

Abstract from the Proceedings of the International Mathematical Congress
Amsterdam, Sept. 1954.

SEQUENTIAL TEST WITH THREE POSSIBLE DECISIONS FOR THE COMPARISON OF TWO UNKNOWN PROBABILITIES

CONSTANCE VAN EEDEN

We consider two series of independent trials, e.g. two processes, each trial resulting in a success or a failure with probabilities p , $1-p$ and p' , $1-p'$ respectively for the two processes.

Executing the trials in pairs consisting of one trial for each process, a sequential test with two possible decisions, developed by Wald [1] (p. 106), may be used for the comparison of p and p' .

For groups of trials of both processes a sequential test with two possible decisions has been described in [2]. This test is carried out as follows:

Suppose the group of trials constituting the i^{th} step of the test consists of n_i trials for the first process and m_i trials for the second one. If the number of successes for the two processes are a_i and b_i respectively, then

$$x_i = 2 \left\{ \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{a_i}{n_i}} - \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{b_i}{m_i}} \right\}$$

is for large n_i and m_i approximately normally distributed with mean

$$(1) \quad \mu = E x_i = 2 \{ \arcsin \sqrt{p} - \arcsin \sqrt{p'} \} = 2 \arcsin \{ \sqrt{pq'} - \sqrt{p'q} \} \quad \begin{matrix} q' = 1-p' \\ q = 1-p \end{matrix}$$

and variance (2) $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2(x_i) = (n_i + 1)n_i^{-2} + (m_i + 1)m_i^{-2}$ (see e.g. [3]).

The ordinary sequential test with two possible decisions for the mean of a normal distribution with known variance, given by Wald [1] (p. 117), may then be applied to the x_i .

Both abovementioned tests for comparing two unknown probabilities can be generalized to tests with three possible decisions. For the first one this generalization may be based directly on a method described by de Boer [4].

The test for groups of trials can be generalized by applying the test with three possible decisions for the mean μ of a normal distribution with known variance, developed by Sobel and Wald [5] to the abovementioned random variables x_i .

For this test two values ξ and η and four values μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and μ_4 of μ must be chosen, with (3) $\mu_1 < \xi < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \eta < \mu_4$

the three possible decisions being

$$(4) \quad 1. \quad \mu < \xi, \quad 2. \quad \mu > \eta, \quad 3. \quad \xi \leq \mu \leq \eta,$$

the intervals (μ_1, μ_2) and (μ_3, μ_4) being indifference regions.

To translate this into terms of p and p' , let

$$(5) \quad \sqrt{pq} - \sqrt{p'q} = \delta$$

then (see (1)) we have $\mu = 2 \arcsin \delta$.

The functional relation between p and p' for a given value of δ^2 is given by those two parts of the ellipse

$$(6) \quad p^2 + p'^2 - 2pp'(1 - 2\delta^2) - 2\delta^2(p + p') + \delta^4 = 0$$

for which $\delta^2 \leq p + p' \leq 2 - \delta^2$; this set of points (p, p') consists of a part \mathcal{C} for which $p > p'$ and a part \mathcal{C}' for which $p < p'$.

Choosing two values ε and ζ and four values $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ and δ_4 of δ , with

$$(7) \quad \delta_1 < \varepsilon < \delta_2 < 0 < \delta_3 < \zeta < \delta_4,$$

(δ_1, δ_2) and (δ_3, δ_4) constituting the indifference regions, the three decisions (4) are equivalent with:

$$(8) \quad 1. \quad \delta_1 < \varepsilon, \quad 2. \quad \delta_2 > \zeta, \quad 3. \quad \varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \zeta$$

and hence with the following decisions for p and p' :

- (9) 1. the point (p, p') lies outside the part \mathcal{C}' of the ellipse (6) corresponding to $\delta = \varepsilon$,
 2. the point (p, p') lies outside the part \mathcal{C} corresponding to $\delta = \zeta$,
 3. the point (p, p') lies between or on the parts \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C} corresponding to $\delta = \varepsilon$ and $\delta = \zeta$.

The probabilities of errors are determined as described in [5].

REMARK. It is not necessary that p and p' are constant throughout the experiment. We only need a constant δ .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. WALD, *Sequential analysis*, New York 1944.
 [2] Statistical Research Group of the Columbia University, *Sequential analysis of statistical data, applications, section 3*, New York 1945.
 [3] R. A. FISHER, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **42** (1922), 321—341.
 [4] J. DE BOER, *Appl. Sci. Res.* **3** (1953), 249—259.
 [5] M. SOBEL and A. WALD, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **20** (1949), 502—522.

VAN EEGHENSTRAAT 47.
 AMSTERDAM.