stichting

mathematisch

centrum

AFDELING NUMERIEKE WISKUNDE NW 31/76 (DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS)

Ś

JULI

J.G. VERWER

MULTIPOINT MULTISTEP RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS II: THE CONSTRUCTION OF A CLASS OF STABILIZED THREE-STEP METHODS FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a nonprofit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

AMS(MOS) subject classification scheme (1970): 65L05, M10, M20

Multipoint multistep runge-Kutta methods II: the construction of a class of stabilized three-step methods for parabolic equations

by

J.G. Verwer

ABSTRACT

A class of multipoint three-step Runge-Kutta methods is discussed for the numerical solution of initial value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations y' = f(y). These systems are supposed to originate from parabolic partial differential equations by applying the method of semi-discretization. In this report the discussion is concentrated on the construction of stabilized formulas of first and second order. For this construction a technique is discussed which makes use of the method of linear programming.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: Numerical analysis, Multipoint multistep Runge-Kutta method, Parabolic partial differential equations, Method of lines, Stability. -

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	2
3. A SOLUTION TECHNIQUE	4
REFERENCES	11
APPENDIX	A1



1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$(1.1)$$
 y' = f(y)

represent a system of ordinary differential equations of which the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are situated in a long narrow strip around the negative axis. Systems of this type arise by applying the method of semi-discretization to parabolic partial differential equations.

In the present report we investigate the stability of a class of mpoint three step Runge-Kutta methods when applied to such parabolic systems. This class of integration methods is defined by the scheme:

$$y_{n+1}^{(0)} = y_n,$$

$$y_{n+1}^{(1)} = (1-b_1)y_n + b_1y_{n-1} + c_1hf(y_{n-1}) + \lambda_{1,0}hf(y_n),$$
(1.2)
$$y_{n+1}^{(j)} = (1-b_j)y_n + b_jy_{n-1} + c_jhf(y_{n-1}) + \lambda_{j,0}hf(y_n) + \lambda_{j,j-1}hf(y_{n+1}^{(j-1)}), \quad j = 2,...,m; m \ge 2,$$

$$y_{n+1} = dy_{n+1}^{(m)} + (1-d)y_{n-2},$$

where y_{n+1} denotes a numerical approximation to the analytical solution y(x) at $x = x_{n+1} = x_n + h$.

If d is set equal to one, method (1.2) is reduced to a two-step method. This two-step method is extensively discussed in VERWER [5], where a class of second order methods was constructed with a real boundary of absolute stability of 1.8 m^2 . Unfortunately, those two-step methods are rather inaccurate when compared with stabilized one-step methods as discussed by VAN DER HOUWEN [4]. This inaccuracy is due to the fact that the normalized error-constants are chosen too large.

The purpose of the simple extension of the two-step to a three-step method is thus to develop formulas which have a similar accuracy behaviour

1

as possessed by the one-step formulas, without loss of the large stability boundaries. To that end we shall require that the normalization factor for the error-constants is equal to one, i.e. we always assume (see VERWER [5])

(1.3)
$$b_m = \frac{2(d-1)}{d}$$
.

The stability of method (1.2) is investigated for real eigenvalues, say δ , of the Jacobian of (1.1). For these eigenvalues the following stability problem is discussed: determine the parameters of the scheme in such a way that

max amplification factor
$$\leq \rho(h\delta)$$
, $h\delta \in [-\beta,0]$, β maximal,

where ρ is a prescribed function with the properties $\rho(0) = 1$ and $0 < \rho(z) < 1$ for z < 0. The function ρ may be considered as a damping function for the higher harmonics. Moreover, if the ρ -values are not too close to one, the absolute stability region of a method will contain a long narrow strip along the negative axis.

The stability problem is stated in section 2 for first and second order methods. Higher order methods are less popular in the integration of partial differential equations. A technique to determine an almost optimal solution to the optimization problem stated above is discussed in section 3. This technique makes use of the method of linear programming. Results are given in the appendix for $m \leq 12$.

