### STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM

2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49
AMSTERDAM
SP 66

J. Th. Runnenburg

Probabilistic interpretation of some formulae in queueing theory.

Overdruk uit:
Bulletin de l'institut international de statistique, 37 (1960), p.405-414.



### J. TH. RUNNENBURG

# Probabilistic interpretation of some formulæ in queueing theory

## TIRE A PART DU BULLETIN DE L'INSTITUT INTERNATIONAL DE STATISTIQUE TOME XXXVII - 3ème LIVRAISON

### PROBABILISTIC INTERPRETATION OF SOME FORMULÆ IN QUEUEING THEORY (\*)

by

#### J. TH. RUNNENBURG

#### INTRODUCTION

In this paper some known formulæ, which are of importance for the theory of queueing with one server, are derived by means of a probabilistic interpretation of generating and moment generating functions, according to a method introduced in (1) Van Dantzig (1947, 1948) and applied to some problems in these and later publications (Van Dantzig (1955, 1957), Van Dantzig and Scheffer (1954), Van Dantzig and Zoutendijk (1959)), and to queueing problems in Kesten and Runnenburg (1957). In particular the present paper contains the answers to questions recently put in the Royal Statistical Society by D. R. Cox, D. G. Kendall and F. G. Foster, concerning the possibility of giving a probabilistic interpretation to some formulæ occuring in queueing theory.

In the three applications we treat here, the following situation is considered (described for the non-equilibrium case).

Customers are served at a counter in the order in which they arrive from time t = 0 onwards,  $\underline{t}_r$  is the time of arrival of the  $r^{\text{th}}$  customer, r = 1, 2, ... and  $\underline{s}_r$  his servicetime (2). If

$$y_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{t}_r - \underline{t}_{r-1} \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots \text{ (with } \underline{t}_0 = 0),$$
 (1)

then the  $\underline{y}_r$  and  $\underline{s}_r$  are taken to be non-negative independent random variables, with all  $\overline{y}_r$  having the same distribution function

$$A(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda y} & \text{if } y \geqslant 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y \leqslant 0, \end{cases}$$
 (2)

- (\*) Report SP 66 of the Statistical Department of the Mathematical Center.
- (1) See the list of references at the end of this paper.
- (2) Random variables are distinguished from numbers (e.g. from the values they take in an experiment) by underlining their symbols.

where  $\lambda$  is a positive constant, and all  $\underline{s}_r$  having the same known distribution function B(s), with B(0 -) = 0. By choosing an appropriate unit of time we assume without restriction  $\lambda = 1$ . We further assume, that  $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_1$  exists and define (3)

$$\rho \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \mathcal{E} \, \underline{s}_1. \tag{3}$$

Let  $\underline{w}_r$  denote the waitingtime of the  $r^{\text{th}}$  customer. Define (3)

$$C_r(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P\{\underline{w}_r \leqslant w\}.$$
 (4)

Following Tákacs we introduce a function  $\underline{w}(t)$ , denoting the time needed to complete the service of all those present at time t.

Further (either with or without a suffix on both sides)

$$\beta(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{0-}^{\infty} e^{-\xi s} dB(s) \qquad (\text{Re } \xi \geqslant 0), \tag{5}$$

$$\gamma_r(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi w} dC_r(w) \quad (\text{Re } \xi \geqslant 0).$$
 (6)

#### A. — TAKACS' FORMULA

In Tákacs (1955), a theorem is proved (theorem 2), which we shall prove here in a slightly less general form. (From (2) we have that the probability that a customer arrives in the interval dt is  $\lambda dt + o(dt)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a constant; Tákacs assumed that  $\lambda$  is a function of t). The theorem as we prove it, reads

The Laplace-Stieltjes transform

$$\phi(t,\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{0-}^{\infty} e^{-\xi w} dF(t,w)$$
 (7)

of the function

$$F(t,w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P\{\underline{w}(t) \leqslant w\} \tag{8}$$

may be written in the form

$$\phi(t,\xi) = e^{\xi t - [1-\beta(\xi)]t} \left\{ 1 - \xi \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\xi u + [1-\beta(\xi)]u} F(u,0) du \right\}, \tag{9}$$

where F(u, 0) denotes the probability, that at time u the counter is free.

