

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING MATHEMATISCHE STATISTIEK  
(DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS)

SW 58/78

JUNI

C.H. KRAFT & C. VAN EEDEN

BOUNDS FOR THE MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION  
OF LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF ORDER STATISTICS

Preprint

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

Bounds for the mean and standard deviation of linear combinations of order statistics<sup>1) \*</sup>

by

Charles H. Kraft & Constance van Eeden

*Université de Montréal*<sup>2)</sup>

SUMMARY

In this paper bounds are given for  $E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$ , where  $O_1, \dots, O_N$  are the order statistics of  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ , a random vector with density  $p_{\theta}(x_1 - \theta_1, \dots, x_N - \theta_N)$  for some  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N)$  and  $p_0(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  a completely arbitrary density with respect to Lebesgue measure in  $R_N$ . If the  $X_i$  are exchangeable under  $p_0$  the bounds depend only on  $E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$  and  $\sigma_0(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$  plus quantities that can be computed without knowledge of  $p_0$ . The bounds are attainable for some distributions and nearly attainable for some others.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Order statistics, linear combinations of order statistics, bounds for means and standard deviations.*

---

1) Research supported by the Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, Grant from F.C.A.C., by the National Research Council of Canada, Grants No. A3038 and No. A3114 plus supplemental travel grants and by the Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam.

2) This paper was written while the authors were on leave at the Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam.

\* This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p_0(x) = p_0(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  be a given density with respect to Lebesgue measure in  $R_N$  and consider the family  $p_\theta(x) = p_0(x_1 - \theta_1, \dots, x_N - \theta_N)$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in R_N$ . Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  be a random vector whose density is  $p_\theta$  for some  $\theta$  and let  $O_1, \dots, O_N$  be the order statistics of  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

In this paper bounds are given for  $E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$  and  $\sigma_\theta(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$ . These bounds are of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f_1(\theta, \alpha) \leq E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s - E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \leq f_2(\theta, \alpha)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_\theta \left( \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \right) \leq \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 \left( \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \right) + f_3(\theta, \alpha) + f_4(\theta, \alpha)},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ .

The bounds (1.1) require no other assumptions than those above; (1.2) requires a further condition; exchangeability under  $p_0$  of the  $X_i$ , for example, is sufficient (see section 3 for the exact condition and also for a bound for  $\sigma_\theta(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$  which uses only the assumptions for (1.1)).

With the condition of exchangeability of the  $X_i$  under  $p_0$  the functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  and  $f_4$  can be computed without knowledge of  $p_0$ .

Examples will be given to show that the bounds are in some circumstances exactly attainable and in others almost attainable.

In what follows, section 2 contains the derivation of the bounds for the mean, section 3 the derivation of the bound for the standard deviation. The computation of the functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  and  $f_4$  when the  $X_i$  are exchangeable under  $p_0$  is discussed in section 4. Distributions for which the bounds are attained as well as examples where they are almost attained are given in section 5; section 6 contains some comparisons between actual values and their bounds.

## 2. BOUNDS FOR THE EXPECTATION OF $\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$ .

It will be helpful to consider first the expectation of a single order statistic,  $O_s$ .

Straightforward computation gives

$$E_{\theta} O_s = \sum_j \int_{T_j} x_{j_s} p_{\theta}(x) dx,$$

where  $T_j = \{x_{j_1} \leq \dots \leq x_{j_N}\}$  and  $j = \{j_1, \dots, j_N\}$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Let  $y_r = x_r - \theta_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, N$ , then

$$E_{\theta} O_s = \sum_j \int_{B_j} (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) p_0(y) dy$$

with  $B_j = \{y_{j_1} + \theta_{j_1} \leq \dots \leq y_{j_N} + \theta_{j_N}\}$ . With  $A_i = \{y_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq y_{i_N}\}$ ,  $i = \{i_1, \dots, i_N\}$  a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad E_{\theta} O_s = \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_i B_j} (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) p_0(y) dy,$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  range independently through the  $N!$  permutations of  $1, \dots, N$ .

