

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM

1 1  
DUPLICAAT

DR 14r

A remark on Fermat's last theorem.

Archief voor Wiskunde, 3e Serie, 1 (1953), p 123-128).

H.J.A. Duparc en A. van Wijngaarden.



1953

A REMARK ON FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

BY

H. J. A. DUPARC and A. VAN WIJNGAARDEN

(Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam)

1. In a recent paper by R. OBLATH <sup>1)</sup> lower bounds for  $z^p$ , satisfying

$$x^p + y^p = z^p \quad (x, y, z \text{ positive integers; } p > 2, \text{ prime}) \quad (1.1)$$

are given. As usual two cases are distinguished, viz.

Case I:  $xyz \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ;

Case II:  $xyz \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

In either case certain congruences are combined with numerical lower bounds of  $p$  to the following results

Case I:  $z^p > 10^{4.5 \times 10^9}$ ;

Case II:  $z^p > 10^{3.2 \times 10^6}$ .

In this note it is shown that in case I by using the same lower bound  $p \geq 253747889$  of D. H. and EMMA LEHMER <sup>2)</sup> the following sharper result can be derived:

Case I:  $z > 10^{6 \times 10^9}$ ;  $z^p > 10^{1.5 \times 10^{18}}$ .

2. In the following sections  $p$  denotes a prime  $> 7$ .

For sake of symmetry in (1.1) put  $X = x$ ,  $Y = y$ ,  $Z = -z$ , hence

$$X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

With the restriction of case I ( $p \nmid xyz$ ) one has:

$X, Y, Z$  are integers,  $p \nmid XYZ$ .

LANDAU <sup>3)</sup> proves

$$2X = -A^p + B^p + C^p, \quad 2Y = A^p - B^p + C^p, \quad 2Z = A^p + B^p - C^p,$$

where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are integers and

$$X + A \equiv Y + B \equiv Z + C \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2};$$

$$X^{p-1} \equiv Y^{p-1} \equiv Z^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Hence

$$A+B+C \equiv -(X+Y+Z) \equiv -(X^p+Y^p+Z^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Further <sup>4)</sup>

$$-2CC' = A^p + B^p - C^p; (C, C') = 1; C' \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

There are two kinds of prime factors of  $C$ , viz.

i)  $q_1 | C$ ,  $q_1 \nmid A+B$ . From  $q_1 | A^p + B^p$  a simple argument learns  $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , hence  $q_1^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ . Moreover using the first theorem of FURTWANGLER <sup>5)</sup> the prime factor  $q_1$  of  $C$ , hence of  $Z$  satisfies

$$q_1 \equiv q_1^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

ii)  $q_2 | C$ ,  $q_2 | A+B$ . If  $q_2^u | C$ ,  $q_2^{u+1} \nmid C$ , then  $q_2^u | A^p + B^p$ . Since  $\left(A+B, \frac{A^p+B^p}{A+B}\right) = (A+B, pA^{p-1}) = 1$  (for otherwise either  $p | AB | XY$  or  $(A, B) \neq 1$ ) one has  $q_2^u | A+B$ , hence  $q_2^u | A+B+C$ .

Then putting  $C = C_1 C_2$ , where  $C_1$  only contains prime factors of the first kind ( $q_1$ ) and  $C_2$  only prime factors of the second kind ( $q_2$ ) one has

$$C_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}, C \equiv C_2 \pmod{p^2}, C_2 | A+B+C. \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly

$$A \equiv A_2 \pmod{p^2}, B \equiv B_2 \pmod{p^2} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$A_2 | A+B+C, B_2 | A+B+C. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $A, B$  and  $C$  are pairwise coprime, so are  $A_2, B_2$  and  $C_2$  hence

$$A_2 B_2 C_2 | A+B+C. \quad (2.5)$$

From  $z > x, z > y$  it follows  $A < 0, B < 0$  and from  $x+y > 0$  it follows  $C > 0$ . Assuming without loss of generality  $x < y$  one has  $B < A$ . Hence defining positive integers  $a, b, c$  and integers  $a_2, b_2, c_2$  by

$$a+A = b+B = c-C = a_2 + A_2 = b_2 + B_2 = c_2 - C_2 = 0$$

one has

$$2x = a^p - b^p + c^p, 2y = -a^p + b^p + c^p, 2z = a^p + b^p + c^p. \quad (2.6)$$

Since  $(x+y)^p > x^p + y^p = z^p$  one has  $x+y > z, c^p > a^p + b^p$ . Hence  $c > b > a > 0$ . Further the following congruences hold

$$a + b - c \equiv a_2 + b_2 - c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

and

$$0 = x^p + y^p - z^p \equiv x + y - z = c^p - a^p - b^p \equiv c - a - b \pmod{6}.$$