The numerical calculations have been carried out on a CYBER 73-28 computer using 14 significant digits.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Let us apply method (1.2) to the model-equation

(2.1) $y' = \delta y, \delta < 0.$

This yields the relation

2

(2.2)
$$y_{n+1} = d[S(z)y_n + P(z)y_{n-1}] + (1-d)y_{n-2},$$

where S(z) and P(z) are polynomials of degree m in $z = h\delta$. We denote

(2.3)
$$S(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} s_i z^i, P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i z^i.$$

The coefficients s, and p, are dependent on the parameters of the scheme (see VERWER [5]); in particular

$$p_0 = b_m, s_0 = 1 - b_m.$$

By substituting the second order Padé-approximation

(2.4)
$$1 + z + \frac{1}{2} z^2$$

to exp(z) into the characteristic equation

(2.5)
$$\alpha^3 - dS(z)\alpha^2 - dP(z)\alpha - 1 + d = 0,$$

we are able to express the consistency conditions for orders p = 1 and 2 into the parameter d and the coefficients s; and p; (see table 2.1).

$$s_{0} + p_{0} = 1,$$

$$p = 1 \qquad s_{1} - p_{0} + p_{1} = (3-2d)/d;$$

$$p = 2 \qquad s_{2} + \frac{1}{2} p_{0} - p_{1} + p_{2} = (-3/2 + 2d)/d;$$

TABLE 2.1. Consistency conditions

Let $\alpha_i(z)$, i = 1,2,3, denote the roots of equation (2.5). The stability problem we intend to solve then reads:

<u>PROBLEM</u>. Let ρ : $(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\rho(0) = 1$ be given. Let $p_0 = 2(d-1)/d$. Determine the coefficients s_i and p_i , $i = 0, \dots, m$ and the parameter d, in 4

such a way that

$$\max_{i=1,2,3} |\alpha_i(z)| \leq \rho(z), z \in [-\beta,0], \beta \text{ maximal,}$$

where it is assumed that p = 1 or p = 2.

3. A SOLUTION TECHNIQUE

Define $\alpha = \rho \xi$ and substitute into equation (2.5). This yields a cubic equation in ξ :

(3.1)
$$\rho^{3}\xi^{3} - dS\rho^{2}\xi^{3} - dP\rho\xi - (1-d) = 0.$$

Let

(3.2)
$$\xi = \frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}$$
,

which maps the interior of the unit circle $|\xi| = 1$ into the half-plane Re(n) < 0. Substitution of (3.2) into (3.1) yields a cubic equation in n:

(3.3)
$$a_0\eta^3 + a_1\eta^2 + a_2\eta + a_3 = 0,$$

where

(3.4)

$$a_{0} = \rho^{3} + dS\rho^{2} - dP\rho + 1 - d,$$

$$a_{1} = 3\rho^{3} + dS\rho^{2} + dP\rho - 3(1-d),$$

$$a_{2} = 3\rho^{3} - dS\rho^{2} + dP\rho + 3(1-d),$$

$$a_{3} = \rho^{3} - dS\rho^{2} - dP\rho - (1-d).$$

Sufficient conditions for the roots of (3.1) to lie inside or on the unit circle can be obtained by applying the Routh-Hurwitz criterion to (3.3) (see LAMBERT [3]). These conditions read:

(3.5)
$$a_i \ge 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 3; \quad a_1 a_2 - a_0 a_3 \ge 0.$$

Observe that without the equality signs conditions (3.5) are necessary for the roots of (3.1) to lie inside the unit circle.

In terms of S, P, d and ρ conditions (3.5) give:

$$\rho S - P \ge \frac{d - 1 - \rho^3}{d\rho}$$

$$\rho S + P \ge \frac{3(1-d) - 3\rho^3}{d\rho}$$

$$(3.7) -\rho S + P \ge \frac{3(d-1) - 3\rho^3}{d\rho}$$

$$-\rho S - P \ge \frac{1 - d - \rho^3}{d\rho}$$

$$\frac{1 - d}{\rho^2} S + P \ge \frac{(1-d)^2 - \rho^6}{d\rho^4}.$$

The problem stated in section 2 thus reads:

Let the function ρ be given and let $p_0 = 2(d-1)/d$. Determine the parameter d and the coefficients s_i and p_i, compatible to an imposed order of accuracy, in such a way that (3.7) is satisfied for $z \in [-\beta, 0]$, β maximal.

The idea is now to discretize the variable z on an interval $[-\overline{\beta},0]$, i.e. we define the points $z_j = -j\Delta z$, $\Delta z = \overline{\beta}/N$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, N prescribed, and to replace the five conditions (3.7) by a set of 5N inequalities which are linear provided that the parameter d is fixed beforehand. If $\overline{\beta} \leq \beta$, a feasible solution for this linear set of inequalities must exist. Such a feasible solution is easy to determine by using a linear programming method. If $\overline{\beta} > \beta$ and N large enough, no feasible solution will exist. Summarizing, once the optimal value for d is known, β can be approximated as accurate as possible by solving a sequence of linear programming problems.