Concerning the sets  $A_i B_j$  we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *For each  $(i,j)$  there either exists  $k$  such that*

$$(i) \quad k \in \{i_s, \dots, i_N\}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} \geq y_{i_s} + \theta_k \quad \text{for all } y \in A_i B_j$$

or  $A_i B_j$  is empty.

PROOF. Suppose there exists  $j$  such that  $A_i B_j \neq \emptyset$  and for which there is no  $k$  with (i) and (ii). Then for every  $k \in \{i_s, \dots, i_N\}$  we have

$$y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} < y_{i_s} + \theta_k \quad \text{for some } y \in A_i B_j,$$

which implies, because

$$y_{i_s} \leq y_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \{i_s, \dots, i_N\} \text{ and all } y \in A_{i_j} B_j,$$

that for all  $k \in \{i_s, \dots, i_N\}$

$$y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} < y_k + \theta_k \quad \text{for some } y \in A_{i_j} B_j.$$

This means that, for all  $k \in \{i_s, \dots, i_N\}$ ,  $k$  is strictly to the right of  $j_s$  in the  $j$ -permutation, but this is a contradiction because  $\{i_s, \dots, i_N\}$  contains  $N-s+1$  integers and the number of integers strictly to the right of  $j_s$  is only  $N-s$ .

In the same way the following lemma can be proved.

LEMMA 2.2. *For each  $(i, j)$  there either exists  $k$  such that*

$$(i) \quad k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} \leq y_{i_s} + \theta_k \quad \text{for all } y \in A_{i_j} B_j$$

or  $A_{i_j} B_j$  is empty.

If we now define the random variables whose values on each  $A_i$  are

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \theta_{ls} = \min\{\theta_{i_s}, \dots, \theta_{i_N}\} \\ \theta_{us} = \max\{\theta_{i_1}, \dots, \theta_{i_s}\} \end{cases} \quad s = 1, \dots, N,$$

then we have, on each  $A_{i_j} B_j$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad y_{i_s} + \theta_{ls} \leq y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} \leq y_{i_s} + \theta_{us}, \quad s = 1, \dots, N.$$

Integrating with respect to  $p_0$  and using (2.1) gives

$$(2.4) \quad E_0 O_s + E_0 \theta_{\ell_s} \leq E_{\theta} O_s \leq E_0 O_s + E_0 \theta_{us} \quad s = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $E_0 \theta_{\ell_s} = \sum_i P_0(A_i) \theta_{\ell_s}$ .

Clearly, for a monotone nondecreasing function  $h(O_s)$

$$E_0 h(O_s + \theta_{\ell_s}) \leq E_{\theta} h(O_s) \leq E_0 h(O_s + \theta_{us}), \quad s = 1, \dots, N,$$

can be obtained as above. A similar result holds for a function  $h(O_1, \dots, O_N)$ , where  $h$  is monotone in each variable; the upperbound and lowerbound for  $E_{\theta} h(O_1, \dots, O_N)$  are determined by the direction of the monotonicity of  $h$  in each argument. This result will now be used to find bounds for the expectation of linear combinations  $\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$ . First note that on  $A_i B_j$  we have, for each  $s = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s} = y_{i_s} + y_{j_s} - y_{i_s} + \theta_{j_s} = y_{i_s} + \Delta_{ijs}, \quad \text{say.}$$

Summing (2.5) with respect to  $s$  gives

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{s=1}^N \Delta_{ijs} = \sum_{s=1}^N \theta_{j_s} = N\bar{\theta}.$$

Hence we can write

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) &= \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s \Delta_{ijs} = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{s=1}^N (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \Delta_{ijs} + \alpha_0 N\bar{\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_0$  is an arbitrary constant. Since, by (2.3),  $\Delta_{ijs}$  is in the interval  $[\theta_{\ell_s}, \theta_{us}]$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) &\geq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{\ell_s} + \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{us} + \alpha_0 N\bar{\theta} \end{aligned}$$



and

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) \leq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{us} + \\ + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{\ell_s} + \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta}.$$

