$\lambda_k(n) \ge \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^n; \ \theta_k \ge \frac{k}{e}$$
.

Recently by a different application of Bang's method, Valiant [6] showed that  $\theta_4 \ge {}^{3}/{}_{2}$  and  $\theta_6 \ge {}^{20}/{}_{9}$ . J.H. van Lint [4] gave the upper bound  $\theta_3 < 1.466$ . For an additional survey we refer to the book on permanents by H. Minc [5].

In this paper we give the following general upper bound for the numbers  $\lambda_k(n)$ .

THEOREM. The numbers  $\lambda_k(n)$  defined by (1) satisfy

$$\lambda_k(n) \leq k^{2n} / \binom{nk}{k}.$$

By Stirling's formula, this yields

COROLLARY 1.

$$\theta_k \leq \frac{(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{k-2}}$$

COROLLARY 2.

$$\theta_3 = \frac{4}{3}.$$

**PROOF.** Our upper bound and Voorhoeve's lower bound for  $\theta_3$  coincide, hence we have equality for k=3.  $\Box$ 

In the proof of our theorem the permanent is represented by the number of common systems of distinct representatives of two partitions. Our theorem is inspired by Bang's "tensor product formula" (cf. Bang [1]; Friedland [3]). A further inspection of this formula, (see Valiant [6]) and the results for  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  lead us to conjecturing that our upper bound for the numbers  $\theta_k$  is optimal.

CONJECTURE.

$$\theta_k = \frac{(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{k-2}}.$$

426

#### 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $P_{k,n}$  be the collection of all ordered partitions of  $\{1, 2, ..., nk\}$  into n classes of size k. We have

$$p_{k,n} := |P_{k,n}| = \frac{(nk)!}{(k!)^n}.$$

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) of such a partition  $\mathscr{A} = (A_1, ..., A_n)$  is a collection  $\Gamma$  of n elements such that  $|\Gamma \cap A_i| = 1$  for all i. Clearly, the number of SDR's of  $\mathscr{A}$  equals  $k^n$ . Now take  $\mathscr{A} = (A_1, ..., A_n)$  and  $\mathscr{B} = (B_1, ..., B_n)$  from  $P_{k,n}$ and let  $S(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B})$  be the collection of *common* SDR's of  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$ . We claim that the number  $s(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}) := |S(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B})|$  is equal to the permanent of the matrix  $\alpha = (\alpha_{i,j})$ , where

$$\alpha_{i,j}=|A_i\cap B_j| \ (i,j=1,\ldots,n).$$

Indeed, if  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\{1, ..., n\}$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,\sigma(i)}$  is the number of common SDR's  $(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\gamma_i \in A_i \cap B_{\sigma(i)}$ . Hence

per 
$$\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,\sigma(i)} = s(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}).$$

Moreover, since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} = |B_j| = k = |A_i| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij}$ , we have that  $\alpha \in A_k^n$ . Hence

(2) 
$$s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \geq \lambda_k(n).$$

Let  $\mathscr{A} \in P_{k,n}$  be given. A fixed SDR  $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n)$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  is also an SDR of  $n!p_{k-1,n}$  partitions  $\mathscr{A}$  in  $P_{k,n}$ , as we can distribute  $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n$  in n! ways among  $B_1, ..., B_n$ , whereas the other elements of  $B_1, ..., B_n$  can be chosen freely. Since  $\mathscr{A}$  has  $k^n$  SDR's, we find

$$\sum_{\mathscr{B}\in P_{k,n}} S(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}) = k^n n! p_{k-1,n}.$$

Hence by (2)

$$\lambda_k(n) \leq \frac{k^n \cdot n! p_{k-1,n}}{p_{k,n}} = \frac{k^n \cdot n! (k!)^n (nk-n)!}{((k-1)!)^n (nk)!}$$

proving our theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Bang, T. On matrix-functions giving a good approximation to the v.d. Waerden permanent conjecture, Kopenhagen Univ. Math. Institute Preprint Series 30, 1-5 (1979).
- 2. Erdös, P. & A. Rényi On random matrices, II, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 3, 459-464 (1968).
- Friedland, S. A lower bound for the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, Annals of Math. 110, 167-176 (1979).
- Lint, J.H. van Combinatorial Theory Seminar, Eindhoven University of Technology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 382, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- Minc, H. Permanents, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1978.
- 6. Valiant, W.G. On an identity of T. Bang., Math. Centre report ZW 132 (1979).
- Voorhoeve, M. A lower bound for the permanents of certain (0, 1)-matrices, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A 82 = Indag. Math. 41, 83-86 (1979).