

**ma  
the  
ma  
tisch**

**cen  
trum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZN 49/72

OCTOBER

RAYMOND Y.T. WONG  
PERIODIC ACTIONS ON  $(1-D)$  NORMED  
LINEAR SPACES

BIJLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
AMSTERDAM

---

**amsterdam**

**1972**

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZN 49/72

OCTOBER

RAYMOND Y.T. WONG  
PERIODIC ACTIONS ON (1-D) NORMED  
LINEAR SPACES

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.*

# PERIODIC ACTIONS ON (I-D) NORMED LINEAR SPACES

Raymond Y.T. Wong <sup>\*</sup>)

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we study periodic homeomorphisms of a normed linear space (NLS)  $E$  which is homeomorphic ( $\simeq$ ) to  $F^\omega$  or  $F_F^\omega$  for some NLS  $F$ , where  $F^\omega$  is the countable infinite product of  $F$  and  $F_F^\omega$  is the subspace consisting of all points having at most finitely many non-zero coordinates. (It is known that the class of spaces  $E$  includes all separable infinite-dimensional (I-D) Fréchet spaces, all (I-D) Hilbert and reflexive Banach spaces, etc.) One of our main results (Theorem 1) states that any two fixed point free periodic homeomorphisms  $\beta, \beta_1$  of prime period  $q$  on  $E$  (of  $E$  onto itself) are conjugate. We accomplish this by showing that their orbit spaces  $E/\beta, E/\beta_1$  are homeomorphic (Corollary 1). In view of the classification theorems [8] and [9], we need only to show that they have the same homotopy type. Indeed we prove (Theorem 3) that each orbit space has the same homotopy type as the inductive limit,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$ , where  $S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$  is the orbit space of the period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\alpha_n$  on the unit sphere  $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  which takes each  $(z_0, z_1, \dots)$  to  $(e^{2\pi i/q} z_0, e^{2\pi i/q} z_1, \dots)$ .

By basic covering theory, each orbit space  $E/\beta$  is an Eilenberg-MacLane space (see Spanier [14 - 424]) of type  $(\mathbb{Z}q, 1)$ ; that is, the fundamental group of  $E/\beta$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}q$ , the integers modulo  $q$  and are trivial in all higher dimensions. Theorem 3 then applies to classify (Theorem 4) all  $E$ -manifolds which are Eilenberg-MacLane spaces of type  $(\mathbb{Z}q, 1)$ , and in fact each such manifold can be represented as the orbit space of some fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphism on  $E$  (Theorem 5). In view of a classification theorem for smooth  $l_2$ -manifolds ([6], [11]), some of our main results may be restated to obtain results in the category of  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $l_2$ -manifolds (see for example, Theorem 2).

---

<sup>\*</sup>) Supported in part by NSF Grant GP20632. This research was prepared while the author was visiting the Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.

V. Klee ([10]) has shown that any compact set in an (I-D) Hilbert space  $H$  may be the fixed points set of some periodic homeomorphism of  $H$  of any period. This result was generalized by West ([15]) to include all closed subsets of the space  $E$ . Non-trivial examples of periodic homeomorphisms of  $E$  are provided by the fact that  $E$  is homeomorphic ( $\simeq$ ) to  $E \times [-1,1]^\omega$  together with the following theorem of West ([16]):

The product of a countably infinite collection of (non-degenerate) compact, contractible polyhedra is homeomorphic with  $[-1,1]^\omega$ .

We remark that our technique do not apply to dealing with periodic homeomorphisms which are not fixed point free. The situation is not known even for involutions (period 2 homeomorphisms) having exactly one fixed point (in [18] some partial results are obtained in this direction). Apparently one needs an appropriate version of non(almost)-manifold classification.

## 2. Statement of principle results

### Hypothesis

(1) Throughout this paper, let  $E$  stand for a normed linear space (NLS) which is homeomorphic to  $F^\omega$  or  $F_f^\omega$  for some NLS  $F$ .

(2) Let  $q > 1$  stand for an arbitrary prime number.