Before describing the linear programming approach in detail we first expand the polynomials S and P in Chebyshev polynomials in order to prevent numerical difficulties for higher values of m. Let $\overline{\beta}$ be given. For $z \in [-\overline{\beta}, 0]$ we expand:

(3.8)
$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \bar{p}_{k} T_{k} (1 + \frac{2z}{\bar{\beta}}), \qquad S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \bar{s}_{k} T_{k} (1 + \frac{2z}{\bar{\beta}}),$$

where $T_k(z) = \cos(\max cos z)$. Define

$$t_{ij} = \frac{d^{i}}{dz^{i}} T_{j}(z) \Big|_{z=1}.$$

According to ABRAMOWITZ & STEGUN [1] (formulas 15.1.1, 15.2.2 and 15.4.3) we have

(3.9)
$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0\\ \frac{j^2(j^2-1)\dots(j^2-(i-1)^2)}{1.3\dots(2i-1)}, & i = 1,\dots,j \end{cases}$$

By means of relation (3.9) the coefficients s_i and p_i are expressed as

(3.10)
$$p_{i} = \frac{2^{i} \sum_{\substack{k=i \\ i \mid \overline{\beta}^{i}}}^{m} \overline{p}_{k} t_{ik}}{i! \overline{\beta}^{i}} , \qquad s_{i} = \frac{2^{i} \sum_{\substack{k=i \\ k=i}}^{m} \overline{s}_{k} t_{ik}}{i! \overline{\beta}^{i}} , \qquad i = 0, \dots, m.$$

Let

(3.11)
$$T_{\ell k} = T_k \left(1 + \frac{2z_{\ell}}{\overline{\beta}}\right) .$$

Then for $z = z_{\ell}$ and $\rho_{\ell} = \rho(z_{\ell})$ conditions (3.7) read:

Because the actual calculations are performed with the coefficients \bar{s}_i and \bar{p}_i , we also have to transform the relation $p_0 = 2(d-1)/d$ as well as the consistency relations. By means of (3.10) the relation $p_0 = 2(d-1)/d$ is

6

transformed into

(3.13)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \bar{p}_{k} = 2(d-1)/d.$$

The transformed conditions of consistency are given below:

$$(3.14) \qquad p = 1 \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{m} \bar{p}_{k} + \bar{s}_{k} = 1, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left(\frac{2}{\bar{\beta}} k^{2} - 1\right) \bar{p}_{k} + \frac{2k^{2}}{\bar{\beta}} \bar{s}_{k} = \frac{3 - 2d}{d}; \\ p = 2 \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2k^{2}}{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{2k^{2}(k^{2}-1)}{3\bar{\beta}^{2}}\right) \bar{p}_{k} + \left(\frac{2k^{2}(k^{2}-1)}{3\bar{\beta}^{2}}\right) \bar{s}_{k} = \frac{2d - \frac{3}{2}}{d}.$$

Next we define the vector

(3.15)
$$\mathbf{X} = [\bar{\mathbf{p}}_0, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{p}}_m, \bar{\mathbf{s}}_0, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{s}}_m]^T,$$

and we assume that $\overline{\beta}$, d and N are fixed. Then, inequalities (3.12) for $\ell = 1, ..., N$, relations (3.13) and (3.14) constitute a linear system of inequalities of the type

$$(3.16)$$
 AX \geq C,

where A represents a (5N + 4 + 2p) * (2m + 2) matrix, and where C is a 5N + 4 + 2p-vector of righthand sides.

