STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49

AMSTERDAM

ALGOL 60 Translation for Everybody

F.E.J. Kruseman Aretz

4MC

Redaktion Dr. H. K. Schuff

Dr. Heinz Christen, Hamburg

Dr. Ernst Glowatzki. Darmstadt

Dr. F. R. Guntsch, Konstanz

Prof. Dr. W. Haack, Borin

Prof. Dr. H. Horrmann, Braunschweig

N. D. Hill, Hayos/England

Or. Franz J. P. Leitz, Ludwigshafen/Rh.

Or E. Lebel Prien am Chiemsee -

Or. Paul Schmitz, Frankfurt am Main

Prof. Dr. A. van Wijngaarden, Amsterdam

elektronische datenverarbeitung

32 Fachberichte über

programmgesteuerte Maschinen und ihre Anwendung

Heft 6/1964 6. Jahrgang

ALGOL 60 Translation for Everybody

F. E. J. Kruseman Aretz¹), Amsterdam/Holland

Summary: It is the purpose of this article to sketch an ALGOL-Compiler structure that is expected to be comprehensible by everyone. So doing it has been abstracted as much as possible from any specific machine, and there are put forward only the essential features of translation. An example program for the translation of arithmetic expressions is given for illustration.

Zus am men fassung: Ziel des vorliegenden Artikels ist es, die Struktur eines ALGOL-Compilers in einer Weise darzulegen, von der erwartet werden kann, daß sie für jedermann verständlich ist. Dabei wird soweit als möglich von jeder spezifischen Maschine abgesehen, und es werden nur die wesentlichen Eigenschaften der Übersetzung hervorgehoben. Ein ausgearbeitetes Beispielprogramm für die Übersetzung von arithmetischen Ausdrücken veranschaulicht die Ausführungen.

1. Introduction

Ever since the appearance of the first official publication on ALGOL 60 [1], there has been a worldwide effort directed toward the implementation of this problem-oriented programming language for several specific machines. Some of these investigations have been reported to a limited extent [2,3,4], or even in minute detail, while in some other cases only a few insiders have had the privilege of being initiated into the often masterly techniques used therein.

Although there has been communication between the several designers about their work, and, consequently, common methods have been devised, nevertheless the various ALGOL 60 compilers diverge strongly, due, amongst other things, to:

1. differences in the machines;

2. differences with respect to the requirements and wishes posed for an ALGOL system by the various groups. To indicate the differences between machines, we mention here only such things as hardware stacking orders, indirect addressing, the size of the main store, and possible backing stores, whereas, on the other hand, the notions of efficient object program, of the detection of errors, and the size of translator and running system play an important role.

Hence, it is difficult for the uninitiated to gain an impression of how ALGOL translators actually do generate their object programs, and it is the purpose of this paper to sketch a translator structure that is, hopefully, comprehensible to everyone. So doing, we will abstract ourselves as much as possible from any specific machine, and will put forward only the essential features of translation. Therefore, no concession has been made to efficiency; everything has been sacrificed in the interests of readability. As an example, a program for the translation of arithmetic expressions has been worked out and is given here.

2. Macros

The simplest way to design a largely machine-independent ALGOL compiler seems to be to entrust the two logically distinguishable compiler tasks, namely:

- 1. analysis of the given program, and
- 2. construction of an equivalent machine code program, to two separate parts of the translator, namely:
- 1. the ALGOL-processor, and
- 2. the Macro-processor, respectively.

The first of these, then, will have to recognise the constructions used in the ALGOL source text, and represent

¹⁾ Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam.

them in terms of a series of macro orders, possibly provided with one or more "metaparameters". These macros are independent, sharply described, small tasks, defined apart from any specific machine and capable of being suitably interpreted on any given machine.

The Macro-processor must generate a piece of object program for each such macro. This can be one hardware order, a series of orders, a subroutine call for a piece of running system, etc. Moreover, it is quite possible that a Macro-processor will replace a set of consecutive macros by another, more efficient one, and in this way will do quite a lot of optimizing. In any case, the Macro-processor will be strongly machine-dependent, but in principle can be a simple, straightforward program.

3. The language for our ALGOL compiler

Naturally, our machine-independent ALGOL translator will itself be formulated in a machine-independent programming language. As a side remark, we notice here that such a description would have some big advantages for any translator:

1. apart from the motivation, the translator would be its own description, and no documentation problems would arise afterwards; as soon as the translator has been finished, there exits a report, the translator text itself, which is accessible to anyone;

2. due to the greater readability, maintenance of the translator would be relatively simple, and, moreover, it would be fairly easy for customers to adapt the translator to specific requirements of their own.

If we then have to make a choice from the great variety of problem-oriented programming languages, we shall have to choose one that is really suitable for the problem. The most important property of ALGOL 60 that matters in this respect, seems to the author to be the essential recursivity in the definitions of the official report. Therefore, the translator must have a recursive structure, and our programming language will have to lend itself to recursive processes. Good examples of such languages are LISP [5] and ALGOL 60 itself. Although LISP, being a recursive list-processing language, seems to be highly suitable for the formulation of compilers, we have preferred ALGOL 60 for the purposes of this paper.

4. Some remarks on the storage-allocation problem

A consequence of the recursive structure of ALGOL 60 is that a block may sometimes be activated while it is already active. Then the values of the local variables of so-far incompleted activations must be preserved; thus, several values may correspond to each variable at any given time. As a rule this excludes a static form of addressing variables (something that could be done during translation). The commonly used way out of this so-called storage-allocation problem is the use of the memory organization now known as a stack [6], for a dynamic allocation of the variables. The stack then consists of a set of block cells, each belonging to just one activation of a block.