For any space  $X$ , two homeomorphisms  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  are said to be conjugate (or equivalent) provided there is a third homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h \circ f = g \circ h$ .

Theorem 1 (Conjugation) Any two fixed point free periodic homeomorphisms on  $E$  of period  $q$  are conjugate.

There is associated with a periodic homeomorphism  $\beta$  on a space  $X$  the orbit space  $X/\beta$ . If  $\beta$  is fixed point free, then the natural projection  $p: X \rightarrow X/\beta$  is a  $q$ -fold covering map. If  $X = E$ , then  $E/\beta$  is a connected metrizable  $E$ -manifold whose fundamental group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}q$  (see for example, 2.7.6 and 2.7.8 of Spanier [14]) and are trivial in all higher dimensions. If  $\beta_1$  is another fixed point free periodic homeomorphism on  $E$  of period  $q$ , we shall prove, in Corollary 1, that  $E/\beta$  and  $E/\beta_1$  are homeo-

morphic. In fact, we show that there is a homeomorphism  $h: E/\beta \rightarrow E/\beta_1$  which induces a fibre homeomorphism  $h_*: E \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $h_* \circ \beta = \beta_1 \circ h_*$ . If we let  $E = l_2$  (the separable Hilbert space of all square summable real sequences) and let  $\beta, \beta_1$  be  $C^\infty$ -smooth, then  $E/\beta$  and  $E/\beta_1$  are  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $l_2$ -manifolds for which the projections  $p_i: E \rightarrow E/\beta, p: E \rightarrow E/\beta_1$  are also  $C^\infty$ -smooth. In view of the classification for smooth  $l_2$ -manifolds ([6], [11]): Every homotopy equivalence between  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $l_2$ -manifolds is homotopic to a homeomorphism, we can, with exactly the same argument, assume  $h: E/\beta \rightarrow E/\beta_1$ , as obtained above, is  $C^\infty$ -smooth. Then  $h_*$  is necessarily  $C^\infty$ -smooth since locally  $h_* = p_1^{-1} \circ h \circ p$ . Thus we have

Theorem 2. Let  $\beta, \beta_1$  be fixed point free periodic  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphisms on  $l_2$  of period  $q$ . Then there is a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism  $h_*$  on  $l_2$  such that  $h_* \circ \beta = \beta_1 \circ h_*$ .

A real (or complex) Hilbert space  $H$  is the space  $l_2(X)$  of all square summable real (respectively, complex) sequences indexed by an infinite abstract set  $I(X)$  of cardinality  $X$ . Denote  $l_2 = l_2(X_0)$ . A point in  $l_2(X)$  will be denoted by  $(z_0, z_1, \dots)$  where  $i(k) \in I(X_0)$ . Since it is known that  $H \cong H^\omega$  ([3]), Theorem 1 and 2 then apply to all spaces homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) with  $H$  (resp.,  $l_2$ ), in particular, the unit sphere  $S$  of  $H$  (Klee [10]. Indeed Bessaga has shown ([2]) that  $S$  is  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphic to  $H$  when  $H = l_2$ ). These facts are useful since periodic homeomorphisms on  $S$  then induce to ones on  $H$  and there are several well-known canonical fixed point free periodic maps on  $S$ . In the following we consider, for  $H$  a complex Hilbert space, examples (A) for  $q = 2$ , the antipodal map  $A: S \rightarrow S$  such that  $A(z) = -z$ ; (B) let  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  be positive integers relatively prime to  $q$ . Then for any  $(z_0, z_1, \dots) \in S$ , define  $A(z_0, z_1, \dots) = (e^{2\pi i/q} z_0, e^{2\pi i/q_1} z_1, e^{2\pi i/q_2} z_2, \dots)$ ; and (C) let  $H = l_2$  (complex Hilbert space). Define  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\alpha(z_0, z_1, \dots) = (e^{2\pi i/q} z_0, e^{2\pi i/q} z_1, \dots).$$