Integrating with respect to  $p_0$  gives the following lower and upper bounds

$$(2.10) \quad E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \geq E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{\ell_s} + \\ + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{us} + \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \leq E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{us} + \\ + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{\ell_s} + \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta}.$$

The distance between these bounds is

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s}),$$

which is minimized by choosing  $\alpha_0$  as the median of the  $\alpha_s$  with respect to the probabilities

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s})}{\sum_{s=1}^N E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s})}, \quad s = 1, \dots, N.$$

Sometimes a shorter bound can be found by maximizing (2.10) and minimizing (2.11) separately. Consider the upper bound (2.11) which can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s - E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s E_0 \theta_{us} - \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}) + \alpha_0 [N\bar{\theta} - \sum_{s=1}^N E_0 \theta_{us}] \\
& = \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s E_0 \theta_{us} + g(\alpha_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $g(\alpha_0)$  is continuous and has a nondecreasing derivative (except for  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, N$ ) which is nonpositive for small  $\alpha_0$  and nonnegative for large  $\alpha_0$ ,  $g(\alpha_0)$  either has a minimum or an interval of minima and a minimizing  $\alpha_0$  is an  $\alpha_{(s_0)}$  which satisfies the two inequalities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\alpha_{(s)} < \alpha_{(s_0)}} E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}) \leq \sum_{s=1}^N E_0 \theta_{us} - N\bar{\theta} \\ \sum_{\alpha_{(s)} \leq \alpha_{(s_0)}} E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}) \geq \sum_{s=1}^N E_0 \theta_{us} - N\bar{\theta}, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\alpha_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{(N)}$  are the ordered values of  $\alpha_s$ .

In the same way an  $\alpha_0$  maximizing the lower bound for  $E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$  is an  $\alpha_{(s_0)}$  which satisfies the two inequalities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\alpha_{(s)} < \alpha_{(s_0)}} E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}) \leq N\bar{\theta} - \sum_{s=1}^N E_0 \theta_{\ell s} \\ \sum_{\alpha_{(s)} \leq \alpha_{(s_0)}} E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}) \geq N\bar{\theta} - \sum_{s=1}^N E_0 \theta_{\ell s}. \end{array} \right.$$

An example where a shorter bound is obtained by maximizing (2.10) and minimizing (2.11) separately is the following. Let  $N = 4$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0 < \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta_4 = \theta$  and let the  $X_i$  be  $p_0$ -exchangeable. Then, for  $\alpha_4 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_3 < \alpha_2$ , the median of the  $\alpha_s$  with respect to the probabilities (2.13) is  $\alpha_3$ . Using this

median for  $\alpha_0$  in the bounds for  $E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s - E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$  gives

$$\text{lower bound} = \theta \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \right\}$$

$$\text{upper bound} = \theta \left\{ \alpha_2 + \frac{5}{4} \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{4} \alpha_4 \right\}$$

and the length of this interval is  $\theta \left[ \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) + \frac{1}{4} (\alpha_3 - \alpha_4) \right]$ . The upper bound is minimized for  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$  and the upper bound then becomes

$$\theta \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{4} \alpha_4 \right\}.$$

The lower bound is maximized for  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_3$  which is the median. The difference between these upper- and lowerbounds is  $\theta \left[ \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) + \frac{1}{4} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_4) \right]$  and the difference between the two lengths is  $\frac{1}{4} \theta (\alpha_3 - \alpha_1) > 0$ .

If  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  are  $p_0$ -exchangeable, then  $p_0(A_i) = \frac{1}{N!}$ , the distributions of  $\theta_{\ell_s}$  and  $\theta_{us}$  do not depend on  $p_0$  and are simple to compute. Some distributions of  $\theta_{\ell_s}$  and  $\theta_{us}$  are given in section 4.