Each cell contains, besides the local variables and anonymous intermediate results of the evaluation of expressions, a set of administrative data, by means of which it is possible to find this and other block cells in the stack. Each variable can now

be characterized by a dynamic address, consisting of a combination of two data: one for block identification and one for positioning inside the block cell concerned. It is a task of a block introduction macro to touch up the cell administration, and of the block exit macro to delete one or more cells out of the stack.

The top of the stack can be used for the evaluation of expressions. A so-called stackpointer refers to the first free position (this pointer might be an index register, but a pseudoregister can be used instead).

For the rest, all allocation problems are problems for the design of a running system. They have hardly any influence upon the writing of an ALGOL compiler.

5. The macros for the translation of arithmetic expressions

Since it goes far beyond the framework of this paper to sketch a complete ALGOL processor, we will restrict ourselves to a specific example. The simplest case is the translation of arithmetic expressions, and therefore in section 7, a number of procedures are given which are capable of converting an arithmetic expression into a series of macros. In this section, we will discuss what these macros are supposed to do, whereas in section 6 the basic functioning of the procedures will be elucidated.

We will assume that the running system has at its disposal two registers, called F and C. These may be real hardware registers, or programmed pseudoregisters. F is the register for floating point arithmetic, and for the sake of simplicity we will assume that F is also able to work with integers, which are distinguished from floating numbers only by means of an exponential part equal to zero (this is e.g. the case for the Grau representation [7] of floating numbers). C is a two-valued register, with value true or false. There exists a macro COJU, for conditional jump, which will continue the program from a different point only if C has the value false.

Furthermore, the running system will operate on the stack, and in principle, all binary arithmetic operations will take place with the top of the stack as the first operand and F as the second, the result being delivered in F. Examples are:

```
addition with result F := stack[stackpointer - 1] + F;
  ADD
                              F:= stack[stackpointer - 1] - F;
        subtraction
  SUB
                              F:= stack[stackpointer - 1] X F;
  MUL multiplication
                              F:= stack[stackpointer - 1] / F;
        division
  DIV
                              F:= stack[stackpointer - 1] ÷ F;
  IDI
        integer division
                              F:= stack[stackpointer - 1] * F;
        to the power
  TTP
all with the side effect that:
```

stackpointer:= stackpointer - 1;

The content of F can be saved in the stack by means of the macro:

```
STACK stack[stackpointer]:= F;

stackpointer:= stackpointer + 1;

Inversion of F is done by:

NEG F:= - F:
```

To obtain the value of a simple variable, we introduce the macro:

TAV (<dynamic variable address>), which will transform the given dynamic address (block identification number and position in the block cell) into a

memory address, and will copy the value of the variable into F. Dealing with subscripted variables, the value of each index is evaluated and put into the stack, after which:

TSAV (<dynamic array address>) carries out the indexing and delivers the required value in F.

The treatment of formals, called by name, is done by the dynamic insertion of a piece of object program belonging to the corresponding actual parameter. This insertion is carried out by means of the macro:

DO(<dynamic parameter address>), which causes execution of an order contained in the stack. Finally we mention here the macros:

JU (cprogram address>) goto address: COJU (coju (coju (address>) if I C then goto address; that play a role in the translation of if-then-else-constructions.

6. The basic functioning of the procedures Arithexp, et seq.

In the next section a number of procedures will be declared. the effect of which is to translate arithmetic expressions. The fundamental idea of almost all of them is that the first basic symbol of the syntactical unit to be processed by that procedure has been read already (its value being assigned to "last symbol"); ber" has to read a number, and look it up in the constant list. the procedure considers itself to have finished its task after reading the first symbol that can no longer belong to that unit syntactically. In the mean time, the translation of that unit has been produced.

For example we take here the procedure Factor. According to the definition in the Revised Report:

<factor>::= <primary > 1 < factor > 1 < primary > . Therefore, a factor certainly must start with a primary, and so Factor begins with a call for Primary. On returning into Factor a set of macros has been produced, which, on execution, will deliver the value of the primary in F. If now the primary is followed by a symbol !, then we have to save F in the stack, deliver the following primary in F and carry out the exponentiation. The procedure to produce the macros doing this is Next Primary. On completion of these three tasks a new test for the occurrence of a t is necessary due to the recursivity in the definition of <factor>. This is carried out by Next Primary also, which, in case of absence of a !, has no effect

integer future1, future2;

7. The procedures

procedure Arithexp:

begin

at all.

future1:= future2:= 0: last symbol = if then begin next symbol; Boolexp; if last symbol \(\pm \) then then ERRORMESSAGE (AE1): MACRO2 (COJU, future1): next symbol; Simple Arithexp; if last symbol ‡ else then ERRORMESSAGE (AE2) MACRO2 (JU, future2); begin else SUBSTITUTE (future1):

next symbol; Arithexp; SUBSTITUTE (future2)

end

end else Simple Arithexp

end Arithexp;

If an arithmetic expression begins with an if-clause, first a procedure Boolexp is called for, to produce a piece of program to assign the value of the Boolean expression to C. Next, the macro COJU is produced. However, since it is still unknown to which point of the program the jump must go, a provisional address part of zero is given to it. It is a task of the Macroprocessor, to assign in this case the value of the order counter to future 1 so as to make possible a later address substitution. This last is done by the procedure SUBSTITUTE, which must substitute the current value of the order counter into the address part of the macro COJU. An analogous construction occurs somewhat further on, just after encountering the else (future2 then contains the location of the macro JU to be completed later on).

