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10. Introduction

Given a set of $n$ points, numbered $1, \ldots, n$, and $a n x n$ matrix $\mathbb{M}$, with elements $m_{i j}$, satisfying

| $(1.1)$ | $m_{i j}=m_{j i}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(1.2)$ | $m_{i i}=0$, |

(1.3) for each $i m_{i j} \neq 0$ for at least one $j$, and (1.4) $0 \leqq m_{i j}<\infty$.

The set of points and the matrix $M$ can be interpreted as a finite multigraph (cf。C.BERGE (1958), D. KOENIG (1936)), where the number of joins between point $i$ and $j$ is equal to $m_{i j}$. If $m_{i j}=0$, this meansthat there is no join between $i$ and j. Assumption (1.2) states that there are no loops. Assumption (1.3) implies that no point is isolated.

From the $n$ points two samples are taken. We shall consider two cases.

Case I "non free sampling": from the points $1, \ldots, n r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ points are chosen at random without replacement $\left(r_{1}+r_{2} \leqq n\right)$. The $r_{1}$ points will be denoted as black (B) points, the $r_{2}$ points as white (W) ones, while finally the $n-r_{1}-r_{2}$ remaining points are the red $(R)$ ones.

Case II "free sampling": n independent trials are performed, each trial resulting in the event $B$ with probability $p_{1}$, in the event $W$ with probability $p_{2}$, and in the event $R$ with probability $1-p_{1}-p_{2}$. Point number i is alotted the colour indicated by the outcome of the i-th trial.

Consider the random variables $X_{i j}$ and $X_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, n)$, defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i i}=0 & \text { spr } 0, \\
\underline{Z}_{i i}=0 & \operatorname{spr} 0,
\end{array}
$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{x}_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if point i and } j \text { are both black } \\
0 & \text { if not. } \\
\underline{X}_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if point i is black and } j \text { is white, or } \\
0 & \text { point if is white and } j \text { is black, } \\
0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$(1.5) \quad \underline{X}=\sum_{i j} m_{i j} \underline{X}_{i j}$,
$(1.6) \quad \underline{y}=\sum_{i j} m_{i j} \underline{X}_{i j}$.

We shall also consider a more general situation. Let be given a set of randon variables $\underline{Z}_{i j}$, where $\underline{\underline{z}}_{i i}=0$ spr 0 , while for $i \neq j \underline{Z}_{i j}$ is either o or 1. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{z}=\sum_{i j} m_{i j} \quad \underline{z}_{i j} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following we shall give results on the stochastic proporties of $\underline{x}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\underline{z}$. The proofs of these results will be given in a forthcoming thesis.
2. Previous work on the subject
P.A.P. IIORAIY (1948) considers a "statistical map", equivalent to our graph for $m_{i j}=0$ or 1 , where the points are chosen by "free" and "non free" sampling. He gives for both cases the first and second moments of the number of black-black joins (thus for $X$ ) and the third and fourth moment for the case of free sampling. He proves the asymptotic normality of $X$ and $X$ (free samplint for a rectangular twodimensional lattice, where there are joins between neighbouring points in the direction of both axis (cf. also P.A.P. IIORAN (1947)).

There exists a large number of papers on the subject by P.V. KRISHNA IYER (1948-1953), most of them in an extremely-hard-to-get journal, vize the Journal of The Indian Society fer Agricultural Statistics. As far as we are avare, KRISTIF IYER only deals with rectancular lattices, where neighbouring points are joined in the direction of both axis, but also diagonal joins are considered in a number of his papers. The results of KRISHIA IYER are mostly on the first four moments or cumlants, and statements about asymptotic normality.
A. renort by BIODTN and van EDDN contains a number of exact results for rectangular lattices (non free sainpling). The present report is an outsrowth of this last paper, which arose from a study of the distribution of a statistic, obtained in a psychological test.