### 3. UPPERBOUND FOR THE STANDARD DEVIATION OF $\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$ .

From (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) \text{ is} \\ \leq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{us} + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{\ell_s} + \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta} \text{ and} \\ \geq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{\ell_s} + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) \theta_{us} + \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, if

$$Y = \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s (y_{j_s} + \theta_{j_s}) - E_{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s,$$

$$X = \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s y_{i_s} - E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s,$$

$$Z_1 = \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{l_s} - E_0 \theta_{l_s}) + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{u_s} - E_0 \theta_{u_s}),$$

$$Z_2 = \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{u_s} - E_0 \theta_{u_s}) + \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{l_s} - E_0 \theta_{l_s}),$$

$$A = \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta} - E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{l_s} +$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{u_s},$$

$$B = \alpha_0 N \bar{\theta} - E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + \sum_{\alpha_s > \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{u_s} +$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha_s < \alpha_0} (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 \theta_{l_s},$$

(3.1) becomes

$$(3.2) \quad X + Z_1 + A \leq Y \leq X + Z_2 + B.$$

To find an upper bound for  $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$  consider parabolas

$$k \left( X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + A + B}{2} \right)^2 + \ell$$

with  $k$  and  $\ell$  such that

$$\max\{(X + Z_1 + A)^2, (X + Z_2 + B)^2\} \leq k \left\{ X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + A + B}{2} \right\}^2 + \ell.$$

With a little algebra one sees that  $k > 1$  and

$$\ell \geq \frac{k}{k-1} \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2$$

are necessary. Let

$$\ell = \frac{k}{k-1} \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2$$

and  $k$  will be chosen after integration. Integrating with respect to  $p_0$  gives

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma_\theta^2 \left( \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \right) \leq k E_0 \left( X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + A + B}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{k}{k-1} E_0 \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2$$

for all  $k > 1$ .

Minimizing the right hand side of (3.3) with respect to  $k > 1$  one finds for the minimizing value of  $k$

$$k = 1 + \left\{ \frac{E_0 \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2}{E_0 \left( X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + A + B}{2} \right)^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Substitution of this value in (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_\theta^2 \left( \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \right) &\leq \left\{ E_0 \left( X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2 + A + B}{2} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ E_0 \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{ E_0 \left( X + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{A+B}{2} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ E_0 \left( \frac{Z_2 + B - Z_1 - A}{2} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This bound for  $\sigma_\theta \left( \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s \right)$  contains  $E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s$ ; also, it depends on the correlation between  $X$  and  $Z_1 + Z_2$ . Using (2.10) and (2.11) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A+B|}{2} &= |\alpha_0 N \bar{\theta} - E_\theta \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + E_0 \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^N (\alpha_s - \alpha_0) E_0 (\theta_{us} + \theta_{\ell s})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| E_0 (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell s}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this bound in (3.4) gives the following bound for  $\sigma_{\theta}(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad \sigma_{\theta}(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s) &\leq \\
 &\leq \{ \sigma_0^2(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^N (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{us} + \theta_{\ell_s}) \} + \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| E_0(\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s}) \right)^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\
 &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{4} E_0 \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s}) \right\}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  and  $Z_1 + Z_2$  are uncorrelated under  $p_0$ , for example if the  $X_i$  are  $p_0$ -exchangeable, the bound (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \sigma_{\theta}(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s) &\leq \\
 &\leq \{ \sigma_0^2(\sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s O_s) + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_0^2(\sum_{s=1}^N (\alpha_s - \alpha_0)(\theta_{us} + \theta_{\ell_s})) \} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| E_0(\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s}) \right)^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\
 &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{4} E_0 \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^N |\alpha_s - \alpha_0| (\theta_{us} - \theta_{\ell_s}) \right\}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. THE DISTRIBUTION OF $(\theta_{\ell_s}, \theta_{us})$ WHEN THE $X_i$ ARE $p_0$ -EXCHANGEABLE.