The orbit space  $S/A$  in example (B) may be called an (I-D) version of generalized lens space and will be denoted by  $L(q, q_1, q_2, \dots)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $C^n$

denote the usual  $2n$ -dimensional complex space in  $l_2$  and  $S^{2n-1}$  its unit sphere (do not confuse the 1-sphere  $S^1$  with  $S$ ). Let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$  be defined as in example (C).  $\alpha$ , when restricted to  $S^{2n-1}$ , induces a period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\alpha_n: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}$  denote the inductive limit of  $\{S^{2n-1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ ; that is,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}$  is the CW complex which is the union of the sequence  $S^1 \subset S^3 \subset \dots$  topologized by the topology coherent with the collection  $\{S^{2n-1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ . Similarly there is an inductive limit,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$ , corresponding with the collection  $\{S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . By basic covering theory, the homotopy groups of  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$  are isomorphic to  $\{\mathbb{Z}q, 0, 0, \dots\}$  (hence is an Eilenberg-MacLane space of type  $(\mathbb{Z}q, 1)$ ). In fact we prove

Theorem 3. Let  $M$  be a metrizable connected  $E$ -manifold whose homotopy groups are isomorphic to  $\{\mathbb{Z}q, 0, 0, \dots\}$ . Then  $M$  has the same homotopy type as the CW complex  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$ .

This together with the classification theorem of [8] and [9] then yields

Theorem 4 (Classification) Let  $M, M_1$  be metrizable connected  $E$ -manifolds each with homotopy groups isomorphic to  $\{\mathbb{Z}q, 0, 0, \dots\}$ . Then  $M \simeq M_1$ .

Corollary 1. Let  $\beta, \beta_1: E \rightarrow E$  be fixed point free periodic homeomorphisms of period  $q$ . Then  $E/\beta \simeq E/\beta_1$ .

Let  $M$  be as in Theorem 3. The universal covering space  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  is a homotopically trivial  $E$ -manifold such that the projection  $p_1: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  is a  $q$ -fold covering map. Hence  $\tilde{M} \simeq E$  ([8]) and we have

Theorem 5 (Representation) Let  $M$  be a metrizable connected  $E$ -manifold. Then there is a  $q$ -fold covering projection  $p_1: E \rightarrow M$  and a fixed point free periodic homeomorphism  $\beta: E \rightarrow E$  of period  $q$  such that  $\beta$  induces a homeomorphism  $\beta_*: E/\beta \rightarrow M$  for which the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E \\ \downarrow p & \beta_* & \downarrow p_1 \\ E/\beta & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \end{array}$$

### 3. Application and other results

For matter of convenience we introduce the category whose objects are pairs  $(X, \beta)$ ,  $(X_1, \beta_1)$ , ... where  $X, X_1$  are spaces equipped with periodic homeomorphisms  $\beta, \beta_1, \dots$  of period  $q$ , and whose morphisms are maps  $m: (X, \beta) \rightarrow (X_1, \beta_1)$  of pairs such that  $m$  is a map of  $X$  into  $X_1$  which commutes with  $\beta, \beta_1$ ; that is,  $\beta_1 \circ m = m \circ \beta$ . We can speak of  $m$  as an imbedding homeomorphism, etc.

For any map  $h: X \rightarrow X$ , denote by  $\text{fp}(h)$  the set of fixed points of  $h$ . The reflection map  $x \rightarrow -x$  of any topological vector space will always be denoted by  $\gamma$ .

#### Homeomorphism extension

Let  $X$  be a space homeomorphic to  $X \times F$ ,  $F$  a TVS. We say a set  $Y \subset X$  is F-deficient if there is a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X \times F$  such that  $h(Y) \subset X \times \{0\}$ . (See [1] and [4] for the equivalence of F-deficiency with the concept of Z-sets of Anderson.)

Theorem 6. Let  $A$  be a closed H-deficient subset of a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Then each period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\beta$  on  $A$  extends to a period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\tilde{\beta}$  on  $H$  such that  $\text{fp}(\beta) = \text{fp}(\tilde{\beta})$ .