As already observed we are only interested in the existence or nonexistence of a feasible solution to (3.16). Thus, it is allowed to consider the following linear optimization problem:

$$(3.17) \qquad \min B^{\mathrm{T}} X$$

subject to

$$(3.18) \qquad AX \geq C,$$
$$(3.18) \qquad -\infty \leq X_{1} \leq \infty$$

where X_i denotes the i-th component of X and B represents an arbitrary 2m + 2-vector. The solution to (3.17) - (3.18) is of course a feasible solution to (3.17), provided such a solution exists. The reason for stating problem (3.17) - (3.18) will be clear from the following argument. In order to satisfy (3.7) for arguments z between points z_i it will be necessary to choose large values for N. As a consequence, the number of constraints is much greater than the number of variables. From a practical point of view it is therefore more convenient to consider problem (3.17) - (3.18) as the dual problem of the primal problem

$$(3.19) \quad \max C^{\mathrm{T}} Y$$

subject to

$$(3.20) \qquad A^{T}Y = B$$
$$Y_{i} \ge 0,$$

and to solve problem (3.19) - (3.20). The dual solution of this problem is the primal solution of problem (3.17) - (3.18), provided it exists. The time needed to solve (3.19) - (3.20) numerically is much smaller than the time needed for solving (3.17) - (3.18). The relation between both problems is given by the following theorem (see GASS [2]):

THE DUALITY THEOREM: If either the primal or the dual problem has a finite optimum solution, then the other problem has a finite optimum solution and the extremes of the linear functions are equal. If either problem has an unbounded optimum solution, then the other problem has no feasible solution.

The next step is to describe the determination of the parameter d and the boundary β . For one-step and two-step methods we have the relation

$$(3.21) \qquad \beta \simeq \mathrm{Km}^2,$$

where K is a constant, We shall assume that for our problems such a relation also holds. This assumption has been corroborated by practical experiments. Thus the idea is to determine a constant K by a numerical search program for low values of m, m = 2, 3 and 4 say, and after that to solve one linear programming problem for each m with a prescribed $\overline{\beta} = \text{Km}^2$.

The numerical search, performed for m = 2, 3 and 4, is very simple and can be described as follows: the components of the vector B has been set equal to 1. For N, the number of gridpoints, we have chosen 30 * m. Next, for a sequence of d-values, where 0 < d < 2, a sequence of linear problems (3.19) - (3.20) has been solved by performing bisection on $\overline{\beta}$ in such a way that β is estimated within a relative accuracy of 0.01. For the linear programming problems we used the IMSL-routine ZX3LP. This routine is very easy to use and is suited for our purpose as it delivers the corresponding dual solution. Moreover, it yields error messages in case no feasible or bounded solution exists. We need these messages for the bisection process.

The damping function $\rho(z)$, used in the actual calculations, is defined by

(3.22)
$$\rho(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & -1.5 \le z \le 0\\ 0.85, & z \le -1.5. \end{cases}$$

The results of the numerical search are:

(3.23)
$$p = 1$$
, $d = 1.375$, $\beta = 5.15 \times m^2$,
 $p = 2$, $d = 0.775$, $\beta = 2.29 \times m^2$.

With these values for d and β the coefficients s_i and p_i were determined for $m \leq 12$. We restricted the m-values to $m \leq 12$, for we have to take into account the internal stability behaviour of the integration method. Emanating from a machine precision of 14 or 15 digits, higher values of m cannot be accounted for (see VERWER [5]). The corresponding s_i and p_i are listed in the appendix. In the appendix we have also given a figure of the absolute stability region { $z \mid z \in \mathbb{C}$, max $|\alpha_i(z)| < 1$, i = 1, 2, 3} for some values of m and p.

The problem stated in section 2 was solved by discretizing the continuous variable z. As a consequence, the condition

$$|\alpha_{i}(z)| \leq \rho(z),$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3,$

is not necessarily satisfied for all z ϵ [- β ,0]. The true damping factor

(3.24)
$$\rho_{\max} = \max_{i=1,2,3} |\alpha_i(z)|, \quad z \in [-\beta, -1.5],$$

m	p = 1	p = 2
2	0.85	0.85
3	0.85	0.87
4	0.86	0.88
5	0.86	0.85
6	0.86	0.89
7	0.87	0.86
8	0.86	0.90
9	0.86	0.87
10	0.88	0.88
11	0.88	0.92
12	0.87	0.91

is approximately given in table 3.1. It is clear that $\rho_{max} \rightarrow 0.85$ if N $\rightarrow \infty$.

TABLE 3.1. pmax

From table 3.1 we see that ρ_{max} becomes larger with m. Thus, for higher values of m very large values of N are necessary if one applies the technique described above with an equidistant z-grid. In order to circumvent this problem we suggest for high values of m the following approach. Firstly, apply the technique using an equidistant grid with N not too large, N = 10 * m say. This yields a number of points where ρ_{max} is too large. Secondly, apply the technique using a finer grid near these points. By using a finer grid in a neighborhood of these critical points, it is possible to apply the linear programming approach for relatively high values of m.