The function procedure "next symbol" assigns both to itself and to last symbol, the value of the next basic symbol of the source program. The function procedure "Identifier" reads an identifier, looks it up in the name list, and assigns to itself a code word containing information about the identifier, e.g. its type, its address, etc., or it assigns to itself an address in the name list, from which such information can be obtained. In a manner analogous to this, the procedure "Unsigned num-Moreover, it has to produce a macro TCV, take constant value, with the appropriate address. The procedures subscrvar, formal, function, and arithmetic must answer questions about the identifier concerned, on the basis of knowledge supplied by declaration or occurrence.

There are two points in which the definition of an arithmetic expression goes beyond its own borders: the first is the ifclause, in which a Boolean expression occurs; the other is the function designator, in which actual parameters of all kinds may occur. We shall not go into this, and the procedures Boolexp and Function Designator will be present in the program only as black boxes.

Besides being translated, the ALGOL expression presented is also checked for syntactical correctness. In general, we have strived for a construction such that after detecting an error and producing a message about it, the translation process can be continued as a syntactical test with some chance of success (albeit that the translation then produced is worthless). A good example of this strategy is the reaction to a missing else-part after an if-then-construction (see Arithexp), and also to the absence of a closing parenthesis (see Primary).

```
procedure Simple Arithexp:
       begin
               if last symbol = minus
                                        then
                                              begin
                                                       next symbol; Term;
                                                       MACRO (NEG)
                                               end
                                                      if last symbol = plus then next symbol;
                                              begin
                                        else
                                                       Term
                                               end;
               Next Term
       end Simple Arithexp;
procedure Next Term;
               if last symbol = plus
       begin
                                              begin
                                                       MACRO (STACK);
                                        then
                                                       next symbol; Term;
                                                       MACRO (ADD); Next Term
                                               end
                else
                if last symbol = minus
                                       then
                                              begin
                                                       MACRO (STACK);
                                                       next symbol; Term;
                                                       MACRO (SUB); Next Term
                                               end
       end Next Term;
procedure Term; begin Factor: Next Factor end Term;
procedure Next Factor;
       begin
                if last symbol = mul
                                        then
                                              begin
                                                       MACRO (STACK);
                                                      next symbol; Factor;
                                                       MACRO (MUL); Next Factor
                                               end
                else
                if last symbol = div
                                              begin
                                        then
                                                       MACRO (STACK);
                                                       next symbol; Factor;
                                                       MACRO (DIV): Next Factor
                                               end
                else
                if last symbol = idi
                                              begin
                                        then
                                                      MACRO (STACK);
                                                       next symbol; Factor;
                                                       MACRO (IDI); Next Factor
                                               end
        end Next Factor;
 procedure Factor; begin Primary; Next Primary end Factor;
 procedure Next Primary;
                if last symbol = ttp
        begin
                                               begin
                                        then
                                                       MACRO (STACK);
                                                       next symbol; Primary;
                                                       MACRO (TTP): Next Primary
                                               end
        end Next Primary;
 procedure Primary;
       begin
                 integer n;
                 if last symbol = open
                                        then
                                               begin
                                                       next symbol; Arithexp;
                                                       if last symbol = close then next symbol
                                                        else ERRORMESSAGE (P1)
                                               end
                 else
                           digit last symbol
                                               then
                                                       Unsigned number
                 else
                           letter last symbol
                                               then
                                                       begin
                                                               n:= identifier;
                                                                  1 arithmetic (n) then ERRORMESSAGE (P2);
                                                                Arithname (n)
                                                        end
                       ERRORMESSAGE (P3)
                 else
        end Primary;
```

```
procedure Arithname (n); integer n:
               if subscrvar (n) \vee formal (n) \wedge last symbol = sub then Subscrvar (n)
                     if function (n) V formal (n) \Lambda last symbol = open then Function Designator (n)
                    if formal (n) then MACRO2 (DO, n) else MACRO2 (TAV, n)
           Arithname;
procedure Subscrvar (n); integer n;
               if last symbol = sub
       begin
                                        then
                                               begin
                                                        next symbol; Subscript list;
                                                        if last symbol = bus then next symbol
                                                        else ERRORMESSAGE (SV1):
                                                        if formal (n) then MACRO2 (DO, n)
                                                        else MACRO2 (TSAV, n)
                                               end
                     ERRORMESSAGE (SV2)
       end Subscrvar;
procedure Subscript list;
               Arithexp; MACRO (STACK):
       begin
               if last symbol = comma then begin
                                                        next symbol; Subscript list end
            Subscript list;
```

8. Final considerations

He who would analyse the procedures presented in the last section will find that arithmetic expressions are transformed into a macro program which corresponds to the so-called Reversed Polish Form [8]. This is carried out, however, without any need to give to the arithmetic operators and to the other delimiters any priority number, or to program a stack for operators, such as is described e.g. by Dijkstra [9]. The priority rules are taken into account automatically by the sub- and side-ordering of the appropriate procedures. Likewise, there is no need for a separate "future list" for the provision of the "anonymous jumps", like those occurring in if-then-else-constructions. The only list that is assumed to exist, apparently, is a name list.

Furthermore, it will be clear how close the procedures are to the syntactical definitions, given in 3.3. of the Revised Report. As a matter of fact, they are just a straightforward transformation thereof, and this fact almost excludes errors during the writing of such procedures. No far-reaching conclusions have been drawn from the report; no attempt has been made to short circuit certain chains of thought; and in general such attempts may be the cause of errors. Perhaps it is useful to say something about some difficulties which one encounters when trying to extend the process, followed in Arithexp, to other syntactical structures in the language. It is a property of arithmetic expressions that at any moment we know what type of structure has to be processed next during a sequential scan. This is no longer true for Boolean expressions, where e.g., after an opening parenthesis, either a Boolean expression or an arithmetic one (namely as part of a relation) can follow. Here we have to create a procedure that can read both types of expressions and that itself investigates what kind is presented to it. Moreover, such a procedure must notify the results of that investigation to its surroundings; even the answer: "yet undecided", may not be excluded. Still more general procedures will be necessary for the translation of actual parameters - which can be anything -.