Proof. First we remark that for any metric locally convex TVS  $F \cong F \times F$ , by a technique of Klee ([10]), any homeomorphism between two closed F-deficient subsets of  $F$  extends to one on  $F$ . Denote by  $\Delta_q$  the diagonal  $\{(x, x, \dots): x \in K\}$  of  $H^q = H \times H \times \dots \times H$  ( $q$  times).

Let  $\phi: H \rightarrow H \times H$  be a homeomorphism such that  $\phi(A) \subset H \times \{0\}$ . For any  $a \in A$ , denote  $\phi(a) = (a_0, 0)$  and  $\phi(\beta^n(a)) = (a_n, 0)$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, q-1$ . Define  $m_1: A \rightarrow H^q \times H^q$  by  $m_1(a) = (a_0, \dots, a_{q-1}) \times (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\gamma_\Delta: H^q \rightarrow H^q$  by  $\gamma_\Delta(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{q-1}) = (z_{q-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{q-2})$ . Let  $p_1: H^q \times H^q \rightarrow H^q$  be the projection onto the first factor. Denote  $p_1 \circ m_1(\text{fp}(\beta))$  by  $K_1$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{m_1} & (H^q \setminus K) \times H^q \\
 \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma_\Delta \times \gamma \\
 A & \xrightarrow{m_1} & (H^q \setminus K) \times H^q
 \end{array}$$



where  $K = \Delta_q \setminus K_1$  and  $\gamma$  the reflection on  $H^q$ .

It is elementary to note that  $\Delta_q$  is a  $H$ -deficient subset of  $H^q$ . Thus  $K$  is a locally closed (that is, a difference of two closed sets,  $\Delta_q$  and  $K_1$ )  $H$ -deficient subset of  $H^q \cong H$ . Hence by Cutler ([5]),  $H^q \setminus K \cong H$ . So let  $m_2: (H^q \setminus K) \times H^q \rightarrow H$  be a homeomorphism. Using the remark above we can extend  $m_2 \circ m_1: A \rightarrow H$  to a homeomorphism  $\lambda$  on  $H$ . Let  $\beta_1 = m_2 \circ (\gamma_\Delta \times \gamma) \circ m_2^{-1}: H \rightarrow H$ . It is clear that  $\beta_1$  is a period  $q$  homeomorphism such that  $\text{fp}(\beta_1) = m_2(K_1)$ . Then  $\tilde{\beta} = \gamma^{-1} \circ \beta_1 \circ \lambda$  is the required extension of  $\beta$ .

### Closed imbeddings

Theorem 7. Let  $X$  be a space which can be imbedded as a closed subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then for any two fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphisms  $\beta, \beta_1$  on  $X, H$  respectively, there is a closed imbedding  $m: (X, \beta) \rightarrow (H, \beta_1)$ .

Proof. Let  $m_1: X \rightarrow H \times H$  be a closed imbedding such that  $m(X) \subset \{0\} \times H$ . By theorem 6 the induced map  $m_1 \circ \beta \circ m_1^{-1}: m_1(X) \rightarrow m(X)$  extends to a fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\tilde{\beta}$  on  $H \times H$ . By theorem 1 there is a homeomorphism  $m_2: (H \times H, \tilde{\beta}) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$ . Then let  $m = m_2 \circ m_1$ .

Let  $X = M$  be a metrizable connected  $H$ -manifold. By Henderson-West [8 - Theorem 6]  $M$  can be imbedded as a closed sub-manifold of  $H$ . Hence the proof above also yields

Corollary 2. Let  $\beta, \beta_1$  be fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphisms on  $M, H$  respectively. Then there is a closed imbedding  $m: (M, \beta) \rightarrow (H, \beta_1)$  such that  $m(M)$  is a sub-manifold of  $H$ .