Some older papers on the subject are by II。TODD (1940) and D.J. FIINNE (1947)。
3. Some graphtheoretical notions

Consider a set $S$ of points and a subset $U$ of the set of all joins between these points. The combination ( $S, U$ ) is usually called a graph. For a detailed treatment of theory of graphs, we refer to D. KOENIG (1936) and C.BERGE (1958).

For our purpose we use the word "graph" to denote a set of $k$ oriented joins, labelled $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$, between $\ell(2 \leqq \ell \leqq 2 k)$ points, such that no points are isolated (are not connected to at least one other point), and loops do not occur. Multiple joins are admitted.

A point to which join $J_{i}$ is connected will be called the second point of $J_{i}$ if the orientation of the join is towards the point; if not, it will be called the first point of $J_{i}$.

To each graph there corresponds a symmetrical $2 k x 2 k$ matrix $A$, consisting of $k^{2} 2 \times 2$ block-matrices $A_{i j}(i, j=$ 1,....k), with elements

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { for } \mu, \lambda=1,2 \\
a_{i, \mu, i \lambda}=0,
\end{array}
$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$
a_{i \mu, j \lambda}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if the } \mu-t h \text { point of } J_{i} \text { coincides } \\
\text { with the } \lambda \text {-th point of } J_{j} \\
0 \text { if not }
\end{array}\right.
$$

All graphs having the same matrix A are considered to be equivalont.E.g. both
have as matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc:cc:cc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and are therefore equivalent.
The $k \mathrm{x} k$ matrix with elements

$$
\mathrm{b}_{i j}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{i \mu, j \lambda}
$$

will be called the configurationmatrix.
Consider two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, each based on $l$ points and $k$ (labelled and oriented) joins. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are not identical, but a permutationmatrix $P$ exists such that for the configurationmatrices $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ the relation

$$
B=P B_{2}^{-4-} P^{\prime}
$$

holds, we shall say that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same configuration.
A graph $G=(S, U)$ is called connected if from every point $i \in S$ one can reach any other point of $S$ by travelling along the joins of the set U, neglecting the orientation of the joins. A graph which is not connected, can be decomposed in a number of connected components. This decomposition is unique (cf. D.KÖIIG, 1936, p.15). A configurationmatrix of a not connected graph (if necessary after premultiplication with a permutationmatrix $P$, and postmultiplication with $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ) is a logical sum of the configu-ration-matrices of each of the connected components.

A connected graph with $k$ joins has at most $k+1$ points. It has at least two points Mor 1 satisfying

$$
2 \leqq 1 \leqq k+1
$$

finitely many, say $q_{1 i}$, distinct configurations existscorresonding to connected graphs based on $k$ joins and points.
Let C(k) be the $\alpha$-th one $\left(\alpha=1, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$.
The configuration of a graph having $h$ connected components $\left(1 \leqq h \leqq\left[\frac{l}{2}\right]\right)$ can now be indicated syinbolically by

$$
\sum C_{k_{i, ~}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}
$$

if the $i$-th connected component has a configuration $C_{k_{i}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$. If amon, connected components $\beta_{j}$ have the same configuratio

$$
C_{k_{j}, \ell_{j}}^{\left(\alpha_{j}\right)} \quad \text { we may also write } \quad \sum g_{j} C_{k_{j}, \ell_{j}}^{\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}
$$

as the symbool for the configuration of the graph.
By means of the operator $\mathcal{N}()$, operating on the symbol of a configuration we indicate the number of distinct graphs, having this configuration. It can be groved that if $\sum_{i} k_{i} g_{i}=k$,
$(3.1) \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} g_{i} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{(\alpha)}\right)=k!\prod_{i}^{\frac{s}{i}} \frac{1}{g_{i}!}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}\left(C_{k_{i} \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right)}{k_{i}!}\right\}^{g_{i}}$.