A case analogous to the foregoing arises in the assignment statement, where, after '<left part>:=', we don't know whether an '<expression>' or again a '<left part>' follows.

Just as before, we need procedures that can handle both situations. It is important here to have at our disposal a number of macros, suitable for both cases, such that the translation process can continue even before the discrimination between the two possibilities has been made.

It has been the purpose of this paper to give some insight into a plausible structure for an ALGOL 60 translator. In fact, the real problems arise during the design of the running system that has to see to it that the macros to be defined work properly. The design of the translator is a relatively easy job.

This paper would not have been possible without continuous contacts with the collaborators of the Mathematical Centre, especially within the group preparing the ALGOL 60 implementation for our future Electrologica X 8 computer. The author would like to mention here separately the cooperation with J. Nederkoorn in the definition of a macro system for that machine. Furthermore, the author thanks B. Mailloux, who was helpful in the final formulation of the text. In appendix A a program is given, with which the procedures given in section 7 have been tested using the MC-I ALGOL implementation on the X 1. In appendix B some examples of input and output of this program are reproduced.

9. References

- [1] see: Naur, P. (ed.), Revised Report on the Algorithmic Language ALGOL 60, Regnecentralen, Copenhagen, 1960.
- [2] Dijkstra, E.W., ALGOL 60 Translation, ALGOL Bull. Suppl. no. 10.
- [3] Naur, P., The design of the GIER ALGOL compiler, BIT, 2, 1963, 124 and BIT, 2, 1963, 145.
- [4] Randell, B. and Russell, L.J., ALGOL 60 Implementation, APIC studies in Data Processing no. 5, Academic Press, London, New York, 1964.

- [5] McCarthy, J., Recursive Functions of Symbolic Expressions and Their Computation by Machine, Comm. ACM, 3, 1960, 184.
- [6] Dijkstra, E. W., Recursive Programming, Num. Math., 2, 1960, 312.
- [7] Grau, A.A., On a Floating-point Number Representation for Use with Automatic Languages, Comm. ACM, 5, 1962, 160.
- [8] Samelson, K. and Bauer, F.L., Sequentielle Formelübersetzung, Elektronische Rech., 1, 1959, 176.
- [9] Dijkstra, E. W., Making a Translator for ALGOL 60, APIC Bull., 7, 1961, 3.

Appendix A

The program given below was written for the purpose of testing the procedures Arithexp, et seq. It is based on the following restricted definitions:

A1: <Boolean expression>::=<variable identifier>

A2: <unsigned number>::=<unsigned integer>

A3: <function designator >::= cedure identifier > !
cedure identifier > (<arithmetic expression >).

Moreover, due to the absence of declarations for the identifiers present in the expressions that are processed, the questions: "arithmetic?", "formal?", "subscrvar?", and "function?" are answered either stereotypedly or on the basis of the delimiter following the identifier concerned. As a consequence, some constructions and some macros are not shown to full advantage.

Identifiers and numbers are stored upon occurrence in a name list for later use in the output as metaparameters of macros. In fact, this list is a first in - last out list, but this is by no means essential; if we omit the last statement before the label EX in the procedure MACRO2 the program still works the same - the only effect then would be that the names are needlessly preserved in the list.

The internal representation of the basic symbols is chosen to be close to that of the input/output medium, i.e. paper tape punched in MC-Flexowriter code. The input/output routines are slightly code-dependent, but care has been taken to make them comprehensible without any knowledge of the code itself.

Those procedure identifiers that do occur in the program without having been declared are library routines, which, in the MC-I ALGOL implementation, are considered as standard functions, just like entier, abs, sqrt, etc. They are:

a function procedure assigning to its

identifier the value of the next heptad on

the input paper tape;

PU7BIT (n): a procedure, punching the value of

 $n(0 \le n \le 127)$ as a heptad on the output

paper tape;

RE7BIT:

PUNLCR: a procedure, punching a new line carriage

return symbol on the output paper tape;

PUSPACE (n): a procedure, punching n space symbols on

the output paper tape;

PUTEXT1 (string): a procedure, punching the actual string on

the output paper tape (\$\pi\$ and \$\rightarrow\$ are the MC hardware representations of string quotes);

ABSFIXP (n, 0, x): a procedure, punching the absolute value of x rounded to an integer, in n figures,

replacing leading zeroes by space symbols;

stop: a procedure that stops the execution of the

program until the operator pushes the button

"continue" on the machine console.

The program translates a set of expressions on the input tape, separated by semicolons, and closed by a semicolon followed by a stopcode symbol (this last symbol corresponds to a punching but has no visible mark on the typewriter sheet). In Backus Normal Form we could define the job as:

A4: <job>::= <arithmetic expression>; stopcode |
<arithmetic expression>; <job>

Any set of basic symbols <u>comment</u> <any sequence not containing; > ; is skipped on the input tape. The program starts with the reading of a small tape, containing some of the basic symbols in hardware representation, to define the internal representation thereof. This is just a preparation of the input procedures. The small tape consists of the symbols:

= | comment

not separated by other (lay out) symbols, followed by the symbols:

, () [] if then else + - \times / \div † which can be punched using spaces etc.

begin comment

Test program for Arithexp.

programmed by F. E. J. Kruseman Aretz.

