stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING INFORMATICA (DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE)

IW 184/81 DECEMBER

P.R.J. ASVELD & J. ENGELFRIET

A NOTE ON NON-GENERATORS OF FULL AFL'S

Preprint

kruislaan 413 1098 SJ amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 413 Kruislaan, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a nonprofit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.).

1980 Mathematics subject classification: 68F05

ACM-Computing Reviews-categories: 5.23

A note on non-generators of full AFL's *)

by

Peter R.J. Asveld & Joost Engelfriet **)

ABSTRACT

We compare two definitions of non-generator for full AFL's, leading to two sets of non-generators for each full AFL K. The main result gives a necessary and sufficient condition on K such that these sets coincide.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: full AFL (full Abstract Family of Languages), nongenerator, splitting full AFL, full principal AFL

^{*)} This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

 ^{**)} Department of Applied Mathematics, Twente University of Technology,
P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

A main tool in studying full Abstract Families of Languages (or full AFL's) which are included in a given full AFL K, is the notion of *non-generator*; cf.[5] and Section 6.6 of [3]. In these two references - to which we also refer for all unexplained notation and terminology in this note - the set $\hat{N}_{\sigma}(K)$ of non-generators of K is defined by

$$\hat{N}_{g}(K) = \{L \in K \mid \hat{F}(L) \neq K\}.$$

As usual, for each set X of languages, $\hat{F}(X)$ is the smallest full AFL which includes X. In case X equals {L} we write $\hat{F}(L)$ instead of $\hat{F}(\{L\})$. Note that, if L ϵ $\hat{F}(X)$ then there exists a finite subset X_f of X such that L ϵ $\hat{F}(X_r)$.

It is easy to see that $\hat{N}_{g}(K) = \bigcup \{K_{1} \mid K_{1} \subset K, K_{1} \text{ is a full AFL}\}$, and that K is full principal if and only if $\hat{N}_{g}(K) \subset K$ (We use " \subset " to denote proper inclusion).

The concept of non-generator originally occurs in algebra where it is defined in a different way; cf. e.g. [6,1]. This definition of the set $\widehat{N}(K)$ of non-generators of K reads in AFL-notation as

$$\widehat{N}(K) = \{L \in K \mid \text{for each subset X of } K:$$

if $\widehat{F}(X \cup \{L\}) = K$, then $\widehat{F}(X) = K\}$

The aim of this note is to investigate the relation between $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ and $\hat{N}(K)$ for a given full AFL K.

Notice that these sets differ for the smallest full AFL REG (i.e., the family of regular languages): \hat{N}_g (REG) = Ø, whereas \hat{N} (REG) = REG, since each subset of REG generates REG.

We first consider some elementary properties of $\hat{N}(K)$ and $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ for a nonregular full AFL K, i.e., a full AFL K satisfying REG \subset K.

PROPOSITION 1. Let K be a nonregular full AFL. Then (1) $\hat{N}(K) \subseteq \hat{N}_{g}(K)$, (2) $\hat{N}(K)$ is a full AFL, (3) $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ is a full trio closed under Kleene *. 1

<u>PROOF</u>. (1) Let L be in $\hat{N}(K)$. Then for X = \emptyset we have that $\hat{F}(L) = K$ implies $\hat{F}(\emptyset) = K$. But $\hat{F}(\emptyset) = \text{REG}$ which contradicts the assumption that REG $\subset K$. Hence $\hat{F}(L) \neq K$, i.e., $L \in \hat{N}_{g}(K)$.

(2) The statement is a direct application of Corollary 3.4.2 from [6] to full AFL's. For the sake of completeness we repeat the proof (translated into AFL-terminology).

Let f be any of the full AFL-operations. And let L_1, \ldots, L_n be in $\hat{N}(K)$, where n is the arity of f, i.e., either n = 1 (Kleene *, homomorphism, inverse homomorphism, intersection with regular set) or n = 2 (union, concatenation). If X is a subset of K such that X \cup {f(L₁,...,L_n)} generates K, then X \cup {L₁,...,L_n} also generates K(n≤2). Since L₂ $\in \hat{N}(K)$, we have K = $\hat{F}(X\cup\{L_1\})$. And similarly, K = $\hat{F}(X)$ as L₁ $\in \hat{N}(K)$. Hence f(L₁,...,L_n) is in $\hat{N}(K)$.

(3) The fact that K is nonregular implies that $\operatorname{REG} \subseteq \widehat{N}_g(K)$. So it remains to show that $\widehat{N}_g(K)$ is closed under the unary operations homomorphism, inverse homomorphism, intersection with regular sets, and Kleene *. Let f be any of these operations, and let L be in $\widehat{N}_g(K)$. Suppose $\widehat{F}(f(L)) = K$. Then we have $\widehat{F}(L) = K$ which contradicts the fact that $L \in \widehat{N}_g(K)$. Hence $\widehat{F}(f(L)) \neq K$, i.e. $f(L) \in \widehat{N}_g(K)$. \Box

The cases in which $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ is a full AFL for a given nonregular full principal AFL K, have been characterized by GREIBACH in [5] (cf. also Corollary 4 below) from which we also quote the following definition.