Thus

$$a + b - c \equiv 0 \pmod{6p^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally in virtue of (2.2) and (2.3) one obtains

$$a = a_2 + a_3 p^2, \quad b = b_2 + b_3 p^2, \quad c = c_2 + c_3 p^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $a_3$ ,  $b_3$  and  $c_3$  are integers and in virtue of (2.5) one has

$$a_2 b_2 c_2 \mid a + b - c. \quad (2.9)$$

3. Putting  $\frac{a}{c} = \alpha$ ,  $\frac{b}{c} = \beta$  from (1.1) and (2.6) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (-\alpha^p + \beta^p + 1)^p + (\alpha^p - \beta^p + 1)^p &= (\alpha^p + \beta^p + 1)^p; \\ 0 < \alpha < \beta < 1; \quad \alpha^p + \beta^p < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Using after a suggestion of C. G. LEKKERKERKER for  $0 < u < v$  the relation

$$p(v-u)u^{p-1} < v^p - u^p < p(v-u)v^{p-1}$$

one has

$$2p\alpha^p(1 - \alpha^p + \beta^p)^{p-1} < (\alpha^p - \beta^p + 1)^p < 2p\alpha^p(\alpha^p + \beta^p + 1)^{p-1},$$

hence

$$\alpha^p < (\alpha^p - \beta^p + 1)^p < 2p\alpha^p(1 + 2\beta^p)^p,$$

thus

$$\alpha < \alpha^p - \beta^p + 1 < (1 + 2\beta^p)^{\frac{p}{\sqrt[p]{2p}}}.$$

Consequently one finds the result

$$1 - \beta^p < \alpha(1 + 2\beta^p)^{\frac{p}{\sqrt[p]{2p}}} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$2(1 - \beta^p) > \alpha - \alpha^p + 1 - \beta^p > \alpha,$$

thus

$$1 - \beta^p > \frac{1}{2}\alpha. \quad (3.3)$$

Now from (3.2) it follows

$$\beta > 1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p}. \quad (3.4)$$

In fact the supposition  $\beta \leq 1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p}$  leads to

$$\beta^p < \left(1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p}\right)^p < \frac{1}{2pe},$$

thus

$$e^{-\frac{2}{pe}} < \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{pe}} < \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2pe}}{1 + \frac{1}{pe}} < \frac{1 - \beta^p}{1 + 2\beta^p} < \alpha \sqrt[p]{2p} < \beta \sqrt[p]{2p} < e^{-\frac{1}{p}},$$

which is impossible since  $e > 2$ .

Since  $p \geq 8$  one obtains from (3.4) the relation  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Then using (3.2) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \beta^p}{1 - \beta} &\geq 1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \beta^3 + \beta^4 > \\ &> 1\frac{1}{2} + 3\beta^p > \sqrt{2}(1 + 2\beta^p) > \sqrt[p]{2p}(1 + 2\beta^p) > \frac{1 - \beta^p}{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\alpha + \beta > 1. \quad (3.5)$$

4. From (2.7) and (3.5) one has

$$a + b = c + mp^2, \text{ where } 6 \mid m, m > 0.$$

Now two cases are distinguished

i.  $m \geq p$ . Then

$$c > a = c - b + mp^2 > mp^2 \geq p^3. \quad (4.1)$$

ii.  $6 \leq m < p$ . Using (2.9) one has  $a_2 b_2 c_2 \mid m$ , hence

$$|a_2| \leq m < p, |b_2| < p, |c_2| < p.$$

Further  $0 < b_2 + b_3 p^2$ , hence  $b_3 p^2 > -b_2 > -p$ , thus  $b_3 \geq 0$  and

$$c_2 - b_2 + p^2(c_3 - b_3) = c - b > 0,$$

hence

$$c_3 - b_3 > \frac{b_2 - c_2}{p^2} > \frac{-2}{p}, c_3 \geq b_3 \geq 0.$$

The case  $c_3 = b_3$  is excluded.

In fact suppose  $c_3 = b_3$ . Then  $c_2 - b_2 = c - b > 0$ , hence

$$\beta = \frac{b_2 + b_3 p^2}{c_2 + c_3 p^2} = 1 - \frac{c_2 - b_2}{c_2 + c_3 p^2},$$

thus using (3.3)

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha > \beta^p > 1 - \frac{p(c_2 - b_2)}{c_2 + c_3 p^2},$$

hence

$$a < \frac{2p(c_2 - b_2)}{c_2 + c_3 p}, \quad a < 2(c_2 - b_2)p < 4p^2,$$

which contradicts

$$a = c - b + mp^2 > 6p^2.$$

Consequently  $c_3 > b_3$ . Using (3.4) one has

$$1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p} < \beta = \frac{b_3}{c_3} \left(1 + \frac{b_2}{b_3 p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{c_2}{c_3 p^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_3}{c_3} &> \left(1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|c_2|}{c_3 p^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|b_2|}{b_3 p^2}\right) > \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{\log 2pe}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_3 p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_3 p}\right) > 1 - \frac{\log 2pe + \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{b_3}}{p} \\ &> 1 - \frac{3 + \log 2p}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_3 \geq b_3 + 1$  one has

$$c_3 > \frac{p}{3 + \log 2p},$$

hence

$$c = c_2 + c_3 p^2 > \frac{p^3}{3 + \log 2p} - p.$$

Consequently comparing (4.1) and (4.2) in both cases i and ii the result (4.2) holds.

5. Using (2.6) and (4.2) one finds

$$z > \frac{1}{2}c^p, \quad c > \frac{p^3}{3 + \log 2p} - p.$$

From  $p \geq 253747889$  one finds

$$z > 10^{6 \times 10^9}, \quad z^p > 10^{1.5 \times 10^{18}}.$$

## REFERENCES

- 1) R. OBLÁTH, Untere Schranken für Lösungen der Fermatschen Gleichung, *Portugaliae Mathematica* 11, 3 (1953), 129—132.
- 2) D. H. and EMMA LEHMER, On the first case of Fermat's last theorem, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1941), 139—142.
- 3) E. LANDAU, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie* (1927), Band 3, 324.
- 4) E. LANDAU, *ibidem*, 324, formulae (1126), (1127), (1128) and (1129).
- 5) E. LANDAU, *ibidem*, 315, theorem 1038.

(Received 13.5.'53)