Let  $\theta_1' < \dots < \theta_M'$  be the ordered values of the  $\theta$ 's, let, for  $k = 1, \dots, M$ ,  $N_k$  be the number of  $\theta_j' \leq \theta_k'$  and let  $N_0 = 0$ . If the  $X_i$  are  $p_0$ -exchangeable, then  $P_0(A_i) = \frac{1}{N!}$  and a somewhat lengthy but straightforward combinatorial argument gives, for the joint distribution of  $\theta_{\ell_s}$  and  $\theta_{us}$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{N!}{(s-1)!(N-s)!} P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta'_j, \theta_{us} = \theta'_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{(N_k - N_{k-1})!}{(s-1-N_{k-1})!(N_k-s)!} & \text{if } j = k \text{ and } N_{k-1}+1 \leq s \leq N_k, \\ A - B - C + D & \text{if } j < k \text{ and } N_{j-1}+1 \leq s \leq N_k, \\ 0 & \\ \text{otherwise,} & \end{cases}$$

where

$$A = \frac{(N_k - N_{j-1})!}{(s-1-N_{j-1})!(N_k-s)!}$$

$$B = \begin{cases} \frac{(N_k - N_j)!}{(s-1-N_j)!(N_k-s)!} & \text{if } s \geq N_j + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{(N_{k-1} - N_{j-1})!}{(s-1-N_{j-1})!(N_{k-1}-s)!} & \text{if } s \leq N_{k-1} \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

$$D = \begin{cases} \frac{(N_{k-1} - N_j)!}{(s-1-N_j)!(N_{k-1}-s)!} & \text{if } N_j + 1 \leq s \leq N_{k-1} \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

From (4.1), or by direct computation, one obtains for the marginal distributions of  $\theta_{\ell_s}$  and  $\theta_{us}$

$$(4.2) \quad \binom{N}{N-s+1} P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta'_k) = \binom{N-N_{k-1}}{N-s+1} - \binom{N-N_k}{N-s+1}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \binom{N}{s} P_0(\theta_{us} = \theta'_k) = \binom{N_k}{s} - \binom{N_{k-1}}{s}.$$

If the  $\theta$ 's are all unequal then  $N_k = k$  and the joint and marginal distributions of  $\theta_{\ell_s}$  and  $\theta_{us}$  become

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{N!}{(s-1)!(N-s)!} P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta'_j, \theta_{us} = \theta'_k) = \begin{cases} (k-s) \binom{k-j-1}{s-j-1} + \binom{k-j}{s-j} \\ \text{if } j \leq s \leq k \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.5) \quad P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta'_k) = \frac{\binom{N-k}{N-s}}{\binom{N}{N-s+1}} = \frac{N-s+1}{s} \frac{\binom{s}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad P_0(\theta_{us} = \theta'_k) = \frac{\binom{k-1}{s-1}}{\binom{N}{s}} = \frac{s}{N-s+1} \frac{\binom{N-s+1}{N-k+1}}{\binom{N}{N-k+1}}.$$

Two simple limiting distributions for  $\theta_{\ell_s}$ , when the  $\theta$ 's are all unequal, are the following. If  $\frac{s}{N} \rightarrow \lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then

$$(4.7) \quad P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta'_k) \rightarrow \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \lambda^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $s = N - a$ ,  $a$  fixed, then

$$(4.8) \quad P_0(\theta_{\ell_s} \leq \theta'_{[Nx]}) \rightarrow (a+1) \int_0^x (1-t)^a dt \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Similar results hold for the distribution of  $\theta_{us}$ .



