

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM

S 406

SP 111

Note on a paper by H.G. Tucker

L. de Haan

Reprinted from

The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 41(1970)



1970

NOTE ON A PAPER BY H. G. TUCKER<sup>1</sup>

BY LAURENS DE HAAN

*Mathematisch Centrum*

**0. Summary.** The purpose of this note is to indicate a direct and natural way of proving theorems stated in [4] by using an explicit expression for the sequence of normalizing constants belonging to a distribution function attracted to a stable law. This results in a remark concerning a counter example given by Tucker and a slightly sharpened version of his Lemma 5.

**1. Determination of normalizing constants.** For a positive function  $f$  on the real line with  $f(\infty) = \infty$  we define

$$(1) \quad f^*(x) = \inf\{y \mid f(y) \geq x\} \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

This is an extension of the concept of the inverse function. We mention the following property.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be measurable regularly varying functions (see definition in [4]) with exponent  $\rho > 0$ , then  $\phi_1^*$  and  $\phi_2^*$  are regularly varying with exponent  $\rho^{-1}$ . For any  $c$  with  $0 \leq c \leq \infty$  we have

$$(2) \quad \phi_1(x)/\phi_2(x) \rightarrow c \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$(3) \quad \phi_1^*(x)/\phi_2^*(x) \rightarrow c^{-1/\rho} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Following Tucker we write  $F \in D(\alpha)$  when the distribution function  $F$  is in the domain of attraction of a stable law  $G_\alpha$  of characteristic exponent  $\alpha$ , i.e. if for suitably chosen constants  $B_n > 0$  and  $A_n$  the  $n$ -fold convolutions  $F^{n*}$  of  $F$  satisfy  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{n*}(B_n\{x + A_n\}) = G_\alpha(x)$  for every  $x$ . The numbers  $B_n$  are called *normalizing coefficients*.

LEMMA 2.<sup>2</sup>

(a) If  $F \in D(\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 2$ ) then

$$(4) \quad B_n \sim c \inf\{x \mid 1 - F(x) + F(-x - 0) \leq 1/n\} \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) If  $F \in D(\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ) then

$$(5) \quad B_n \sim c \inf\{x \mid x^{-2} \int_{-x}^x t^2 dF(t) \leq 1/n\} \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. As

$$(6) \quad \phi(x) = \sup_{a < s \leq x} s^2 \left\{ \int_{-s}^s t^2 dF(t) \right\}^{-1}$$

Received April 28, 1969.

<sup>1</sup> Report S406 (SP 111) Statistical Department, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam.

<sup>2</sup> Relation (4) is identical with (12) Chapter 7 Section 25 of [2].

which is a nondecreasing function for sufficiently large  $a$  and  $x \geq a$ , satisfies (slightly generalizing the argument in [3])

$$(7) \quad \phi(x) \sim x^2 \left\{ \int_{-x}^x t^2 dF(t) \right\}^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

$\phi$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\alpha$ . From this it follows

$$(8) \quad \phi(x-0)/\phi(x+0) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(9) \quad \phi(\phi^*(x)-0) \leq x \leq \phi(\phi^*(x)+0).$$

Combining (8) and (9) we obtain  $\phi(\phi^*(x)) \sim x$  for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . From this it follows using (7)

$$(10) \quad n\alpha_n^{-2} \int_{-\alpha_n}^{\alpha_n} t^2 dF(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ with} \\ \alpha_n = \inf \{x \mid x^{-2} \int_{-x}^x t^2 dF(t) \leq 1/n\}.$$

Relation (10) is identical with (8.14) page 304 of [1] and so the  $\alpha_n$  are normalizing constants for  $F$ . As two sequences of normalizing coefficients are asymptotically equal except for a multiplicative constant we have proved (5). The first part of the lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 page 275 of [1] and Lemma 1.

**2. Correspondence between  $F$  and  $\{B_n\}$ .** Lemma 5 of [4] states that a sequence of positive numbers is a sequence of normalizing constants for an  $F \in D(\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 2$ ) iff

$$(11) \quad B_n \sim \phi(n) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\phi(x)$  is a regularly varying function with exponent  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Clearly (11) implies

$$(12) \quad B_n^{-1} B_{nm} \rightarrow m^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } m = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Tucker gives an example of a sequence  $\{B_n\}$  satisfying (12) and not (11). This example might be somewhat misleading as becomes apparent from the following observation.