### Negligible subsets

Theorem 8. Let  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  be closed  $H$ -deficient subsets of  $H$ . Suppose  $\beta, \beta_1: H \rightarrow H$  are fixed point free periodic homeomorphisms of period  $q$  such that  $\beta(K) = K$ , where  $K = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} K_i$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $m: (H \setminus K, \beta) \rightarrow (H, \beta_1)$ .

Proof. By Cutler ([5 - Theorem 1]), there is a homeomorphism  $m_1: H \setminus K \rightarrow H$ . Let  $\alpha_1 = m_1 \circ \beta|_{H \setminus K} \circ m_1^{-1}$ . By Theorem 1 let  $m_2: (H, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (H, \beta_1)$  be a homeomorphism. Then  $m = m_2 \circ m_1$  is as required.

### Homeomorphism spaces are contractible

For any space  $X$ , let  $G_0(X)$  denote the subspace of  $G(X)$  consisting of all periodic homeomorphisms and  $G_n(X) = \{\beta \in G_0(X) : \text{period}(\beta) = n, n \geq 1\}$ .

Theorem 9. For  $k \geq 0$ , each  $G_k(E)$  is contractible and there is a contraction  $\{\phi_t\}: G(E) \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\{\phi_t|_{G_k(E)}\}$  induces a contraction for  $G_k(E)$ .

Proof. The same as Theorem 6 of [18]. Renz in [13] shows that  $G(E)$  is contractible. If the construction of the contraction  $\Phi(h,t)$  in [13 - 184] is replaced by

$$\Phi(h,t) = \phi^{(n)}(\cdot, t)^{-1} \circ h^{(n)} \circ \phi^{(n)}(\cdot, t),$$

then we get a contraction denoted by  $\{\phi_t\}$  with the desired properties of the theorem.

### Periodic stability of homeomorphisms

A subset  $K$  of a space  $X$  is said to be deformable if for each open set  $U$  in  $X$ , there is a  $g \in G(X)$  such that  $g(K) \subset U$ . An open set  $U \subset X$  is said to contain a dilation system if there is a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets  $B_0, B_1, \dots$  in  $U$  converging to a point  $p \in U$  and a homeomorphism  $r$  supported in  $U$  such that  $r(B_{i+1}) = B_i, i \geq 0$ . We sometimes call  $(B_i, r)_{i \geq 0}$  a dilation system in  $U$ .

Theorem 10. Suppose  $X$  is a metric space in which every open set contains a dilation system. Let  $N \subset G(X)$  be the normal subgroup consisting of all finite compositions of  $g \in G(X)$  such that  $\text{supp}(g)$  is deformable. Then  $N$  is simple.

Proof. The following proof is derived from a technique of Fisher (On the group of all homeomorphisms of a manifold, Trans. A.M.S., 97(1960), 193-212). Suppose  $h(\neq \text{id}) \in G(X)$ . Then for some open  $U \subset X$ ,  $h^{-1}(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Let  $(B_i, r)_{i \geq 0}$  be a dilation system in  $U$ . Denote  $B = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_i$ . Suppose  $g \in G(X)$  such that  $\text{supp}(g) \subset B_1$ , then define  $\emptyset: X \rightarrow X$  (supported in  $B$ ) by  $\emptyset|_{B_i} = r^{1-i} \circ g \circ r^{i-1}|_{B_i}$  for  $i \geq 1$  ( $r^0 = \text{id}$ ) and  $\emptyset(x) = x$  otherwise. Note

that  $\emptyset|_{B_1} = g|_{B_1}$ . Consider

$$w = (r^{-1} \circ \emptyset^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ \emptyset \circ r)(r^{-1} \circ h \circ r) \circ h^{-1} \circ (\emptyset^{-1} \circ h \circ \emptyset).$$

The same proof as [Fisher - p. 197] shows that  $w = g$ . If  $g \in G(X)$  such that  $\text{supp}(g)$  is deformable, then by definition there is a  $f \in G(X)$  such that  $f(\text{supp}(g)) \subset B_1$ . Thus  $f \circ g \circ f^{-1}$  is supported in  $B_1$  and  $g = f^{-1} \circ (f \circ g \circ f^{-1}) \circ f$ . It follows that each  $g \in N$  is a finite composition of conjugations of  $\{h, h^{-1}\}$ . Now suppose  $N_0$  is any normal subgroup of  $N$  containing an  $h$  other than the identity. By what we have just shown, each  $g \in N$  is a member of  $N_0$ . Thus  $N_0 = N$  and Theorem 10 is proved.

