## STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49 AMSTERDAM AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

WN 19

On topologies congruent with their classes

of dense sets

by

George E. Strecker

June 1966

6

## On topologies congruent with their classes of dense sets

In this note a method is described for constructing topological spaces having the property that each non-empty set is open if and only if it is dense (i.e. the collection of non-empty <u>open</u> sets is precisely the same as the collection of <u>dense</u> sets). Such spaces will be called OD spaces.

As is seen below no OD spaces can be Hausdorff. In fact, in a sense they are all extremely non-Hausdorff. Also it is clear from the definition that no OD space has disjoint dense subsets.

In general it is not difficult to construct examples of OD spaces. Clearly a point with the indiscrete topology and the space  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  where  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$  are examples. In fact  $T_0$  OD spaces can easily be described on an arbitrary set X. Let p be some distinguished point of X and let

 $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} = \{\phi\} \boldsymbol{\upsilon} \{ A \boldsymbol{c} X \mid p \boldsymbol{\epsilon} A \},$  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} = \{ X \} \boldsymbol{\upsilon} \{ A \boldsymbol{c} X \mid p \boldsymbol{\epsilon} A \}.$ 

Then both  $(X, \mathbf{\tau}_1)$  and  $(X, \mathbf{\tau}_2)$  are  $T_0$  OD spaces.

However, to construct OD spaces with  $T_1$  separation or indeed to determine the existence of such spaces presents a more difficult problem. To do this we first consider a class of spaces more general than the OD spaces.

The following proposition is easily verified.

<u>Proposition 1.</u> In a topological space the following properties are equivalent.

- i) every non-empty open set is connected;
- ii) every non-empty open set is dense;
- iii) every pair of non-empty open sets has a non-empty intersection.

We call a space <u>super-connected</u> provided it satisfies one of the conditions of proposition 1. The co-finite topology on a given set is a well known example of a super-connected space. The following three propositions are obvious.

Proposition 2. Every OD space is super-connected.

Proposition 3. Every super-connected space is both connected and locally connected.

Proposition 4. No super-connected space is Hausdorff.

It is known that super-connected spaces exist in profusion. In fact each non-compact Hausdorff k-space has an associated T1 superconnected space which determines it and is determined by it (cf. Epicompactness and anti-spaces, Report WN 20, Math. Centrum Amsterdam, June 1966). Below, a method is given for modifying any given  $T_1$ super-connected space so that it becomes an OD space.

<u>Notation</u>. If f is a collection of subsets of X, then  $f^{\nabla}$  will denote the topology generated by  $\boldsymbol{f}$  (considered as an open subbase).

<u>Theorem 1</u>. For every  $T_1$  super-connected space,  $(X, \mathbf{C})$ , there exists an OD space  $(X, \tau^*)$  such that  $\tau^*$  is finer (stronger) than  $\tau$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  is super-connected. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of all **T**-dense subsets of X. Well order  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ ; i.e. let  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = \{ D_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \gamma \}$ where each  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number greater than 0. Let  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau$ . Proceeding by induction, suppose that  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number such that 0 <  $\alpha$  <  $\gamma$ and such that for all  $\beta < \alpha, \Upsilon_{\beta}$  has been defined. We define  $\Upsilon_{\alpha}$  as follows:

 $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta} \cup \{D_{\alpha}\})^{\nabla} \text{ if this topology has no isolated points and if} \\ D_{\alpha} \text{ is dense in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta} \text{ for all } \beta < \alpha. \end{cases}$  $(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta})^{\nabla} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$ In this manner  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}$  is determined for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\star} = (\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha})^{\nabla}.$ 

We claim that  $(X, \tau)$  is an OD space.

Suppose that B is dense in  $(X, \mathcal{K})$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}$ , B is dense in  $(X, \mathbf{T})$ . Thus B**\epsilon \mathbf{D}**, so that B = D for some  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Suppose that D  $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$ . Then since  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$  is coarser than  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{X}}$ , we have B  $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{X}}$ ; i.e. B is τ-open. ç

2

If  $D_{\alpha} \notin \tau_{\alpha}$ , then either

or

a)  $D_{\alpha}$  is not dense in  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ ,

b)  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta} \cup \{D_{\alpha}\})^{\nabla}$  has an isolated point.

<u>case a</u>) Since  $D_{\alpha}$  is  $\tau$ -dense it must be  $\tau_{\beta}$ -dense for all  $\beta < \gamma$ , since each  $\tau_{\beta}$  is coarser than  $\tau$ . Thus this case cannot occur.

<u>case b</u>) In this case there must exist some  $\beta' < \alpha$  and some  $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ , such that  $U \wedge D_{\alpha} = \{p\}$ . But since  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $T_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ , is finer than  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ , will be  $T_1$ . Thus  $(U - \{p\}) \in \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ . But  $(U - \{p\}) \wedge D_{\alpha} = \emptyset$ , so that  $D_{\alpha}$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ , which, as shown in case a), is not possible. Hence we have shown that every  $\mathcal{T}$ -dense set is  $\mathcal{T}$ -open.

To show that every  $\tau^*$ -open set is  $\tau^*$ -dense, we assume that

$$G = U \wedge D_{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\alpha_{m}} \text{ and}$$
$$H = V \wedge D_{\beta_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\beta_{m}}$$

are non-empty basic open members of  $\mathbf{T}^{\star}$ ; i.e.  $U, V \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $D_{\alpha_{i}}, D_{\beta_{j}} \in \mathbf{D}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq n, 1 \leq \beta_{j} \leq m$ . Then  $G \cap H = U \cap V \cap D_{\delta_{1}} \cap D_{\delta_{2}} \cap \dots \cap D_{\delta_{k}}$ , where the subscripts of the D's are arranged such that  $\delta_{i} < \delta_{j}$  if i < j,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ .

Since  $\Upsilon$  is super-connected, U,V  $\epsilon \Upsilon$  implies that U  $\wedge$ V is  $\Upsilon$ -dense and open. But  $D_{\delta_1} \epsilon \mathfrak{D}$  implies that  $D_{\delta_1}$  is  $\Upsilon$ -dense. Thus U  $\wedge$ V  $\wedge D_{\delta_1}$  is  $\Upsilon$ -dense and  $\Upsilon_{\delta_1}$ -open. Now consider  $D_{\delta_2}$ . Since  $\delta_1 < \delta_2$ , by the definition of  $\Upsilon_{\delta_2}$ ,  $D_{\delta_2}$  is  $\Upsilon_{\delta_1}$ -dense. Thus (U  $\wedge$ V  $\wedge D_{\delta_1}$ )  $\wedge D_{\delta_2} \neq \emptyset$  and is, in fact, a member of  $\Upsilon_{\delta_2}$ . Continuing in this manner for a finite number of steps, we find that G  $\wedge$ H  $\neq \emptyset$ . Hence by proposition 1 every  $\Upsilon$ -open set is  $\Upsilon$ -dense.

3

Corollary 1. There exist  $T_1$  OD spaces.

ø

Corollary 2. There exist connected, locally connected  $T_1$  spaces which do not possess disjoint dense sets.