In the near future we intend to publish numerical results of threestep methods, possessing error and stepsize control, of which the parameters are chosen as suggested in VERWER [5], section 4.

10

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the work done by Mr. F. Groen who wrote the plotprogram for the absolute stability regions.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABRAMOWITZ, M. & I.A. STEGUN, Handbook of mathematical functions, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1964.
- [2] GASS, S.I., Linear programming, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1964.
- [3] LAMBERT, J.D., Computational methods in ordinary differential equations, John Wiley & Sons, London, 1973.
- [4] VAN DER HOUWEN, P.J., Explicit Runge-Kutta formulas with increased stability boundaries, Num. Math. 20, pp.149-164.
- [5] VERWER, J.G., Multipoint multistep Runge-Kutta methods I: On a class of two-step methods for parabolic equations, Report NW 30/76, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1976.



APPENDIX

.

D	RD	EP	88	:	1	1	DI	E(31	RE	F		1		S		D	1		1		3	75)	RU	35			9	8	5	B	E	ŢΔ	•	9 E		(₽ Č	?0	6	0	E	+ ()	2
P P	0 1 2	38 99 98 38		8 9 9	5 3 1	4 ! 2 ! 5 !	5	49 74 74		1522	12	5 1 9	436	54 95 37	15 50 72	430	EEE	∳ († ! m !	0 C 0 C 0 1)		5 5 5	0 1 2) /		9	4 3 1	54 97 91	1575	436	5% 05 01	45 50 54	41 51 2	54 37 36	157	ц 6 8	58 98 38		¥ () ► () ► ()) ()) ()) 1)				
0	RD	EP	88		1	[5	EG	5	?E	E		97 20		3		ņ	86	20	1	8	3	75		RO	900 600			9	8	5	B	£ '	T A	•	63		1	, 4	16	3	5	Ę	F ()	5
0000	0 1 2 3	26 25 26 98		9 9 9	5 3 1 2		5093	457 57 51	54	5740	4926	5372	41 71 91	54 28 56	15 0 7 6	4228	E E	† () ()) ()) 1) 3			S S S	0 1 2 3	· · -		9 9 9 9	43232	54 97 26 24	5673	4 9 5 8	54 9 10 61	15 3 0 4	4392	54	5867	4604	5E 8E 9E		- 0 - 0 - 0	0					
DF	208	R	48 8		1	D	E	G	R	E	E	78	100		4	f	כ	-	ľ	1		37	75	1	RÔ	60) 600)	~			89	5	Bt	51	ά	:	46 10		ę	8	2	4	of		0	2
PPPP	0 1 2 3 4	28 28 58 88		9 9 9 9	54 32 19 38 23	1598332	4 5 4 5 4 7 1	15 17 13 10 14	46850	534 10	4 3 8 5 8	5/ 5/ 1/ 9/1	1 2 4 1 7 4 7 4	54 5 5 15	5 6 8 4 3	41 68 68 68		- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	0 0 1 3 5			S S S S	0 1 2 3 4	1			45934627	47929	56224		545349	509	45033	4 0 9 5 9	54277	45 31 38 96		+ +	000000	0 0 1 3 5					
01	20	ER	\$.		1	ŗ	DE	ĒG	;R	E	E.	1	10.r		5		D	22		ĺ	9	3	75		ŔŌ	8% 48				89	5	B	E٦	Α 1		509 ANS		9	1	2	8	81	54	- 0	3
00000	0 1 2 3 4 5	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		9 9 9	5×32(4) 3×2(4) 3×2(1)				446736	582293	402833	5 7 7 8 8		54 13 18 14 35	598991	4 9 4 3 0		+ 0 + 0 - 0 - 0	1357			555555	0 1 2 3 4 5				45 24 53 47	4 7 15 0 15	5 8 7 0 8	491125	54 79 58 58	514893		4 6 4 0 0 6	5 8 7 2 1	475978	5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	* * ***	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	001357					
OF	2DE	ार	물물		1	D	E	G	R	E	Ē	49	10 11		6	ţ)	89 80		1	а. 9	37	'5	-	70	ing Çiri			a l	35	5	88	51	۸	4 9			9	1	8'	5-	u e	. +	Ô	3
0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 2 3 4 5 6	12 00 24 00 23 25 56 65		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5207821	159 197 197 197 197	121296	5594938	4795938	5336539	4045973			4551589	5838976			00000	0013570			555555555555555555555555555555555555555	0 1 2 3 4 5 6	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2			15924 592 59 59 50	4889138	5/1 6/9/ 3/0 1/2		54556555	54902894	15 58 59 59 50 29 18	4983366	54958 519 511 14	1568 106 166	EEEEEEEE	* * * * * * * *	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0013570					