If  $M = 2$ , i.e. the two sample problem, then the joint distribution of  $(\theta_{ls}, \theta_{us})$  is

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(\theta_{ls} = \theta_{us} = \theta'_1) = \frac{\binom{n}{s}}{\binom{N}{s}}, \\ P_0(\theta_{ls} = \theta'_1, \theta_{us} = \theta'_2) = 1 - \frac{\binom{n}{s}}{\binom{N}{s}} - \frac{\binom{m}{N-s+1}}{\binom{N}{N-s+1}}, \\ P_0(\theta_{ls} = \theta_{us} = \theta'_2) = \frac{\binom{m}{N-s+1}}{\binom{N}{N-s+1}}, \end{cases}$$

where  $n = N_1$  and  $m = N - N_1$ . The marginal distributions are

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(\theta_{ls} = \theta'_1) = 1 - \frac{\binom{m}{N-s+1}}{\binom{N}{N-s+1}} \\ P_0(\theta_{ls} = \theta'_2) = \frac{\binom{m}{N-s+1}}{\binom{N}{N-s+1}} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(\theta_{us} = \theta'_1) = \frac{\binom{n}{s}}{\binom{N}{s}} \\ P_0(\theta_{us} = \theta'_2) = 1 - \frac{\binom{n}{s}}{\binom{N}{s}}. \end{cases}$$

Note that, for the two sample case, one of the distributions of  $\theta_{ls}$  and  $\theta_{us}$  is degenerate, so  $\theta_{ls}$  and  $\theta_{us}$  are independent.

## 5. ATTAINABILITY

In this section distributions are given for which the bounds are attained, as well as examples where they are almost attained.

EXAMPLE a. If  $p_0$  is concentrated on a single  $A_i$ , say  $i = \{1, \dots, N\}$ , and the order of the  $\theta$ 's is the same as  $i$ , here  $\theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_N$ , then  $\theta_{\ell_s} = \theta_{us} = \theta_{is}$ , here  $\theta_s$ , with probability 1 for every  $s$  and the inequalities (2.10) and (2.11) for the mean and the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) for the standard deviation become equalities.

EXAMPLE b. If the  $\alpha_s$  are all equal, say equal to  $\frac{1}{N}$ , and  $\alpha_0$  is the common value then the inequalities (2.10), (2.11), (3.5) and (3.6) are equalities.

EXAMPLE c. The inequalities (2.10), (2.11), (3.5) and (3.6) are continuous as the  $\theta$ 's approach equality and hence can be made arbitrary close to equality for  $\theta_{\max} - \theta_{\min}$  sufficiently small.

EXAMPLE d.  $\theta_{\max} - \theta_{\min}$  does not have to be close to zero to get approximate equality. For  $N$  odd let  $X_1, \dots, X_{N+2}$  be independent. For large  $N$  there is practically no difference between the distribution of the median of  $X_1, \dots, X_{N+2}$  from  $U(0,1)$  and the median of  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  from  $U(0,1)$ . Hence, for  $\theta > 1$ , there is, for large  $N$ , practically no difference between the distribution of the median of  $X_1, \dots, X_{N+2}$  from  $U(0,1)$  and the median of  $X_1, \dots, X_{N+2}$  for  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  from  $U(0,1)$  and  $X_{N+1}, X_{N+2}$  from  $U(\theta, \theta+1)$  and the inequalities will be almost equalities.

## 6. SOME COMPARISONS BETWEEN ACTUAL VALUES AND THEIR BOUNDS

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  be independent with  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  double exponential with mean 0 and  $X_3$  double exponential with mean  $\theta \geq 0$ . Tables 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 below give, for several values of  $\theta$ , ( $\sigma = \sigma(X_i) = \sqrt{2}$ ) the values of  $E_{\theta} O_s$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_s)$ ,  $s = 1, 2, 3$ , together with the bounds (2.10), (2.11) and (3.6). For all three tables and all values of  $\theta$  the value of  $\alpha_0$  used for the bounds is  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . This value of  $\alpha_0$  maximizes the lower bound (2.10), minimizes the upper bound (2.11) and is the median of the  $\alpha_s$  with respect to the weights (2.13).

