STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49

AMSTERDAM

. .

•

. .

SM 87 ar

· ·

.

.

Wilcoxon's two sample test.

C. van Eeden en Chr. L. Ruemke.

.

• -

• • .

.

· . · · . •

** . . ,

x

t∎€



.

Statistica Neerlandica 12 (1958), 275—280

275

Receptuur

Wilcoxon's two sample test

De toets van Wilcoxon voor twee steekproeven

Wilcoxon's two sample test may be used if one wants to investigate whether two samples are drawn from the same population. We first give an example of the problem of two samples.

Two different diets A and B are to be compared concerning their influence on the weight of rats; 11 rats are given diet A and 9 rats get diet B. At a certain moment the weight of each rat is determined. The results are (in gramms)

A: 155, 154, 149, 163, 146, 150, 154, 161, 148, 145, 149, B: 156, 163, 153, 163, 165, 156, 151, 157, 167.

On account of these observations one wants to investigate whether, in general, a difference exists between the weight of rats with diet A and the weight of rats with diet B, i.e. one wants to investigate whether the observed weights of the rats can be regarded as two samples drawn from the same population.

In general the problem may be formulated as follows.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_m be *m* independent observations of a random variable \underline{x}^1), and let y_1, \ldots, y_n be *n* independent observations of a random variable <u>y</u>, where <u>x</u> and <u>y</u> are distributed independently. Then the hypothesis H_0 to be tested states that the probability distributions of x and y are identical.

The test to be described is a distributionfree test, i.e. for the applicability of this test no assumptions are necessary on the form of the distributions of x and y. Thus e.g. x and y need not be normally distributed.

The test statistic of the Wilcoxon two sample test will be denoted by W and is calculated from the observations as follows. Each observation of \underline{x} is compared with each observation of \underline{y} and W is equal to twice the number of pairs of observations, for which the observation of \underline{x} is larger than the observation of \underline{y} plus (once) the number of pairs of observations for which the observations for which the observations of x is larger than the observation of \underline{x} equals the observation of y^2).

It will be clear that \underline{W} assumes a small value if the observations of \underline{x} are

¹) Random variables are distinguished from numbers (e.g. from the values they take in an experiment) by underlining their symbols.

²) Usually the statistic $U = \frac{1}{2}W$ is used for this test (cf [1]). In order to avoid fractions the statistic W is introduced in [2].

276

predominantly smaller than the observations of \underline{y} and a large value in the reverse situation.

The calculation of W for the example mentioned above is shown in scheme I.¹) In this scheme the pooled samples of \underline{x} and \underline{y} are ranked according to increasing size (cf. column I and 2). Equal observations are placed on the same line.

Column 3 contains for each observation of \underline{x} the contribution to W, i.e. twice the number of observations of \underline{y} which are smaller than this observation of \underline{x} plus the number of observations of \underline{y} which are equal to it. Addition of the numbers in column 3 gives W = 34.

Column 4 contains the sizes of the ties, thus for each line the number of observations in the pooled samples on that line. Addition of the numbers in column 4 gives N = m + n = 20.

SCHEME I

Calculation of W

ľ	2	3	4	5
observa	tions of	contribution	sizes of	13
X	<u>y</u>	to W	ties (t)	L.
I45		0	I	I
146		0	Ι	I
148		0	I	I
149,149		0	2	8
150		0	I	5 t^{3} I
	151		I	
	153		I	I
154,154		4,4	2	8
155		4	I	I
	156,156		2	8
	157		r	I
161		IO	I	I
163	163,163	12	3	27
	165		I	I
	167		I	I
m = rr	n == 0	W = 24	N = 20	D = 62

Column 5 contains the cubes of the sizes of the ties; their sum D is 62.

The distribution of \underline{W} assuming H_0 to be true is known. This distribution is symmetric if no ties are present. \underline{W} then assumes even values and varies between 0 and 2 mn. The mean μ and variance σ^2 are given by (cf. [2] and [4])

¹⁾ An (analogous) scheme for cases with large samples and (or) large ties may be found in [2].