A1

-

05	2DE	R	88	1	ļ	D	ĒĠ	R	Ë	E		20 20		7	ţ	D	4		1,	, 3	57	5	Rf	1-	409 10			e 1	95	5	BF	T	Ą		300 204		ę	5	57	24	E	ŧ ()	3	
	01234567	88 28 88 26 28 88 98 98 98		53245391	42085220	59692024	49990271	42735515	59806789	40551276	5592688877		541925572	59577475	417775103181		- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	00135702		S \$ 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		01234567			0 1 9		47017716	58045240	4508547 9747 91	48056665	52282月13	1578399662	41276438	50587730				0000000	00135792					
0F	RD E	R	40) 10)	1	ţ	DE	G	R	٤	E	-	# 0		8		Ŋ			1,	, 3	7	5	R	1	an -			- 1 -	35	1	BE	T	A	1				3	ຂູ	96	E -	F ()	3	
0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	012345678	승규 않는 것은 않을 않을 했는 것은 않는 것	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	532553121	420098359	59764313753	15 94 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	461835103	522713632	427329185	544021094071740	157784179	484246202	556392676	41571 71 41 11 01		- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 1 - 1	001357925	· · · · ·	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	88 88 66 38 88 83 64 88			459 50 76 16 51 53	481937715	52888521570		452131927	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	157110110048	493340322	5418 811 310 56211				0 0 0 0 1 1	001357925					
01	RDI	ER	्र के	1		D	E	3 F	۶E	E				9	1	D	48	8	1		37	'5	R	0	200 800		×	8	83	5~	B	E٦	r A		410 600		-	, 4	1	7 2	!E	+ () 3	,
	01234567 <u>8</u> 9	원위 원원 방법: 열린 원은 원일 관람 것은 것은 문문		5325641452	4201237128	5980625591	40317752752		150376995969	4371346906	5832826966	42664123382		15 10 15 15 15 10 10 10	4458403502		+ (+ (- () - () - () - 1 - 1 - 1) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()				0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 4			4325 767 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	54	5261731218	44150457171	54 56 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	5 8 9 1 4 2 5 8 3		54174817091	5878855404		5857798995884		+0 +0 =0 =0 =0 =0 =1 =1	0013579258					

A2

ORDER	= 1 DEGREE	= 10 0 = 1,375	RO = .85 BETA = .5150E+03
Р 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	545454545 329007017 208477098 514740226 646554444 466946815 205457528 559856836 922389408 841714807 326557650	545454E+00 S 0 770523E+00 S 1 391773E=01 S 2 525718E=03 S 3 450446E=05 S 4 553560E=07 S 5 312528E=09 S 6 550846E=12 S 7 381933E=15 S 8 799272E=18 S 9 072494E=21 S10	<pre>= .4545454545454545E+00 39826570956750E+00 25287844091655E=01 62494425734623E=03 78540296938450E=05 56743151456408E=07 24973869169769E=09 68066567167935E=12 11216260688042E=14 10236802978533E=17 39720657964596E=21</pre>
ORDER	= 1 DEGREE	= 11 0 = 1,375	R0 =
P 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	545454545 329230475 209421055 521551141 666984032 497872909 231751090 692901537 133095849 158761524 107027944 311597796	545454E+00 S 0 518706E+00 S 1 514450E=01 S 2 179973E=03 S 3 229501E=05 S 4 271999E=07 S 5 73032E=09 S 6 72379E=12 S 7 271259E=14 S 8 176718E=17 S 9 109632E=20 S10 544428E=24 S11	<pre>= .4545454545454545E+00 = .39804225208567E+00 = .25341708058167E=01 = .63151501325496E=03 = .80807554649258E=05 = .60354664402269E=07 = .28111642092611E=09 = .84105334638657E=12 = .16167280397671E=14 = .19299941789907E=17 = .13021732956413E=20 = .37944453664700E=24</pre>
ORDER	=] DEGREE	= 12 D = 1,375	RO = ,85 BETA = ,7416E+03
P 0 = P 1 = P 2 = P 3 = P 4 = P 4 = P 5 = P 6 = P 7 = P 8 = P 10 = P 10 = P 10 = P 10 = P 1 = P 2 = P 3 =	545454545 525888246 209305640 523990483 678653189 513922633 251607627 803193490 171055945 240632583 214678889 110027395 246722335	545454E+00 S 0 522955E+00 S 1 082556E=01 S 2 369937E=03 S 3 951772E=05 S 4 386503E=07 S 5 750795E=09 S 6 035922E=12 S 7 522426E=14 S 8 323529E=17 S 9 957759E=20 S10 569540E=23 S11 557657E=27 S12	<pre> # 454545454545454545E+00 # 39858448104318E+00 # 25416762495946E=01 # 63697712076076E=03 # 82551983508228E=05 # 63149729888770E=07 # 30629858708388E=09 # 97808681916440E=12 # 20836563130596E=14 # 29320679574331E=17 # 26166497017204E=20 # 13415328284784E=23 # 3009279619623E=27 # 30092796196223E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 30092796196223E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 30092796196681485 # 3009278619624 # 3009278619624 # 3009278619623 # 3009279619623E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 3009279619623E=27 # 3009279619624 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 3009279619 # 300928 # 3009279619 # 300928 # 300928 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 300 # 3009 # 3009 # 3009 # 300 # 300 # 300 # 300 # 300 #</pre>