A sequence of normalizing constants  $\{B_n\}$  is always asymptotically equivalent to a monotone sequence of such coefficients (as is shown in Lemma 2). If we assume (12) for a sequence of positive numbers  $\{B_n\}$  asymptotically equivalent to a monotone sequence  $\{B'_n\}$  then (11) holds for  $\phi(x) = B'_{[x]}$  (where  $\phi$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\alpha^{-1}$ ) as can be seen from the next lemma. The sequence in Tucker's example is *not* asymptotically equivalent to a monotone sequence and this tends to confuse the point just made.

**LEMMA 3.** *If for a positive nondecreasing function  $\phi$  defined on an interval  $(a, \infty)$  and a constant  $\rho \geq 0$*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(nm)/\phi(n) = m^\rho \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

*$\phi$  is regularly varying with exponent  $\rho$ .*

PROOF. We first prove

$$(14) \quad \phi(n+1)/\phi(n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If (14) does not hold, we can select a sequence  $\{k_r\}$  of positive integers such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_r+1)/\phi(k_r) = c > 1$  ( $c \leq \infty$ ). We choose  $m$  such that  $1 \leq ((m+1)/m)^p < c$ ; take  $n_r = [k_r m^{-1}]$ , then by (13)

$$\begin{aligned} c &> ((m+1)/m)^p = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(n_r(m+1))/\phi(n_r m) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n_r m}^{n_r(m+1)-1} \phi(k+1)/\phi(k) \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_r+1)/\phi(k_r) = c, \end{aligned}$$

hence (14) is true.

Given  $x > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose positive integers  $m$  and  $r$  such that

$$(15) \quad x - \varepsilon < m/r < x < (m+1)/r < x + \varepsilon.$$

Defining for real  $t > 0$ ,  $n_t = [tr^{-1}]$  we have

$$(16) \quad \phi(n_t m)/\phi((n_t+1)r) \leq \phi(tx)/\phi(t) \leq \phi((n_t+1)(m+1))/\phi(n_t r).$$

Combining (13), (14), (16) and (15) we find

$$(x - \varepsilon)^p \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(tx)/\phi(t) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(tx)/\phi(t) \leq (x + \varepsilon)^p.$$

Hence we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(tx)/\phi(t) = x^p$ .

REMARK. It suffices to require (13) for two integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  for which  $\log m_1/\log m_2$  is irrational, e.g.  $m_1 = 2$  and  $m_2 = 3$ . The proof is simpler when one requires (13) for all  $m$ .

Using the Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 and

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{1-F(x)} \right\}^{**} \sim \frac{1}{1-F(x)} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

we can restate Tucker's Lemma 3 in the following way.

LEMMA 4. (a) Call two distribution functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  equivalent if for a  $c$  with  $0 < c < \infty$

$$1 - F_1(x) + F_1(-x-0) \sim c \{1 - F_2(x) + F_2(-x-0)\} \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty$$

and call two sequences of positive numbers  $\{B_n\}$  and  $\{B'_n\}$  equivalent if for a  $c$  with  $0 < c < \infty$

$$B_n \sim c B'_n \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For each  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 2$  there is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of distribution functions  $F$  from  $D(\alpha)$  and those equivalence classes of sequences of positive numbers  $\{B_n\}$ , which contain a nondecreasing sequence satisfying (12) (then every sequence in the equivalence class satisfies (12)). The correspondence is:  $\{B_n\}$  is a sequence of normalizing constants for  $F$ .

(b) Call two distribution functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  equivalent if for a  $c$  with  $0 < c < \infty$

$$\int_{-x}^x t^2 dF_1(t) \sim c \int_{-x}^x t^2 dF_2(t) \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow \infty$$

and call two sequences of positive numbers  $\{B_n\}$  and  $\{B'_n\}$  equivalent if for a  $c$  with  $0 < c < \infty$

$$B_n \sim cB'_n \quad \text{or } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of distribution functions  $F$  from  $D(2)$  and those equivalence classes of sequences of positive numbers  $\{B_n\}$  which contain a nondecreasing sequence satisfying (12) with  $\alpha^{-1} = 0$  (then every sequence in the equivalence class satisfies (12)). The correspondence is:  $\{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} B_n\}$  is a sequence of normalizing constants for  $F$ .

It is not difficult to give a direct proof of the statement about normalizing constants contained in Tucker's Theorem 2 based on Lemmas 1 and 2 in this note.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] FELLER, W. (1966). An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications 2. Wiley, New York.
- [2] GNEDENKO, B. V. and KOLMOGOROV, A. N. (1954). *Limit Theorems for Sums of Independent Random Variables*. Addison-Wesley, Reading.
- [3] KARAMATA, J. (1930). Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions. *Mathematica (Cluj)* 4 38-53.
- [4] TUCKER, H. G. (1968). Convolutions of distributions attracted to stable laws. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 39 1381-1390.