It is known that for  $X = Q, s$  or any normed linear space  $E \cong E^\omega$ ,  $G(X)$  is stable ([14], [22]), in the sense that every  $f \in G(X)$  can be written as a finite composition  $f_n \dots f_2 f_1$  of homeomorphisms of  $X$  such that each  $f_i$  is the identity on some non-void open subset of  $X$ . By well-known properties of  $X$ , it is routine to verify that

- (1) if  $f \in G(X)$  is the identity on some non-void open subset of  $X$ , then  $\text{supp}(f)$  is deformable.
- (2) each open  $U \subset X$  contains a dilation system.

Hence we have

Corollary 3. Let  $X$  be a space homeomorphic to  $Q, s$  or any normed linear space  $E \cong E^\omega$ . Then  $G(X)$  is simple.

For each fixed  $k \geq 0$ , the collection of all finite compositions of members in  $G_k(X)$  clearly forms a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G(X)$ . Hence  $G_k(X)$  is entirely  $G(X)$ , which proves

Theorem 11. Let  $X$  be as above. Then for any  $h \in G(X)$  and any  $k \geq 0$ , there are  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in G_k(X)$  such that  $h = h_n \circ \dots \circ h_2 \circ h_1$ .

#### 4. The key lemma.

In this section a basic knowledge of covering theory is assumed. Two maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic relative  $A \subset X$ , written  $f \sim g \text{ rel}(A)$ , if there is a homotopy  $\{\lambda_t\}$  joining  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $\lambda_t(a) = \lambda_0(a)$  for all  $a \in A, t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $S$  be the unit sphere of the separable complex Hilbert space  $l_2$  and let  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$ ,  $\alpha_n: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$  be defined as in section 2.

Lemma 1 (The key lemma) Let  $p: E \rightarrow M$  be a  $q$ -fold covering projection onto an  $E$ -manifold  $M$ . Then for any  $a_0 \in S^1$  (the 1-sphere) and any two distinct points  $b_0, b_1 \in p^{-1}(b)$ ,  $b \in M$ , there is a sequence of imbeddings  $f_n: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow M$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that

- (1)  $f_1(a_0) = b_0$ ,  $f_1 \circ \alpha_1(a_0) = b_1$ ,
- (2) for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f_{n+1}|_{S^{2n-1}} = f_n$  and
- (3)  $p \circ f_n(x) = p \circ f_n \circ \alpha_n(x)$  for all  $x$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_n} & E \\
 \downarrow \alpha_n & & \searrow p \\
 S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_n} & E \xrightarrow{p} M
 \end{array}$$

To give a proof we need

Lemma 2. Let  $M \subset E$  be open and  $(K, L)$  be a finite simplicial pair. Suppose  $g: K \rightarrow M$  is a map such that  $g|_L$  is piecewise linear, then there is a piecewise linear  $\tilde{g}: K \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tilde{g} \sim \tilde{g} \text{ rel}(L)$  and for  $x \neq y$ ,  $\tilde{g}(x) = \tilde{g}(y)$  only if  $\{x, y\} \in L$ .

Proof. This is a routine consequence of the infinite dimensionality of  $M$  together with the linear structure on  $E$ .