.

ORDER = 2 DEGREE = 2 D = ,775 RD = ,85 BETA = ,916000E+01 15806451612903E+01 P = 0 = -58064516129032E+00S () \$ $P_1 = -21559395174672E+00$ S 1 = 15059165323919E+01 .16978945451019E+00 P = 2 = -.30544696579492E - 01S 2 ≆ ORDER = 2 DEGREE = 3 D = 775 RD = 85 BETA = 206100E+02 .15806451612903E+01 P = 0 = -58064516129032E+00S 0 3 14814748109607E+01 S 1 🕿 $P_1 = -.19115223031554E+00$ 19316031414798E+00 S 2 🕿 P 2 = - 29473834786102E=01 53 \$ 62334163398843E=02 $P_3 = -10066347258135E-02$ ORDER = 2 DEGREE = 4 D = .775 RD = 85 BETA = 366400E+02 15806451612903E+01 P = 0 = -58064516129032E+00S () = S 1 = .14726556536165E+01 $P_1 = -.18233307297138E+00$ 20074218117966E+00 $P_2 = -28236544473632E = 01$ \$2 a 86336976630508E-02 P 3 = - 12030039601232E=02 53 * S 4 = 11558084587197E=03 P 4 = -15208008334815E - 04ORDER = 2 DEGREE = 5 D = .775 RO = .85 BETA = .572500E+02 P = 0 = -,58064516129032E+00S () = .15806451612903E+01 14686532800601E+01 $P_1 = -.17833069941496E+00$ S 1 = P = 2 = -28475246894827E = 01S 2 = .20498325715728E+00 3 = - 14606302030482E=02 \$ 3 = 99938118863291E=02 P .19922348036296E-03 S 4 🕿 P 4 = -29964111364600E - 0413919201448922E=05 \$5= P = -21343804115613E = 06

ORDER = 2 DEGREE = 6 D = .775 RO = ...85 BETA = 824400E+02 P 0 = - 58064516129032E+00 15806451612903E+01 S () = 14664262516283E+01 **S 1 =** $P_1 = -.17610367098315E+00$ 20699571533935E+00 P = -,28260676645090E-01S 2 = $P_3 = -15322458810336E - 02$ 53= 10680275405545E-01 24933405067263E=03 P 4 = -,36586320114212E=04 S 4 3 P = -39867529427935E-06553 26855039111666E=05 P = -16226665135199E = 08S 6 = .10854850713601E=07