The calculation of $\mathcal{N}\left(C_{k_{i}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}\right)$ proceeds by means of recurrence relations.
4. A generat expression for the moments of $z$

In order to calculate the k-th moment of $\underline{\underline{z}}$, we have to consider products like
$m_{i_{3,1}} i_{i, 2} m_{i} \quad i_{2,2} \ldots, i_{k, 1} i_{k, 2}$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{3,1} i, 2} z_{i, 1} i_{2,2}, \cdots, \underline{z} i_{k, 1} i_{k, 2}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1,1}, \ldots, i_{k, 2}$ are, say, $I$ different integers from the range $1, \ldots, n$. To each such products there corresronds a graph. Let each of the subscripts of (4.2) correspond to a point of the graph. If two or more subcripts are equal, they correspond to a same point, thus the graph has 1 different points in all. Let the first subscript, $i_{j 1}$, of $\underline{Z}_{i_{j, 1}} i_{j, 2}$ corresponds to the first point of a join, and the second subscript, $i_{j 2}$, to the second point of the same join. We thus obtain a graph with k oriented joins and 1 points, no one point being isolated. Ve assume that always $i_{j i} \neq i_{j 2}(j=1, \ldots, k)$, thus no loops arise. Let the graph corresponding to (4.2) have a configuration

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}
$$

then the following assumption on the simulaneous distribution of the $\underline{z}_{i j}(i \neq j)$ is introduced:
Assumption A1
For each $k=1, \ldots$ the expectation of (4.2) does not depend on the actual value of $i_{1,1} \ldots, i_{k, 2}$, but only on the configuration $\sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}$

We therefore introduce the following notation for the expeciation of (4.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{h} c_{\left.k_{i}, l_{i}\right]}^{(k)} z^{(1)} \cdots z^{(k)} .\right.} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To indicate the sum over a product of $k$ coefficients $m_{i_{j, 1}} i_{j, 2}\left(i_{j, 1} \neq i_{j, 2}\right)$, where exactly 1 out of the $2 k$ subscripts are different, and in such a way, that the graph corresponding to this product has configuration $\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}$ we write

$$
\sum_{L} i_{1} \neq \cdots \neq i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_{c} ; \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{k_{i}, i_{i} \mid}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} m^{(1)} \ldots m^{(k)} \text {, }
$$

if the condition on the subscripts is that in the summation $i_{1}, \ldots . i_{j}$ have to be different. Summation over $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}$ extends from 1,...., n.
Now one can derive
(4.4) $\left.\quad E_{z^{k}}^{k}=\sum_{i=2}^{2 k\left[\frac{l}{2}\right]} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \sum_{L}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}=k, \sum_{i=1}^{h} e_{i}=l, \alpha_{i}\right] \operatorname{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right)$.
where $\left.\sum^{\prime \prime} L \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}=k, \sum_{i=1}^{h} h_{i}=\ell, \alpha_{i}\right]$ means sumption over all
configurations with $\sum k_{i}=k$ and $\sum P_{i}=$
5. The moments of $x$
a) non free sampling

Here assumption 1 is satisfied, as
(5.1) $E_{E} \sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \underline{x}^{(1)} \cdots \underline{x}^{(k)}=\frac{\binom{r}{\ell}}{\binom{n}{\ell}}$,
where $\sum l_{i}=l$.
(We omit the subscript on $r_{1}$ and $D_{1}$, as no danger of confusion arises in the sections on $\underline{x}_{\text {。 }}$ )
Prom (4.4) we have egg. after some simplifications

$$
E \underline{x}=\frac{n(z-1)}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i, j} m_{i j},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\sigma}_{i}^{2}= & \underline{x}^{2}-(\underline{x})^{2}=4 \frac{r(r-1) \cdot(r-2) \cdot(n-r)}{n(n-1) \cdot(n-2) \cdot(n-3)} \sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j} m_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{i j} m_{i j}}{n}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i} \frac{2 r(r-1) \cdot(n-r) \cdot(n-r-1)}{n^{2}(n-1)^{2}(n-2)(n-3)}\left\{n(n-1) \sum_{i j} m_{i j}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i j} m_{i j}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sum_{j} m_{i j}$ does not depend on $i$, the first term of $\sigma^{2}$ is equal to zero. The third reduced moment and the fourth. unreduced moment have been calculated as well.
b) free sampling
(5.2) $E_{[ } \sum_{i=1}^{h} c_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \underline{x}^{(1)} \cdots \underline{x}^{k}=p^{\ell}$,
so e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & =p^{2} \sum_{i_{j}} m_{i j}, \\
\sigma^{2} & =2 p^{2} \cdot(1-p)\left\{(1-p) \sum_{i j} m_{i j}+2 p \sum_{i j k} m_{i j} m_{i k}\right) 。
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The moments of $\mathbf{y}$.