(3)  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{x}}$  denotes the mathematical expectation of a stochastic variable  $\underline{x}$ ,  $P\{A\}$  is written for the probability of event A.

Tákacs first derived an integro-differential equation for F(t,w) and then passed to the Laplace-Stieltjes transform  $\phi(t,\xi)$ . We obtain his theorem with the help of a probabilistic interpretation, which might equally well have been used to derive his more general result. To do this we write (9) in the equivalent form

$$e^{-t[1-\beta(\xi)]} = e^{-\xi t} \phi(t,\xi) + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-u)[1-\beta(\xi)]} F(u,0) \xi e^{-\xi u} du.$$
 (10)

Let  $\underline{t}'_1$ ,  $\underline{t}'_2$ ,... be moments at which catastrophe  $E_{\xi}$  occurs (4), these catastrophes being in no way connected to the problem under discussion, with

$$\underline{y}'_r = \underline{t}'_r - \underline{t}'_{r-1} \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots \text{ (with } \underline{t}'_0 = 0),$$
 (11)

all y' being independent random variables, drawn from the distribution

$$\mathbf{P}\{\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{r}' \leqslant \mathbf{y}\} = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\xi y} & \text{if } y \geqslant 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y \leqslant 0, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where  $\xi$  is a positive constant.

We now introduce the three events

$$\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$$
 no  $E_{\xi}$  occurs during the time the counter is occupied by customers, who arrive before  $t$ , (13)

$$\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\xi} \text{ occurs for the first time after all customers arriving}$$
before  $t$  have been served,
$$(14)$$

$$\bigcirc \underline{def} E_{\xi}$$
 occurs for the first time before  $t$  at a moment  $u$  at which the counter is free and after that no  $E_{\xi}$  occurs during the remaining servicetime of the customers who arrive before  $t, 0 \le u \le t$ .

If exactly n customers arrive before t (an event with probability  $e^{-t}$ .  $t^n/n!$ ), the probability, that no  $E_{\xi}$  occurs during the servicetime of anyone of these customers is equal to  $\{\beta(\xi)\}^n$ , as these servicetimes are mutually exclusive and stochastically independent. Therefore

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{F}_{n=0}^{\infty}\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^n}{n!} \left\{\beta(\xi)\right\}^n = e^{-t\left[1-\beta(\xi)\right]}. \tag{16}$$

For event B we have

$$P\{\mathcal{G}\} = P\{\underline{y}'_{1} > t + \underline{w}(t)\} =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\xi(t+w)} dP\{\underline{w}(t) \leqslant w\} = e^{-\xi t} \phi(t, \xi). \tag{17}$$

(4) This is an example of the kind mentioned in Cox (1957).

From (16) we have for the probability, that no  $E_{\xi}$  occurs during the time the counter is occupied by customers, who arrive in the interval (u, t)

$$e^{-(t-u)[1-\beta(\xi)]} \tag{18}$$

while F(u,0) is the probability, that at time u the counter is free. Therefore

$$\mathbf{P}\{\emptyset\} = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-u) [1-\beta(\xi)]} F(u,0) \xi e^{-\xi u} du.$$
 (19)

As event  $\mathcal{A}$  is clearly the conjunction of the disjoint events  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{O}\},\tag{20}$$

which combined with (16), (17) and (19) leads to (10).

Therefore Tákacs' result has now been derived by a probabilistic interpretation, for the relation (10) holds for all  $\xi$  with Re  $\xi \geqslant 0$  by analytic continuation .