A full AFL K splits if there exist incomparable full AFL's K₁ and K₂ such that K = $\hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$.

We call such a pair (K_1, K_2) a *split* of K. We say that a split (K_1, K_2) of K is *principal* if either K_1 or K_2 is a full principal AFL. Thus a split (K_1, K_2) is *nonprincipal* if K_1 and K_2 are both not full principal.

We are now ready for the main result of this note.

<u>THEOREM 2</u>. Let K be a nonregular full AFL. Then $\hat{N}_{g}(K) = \hat{N}(K)$ if and only if each split of K is nonprincipal.

<u>PROOF</u>. Assume that $\hat{N}_{g}(K) = \hat{N}(K)$. Let (K_{1}, K_{2}) be a split of K, i.e. $K = \hat{F}(K_{1} \cup K_{2})$ with $K_{1} \subset K$, $K_{2} \subset K$ where K_{1} and K_{2} are incomparable full AFL's. Suppose K_{1} is principal: $K_{1} = \hat{F}(L_{1})$ for some L_{1} in K_{1} . Then 2

 $L_1 \in \hat{N}_g(K)$, as $K_1 \subset K$. Due to the assumption, $L_1 \in \hat{N}(K)$, i.e., for each subset X of K, $\hat{F}(X \cup \{L_1\}) = K$ implies $\hat{F}(X) = K$. Take X equal to K_2 . Then $\hat{F}(K_2 \cup \{L_1\}) = \hat{F}(K_2 \cup \hat{F}(L_1)) = K$ implies $\hat{F}(K_2) = K_2 = K$. But $K_2 \subset K$. Therefore K_1 (and symmetrically, K_2) is not principal. Hence (K_1, K_2) is a nonprincipal split.

Conversely, assume that each split of K is nonprincipal. By Proposition 1(1) we have $\hat{N}(K) \subseteq \hat{N}_{g}(K)$. In order to show the reverse inclusion, let L be in $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$: $\hat{F}(L) \subset K$.

If X is a subset of K such that $\hat{F}(X\cup\{L\}) = K$, then either $\hat{F}(X) \subset K$ or $\hat{F}(X) = K$. Suppose $\hat{F}(X) \subset K$, then $\hat{F}(X)$ and $\hat{F}(L)$ must be comparable (Otherwise K splits into $\hat{F}(X)$ and $\hat{F}(L)$, viz. $K = \hat{F}(X\cup\{L\}) = \hat{F}(\hat{F}(X)\cup\hat{F}(L))$, and hence K possesses a principal split). I.e., either $\hat{F}(X) \subseteq \hat{F}(L) \subset K$, or $\hat{F}(L) \subseteq \hat{F}(X) \subset K$. However, both alternatives contradict the fact that $\hat{F}(X\cup\{L\}) = K$. Therefore $\hat{F}(X) = K$, i.e., $L \in \hat{N}(K)$, and $\hat{N}_{\rho}(K) \subseteq \hat{N}(K)$. \Box

We now consider the case in which K is a full principal AFL. The next lemma has already been proved in Theorem 3.1 of [5]. However, for completeness' sake we give a direct proof.

LEMMA 3. Let K be a nonregular full principal AFL. Then each split of K is nonprincipal if and only if K does not split.

PROOF. The "if" part is obvious.

To prove the "only if" part, assume that each split of K is nonprincipal. Suppose K splits into K_1 and K_2 . Since K is full principal there is a language L in K such that $\hat{F}(L) = K$. Then there exist finite sets $X_1 \subset K_1$ (i = 1,2) such that $L \in \hat{F}(X_1 \cup X_2)$ and so $K = \hat{F}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. Since $\hat{F}(X_1) \subseteq K_1$, K splits into $\hat{F}(X_1)$ and $\hat{F}(X_2)$ which are (even) both principal.

This contradicts the assumption that each split of K is nonprincipal. Therefore K does not split. $\hfill\square$

The following corollary extends Theorem 3.1 of [5].

<u>COROLLARY 4</u>. Let K be a nonregular full principal AFL. Then the following propositions are equivalent.

(1) $\hat{N}_{g}(K) = \hat{N}(K)$.

- (2) $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ is a full AFL. (3) $\hat{N}_{g}(K)$ is closed under union.
- (4) K does not split.
- (5) $\hat{N}_{\sigma}(K)$ is the largest full AFL which is properly included in K.

PROOF. By Proposition 1, (1) implies (2), and (2) and (3) are equivalent. It is easy to see that (2) implies (5). Obviously, (4) follows from (5). Finally, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, (4) implies (1).