Assumption $A_{i}$ is satisfied. In order to calculate

$$
E_{L} \sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, \beta_{i}-1}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \mid y_{\underline{y}}^{(1)} \cdots y^{(k)}
$$

We first take a point $P_{i}$ of the $i-t h$ connected component ( $i=1, \ldots, h$ ) as a reference point. Colour $P_{i}$ white, next all points connected $b_{j}$ a join to $P_{i}$ are coloured black, then all points connected to these black points are coloured white. If in repeating this procedure one arrives at a point which has already been given one colour, but should be coloured by the just-mentioned rule in the other colour as well, then we conclude that the i-th connected component is not bichromatic.
If no such situation arises one arrives at a stage, where all points have been allotted a colour, viz $\tau_{i}$ points are white and $l_{i}-\tau_{i}$ black, we then say that the $i-t h$ connected component is bichromatic.

Define
$(6.1) \quad \cup / Z\left(\sum_{i} C_{k_{i}, Q_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if all connected components of } \sum_{i} C_{k_{i}, e_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \\ \text { are bichromatic }\end{array}\right.$ 0 if not．
a）non－free sampling

$$
\begin{gathered}
(6.2) E_{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, e_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \underline{y}^{(1)} \cdots \underline{y}^{(k)}=B\left(\sum C_{k_{i}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right) \frac{(n-l)!}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p_{i}=0}^{n}\right.}^{\frac{\eta_{1}!}{\left(r_{1}-\sum_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right) \tau_{i}-\sum_{i} p_{i}\left(\ell_{i}-\tau_{i}\right)\right)!\left(r_{2}-\sum_{i} \rho_{i} \tau_{i}-\sum_{i}\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\left(\ell_{i}-\tau_{i}\right)\right)!}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

D．g．

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E y} & =\frac{2 r_{1} r_{2}}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i j} m_{i j}, \\
\sigma^{2} & =\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j} m_{i j}-\frac{\left.\sum_{i j} m_{i j}\right)^{2}}{n} \cdot\left\{\frac{r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{2}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}+\frac{r_{2} r_{1}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}-\frac{4 r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)\left(r_{2}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}\right\}+\right. \\
& +4 \sum_{i j} m_{i j}^{2}\left\{\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{n(n-1)}-\frac{r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{2}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}-\frac{r_{2} r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}+\frac{2 r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)\left(r_{2}-1\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}\right\}+ \\
& +4\left(\sum_{i, j} m_{i j}\right)^{2} \frac{r_{1} r_{2} n\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+3\right)-r_{1} r_{2}\left(2 r_{1}-r_{2}+r_{1}+r_{2}+2\right)}{n^{2}(n-1)^{2}(n-2)(n-3)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

b）free sampling

回。g。

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ey }=2 p_{1} p_{2} \cdot \sum_{i j} m_{i j}, \\
& \sigma^{2}=4 p_{1} p_{2}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-4 p_{1} p_{2}\right) \sum_{i j k} m_{i j} J m_{i k}+4 p_{1} p_{2}\left(1-p_{1}-p_{2}+2 p_{1} p_{2}\right) \sum_{i j} m m_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7．Tendency towards the normal distribution