#### B. — POLLACZEK'S FORMULA (5)

Let E be an incident (catastrophe), which happens with probability 1-X to a customer, these events being independent for the different customers and from each other. Consider the events

$$\mathcal{F}_{r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E \text{ does not happen with respect to any of the customers}$$
arriving in  $\underline{w}_{r} + \underline{s}_{r}$ , (21)

(22)

$$\mathcal{O}_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E \text{ does not happen with respect to customer } r+1 \text{ and does}$$
not happen with respect to any of the customers arriving in
$$\underline{w}_{r+1} \text{ (where either } \underline{w}_{r+1} = 0 \text{ or } \underline{w}_{r+1} > 0). \tag{23}$$

Because  $\mathcal{A}_r$  is the conjunction of the disjoint events  $\mathcal{B}_r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_r$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_r\} = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{B}_r\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{O}_r\}. \tag{24}$$

If t is the length of an interval, the probability of no customer arriving in that interval with respect to whom E happens, is given by (see (16) and its derivation)

<sup>(5)</sup> The results under B and C were obtained in collaboration with Prof. Dr. D. van Dantzig.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^n X^n}{n!} = e^{-t(1-X)}, \qquad (25)$$

SO

$$\mathbf{P}\{\beta_r\} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{e}} - (\underline{w}_r + \underline{s}_r)(1 - X) = \gamma_r(1 - X)\beta_r(1 - X), \qquad (26)$$

because of the independence of  $\underline{w}_r$  and  $\underline{s}_r$ . Further

$$\mathbf{P}\{\beta_r\} = (1 - X) \, \mathbf{P}\{\underline{w}_{r+1} = 0\}$$
 (27)

and

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{O}_r\} = X \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{e}} - \underline{w}_{r+1}(1 - X) = X_{\gamma_{r+1}}(1 - X). \tag{28}$$

If we write

$$\xi=1-X$$

then we have by (24), (26), (27) and (28)

$$\gamma_r(\xi) \beta_r(\xi) = \xi \mathbf{P} \{ \underline{w}_{r+1} = 0 \} + (1 - \xi) \gamma_{r+1} (\xi).$$
 (29)

If we consider the stationary situation connected with the process described on page 1, we may drop ( $^6$ ) the suffixes r and r + 1 from (29) to obtain

$$\gamma(\xi) \beta(\xi) = \xi P\{\underline{w} = 0\} + (1 - \xi) \gamma(\xi).$$
 (30)

This identity holds not only for  $0 \le X \le 1$  (or  $0 \le \xi \le 1$ ), but for all  $\xi$  with Re  $\xi \ge 0$ . From (27) we find by differentiation with respect to  $\xi$ , upon taking  $\xi = 0$ 

$$P\left\{\underline{w}=0\right\}=1-\varepsilon\underline{s}=1-\rho,\tag{31}$$

from which we see, that  $\rho \leqslant 1$  is necessary for stationarity. As is well known  $\rho < 1$  is the necessary and sufficient condition (see e.g. Kendall (1951)) for a stationary system.

From the relation (24) we have thus derived the well known Pollaczek-formula (30) (7). An equivalent form of (30) is

$$\gamma(\xi) = 1 - \rho + \frac{\rho\gamma(\xi)\{1 - \beta(\xi)\}}{\xi \mathcal{E}\underline{s}}.$$
 (32)

- (6) In Kesten and Runnenburg (1957) the details of this procedure are given. By specialization of the derivations given there to the case of one priority, a slightly less direct proof of (29) is obtained by the same method as is used here.
- (7) This formula was given in Pollaczek (1930) for the first time, see footnote on page 105 in Pollaczek (1957). For another probabilistic interpretation, see Foster's comment in Kendall (1957), page 213.

#### C. — KENDALL'S DECOMPOSITION

If we consider the incident E in B as a mark, which a customer may have, where again the probability of a customer having that mark is 1-X, we can infer a «principle» from equation (32), which can be used to give a probabilistic interpretation to the decomposition in components, as indicated in Kendall (1957) (see first footnote on page 208 and the corresponding passage in the text).