Lemma 3. Let  $p: E \rightarrow M$  be as in Lemma 1 and  $e_1, e_2$  be distinct points in  $p^{-1}(b)$  for some  $b \in M$ . Suppose for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\lambda_i: ([0, 1], 0) \rightarrow (E, e_i)$  are liftings of an imbedding  $\lambda_0: ([0, 1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$ , then  $\lambda_1([0, 1]) \cap \lambda_2([0, 1]) = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Suppose for some  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1(x) = \lambda_2(x)$ . Then the restrictions of  $\{\lambda_i\}$  induce distinct maps  $\tilde{\lambda}_i: ([0, x], x) \rightarrow (E, \lambda_i(x))$ , which for both  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , is a lifting of  $\lambda_0|_{[0, x]}: ([0, x], x) \rightarrow (M, \lambda_0(x))$ . This is impossible.

Proof of Lemma 1. By Henderson-West ([8 - Theorem 7]) there is an open set  $M_1 \subset E$  and a homeomorphism  $h: M_1 \rightarrow M$ . The usual technique of pull-back then induces a covering projection  $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow M_1$  and a (fibre) homeomorphism  $h_1: E_1 \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $p \circ h_1 = h \circ p_1$ . So, without loss of generality, we may suppose  $M$  is an open subset of  $E$ .

We shall construct the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  by induction. First consider  $n = 1$ . Let  $a_0 \in S_1$  be as given by the lemma. Denote  $a_k = \alpha_1^k(a_0) \pmod{q}$ , where  $\alpha_1^k$  is the  $k$ -iterate  $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_1 \circ \dots \circ \alpha_1$  of  $\alpha_1$ . Denote by  $A[a_{n-1}, a_n]$  the closed arc in  $S^1$  joining  $a_{n-1}$  to  $a_n$  in the counter-clockwise direction. Let  $\lambda: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  be any map such that  $\lambda(1) = b_1$ . By Lemma 2 we may replace the loop  $p \circ \lambda: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$  by a piecewise linear map  $\lambda_0: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$  satisfying  $\lambda_0 \sim p \circ \lambda \text{ rel}(0,1)$  and for  $x \neq y$ ,  $\lambda_0(x) = \lambda_0(y)$  only if  $\{x, y\} \subset \{0, 1\}$ . Denote by  $\lambda_0^q$  the usual composition  $\lambda_0 * \lambda_0 * \dots * \lambda_0$  ( $q$ -times) in the homotopy group; that is,  $\lambda_0^q: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$  is a map such that  $\lambda_0^q(x) = \lambda_0(q(x-(k-1)/q))$  for  $x = [(k-1)/q, k/q]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Since  $\pi_1(M) \approx \mathbb{Z}q$ ,  $\lambda_0^q \sim c \text{ rel}(0,1)$ , where  $c: [0,1] \rightarrow (M, b)$  is the constant map.

Let  $\tilde{\lambda}: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  be the lifting of  $\lambda_0^q$ . Then  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = \tilde{\lambda}(1)$  and we assert that for  $x \neq y$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = \tilde{\lambda}(y)$  only if  $\{x, y\} \subset \{0, 1\}$ . To see this suppose  $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = \tilde{\lambda}(y)$ . By definition of  $\lambda_0^q$ , there are points  $x_0, y_0 \in [0,1]$  such that  $\lambda_0(x_0) = \lambda_0^q(x)$  and  $\lambda_0(y_0) = \lambda_0^q(y)$ . So  $\lambda_0(x_0) = \lambda_0^q(x) = p \circ \tilde{\lambda}(x) = p \circ \tilde{\lambda}(y) = \lambda_0^q(y) = \lambda_0(y_0)$ . Hence  $x_0, y_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ . This implies that  $x$  and  $y$  both belonged to the end-point sets of the interval in which they are contained. So for some  $0 \leq m < n \leq q$ ,  $x = m/q$  and  $y = n/q$ . Since  $\tilde{\lambda}(m/q) = \tilde{\lambda}(n/q)$ ,  $[\lambda_0^{q-m}] = [\lambda_0^q|_{[m/q, n/q]}] = [c]$ , where  $[\cdot] \in \pi_1(M)$  and  $[c]$  is the class of constant map  $c$ . Thus  $[\lambda_0^{q-(n-m)}] = [\lambda_0^{q-(n-m)} * c] = [\lambda_0^{q-(n-m)}]_{* \lambda} = [c]$ . Since  $q$  is a prime and  $[\lambda_0] \neq [c]$ , this is possible only if  $q - (n-m) = 0$  or  $x = 0, y = 1$ . Hence  $\{x, y\} \subset \{0, 1\}$  and our assertion is verified.