identification: R989 / Arithexp;

integer

last symbol, pointer, macrocounter, stock, empty, symbol, blank, erase, case, lower case, upper case, tab, space, new line, stopcode, colon, equal, bar, underlining, comment, semicolon, comma, open, close, sub, bus, if, then, else, plus, minus, mul, div, idi, ttp, AE1, AE2, P1, P2, P3, SV1, SV2, FD1, MP1, STOP, NEG, ADD, SUB, MUL, DIV, IDI, TTP, STACK, ENTER, RET, JU, COJU, TAV, TCV, TBV, TSAV, DO, FDES;

integer array list[0:200];

```
Initialization:
procedure
         begin AE1:= NEG:= JU:= 1; AE2:= ADD:= COJU:= 2;
                P3:= DIV:= TBV:= 5: SV1:= IDI:= TSAV:= 6:
                SV2:= TTP:= DO:= 7: FD1:= STACK:= FDES:= 8:
                MP1:= ENTER:= 9: STOP:= RET:= 10:
                blank:= 0; erase:= 127; case:= lower case:= 122;
                upper case:= 124; tab:= 62; space:= 16; new line:= 26:
                stopcode:= 11: stock:= empty:= 1:
                colon:= next tape symbol; equal:= next tape symbol;
                                                 underlining:= next tape symbol;
                bar:= next tape symbol;
                comment:= next string symbol;
                                                 semicolon:= next string symbol;
                comma:= next symbol; open:= next symbol;
                                                              close:= next symbol:
                sub:= next symbol;
                                       bus:= next symbol;
                                                              if:= next symbol:
                then:= next symbol;
                                       else:= next symbol;
                                                              plus:= next symbol;
                minus:= next symbol;
                                       mul:= next symbol;
                                                              div:= next symbol;
                idi:= next symbol;
                                       ttp:= next symbol
              Initialization:
          end
comment input procedures;
          procedure next tape symbol;
integer
         begin integer n;
                n:= RE7BIT;
                if n = blank V n = erase then next tape symbol:= next tape symbol
                else if n = lower case <math>\forall n = upper case then
                         begin case:= n; next tape symbol:= next tape symbol end
                else next tape symbol:= if n = tab \ V n = space \ V n = new line \ V
                          n = \text{stopcode } V \text{ case} = \text{lower case then } n \text{ else } n + 128
              next tape symbol;
          procedure next string symbol;
integer
         begin integer
                          n, m;
                if stock < 0 then n:= stock:= - stock else n:= next tape symbol;
                                then
                   n = colon
                                         begin
                                                 m:= next tape symbol;
                                                  if m = equal then n = 256 else stock = - m
                                         end
                          n = bar then n := next tape symbol + 256
                                           then
                         n = underlining
                                                 begin
                 else
                                                          n:= next tape symbol + 512;
                                                          m:= next tape symbol;
                                                              m = underlining then
                                                                 n:=512 \times n + next tape symbol;
                                                           AA:
                                                                  stock:= - next tape symbol;
                                                                     stock = - underlining then
                                                                   begin next tape symbol; goto AA end
                                                                worddelimiter
                                                           else stock:= - m
                                                        underlining;
                  next string symbol:= n
                next string symbol;
         procedure next symbol;
integer
         begin integer n;
                n:= next string symbol;
                if n = tab V n = space V n = new line then next symbol:= next symbol
                                                 begin for n:= next string symbol while n # semicolon do:
                else if n = comment then
                                                         next symbol:= next symbol
                                                      comment
                                                 end
                else next symbol:= last symbol:= n
              next symbol;
```

```
Boolean
        procedure digit last symbol;
         digit last symbol:= (0 < last symbol \land last symbol < 9) \lor (18 < last symbol \land last symbol < 26) \lor last symbol = 32;
Boolean
         procedure letter last symbol;
         begin integer n;
                n = if last symbol < 128 then last symbol else last symbol - 128;
                letter last symbol:= (34 < n \land n < 42) \lor (49 < n \land n < 57) \lor (66 < n \land n < 74) \lor
                                  (80 < n \land n < 89) \lor (96 < n \land n < 105) \lor (114 < n \land n < 122)
              letter last symbol:
          end
comment
          output procedures:
procedure ERRORMESSAGE (n); integer n;
          begin switch S:= AE1, AE2, P1, P2, P3, SV1, SV2, FD1, MP1, STOP;
                PUNLCR; PUTEXT1 (≮ ERROR≯); goto S[n];
                PUTEXT1 (∢AE1≯); goto EX; AE2: PUTEXT1 (∢AE2≯); goto EX;
                PUTEXT1 (\PP1); goto EX; P2: PUTEXT1 (\PP2); goto EX;
          P1:
                PUTEXT1 (∢P3≯); goto EX;
          P3:
          SV1: PUTEXT1 (4 SV1); goto EX; SV2:
                                                        PUTEXT1 (∢SV2≯); goto EX;
                                                        PUTEXT1 (♦MP1>); goto EX;
          FD1: PUTEXT1 (&FD1); goto EX;
                                               MP1:
          STOP: PUTEXT1 ($STOP);
          EX:
          end ERRORMESSAGE:
procedure MACRO (n); integer n;
          begin switch S:= NEG, ADD, SUB, MUL, DIV, IDI, TTP, STACK, ENTER, RET;
                 PUNLCR; ABSFIXP (3, 0, macrocounter);
                                                       PUSPACE (3); goto S[n];
          NEG: PUTEXT1 (∢NEG≯); goto EX; ADD:
                                                        PUTEXT1 (∢ADD); goto EX;
          SUB: PUTEXT1 (∢SUB≯); goto EX;
                                              MUL:
                                                        PUTEXT1 (≮MUL≯); goto EX;
          DIV: PUTEXT1 (∢DIV≯); goto EX: IDI:
                                                        PUTEXT1 (∢IDI≯); goto EX;
          TTP: PUTEXT1 (&TTP>); goto EX; STACK: PUTEXT1 (&STACK); goto EX;
          ENTER: PUTEXT1 (∢ENTER≯); goto EX; RET:
                                                        PUTEXT1 (≮RET⊁):
          EX:
                macrocounter = macrocounter + 1
          end MACRO;
           MACRO2 (i, n); integer i, n;
 procedure
          begin integer k, last case;
                switch S:= JU, COJU, TAV, TCV, TBV, TSAV, DO, FDES;
                PUNLCR; ABSFIXP (3, 0 macrocounter); PUSPACE (3); goto S[i];
          COJU: PUTEXT1 (4CO);
                PUTEXT1 (∢JU (▶); ABSFIXP (3, 0, n); n:= macrocounter; goto EX;
          TAV: PUTEXT1 (∢TAV (≯); goto NAME;
          TCV: PUTEXT1 (∢TCV (▶); goto NAME;
          TBV: PUTEXT1 (∢TBV (▶); goto NAME;
          TSAV: PUTEXT1 (∢TSAV (≯); goto NAME;
          DO: PUTEXT1 (4DO (1); goto NAME;
          FDES: PUTEXT1 (∢FDES (≯);
          NAME: last case:= 0; for k:= n step 1 until pointer - 1 do
                begin if list[k]>127 then
                                            begin if last case * 2 then begin last case:= 2; PU7BIT (upper case) end;
                                                     PU7BIT (list[k] - 128)
                                              end
                                                     if last case * 1 then begin last case:= 1; PU7BIT (lower case) end;
                                              begin
                                       else
                                                     PU7BIT (list[k])
                                              end
                 end:
                 pointer:= n:
          EX:
                PUTEXT1 (∢)≯); macrocounter:= macrocounter + 1
               MACRO2:
          end
            SUBSTITUTE (n);
 procedure
                            integer n;
          begin PUTEXT1 (4
                                     substitute≯); ABSFIXP (3, 0, macrocounter);
                PUTEXT1 ($\din addresspart of macro$); ABSFIXP (3, 0, n)
               SUBSTITUTE:
          end
```

```
comment supplementary translating procedures:
          Boolexp;
procedure
         begin integer n:
                n:= identifier; MACRO2 (TBV, n)
         end Boolexp;
          Unsigned number:
procedure
                integer n;
                n:= number; MACRO2 (TCV, n)
             Unsigned number;
          Function Designator (n); integer n;
procedure
               integer future;
          begin
                 future:= 0;
                MACRO2 (FDES, n);
                if last symbol = open then begin MACRO2 (JU, future); MACRO (ENTER):
                                                    next symbol; Arithexp;
                                                    MACRO (RET); SUBSTITUTE (future);
                                                    if last symbol = close then next symbol
                                                    else ERRORMESSAGE (FD1)
                                             end
              Function Designator;
        procedure number:
integer
         begin number:= pointer; list[pointer]:= last symbol; pointer:= pointer + 1;
                 next symbol; if digit last symbol then number
              number;
          end
        procedure identifier;
integer
         begin identifier:= pointer; list[pointer]:= last symbol; pointer:= pointer + 1;
                 next symbol; if letter last symbol V digit last symbol then identifier
              identifier:
          end
comment informative procedures;
Boolean procedure arithmetic (n); integer n; arithmetic:= true;
                                                subscrvar:= last symbol = sub;
Boolean procedure subscrvar (n); integer n;
                                                function:= last symbol = open;
Boolean procedure function (n); integer n;
                                                formal:= false;
Boolean procedure formal (n); integer n;
procedure Arithexp; < body of Arithexp >;
procedure Simple Arithexp; < body of Simple Arithexp > ;
procedure Next Term; < body of Next Term > ;
procedure Term; < body of Term >;
procedure Next Factor; < body of Next Factor >;
procedure Factor; < body of Factor >;
procedure Next Primary; < body of Next Primary > :
procedure Primary; < body of Primary > ;
procedure Arithname (n); integer n; < body of Arithname >;
procedure Subscrvar (n); integer n; < body of Subscrvar >;
procedure Subscript list: < body of Subscript list >:
```

```
Main Program:
          Initialization; stop; stock:= empty; case:= lower case;
                                                                                                         TAV (a)
          next symbol;