We suppose the system to be in statistical equilibrium. Arriving customers take a seat in a waitingroom, in which they stay during their waitingtime, i.e. from the moment they arrive until the counter can attend to them. Call a customer having mark E an E-customer. The « principle » can now be stated: the probability, that during the waitingtime of a customer,  $K_0$  say, no E-customer enters the waitingroom equals the probability, that no E-customer leaves that room during that time. As « statistical equilibrium » may be regarded as « statistical equilibrium in the waitingroom », this principle seems quite natural. One can prove that it is true by making use of the truth of (32).

For the event

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{during the waiting time of } K_0 \text{ no } E\text{-customer enters the}$$
waiting room (33)

clearly

$$\mathbf{P}\{(\beta_0) = \gamma(\xi) \tag{34}$$

(37)

holds.

We further consider the events

$$\mathcal{A}_0'$$
 = during  $K_0$ 's waitingtime no  $E$ -customer leaves the waitingroom, (35)

$$\mathcal{G}'_0$$
 = customer  $K_0$  finds an empty counter on arrival (in which case during his waitingtime certainly no customer, be it an  $E$ -customer or otherwise, leaves the waitingroom), (36)

If  $K_0$  finds the counter occupied on arrival, we call the customer who is served at that moment customer  $K_{-1}$ . Customer  $K_{-1}$  may be called the « ancestor » of customer  $K_0$ , in distinction of the « predecessor » of customer  $K_0$ , who is the last one arriving before  $K_0$ . If  $\underline{w}_{-1}$  is the waitingtime of  $K_{-1}$  and  $\underline{x}_{-1}$  the time between the start of  $K_{-1}$ 's service and  $K_0$ 's arrival, then  $\underline{w}_{-1}$  and  $\underline{x}_{-1}$  are independent random variables. The probability, that  $K_0$  finds the counter occu-

pied and that no E-customer leaves the waitingroom during  $K_0$ 's waitingtime is trivially equal to the probability, that neither during  $K_{-1}$ 's waitingtime  $\underline{w}_{-1}$  nor during the time  $\underline{x}_{-1}$  spend by  $K_{-1}$  at the counter before  $K_0$ 's arrival an E-customer enters the waitingroom. The probability, that no E-customer enters during a given interval of length t is  $e^{-t(1-X)}$  (see (25)).

Take the moment of  $K_{-1}$ 's arrival as the initial point of this interval. The probability, that a customer enters during an interval dt is dt + o(dt). Hence the probability that  $K_0$  enters during  $K_{-1}$ 's servicetime  $\underline{s}_{-1}$  and that no E-customer has entered after  $K_{-1}$ 's and before  $K_0$ 's arrival is given by

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{O}_{0}'\} = \mathcal{E} \int_{\mathbf{e}^{-t(1-X)}} \mathbf{dt} = \frac{\underline{w}_{-1}}{\mathbf{e}^{-t}} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 - \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - X) \right] (1 - X)^{-1} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 - \beta (1 - X)\right] (1 - X)^{-1} = \frac{\rho \gamma(\xi) \{1 - \beta(\xi)\}}{\xi \underbrace{\mathcal{E}} \underline{s}}, (38)$$

because  $\underline{w}_{-1}$  and  $\underline{s}_{-1}$  are independent.

For  $\mathcal{B}_0'$  we have (see (31))

$$\mathbf{P}\{\beta_{0}'\}=1-\rho. \tag{39}$$

Again  $\mathcal{A}'_0$  is the conjunction of the disjoint events  $\mathcal{A}'_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_0$  so

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_{o}'\} = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_{o}'\} + \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{O}_{o}'\}. \tag{40}$$

Because of (38), (39) and (40)

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{P}_{0}'\} = \mathbf{1} - \rho + \frac{\rho \gamma(\xi)\{\mathbf{1} - \beta(\xi)\}}{\xi \underbrace{\mathcal{E}}\underline{s}},\tag{41}$$

so we have proved with the help of (32)

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_0\} = \mathbf{P}\{\mathcal{A}_0'\},\tag{42}$$

which is just the « principle » stated earlier.