Table 6.1

Comparison of  $E_{\theta}O_1$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_1)$  with their bounds

$\theta$	$E_{\theta}O_1$			$\sigma_{\theta}(O_1)$	
	lower bound	value	upper bound	value	upper bound
0	-1.125	-1.125	-1.125	1.1895	1.1895
.04 $\sigma$	-1.125	-1.1065	-1.1061	1.1895	1.2060
.1 $\sigma$	-1.125	-1.0803	-1.0779	1.1896	1.2310
.4 $\sigma$	-1.125	-.9732	-.9364	1.1904	1.3640
$\sigma$	-1.125	-.8488	-.6536	1.1932	1.6659

Table 6.2

Comparison of  $E_{\theta}O_2$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_2)$  with their bounds

$\theta$	$E_{\theta}O_2$			$\sigma_{\theta}(O_2)$	
	lower bound	value	upper bound	value	upper bound
0	0	0	0	.7993	.7993
.04 $\sigma$	0	.0189	.0377	.7995	.8227
.1 $\sigma$	0	.0471	.0943	.8003	.8591
.4 $\sigma$	0	.1838	.3771	.8154	1.0629
$\sigma$	0	.4111	.9428	.8852	1.5634

Table 6.3

Comparison of  $E_{\theta}O_3$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_3)$  with their bounds

$\theta$	$E_{\theta}O_3$			$\sigma_{\theta}(O_3)$	
	lower bound	value	upper bound	value	upper bound
0	1.125	1.125	1.125	1.1895	1.1895
.04 $\sigma$	1.1439	1.1443	1.1816	1.1895	1.2128
.1 $\sigma$	1.1721	1.1747	1.2664	1.1896	1.2486
.4 $\sigma$	1.3136	1.3551	1.6907	1.1920	1.4427
$\sigma$	1.5964	1.8520	2.5392	1.2138	1.8996

As a second example, let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$  be independent with  $X_1$  and  $X_2$   $U(0,1)$  and  $X_3$  and  $X_4$   $U(\theta, \theta+1)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . Tables 6.4 and 6.5 below give, for several values of  $\theta$ , ( $\sigma = \sigma(X_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ ) the values of  $E_{\theta}O_1$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_1)$ ,  $E_{\theta} \frac{O_1+O_4}{2}$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(\frac{O_1+O_4}{2})$ . In both tables and for all values of  $\theta$  the value of  $\alpha_0$  used for the bounds in  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ; this value maximizes the lower bound (2.10), minimizes the upper bound (2.11) and is the median of the  $\alpha_s$  with respect to the weights (2.13).

Table 6.4

Comparison of  $E_{\theta}O_1$  and  $\sigma_{\theta}(O_1)$  with their bounds

$\theta$	$E_{\theta}O_1$			$\sigma_{\theta}(O_1)$	
	lower bound	value	upper bound	value	upper bound
0	.2	.2	.2	.1633	.1633
.04 $\sigma$	.2	.2057	.2058	.1634	.1674
.1 $\sigma$	.2	.2139	.2144	.1638	.1738
.4 $\sigma$	.2	.2494	.2577	.1700	.2091
$\sigma$	.2	.2967	.3443	.1937	.2046

Table 6.5

Comparison of  $E_{\theta} \frac{{}^0_1+{}^0_4}{2}$  and  $\sigma_{\theta} \left( \frac{{}^0_1+{}^0_4}{2} \right)$  with their bounds

$\theta$	$E_{\theta} \frac{{}^0_1+{}^0_4}{2}$			$\sigma_{\theta} \left( \frac{{}^0_1+{}^0_4}{2} \right)$	
	lower bound	value	upper bound	value	upper bound
0	.5	.5	.5	.1291	.1291
.04 $\sigma$	.5029	.5058	.5087	.1291	.1329
.1 $\sigma$	.5072	.5144	.5217	.1294	.1387
.4 $\sigma$	.5289	.5577	.5866	.1327	.1706
$\sigma$	.5722	.6443	.7165	.1451	.2468

*Département de Mathématiques et de Statistique  
 Université de Montréal  
 Montréal, Québec, Canada.*

ONTWARSEN 2 2 JUNI 1978