The following theorem can be proved．
Theorem 7．1

then in the non-free sampling case the distribution of

$$
\frac{\underline{X}-\mathbb{E x}}{\sigma}
$$

tends to the standard normal one. $\mathbb{I x}$ and $\sigma^{2}$ have been given in section
If (7.1) is satisfied and $n$ tends to infinity and $\rho$ tends to a limit $p^{F}\left(0<p^{\#}<1\right)$ then in the case of free sampling the distribution of

$$
\frac{\underline{x}-I \underline{x}}{\sigma}
$$

tends to the standard normal one. 2 x and $\sigma^{2}$ have been given in section 5b。

If (7.1) is satisfied, and if $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $n$ tend to infinity such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\lim \frac{r_{1}}{n} & =\delta_{1} \\
\lim \frac{r_{2}}{n} & =\delta_{2}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{array}{r}
0<\delta_{1}, 0<\delta_{2} \\
\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<1
\end{array}
$$

then the distribution of

$$
\frac{X-E y}{\sigma}
$$

tends to the standard nomal one. Ey and $\sigma^{2}$ have been given in section 6 a。

If (7.1) is satisfied, and if $n$ tends to infinity and $r_{1}$ and
 then the distribution of

$$
\frac{E Z-E y}{\sigma}
$$

tends to the standard nomal one. Ey, and $\sigma^{2}$ have been given in section 6b.
8. Tendency towards the compound POISSOIT distribution

A theorem on the tendency towards the compound POISSOIT distribution has been proved for $\underline{Z}$. The asymptotic behaviour of $\underline{x}$ and $Z$ for both the free and the non-free sampling case can be considered as a special case of this theorem. First we introduce some assumptions.

Assumption A1
(suction 4) is considered to be satisfied.
Assumption A?
Consider an event $\mathcal{Z}: \underline{z}^{(0)}=1 \cap \ldots n \underline{z}^{(k)}=1$, where the subscripts of the $\underline{z}$ 's correspond to $\sum_{i=1}^{2} C_{k_{i}}^{\left.(n i)_{i}\right)}$.

Consider the event $\mathcal{Z} \sim \underline{z}_{i j}=1$, where the configuration is now $\sum_{i=2}^{h} C_{k_{i}, \ell_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}+C_{k_{1+\eta_{2}} \ell_{1+2}}^{(\beta)}$
Then if $P[\mathcal{L}]>0$

$$
P\left[\underline{z}_{i j}=1 / z\right] \leqq P\left[\underline{z}_{i j}=1 \mid \underline{z}_{i I}=1\right]
$$

This assumption is supposed to be satisfied for $k=1, \ldots$
Assumption A3
If in a configuration $\sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(d_{i}\right)}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} k_{i}=k, \sum_{i=1}^{h} I_{i}=1$, a point is made to coincide with another one to which it is not connected by a join, thus giving rise to a configuration
$\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h^{\prime}} C_{k_{i}^{\prime}, l_{i}^{\prime}}^{\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)}$, with $\sum k_{i}=t_{2}, \sum e_{i}=l_{-1}$
then if
also

$$
E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{h^{\prime}} C_{k_{i}, e_{i}^{\prime}}^{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)}\right\rfloor \geqq^{(1)} \cdots z^{(k)}>0
$$

$$
E\left\lfloor\sum_{i=1}^{h} C_{k_{i}, l_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\right\rfloor \underline{3}^{(1)} \cdots z^{(k)}>0 .
$$

Assumption A4
If for a configuration $C_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha)}$

$$
E\left[C_{k, l}^{(\alpha)}\right] \underline{z}^{(1)} \cdot \cdot \underline{z}^{(k)}=0
$$

then one can find joins, such that if they are removed from
$C_{k_{i} I}^{(\alpha)}$, a configuration $C_{l^{\prime}, ~(\beta)}^{(\beta)}$ remains with

$$
E_{E c_{k^{\prime}, 1}^{(p)}}^{(1)} \cdots \mathfrak{z}^{(k)},>0
$$

We now state
Theorem 8.1
Let $z$ be defined by (1.7), where $m_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots n)$ satisfies (1.1) through (1.4) and let assumptions $A_{1}, \ldots, 4_{4}$ be satisfied.