If we substitute for  $\gamma(\xi)$  on the right hand side in (32) the whole right hand side of that equation and iterate this procedure, we obtain Kendall's decomposition of (32)

$$\gamma(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-\rho) \rho^n \left\{ \frac{1-\beta(\xi)}{\xi \underbrace{\delta \underline{s}}} \right\}^n. \tag{43}$$

This relation shows, that the waiting time  $\underline{w}$  of any customer may be written (with  $\underline{w} = 0$  if  $\underline{n} = 0$ )

$$\underline{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{\underline{n}} \underline{z}_i,$$
 (44)

where the  $\underline{z}_i$  are independent random variables, all having the same distribution function, the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of which is

$$\frac{1-\beta(\xi)}{\xi \underbrace{\mathcal{E}\,\underline{s}}} \tag{45}$$

and n has a geometric distribution, with

$$\mathbf{P}\{\underline{n}=n\}=(1-\rho)\rho^n\ (n=0,\ 1,\ \ldots). \tag{46}$$

So far we considered only customers  $K_0$  and  $K_{-1}$ ,  $K_{-1}$  being the ancestor of  $K_0$ , if such an ancestor existed. Let  $K_{-i}$  be the ancestor of  $K_{-i+1}$  if  $K_{-i+1}$  has an ancestor, i. e. if the counter is occupied upon  $K_{-i+1}$ 's arrival, we call the customer who is served at that moment  $K_{-i}$ . Then  $\underline{n}$  is defined to be the number of ancestors of customer  $K_0$ .  $K_{-n}$  is thus the first customer (going back from  $K_0$  to  $K_{-1}$  etc.), who found an empty counter on arrival. Now

$$\mathbf{P}\{\underline{n}=n\}=(1-\rho)\rho^n \ (n=0,1,...) \tag{47}$$

because whether  $K_{-i+1}$  finds the counter occupied or not does not depend on what happens in his servicetime, so  $K_{-i+1}$  finds with probability  $\rho$  that customer  $K_{-i}$  is being served and with probability  $1-\rho$  an empty counter, whence (47) holds.

Let  $\underline{w}_{-i}$  be the waitingtime of customer  $K_{-i}$  and  $\underline{x}_{-i}$  the time from the start of  $K_{-i}$ 's service until  $K_{-i+1}$ 's arrival, then one can proceed in the following manner, the details of which are omitted.

The « principle » can be generalised (for  $n \ge 1$ ) to

$$P\{\text{no $E$-customer leaves in } \underline{w}_0 \mid \underline{n} = n\} =$$

$$= P\{\text{no $E$-customer arrives in } \underline{w}_{-1} + \underline{x}_{-1} \mid \underline{n} = n\}, \tag{48}$$

where  $(\underline{w}_{-1} | \underline{n} = n)$  and  $(\underline{x}_{-1} | \underline{n} = n)$  are still independent random variables, so (for  $n \ge 1$ )

$$P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer leaves in }\underline{w_0}\,|\,\underline{n}=n\}=$$

$$= P\{\text{no } E\text{-customer arrives in } \underline{w}_{-1} \mid \underline{n} = n\}.$$

$$P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{x}_{-1}\,|\,\underline{n}=n\}. \tag{49}$$

For  $n \geqslant 1$  we also have

$$P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{w}_{-1} \mid \underline{n} = n\} =$$

$$= P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{w}_{0} \mid \underline{n} = n - 1\}$$
(50)

and because  $w_0 = 0$  if n = 0

$$P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{w}_0 \,|\, \underline{n}=0\}=1,\tag{51}$$

while further for  $n \ge 1$ 

 $P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{x}_{-1}\mid\underline{n}=n\}=$ 

$$= \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{no}\ E\text{-customer arrives in }\underline{x}_{-1}\}. \tag{52}$$

Therefore because of (48), (49), (50), (51) and (52)