                                                                                                         STACK
Expression:
                                                                                                  2
                                                                                                         TAV (b)
          pointer:= macrocounter:= 0:
                                                                                                  3
                                                                                                         MUL
          Arithexp;
                                                                                                         STACK
                                                                                                  4
             last symbol \ $\ \text{semicolon then begin}
                                                 ERRORMESSAGE (MP1);
                                                                                                         TAV (c)
                                                                                                   5
                                                     symbol:= next symbol
                                                                                                   6
                                                                                                         ADD
                                                 while symbol * semicolon do
                                                                                                         TAV (a)
                                                                                                  0
                                         end;
          PUNLCR; PUNLCR;
                                                                                                         STACK
              next symbol = stopcode
                                                                                                         TAV (b)
                                                                                                   2
                                    then
                                           ERRORMESSAGE (STOP)
                                                                                                         STACK
                                                                                                  3
                                     else goto Expression
                                                                                                         TAV (c)
 end
                                                                                                   4
                                                                                                   5
                                                                                                         ADD
                                                                                                         MUL
                                                                                                   6
 Appendix B
                                                                                                         TAV (a)
                                                                                                   0
                                                                                                         STACK
                     testcases for R989/Arithexp. Input tape 5-8-1964:
                                                                                                   2
                                                                                                         TAV (b)
 comment
                                                                                                         STACK
                                                                                                   3
 +5;
                                                                                                         TAV (c)
                                                                                                   4
 -123:
                                                                                                         MUL
                                                                                                   6
                                                                                                         ADD
 a \times b \times c;
                                                                                                         TAV (a)
 a \times b + c;
                                                                                                         STACK
 a \times (b + c);
                                                                                                         TAV (b)
                                                                                                         STACK
 a+b×c:
                                                                                                         TAV (c)
                                                                                                   4
 +a+(b\times c);
                                                                                                   5
                                                                                                         MUL
                                                                                                   6
                                                                                                          ADD
  -a+b\times(c-d+e/f);
                                                                                                         TAV (a)
  A[i, M[5]] + (-3);
                                                                                                          NEG
  a \times sin(omega \times t);
                                                                                                          STACK
                                                                                                          TAV (b)
                                                                                                   3
 if first then 0 else if last then A[A[0]] else A[0];
                                                                                                          STACK
  \ln(abs(n \times (n-1)/2)) + (if pos then sqrt(x) else sqrt(-x));
                                                                                                         TAV (c)
                                                                                                          STACK
  A[(-(-13), 15] - i \times (i + 1);
                                                                                                          TAV (d)
  - A[if bool then k, i, (j)];
                                                                                                          STACK
                                                                                                         TAV (e)
  if operator then A[symbol else symbol;
                                                                                                  10
                                                                                                          TTP
  at - bxc+if bool then x else y;
                                                                                                  11
                                                                                                          STACK
                                                                                                  12
                                                                                                          TAV (f)
                                                                                                  13
                                                                                                          DIV
                                                                                                  14
                                                                                                          SUB
                                                                                                  15
                                                                                                          MUL
                                                                                                  16
                                                                                                          ADD
  testcases for R989/Arithexp. Output tape 5-8-1964.
                                                                                                          TAV (i)
           TCV (5)
     0
                                                                                                          STACK
           TCV (123)
     0
                                                                                                          TCV (5)
           NEG
                                                                                                          STACK
                                                                                                          TSAV (M)
           TAV (a)
     0
                                                                                                          STACK
           STACK
                                                                                                          TSAV (A)
                                                                                                    6
     2
           TAV (b)
                                                                                                          STACK
     3
           MUL
                                                                                                    8
                                                                                                          TCV (3)
           STACK
     4
                                                                                                          NEG
     5
           TAV (c)
                                                                                                  10
                                                                                                          TTP
     6
           MUL
```

```
TAV (a)
STACK
FDES (sin)
JU ( 0 )
ENTER
TAV (omega)
STACK
TAV (t)
MUL
                     substitute 10 in addresspart of macro 3
RET
MUL
TBV (first)
COJU (0)
TCV (0)
                     substitute 4 in addresspart of macro 1
JU ( 0 )
TBV (last)
COJU (0)
TCV (0)
STACK
TSAV (A)
STACK
TSAV (A)
                      substitute 12 in addresspart of macro 5
JU ( 0 )
TCV (0)
STACK
                     substitute 15 in addresspart of macro 11
                                                            substitute 15 in addresspart of macro 3
TSAV (A)
FDES (ln)
JU ( 0 )
ENTER
FDES (abs)
JU ( 0 )
ENTER
TAV (n)
STACK
TAV (n)
STACK
TCV (1)
SUB
MUL
STACK
TCV (2)
DIV
                 substitute 17 in addresspart of macro 4
RET
                 substitute 18 in addresspart of macro 1
RET
STACK
TBV (pos)
COJU ( 0 )
FDES (sqrt)
JU ( 0 )
ENTER
TAV(x)
                 substitute 26 in addresspart of macro 22
RET
                      substitute 27 in addresspart of macro 20
JU ( 0 )
FDES (sqrt)
JU ( 0 )
ENTER
TAV(x)
NEG
                                                        substitute 33 in addresspart of macro 26
                 substitute 33 in addresspart of macro 28
RET
ADD
```