A4

ORDER	= 2 DEGREE	= 7 0 = ,775	RO = ,85 BETA = ,112210E+03
P 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	-,580645161 -,174833901 -,277411862 -,148159565 -,363010453 -,446850075 -,268755845 -,628312310	129032E+00 S 0 114911E+00 S 1 226246E=01 S 2 789918E=02 S 3 393729E=04 S 4 550778E=06 S 5 507281E=08 S 6 783352E=11 S 7	<pre>= .15806451612903E+01 = .14651564817943E+01 = .0774599475456E+00 = .10971861052267E=01 = .27524368830002E=03 = .35403656934423E=05 = .22575321236761E=07 = .56574487882585E=10</pre>
ORDER	= 2 DEGREE	= 8 D = ,775	RO = ,85 BETA = ,146560E+03
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	-,580645161 -,173960712 -,286841342 -,174324959 -,508458600 -,791377731 -,673747535 -,295892523 -,524162940	29032E+00 S 0 88671E+00 S 1 26593E=01 S 2 919146E=02 S 3 92993E=04 S 4 26678E=06 S 5 547729E=08 S 6 18632E=10 S 7 063507E=13 S 8	<pre> . 15806451612903E+01 . 14642832935319E+01 . 20956213101730E+00 . 11509566107375E=01 . 31097988163828E=03 . 45630986133302E=05 . 37079641130883E=07 . 15682590950194E=09 . 26933430035588E=12</pre>
ORDER	= 2 DEGREE	= 9 D = ,775	RO = .85 BETA = .185490E+03
P 0 = P 1 = P 2 = P 3 = P 4 = P 4 = P 5 = P 6 = P 6 = P 7 = P 8 = P 9 =	-,580645161 -,173398783 -,280447272 -,162531435 -,458100657 -,711557880 -,640490727 -,332593559 -,923922781 -,106251479	29032E+00 S 0 73842E+00 S 1 272007E=01 S 2 32453E=02 S 3 24231E=04 S 4 03856E=06 S 5 48140E=08 S 6 15937E=10 S 7 90522E=13 S 8 68957E=15 S 9	<pre>= 15806451612903E+01 = 14637213643836E+01 = 20948465321101E+00 = 11536416747709E=01 = 31784614870548E=03 = 49116720047009E=05 = 44528196080481E=07 = 23505328331393E=09 = 66870118493809E=12 = 79239438466385E=15</pre>

ORDER	= 2 DEGREE = 10	0 = ,775 80	=
P 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	<pre>>,5806451612903 >,1714417337700 ,2801509293851 ,1615722237363 ,4622772482905 ,7547922234554 ,7489483501751 ,4597905353583 ,1706013779677 ,3505666308659 ,3062888661819</pre>	52E+00 S 0 = 97E+00 S 1 = 8E=01 S 2 = 52E=02 S 3 = 52E=04 S 4 = 14E=06 S 5 = 1E=08 S 6 = 57E=10 S 7 = 7E=12 S 8 = 7E=18 S 10 =	15806451612903E+01 14617643144152E+01 21141206884584E+00 11805056288024E=01 33440743994479E=03 54414235293880E=05 53918036434111E=07 33075450191903E=09 12264057386754E=11 25181064036724E=14 21977616072810E=17
ORDER	= 2 DEGREE = 11	D = ,775 RO	\$,85 BETA = ,277090E+03
P 0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	- 5806451612903 - 1730028416629 - 2732800902421 - 1505602154505 - 4136745329211 - 6588428978007 - 6553614631734 - 4209102515936 - 1747997705631 - 4536840682099 - 6692870320841 - 4284431115575	32E+00 S 0 = 4E+00 S 1 = 4E=01 S 2 = 4E=04 S 4 = 4E=04 S 4 = 4E=06 S 5 = 4E=10 S 7 = 7E=12 S 8 = 8E=15 S 7 = 2E=18 S10 = 32E=21 S11 =	15806451612903E+01 14633254223081E+01 20916387703869E+00 11579454550239E=01 32857305529008E=03 54458388015784E=05 56371977519294E=07 37545083692440E=09 16091005950325E=11 42884398776166E=14 64665832685414E=17 42148457924105E=20
ORDER	= 2 DEGREE = 12	D = .775 RO	# .85 BETA # .329760E+03
P 0 = P 1 = = P 2 = P 2 = = P 2 =	5806451612903 1722936696098 2880027238157 1859617443042 5997697873090 1109056694535 1274593569223 9515869465786 4696940647697 1521806413652 3113095210850 3646696153211 1864493436819	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	15806451612903E+01 14626162502550E+01 21134531244915E+00 12108121568554E=01 35894153745450E=03 62664422624671E=05 69193362616297E=07 50195179261835E=09 24253292036317E=11 77310365461803E=14 15613921810526E=16 18102819599155E=19 91776107476727E=23

~

A6









.