(2)
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{mn(N^3 - D)}{3N(N - I)} = \frac{1}{3}mn(N + I) - \frac{mn}{3N(N - I)}(D - N).$$

If one wants to test H_0 against the alternative hypothesis that <u>x</u> is systematically larger or smaller than <u>y</u> a twosided test is applied. The critical region of this twosided test consists of large and small values of W.

It may occur that one wants to test H_0 against the alternative hypothesis that \underline{x} is systematically smaller than \underline{y} . In this case a lower onesided critical region is used consisting of small values of W. If one wants to test H_0 against the alternative hypothesis that \underline{x} is systematically larger than \underline{y} the upper onesided critical region is used consisting of large values of W.

Table 1 and 2 contain the lower critical values of W for the twosided test with $\alpha = 0.1$ respectively $\alpha = 0.05$ for $m + n \leq 40$ and $m \leq n$. The upper critical values are found by substracting the tabulated value from 2 mn. The sample sizes m and n are interchangeable. The tables may also be used for the onesided test with $\alpha = 0.05$ respectively $\alpha = 0.025$. These tables are taken from [2], where also tables are given of the exact tailprobabilities for $m \leq n \leq 10$ and a table of the critical values with $\alpha = 0.02$ (twosided) for $m + n \leq 40$, $m \leq n$ and $n \geq 11$. Strictly speaking these tables only hold for cases without ties, but they give a reasonable approximation for cases with small ties.

If m and n are large and if moreover the difference between m and n and the differences between the sizes of the ties are not too large, \underline{W} is approximately normally distributed with mean and variance according to (1) and (2). This fact may be used if m + n > 40 and also if $m + n \leq 40$ and the sizes of the ties are too large to use the tables 1 and 2. In this case one calculates

$$u = \frac{|W - \mu| - 1}{\sigma}$$

for the twosided test,

$$u = \frac{W - \mu + I}{\sigma}$$

for the lower onesided test, and

$$u = \frac{W - \mu - I}{\sigma}$$

for the upper onesided test.

¹) The term \pm 1 in the numerator is the correction for continuity.

n m	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	IO	II	I 2	I 3	I4	I 5	16	17	I 8	19	20	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			0 2 4 4 6 8 8 8	2 4 6 8 10 12 14 14 16 -0	8 10 12 16 18 22 24	14 16 20 24 28 32	22 26 30 34 38	30 36 40 46	42 48 54	54 62 60	<u>68</u>										
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		4 6 6 6 8 8 8	10 12 14 14 16 18 18 20 22	18 20 22 24 28 30 32 32 34 36	20 30 32 36 38 40 44 40 44 46 50	34 38 42 46 50 52 56 60 64	42 48 52 56 60 66 70 74 78	52 56 62 66 72 78 82 88 88 94	00 66 72 78 84 90 96 102 108	08 74 82 88 96 102 102 110 116 124	70 84 92 100 108 114 122 130 138	84 94 102 110 120 128 136 144 154	102 112 122 130 140 150 160 168	122 132 142 152 164 174 184	144 154 166 176 188 200	166 178 190 202 214	192 204 216 230	* 218 232 246	246 260	276	278
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		10 10 12 12 12 14 14 14	22 24 26 28 30 30 32 34 34	38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56	52 56 58 60 64 66 70 72 76 78	68 72 74 78 86 90 92 96 100	82 88 92 96 100 106 114 114 118 122	98 104 108 114 120 124 130 136 140 146	114 120 126 132 138 144 150 156 156 162 170	130 136 144 150 158 164 172 178 186 192	146 154 162 170 176 184 192 200 208	162 170 180 188 196 204 214 222	178 188 196 206 216 234	194 204 214 236 246	210 222 232 244 254	226 238 250 262	242 256 268	258 272	276		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		16 16 18 18 20 20	36 38 38 40 42 42 44	58 60 62 64 66 68	80 84 86 90 92	104 108 112 114	128 132 136	152 156	176												

TABLE 1

Critical values for Wilcoxon's two sample test for $m + n \leq 40$, $m \leq n$ and $\alpha = 0,1$ (twosided)¹)