1 NEG 2 NEG 2 NEG 3 STACK 6 FRROR P1 3 STACK 4 TCV (15) 4 TSAV (A) 5 STACK 5 TJU (0) 6 TSAV (A) 6 TAV (symbol) 7 STACK 8 TAV (i) 9 STACK 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 TAV (b) 13 ADD 14 TAV (b) 15 SUB 6 TAV (c) 16 TAV (c) 17 STACK 18 TAV (b) 19 STACK 19 STACK 10 TAV (b) 11 STACK 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 TAV (b) 15 SUB 6 TAV (c) 7 MUL 15 SUB 7 MUL 8 SUB 17 COJU (0) 9 STACK 18 STACK 19 STACK 10 TAV (b) 11 STACK 11 TAV (c) 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 TAV (b) 15 SUB 17 MUL 18 SUB 19 STACK 19 STACK 10 TAV (c) 11 STACK 11 TAV (b) 11 STACK 12 TOJU (0) 9 STACK 13 STACK 14 TAV (b) 15 SUB 16 TAV (c) 17 MUL 18 SUB 19 STACK 10 ADD 11 COJU (0) 9 STACK 11 COJU (0) 9 STACK 12 TAV (b) 13 STACK 14 TAV (b) 15 STACK 15 SUB 16 TAV (c) 17 MUL 18 SUB 19 STACK 10 ADD 11 COJU (0) 9 STACK 11 COJU (0) 9 STACK 12 TAV (b) 13 STACK 14 TAV (1) 15 STACK 15 STACK 16 FROR P3 17 STACK 17 STACK 18 TSAV (A) 19 NEG (Eingegangen am 7, 9, 1964)	0	TCV (13)	0	TBV (operator)			
2 NEG	1	NEG	1	_			
## STACK STA	2	NEG	2	TAV (symbol)			
4 TCV (15) 4 TSAV (A) 5 STACK 5 JU (0) substitute 6 in addresspart of macro 1 6 TSAV (A) 6 TAV (symbol) substitute 7 in addresspart of macro 5 7 STACK 0 TAV (a) 8 TAV (i) 1 STACK 10 TAV (i) 2 TTP 11 STACK 2 TTP 12 TCV (1) 4 TAV (b) 13 ADD 5 STACK 14 MUL 5 STACK 15 SUB 6 TAV (c) 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	ERROR	P1	3				
5 STACK 6 TSAV (A) 6 TSAV (A) 7 STACK 8 TAV (1) 9 STACK 9 STACK 10 TAV (a) 1 STACK 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 2 TAV (b) 2 TAV (c) 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK ERROR P3 ERROR P3 ERROR P3 STACK 12 TOV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 1 STACK 2 STACK 3 STACK 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 5 STACK 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A) 8 TSAV (A)	3	STACK	ERROR	SV1			
5 STACK 6 TSAV (A) 6 TSAV (Symbol) 7 STACK 8 TAV (i) 9 STACK 10 TAV (i) 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 1 STACK 1 TAV (c) 2 TTP 1 TAV (c) 1 STACK 1 TAV (b) 1 STACK 1 TAV (c) 1 STACK 1 TAV (c) 1 STACK 1 TAV (c) 1 TAV	4	TCV (15)	4	TSAV (A)			
6 TSAV (A) 6 TAV (symbol) substitute 7 in addresspart of macro 5 7 STACK 8 TAV (i) 1 STACK 9 STACK 10 TAV (i) 2 TTP 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 4 TAV (b) 13 ADD 5 STACK 15 SUB 6 TAV (c) 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A) 9 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	5	STACK	5	• •	substitute	6 in addresspart of macro	1
7 STACK 8 TAV (i) 9 STACK 10 TAV (i) 11 STACK 11 STACK 12 TTP 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 TAV (b) 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 1 SSUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK ERROR P3 ERROR STACK ERROR P3 ERROR STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP	6	TSAV (A)	6	TAV (symbol)			
9 STACK 10 TAV (i) 11 STACK 2 TTP 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 16 TAV (c) 17 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 1 COJU (0) 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR P3 8 SYACK 1 TAV (b) 1 TAV (b) 1 TAV (c) 1 STACK 2 STACK 3 STACK 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAC (A)	7	STACK					
9 STACK 10 TAV (i) 11 STACK 2 TTP 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 4 TAV (b) 14 MUL 5 STACK 15 SUB 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP	8	TAV (i)					
10 TAV (1) 11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 2 TTP 4 TAV (b) 5 STACK 6 TAV (c) 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	9	STACK					
11 STACK 12 TCV (1) 13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 2 TAV (k) ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	10	TAV (i)					
12	11						
13 ADD 14 MUL 15 SUB 1 TAV (b) 15 SUB 1 COJU (0) 2 TAV (k) ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK 4 TAV (c) 7 MUL ERROR P3 ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR MP1 5 STACK ERROR STOP	12	TCV (1)	3				
14 MUL 15 SUB 6 TAV (c) 7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	13		4	TAV (b)			
7 MUL 0 TBV (bool) 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	14	MUL	5	STACK			
0 TBV (bool) 8 SUB 1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	15	SUB	6	TAV (c)			
1 COJU (0) 9 STACK 2 TAV (k) ERROR P3 ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)			7	MUL			
2 TAV (k) ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	0		8	SUB			
ERROR AE2 10 ADD 3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	1		9	STACK			
3 STACK ERROR MP1 4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)		TAV (k)	ERROR	P3			
4 TAV (i) 5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	ERROR	AE2	10	ADD			
5 STACK ERROR STOP 6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	3	STACK	ERROR	MP1	•		
6 TAV (j) 7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	4	TAV (i)					
7 STACK 8 TSAV (A)	5	STACK	ERROR	STOP			
8 TSAV (A)	6	TAV (j)				s ·	
	7	STACK					
9 NEG - (Eingegangen am 7.9.1964)	8	· · ·					
	9	NEG -				(Eingegangen am 7.9.196	3 4)

