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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P$ be an $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ Markov matrix whose ( $i, j$ ) element is $p_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, \mathbb{N})$, i.e., $p_{i j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j} p_{i j}=1$. Let $T$ be an $N$ component column vector whose $i$ th element is $T_{i}$ where $T_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, and let $q$ be an $N$ component column vector whose ith element is $q_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N)$. The triple ( $P, T, q$ ) can be thought of as a semi-Markov reward process with transition probabilities $p_{i j}$, expected transition times $T_{i}$ and one-transition rewards $q_{i}$. It is assumed that the Markov matrix $P$ has a single recurrent chain. Let state N be a recurrent state of the Markov matrix P.

In each iteration of Howard's [2] well known policy-iteration algorithm a set of linear simultaneous equations must be solved. For the single chain case this set of equations is of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g T+v=q+P v \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is an unknown scalar and $v$ is an unknown $N$ component column vector whose ith element is $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N)$. It is important to have an efficient method for solving (1). For the case where $P$ is an aperiodic Markov matrix Morton [4] has given a simple iterative scheme to solve (1).

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that a solution of (1) can be found by solving two sets of linear simultaneous equations which are more easy to tackle than (1). In our approach we need not require that $P$ is aperiodic. Despite the fact that our approach is implied in the paper of Derman and Veinott[1], the theorem below seems to have passed unnoticed.

We first introduce some notation. Let $T^{*}$ be the $N-1$ component column vector whose ith element is $T_{i}$, let $q^{*}$ be the $N-1$ component column vector whose ith element is $q_{i}$, and let $R$ be the $N-1$ component row vector whose ith element is $p_{N i}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$. Denote by $Q$ the $(N-1) \times(N-1)$ matrix whose ( $i, j$ ) element is $p_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, N-1)$. Observe that $Q^{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\mathbb{N}$ is a recurrent state of the Markov matrix P.

We have the following theorem (cf. Derman and Veinott [1] and Theorem 1 of Morton [4])

THEOREM. Let the column vector $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}\right)$ be the unique solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=q^{*}+Q x, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let the column vector $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{D /-1}\right)$ be the unique solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=T^{*}+Q y . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the scalar $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(q_{N}+R x\right) /\left(T_{N}+R y\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define the $N$ component column vector $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=x_{i}-g y_{i} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-1, \quad v_{N}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g, v$ satisfy equation (1).

Proof. Let us first observe that both (2) and (3) have a unique solution, since $Q^{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $v^{*}$ the $N-1$ component solumn vector whose
ith element is $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$. From (2), (3) and (5),
$g T^{*}+v^{*}=g T^{*}+q^{*}+Q x-g\left(T^{*}+Q y\right)=q^{*}+Q(x-g y)=q^{*}+Q v^{*}$,
while from (4) and (5) it follows that
$g T_{N}+v_{N}=q_{N}+R x-g R y=q_{N}+R(x-g y)=q_{N}+R v^{*}$.

Using $v_{\mathbb{N}}=0$ the theorem now follows.
Observe that $g$ in (4) can be interpreted as the ratio of the expected return earned during a cycle and the expected length of a cycle, where a cycle is defined as the time interval between two successive visits to the recurrent state $N$. It is well-known that this ratio equals the long-run average return.

Remark. Suppose that $p_{i N}=1-\alpha_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$. Let $z_{0}$ be an arbitrary $N-1$ component column vector, and for $n \geq 1$ define $z_{n}$ by $z_{n}=b+Q z_{n-1}$, where b is a given $\mathrm{N}-1$ component column vector. Let z be the unique solution to $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{Qz}$. Define for any $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$,

$$
u_{n}^{\prime}(i)=z_{n}(i)+\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)^{-1} \min _{j}\left\{z_{n}(j)-z_{n-1}(j)\right\} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-1 \text {, }
$$

and

$$
u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(i)=z_{n}(i)+\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)^{-1} \max _{j}\left\{z_{n}(j)-z_{n-1}(j)\right\} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-1
$$

Then, for any $n \geq 1, u_{n}^{\prime}(i) \leq z(i) \leq u_{n}^{\prime} \prime(i)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$, where $u_{n}^{\prime}(i)$ is nondecreasing in $n$ to $z(i)$ and $u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(i)$ is nonincreasing in $n$ to $z(i)$ for all i. The proof of this assertion is a slight modification of proofs given by Macqueen [3] and is based on the following fact: If $T u \leq T w$ then $u \leq w$, where the transformation $T$ is defined by $T u=u-(b+Q u)$ for any $N-1$ component column vector $u$.

Remark. It is straightforward to extend the analysis above to the case of a. general Markov matrix $P$; in this case the set of simultaneous equations $g=P g$ and $g T+v=q+P v$ has to be solved where $g$ and $v$ are unknown $N$ component column vectors.
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