EXAMPLES. We show that there exist full AFL's K satisfying

- (1) $\hat{N}(K) \subset \hat{N}(K) \subset K$, (2) $\hat{N}(K) \subset \hat{N}^{g}(K) = K$, (3) $\hat{N}(K) = \hat{N}^{g}(K) \subset K$, (4) $\hat{N}(K) = \hat{N}^{g}(K) = K$ and K does not split, and (5) $\hat{N}(K) = \hat{N}^{g}(K) = K$ and K splits.

The latter example shows that in Theorem 2 the condition that each split of K is nonprincipal cannot be replaced by the condition that K does not split (as can be done for full principal AFL's; cf. Corollary 4).

We first note (see [2] VII. 4,5 and VIII. 7) that there exist two incomparable full principal AFL's Ocl and $\hat{F}(\text{Lin})$, where Ocl is the family of one-counter languages and Lin the family of linear languages, such that their substitution-closures Fcl and Qrt are incomparable nonprincipal full substitution-closed AFL's (And even $\hat{F}(\text{Lin})$ and Fcl are incomparable, and so are Qrt and Ocl).

We also recall the fact that every full substitution-closed AFL K is fully prime (Theorem 2.3 of [4]), i.e., if $K \subseteq \hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$ then $K \subseteq K_1$ or $K \subseteq K_2$ (where K_1 and K_2 are arbitrary full AFL's). Clearly, if K is fully prime then K does not split.

(1). Take two incomparable full principal AFL's $\hat{F}(L_1)$ and $\hat{F}(L_2)$, and consider K = $\hat{F}(\{L_1, L_2\}) = \hat{F}(\hat{F}(L_1) \cup \hat{F}(L_2))$. Clearly, K splits and is full principal. Hence, $\hat{N}(K) \subset \hat{N}_{g}(K) \subset K$ by Corollary 4.

(2). Take two incomparable full AFL's K_1 and K_2 , such that K_1 is nonprincipal and substitution-closed, and K_2 is full principal. Consider $K = \hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$. Since K has a principal split, $\hat{N}(K) \subset \hat{N}_g(K)$ by Theorem 2. To show that $\hat{N}_{g}(K) = K$, assume that K is principal, i.e., $\hat{F}(K_{1} \cup K_{2}) = \hat{F}(L)$.

Then there exist finite sets $X_i \subseteq K_i$ such that $\hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2) = \hat{F}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. Since K_1 is fully prime, $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X_1)$ or $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X_2)$. But this implies that K_1 is principal or $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, which is a contradiction.

(3) and (4). Each full substitution-closed AFL K is fully prime and hence does not split. So, by Theorem 2, $\hat{N}(K) = \hat{N}_{g}(K)$. Consider, e.g., the family of context-free languages and Qrt, respectively.

(5). Take two incomparable nonprincipal full substitution-closed AFL's K_1 and K_2 , and consider $K = \hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$. Thus K has a (nonprincipal) split. To show that $\hat{N}(K) = K$, take an arbitrary L ϵ K and X \subseteq K such that $\hat{F}(X \cup \{L\}) = K$. Since both K_1 and K_2 are fully prime, it follows that $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X)$ or $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(L)$. It now suffices to show that K_1 is not included in $\hat{F}(L)$: then $K_1 \cup K_2 \subseteq \hat{F}(X)$ and hence $K = \hat{F}(X)$, so L $\epsilon \ \hat{N}(K)$. Suppose that $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(L)$ (The proof for K_2 is similar). Since L $\epsilon \ \hat{F}(K_1 \cup K_2)$ there exist finite sets $X_1 \subseteq K_1$ such that L $\epsilon \ \hat{F}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. Hence $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. As K_1 is fully prime, $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X_1)$ or $K_1 \subseteq \hat{F}(X_2)$. Therefore K_1 is principal or $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, which is a contradiction. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] ASVELD, P.R.J., Iterated Context-Independent Rewriting An Algebraic Approach to Families of Languages, Doctoral Dissertation (1978), Twente University of Technology, Enschede, The Netherlands.
- [2] BERSTEL, J., Transductions and Context-Free Languages (1979), Teubner Studienbücher Informatik, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart.
- [3] GINSBURG, S., Algebraic and Automata-Theoretic Properties of Formal Languages (1975), North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [4] GINSBURG, S. & E.H. SPANIER, On incomparable abstract family of languages (AFL), J. Comput. System Sci. 9 (1974) 88-108.
- [5] GREIBACH, S.A., Syntactic operators on full semi-AFL's, J. Comput. System Sci. 6 (1972) 30-76.
- [6] JÓNSSON, B., Topics in Universal Algebra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 250 (1972), Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York.

ONTVANGEN 2 6 JAN. 1982