FORTRAN

D. Sayre, New York/USA 1)

Summary: The development of FORTRAN since its initial appearance is reviewed, especially its growth from an algorithmic to a programming language. Impending developments are indicated.

Zusammenfassung: Die Entwicklung von FORTRAN seit den ersten Anfängen wird besprochen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Wandlung von einer algorithmischen zu einer Programmierungssprache. Bevorstehende Veränderungen werden angedeutet.

It is now 71/2 years since the first FORTRAN system was issued. The developments which have taken place in FORTRAN itself since that time are of course only a part of the development which has occurred in the field as a whole. Nevertheless and it is with them that this paper will be concerned. In these subsequent developments, incidentally, I have had no direct part. I write about them therefore as an interested, but disinterested, onlooker.

What gives these developments their particular interest is the relation of FORTRAN to practical computation. Every programming language and every language processor is

1) IBM Research Laboratory, Yorktown Heights, New York, U.S.A.

inevitably a compromise among factors too numerous and in some cases too ill-defined to mention here. The considerations which should affect the compromise can never be fully known to us, and moreover they are continually subject to the changes they are of considerable interest, as I shall explain in a moment, that occur in applications, hardware, and computing practice generally. With FORTRAN, probably more than with the other programming languages because its use has been so great and because its users have been so effective in making their experience count in its evolution, the developments reflect in a most interesting way the actual and changing requirements of computation.

> The effects of this relation to practice can be noticed in many areas. One could write, for example, a whole article on the development of the method for distributing, maintaining, and