If $n$ tends to infinity and if for every $i, j, \mu, \lambda$, with $i \neq j$, $\mu, \lambda$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$

$$
\lim P\left[z_{\bar{i} j}=1 \mid z_{\text {林 }}=1\right]=0,
$$

such that
$\lim -\underset{Z}{z}=2 \lambda, \quad 0<\lambda<\cdot \infty$,
and
(8.2)
$\left.\left.\operatorname{Iim} \mathbb{E} C_{C_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)}}\right] \underline{Z}^{(1)} \cdots \underline{Z}^{(k)} \sum_{[i}, \ldots, i_{k+1} ; C_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)}\right] m \ldots n=0$
for all $k=2, \ldots$, and all $\alpha$,
 (where $\sum_{i} k_{i}=k, \sum_{i} I_{i}=1$ ) for all $h=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{l}{2}\right], \$=3, \ldots, 2 k$ and all $k=2 \ldots$,
(8.4)
$\lim \frac{\sum_{j} m_{\ddot{j}}^{h}}{\sum_{i j} m_{i j}}=m_{h}^{*}, \quad m_{h}^{*}<\infty, \quad h=1, \ldots \quad\left(m_{1}^{*}=1\right)$,
and finally,
(8.5) $\mathrm{m}_{i j}<\mathrm{I}$ for all $i$ and $j$, where does not denend on $n$, then
(8.6) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^{k}}{k!} \lim E\left(\frac{1}{2} \leq\right)^{k}=\exp \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{i}^{*} \frac{x^{i}}{i!}\right\}-1$,
which is the moment-generating function of the component POISSON distribution.

If $\operatorname{mij}_{i j}$ is either 0 or 1 , and if assumptions $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$, are satisfied, together with (8.1), (8.2), and (8.3), then $\frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}}$ has asymptotically a POISSON distribution with parameter $\lambda$.

Assumption (8.2) is satisfied e.g. when $\sum_{j} m_{i j}=m_{1}$, independent of i, and (8.21) $\lim \frac{E_{[ }^{( } C_{k, k+1)}^{(\alpha)} \Sigma^{(1)} \cdots z^{(k)}}{E_{L} C_{1,2}^{(1)} \Xi^{(1)}} m_{1}^{k}=0$ for all $k$ and $\alpha$.
B.o when m , is a constant independent of n then (8.2'):and there 'fore (8.2) is satisfied.

First special case of Theorem (8.1)
non free sampling
If $r$ and $n$ tend to infinity such that
(8.7) Lm $E \underline{X}=2 \lambda, O<\lambda<\infty$,
(8.8) $\left.\operatorname{Iim}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{k+1} \sum_{L i_{1, \ldots}, i_{k+1}} ; i_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)}\right]^{(n)} \ldots m^{(k)}=0$
for each $k=2, \ldots$, and all, if moreover
free sampling
If $n$ tends to infinity and $p$ tends to zero such that $\lim \mathbb{I} \underline{x}=2 \lambda, 0<\lambda<\infty$,
$\operatorname{Iim} \beta^{k+1} \sum_{L i}, \ldots, i_{k_{+1} ;} ; C_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)} I m^{(1)} \ldots m^{(k)}=0$ for all $k=2, \ldots$ and all $\alpha$, if moreover

$$
\operatorname{Iim} \frac{\sum_{i j} m_{i j}^{h}}{\sum_{i j}^{h} m_{i j}}=m_{h}^{z}, m_{h}^{\pi / \pi}<\infty \quad, h=1, \ldots\left(m_{j}^{\pi}=1\right),
$$

and finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i j} & \leqslant \text { for all } i \text { and } j, \\
& \because \text { does not depend on } n,
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Z^{k}}{k!} \operatorname{lin} \mathbb{E}(\underset{x}{ })^{k}=\exp \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{i}^{x} Z_{i!}^{i}\right\}-1
$$