 $P\{\text{no }E\text{-customer leaves in }\underline{w_0}\mid\underline{n}=n\}=$ 

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\{\text{no E-customer arrives in } \underline{x}_{-i}\} = \left\{\frac{1-\beta(\xi)}{\xi \underbrace{\xi} \underline{s}}\right\}^{n},$$

$$(53)$$

which means that we may take

$$\underline{z_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{x_{-i}} \tag{54}$$

and that we have found a probalistic interpretation of (43). This formula may now be read: the probability, that during the waitingtime  $\underline{w}_0$  of a customer  $K_0$  no *E*-customer arrives is equal to the probability, that no *E*-customer arrives during the time  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{x}_{-i}$ , where  $\underline{n}$  is the number of ancestors of  $K_0$  and  $\underline{x}_{-i}$  the time between the start of  $K_{-i}$ 's service and  $K_{-i+1}$ 's arrival.

#### REFERENCES

- D. R. COX (1957) : Discussion of Mr Skellam's and Dr Shenton's paper, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B 19 (1957) 113-114.
- D. VAN DANTZIG (1947): Kadercursus Statistiek, Hoofdstuk 2, Stencilled notes of lectures held at the University of Amsterdam (1947).
- D. VAN DANTZIG (1948): Sur la méthode des fonctions génératrices, Colloques internationaux du centre national de la recherche scientifique 13 (1948) 29-45.
- D. VAN DANTZIG (1955): Chaînes de Markof dans les ensembles abstraits et applications aux processus avec régions absorbantes et au problème des boucles, Ann. de l'Inst. H. Poincaré 14 (facs. 3) (1955) 145-199.
- D. VAN DANTZIG (1957): Les fonctions génératrices liées à quelques tests nonparamétriques, Report S 224, Math. Centre, Amsterdam (1957).
- D. VAN DANTZIG and C. SCHEFFER (1954): On arbitrary hereditary time-discrete stochastic processes, considered as stationary Markov-Chains and the corresponding general form of Wald's fundamental identity, Indagationes Math. 16 (1954) 377-388.
- D. VAN DANTZIG and G. ZOUTENDIJK (1959): Itérations Markoviennes dans les ensembles abstraits, J. Math. pures et appl. 38 (1959) 183-200.

- D.G. KENDALL (1951): Some problems in the theory of queues, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B 13 (1951) 151-185.
- D.G. KENDALL (1957): Some problems in the theory of dams, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B 19 (1957) 207-233.
- H. KESTEN and J. TH. RUNNENBURG (1957): Priority in waitingline problems, I and II, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wet. A 60, Indagationes Math. 19 (1957) 312-366.
- F. POLLACZEK (1930): Über eine Aufgabe der Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie, Math. Z 32 (1930) 64-100, 729-750.
- F. POLLACZEK (1957): Problèmes stochastiques, posés par le phénomène de formation d'une queue d'attente à un guichet et par des phénomènes apparentés, Mémorial des Sciences Mathématiques, fascicule CXXXVI, Paris (1957).
- L. TAKACS (1955) : Investigation of waitingtime problems by reduction to Markov processes, Acta Math. Acad. Sc. Hung. VI (1955) 101-129.

#### RESUME

Dans cet article quelques formules connues, importantes pour la théorie d'attente à un guichet, sont dérivées à l'aide d'une interprétation probabilistique des fonctions génératrices et des fonctions génératrices des moments, suivant une méthode introduite par Van Dantzig (\*) (1947, 1948) et appliquée à quelques problèmes dans ces publications et d'autres (Van Dantzig (1955, 1957), Van Dantzig and Scheffer (1954), Van Dantzig and Zoutendijk (1959) et à des problèmes d'attente dans Kesten and Runnenburg (1957). En particulier on a traité quelques questions posées par D. R. Cox, D. G. Kendall et F. G. Foster dans le « Journal of the Royal Statistical Society », concernant la possibilité de telles interprétations.

Dans le présent article on donne une interprétation probabiliste pour la formule (9), due à L. Tákacs (Tákacs (1955)), la formule (32), due à F. Pollaczek (Pollaczek (1930)) et la décomposition de (32) comme donnée par (43), due à D. G. Kendall (Kendall (1957)).

<sup>(8)</sup> Une liste bibliographique se trouve à la fin de l'article.