*

	ł
	1

		-																		
m	Ţ.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	IO	II	I 2	I 3	I4	I 5	16	17	1 8	19	20
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12				0 2 4 6 8 8 8 10 12 14	4 6 10 12 14 16 18 22	10 12 16 20 22 26 28	16 20 24 28 32 36	26 30 34 38 44	34 40 46 52	46 52 58	60 66	74								
IZ I3 I4 I5 I6 I7 I8 I9 20		- 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4	8 10 10 12 12 14 14 16	16 18 20 22 24 24 26 28	24 26 28 30 34 36 38 38 40	32 34 38 42 44 48 50 54	40 44 48 52 56 60 64 68	48 52 58 62 68 72 76 82	56` 62 68 74 78 84 90 96	66 72 78 84 90 96 104 110	74 80 88 94 102 110 116 124	82 90 98 106 114 122 130 138	90 100 108 118 126 134 142 152	3 I I O I I 8 I 28 I 38 I 46 I 56 I 66	128 138 150 160 170 180	150 162 172 184 194	174 186 198 210	198 210 224	224 238	254
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		6 6 6 8 8 8 8 10	16 18 20 20 22 22 24 26 26	30 32 34 36 38 40 42 42 44 46	44 46 48 50 54 56 58 60 64 66	58 60 64 70 74 76 80 84 86	72 76 80 84 88 92 96 100 104 108	86 90 96 100 106 114 120 124 130	100 106 112 118 124 128 134 140 140 144 150	116 122 128 134 140 148 154 160 166 172	130 138 144 152 158 166 174 180 188	146 154 162 170 178 186 194 202	160 170 178 186 196 204 214	176 186 194 204 214	190 202 212 232	206 218 228	222 234 246	236 250	252	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		IO IO IO I2 I2 I2 I2	28 28 30 32 32 34	48 48 50 52 54 56	68 70 74 78	90 92 96 100	112 116 120	134 138	156											

\$

¹) cf. the footnote at table 1.

TABLE 2

Critical values for Wilcoxon's two sample test for $m + n \leq 40$, $m \leq n$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ (twosided)¹)

N

•

and the second s

•

254

280

The tailprobabilities may then be found in a table of the normal distribution. If H_0 is rejected one concludes that \underline{x} is systematically larger than \underline{y} if $W > \mu$ and that \underline{x} is systematically smaller than y if $W < \mu$.

In our example we have m = 11, n = 9, W = 34, D = 62. In table 2^{1}) one finds for the lower critical value with $\alpha = 0.05$ (twosided) 46; thus W being smaller than 46, the twosided tailprobability is smaller than 0.05. The approximation with the normal distribution gives (cf. the tables 1 and 2 in [4])

$$\mu = II \times 9 = 99,$$

and

$$\sigma^2 = 693 - \frac{8,684}{100} (62 - 20) = 689,35, \quad \sigma = 26,26.$$

Thus for the twosided case

$$u = \frac{|W - \mu| - 1}{\sigma} = 2,44.$$

which gives a twosided tailprobability of 0,015. If e.g. W = 250 in a case with m = 10, n = 15 and small ties, one first

calculates (W being larger than μ) the upper critical value. For $\alpha = 0.05$ (twosided) this critical value is (cf. table 2) 300-78 = 222. Thus W = 250 lies in the twosided critical region with $\alpha = 0.05$. More detailed data about this test may be found in [2].

References

- [1] Mann, H. B. and D. R. Whitney, On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other, Ann. Math. Stat. 18 (1947), 50-60.
- [2] Wabeke, Doraline en Constance van Eeden, Handleiding voor de toets van Wilcoxon, Report S 176 (M 65) of the Statistical Department of the Mathmatical Centre, Amsterdam, 1955.
- [3] Wilcoxon, F., Individual comparisons by ranking methods, Biometrics 1 (1945), 80-82.
- [4] Zaalberg, J., Auxiliary tables for Wilcoxon's two sample test, Statistica Neerlandica 12 (1958), 265-273.

Constance van Eeden and Chr. L. Rümke

Statistical Dept. of the Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam resp. Pharmacological and Neurobiochemical Lab. of the "Vrije Universiteit", Amsterdam

¹) The sample sizes m and n are interchangeable. Thus the critical values for m = 11, n = 9 are found at m = 9, n = 11.