If $m_{i j}=0$ or 1 and if as umptions (8.7) and (8.8) are satisfied ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{x}$ has a POISSOIT-distrubition with parameter $\lambda$ 。 Assumption $(8.8)$ is satisfied eth $_{\text {a }} \sum_{j} m_{i j}=m_{1}$ independent of $i$ and $\lim \frac{r}{n} m_{1}=0$

Second special case of Theorem (8.2)

## non free sampling

If $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $n$ tend to infinity such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim \frac{r_{1}}{n} & =0 \\
\lim \frac{r_{2}}{n} & =0, \\
(8.9) \lim \frac{X}{Z} & =2 \lambda, \quad 0<\lambda<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n$ tends to infinity and $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ tend to zero, such that

In $\mathrm{Z}=2 \lambda, 0<\lambda<\infty$, and:
(8.10) In $\left.E_{L C_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)}}\right\rfloor^{(1)} \cdots y^{(k)} \sum_{\left\lfloor i_{i,}, \ldots, i_{k+1}, j\right.} C_{k, k+1}^{(\alpha)} m^{(1)} \cdots m^{(k)}=0$
for all $k=2,0$ and and if

$$
\lim \frac{\sum_{i, 1} m_{i j}^{h}}{\sum_{i, i} m_{i j}}=m_{h}^{*}, \quad m_{h}^{*}<\infty, \quad h=2, \cdots \quad\left(m_{1}^{*}=1\right),
$$

and finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{i j}<M \text {, for all } i \text { and } j \text {, where } M \text { is independent } \\
& \text { of } n \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Z^{k}}{k!} \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{1}{2} y\right)^{k}=\exp \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{i}^{*} \frac{Z^{i}}{i!}\right\}-1
$$

If assumptions ( 8.9 ) and (8.10) are satisfied, and if $\mathrm{m}_{i j}$ is either 0 or 1 then $\frac{y}{} y^{2}$ has asymptotically PolIs ont-distribution with parameter $\lambda$ 。
Assumption ( 8.10 ) is satisfied egg. when $\sum_{j} m_{i j}=m_{1}$, independent of $i$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Iim} p_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{1}=0, \\
& \operatorname{Iim} p_{2} \mathrm{ma}_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

| C. BRRGE (1958) : | Théorie des graphes et ses anolications, Dunod, Paris. |
| :---: | :---: |
| A.R. BIORIENA and COHSTiTCe van EDDIT, (1959) |  |
|  | On probability distributions arising from points on a lattice. Report S 257, Statistics Dept。 Tiathematical Centr |
| D.J. FINNEY (1947) | The signifance of associations in a square point lattice, Journ. Roy.Stat.Soc. Suppl.(9),99 |
| D. IKOMNIG (1936) : | Theorie der endichen und unendlichen Graphen, Ieipzié. |
| PoV. KRISIMN IYRR (1947): | Random association of points on a lattice, Nature, 160 , 714. |
| P.V. KRISHNA IYER (1948): | The theory of probability distributions of points on a line, JISAS 1 , 173-195 |
| P.V. KRISHNA IYER (1949): | The first and second moments of some probability distributions arising from points on a lattice and their applications, Biometrika, 36. 135-141. |
| P.V. KRISHNA IYER (1950a) | The theory of probability distributions of points on a lattice. Ann.Math.Stat. 21, 198-217 222, 310. |
| P.V. KRISHMA IYER ( $1950 \mathrm{~b}, 1951$, 1952) |  |
|  | Further constributions to the theory of probability distributions of points on a line. JISAS, 2, 141$160 ; \frac{3}{2}, 80-93 ; \underline{4}, 50-71$. |
| P.A.P. IIORAIT (1947) : | Random ansociations on a lattice Proc.Cambr. Phil.Soc., 43, 321-328 |
| P.A.P. MORAN (1948) : | The interpretation of Statistical maps. Journ.Roy.Stat.Soc. B 10, 243-251. |
| H. TODD (1940): | Note on the random association in a square point lattice, Jour.Roy. Stat.Soc. SupnI. 7,78. |
| JISAS $=$ Journ. of the Indian Society |  |