Define  $f_1: S^1 \rightarrow E$  as follows. Fix a homeomorphism  $\mu: A[a_0, a_1] \rightarrow [0, 1/q]$  with  $\mu(a_0) = 0$ . For any  $x \in A[a_{n-1}, a_n]$ , let  $x_0 \in A[a_0, a_1]$  be the unique point such that  $\alpha_1^{n-1}(x_0) = x$ . Then let  $f_1(x) = \tilde{\lambda}(\mu(x)) + (n-1)/q$ . It is routine to verify that  $f_1$  is an imbedding which satisfies  $f_1(a_0) = b_0$ ,  $f_1(a_1) = b_1$  and  $p \circ f_1(x) = p \circ f_1 \circ \alpha_1(x)$  for

all  $x \in S^1$  as required by the lemma.

Now suppose  $f_k: S^{2k-1} \rightarrow E$  has been constructed. Since  $p \circ f_1: S^1 \rightarrow M$  is piecewise linear, we may require that in addition  $p \circ f_k$  is piecewise linear and we shall construct  $f_{k+1}$  for the lemma such that  $p \circ f_{k+1}$  is also piecewise linear. Denote by  $C^k$  the product  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_k$  of complex spaces  $C_i = C$ . Recall that  $C^k$  is regarded as  $C^k \times 0 \subset C^k \times C_{k+1}$  and  $C_{k+1}$  is regarded as  $0 \times C_{k+1} \subset C^k \times C_{k+1} = C^{k+1}$ . Let  $S_0$  denote the unit 1-sphere of  $C_{k+1}$ . For any  $z \in S_0$ , let

$$L(z) = \{(sz_1, tz) \in S^{2k+1} : z_1 \in S^{2k-1}, s, t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } s^2 + t^2 = 1\}.$$

We may view  $L(z)$  as a cone over  $S^{2k-1}$  with vector  $\{z\}$ . By the definition of  $\alpha_{k+1}: S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^{2k+1}$ ,  $\alpha_{k+1}(S_0) = S_0$  and the action  $\alpha_{k+1}|_{S_0}$  is the same as  $\alpha_1$  on  $S^1$ . Fix any  $c_0 \in S_0$ . Let  $c_n = \alpha_{k+1}^n(c_0) \pmod{q}$  and let  $A[c_{n-1}, c_n]$  be defined the same way as  $A[a_{n-1}, a_n]$ . Denote  $L[c_{n-1}, c_n] = \cup\{L(z) : z \in A[c_{n-1}, c_n]\}$ . Clearly  $S^{2k+1} = \bigcup_{n=1}^q L[c_{n-1}, c_n]$  and  $\alpha_{k+1}^{n-1}(L[c_0, c_1]) = L[c_{n-1}, c_n]$ .

Using the existence of  $f_1$  and the infinite-dimensionality of  $M$ , we can extend  $f_k: S^{2k-1} \rightarrow E$  to an imbedding  $f'_k: S^{2k-1} \cup S_0 \rightarrow E$  such that  $p \circ f'_k$  is piecewise linear and satisfies  $p \circ f'_k(x) = p \circ f'_k \circ \alpha_{k+1}(x)$  for all  $x$ . Let  $d_n = f'_k(c_n) \pmod{q}$ . Then for some  $d \in M$ ,  $\{d_n\} \subset p^{-1}(d)$ . By the linear structure on  $E$ , we can extend  $f'_k|_{S^{2k-1} \cup \{c_0\}}$  to a map  $g_0: (L(c_0), c_0) \rightarrow (E, d_0)$ .

By Lemma 2 we may replace the map  $p \circ g_0: (L(c_0), c_0) \rightarrow (M, d)$  by a piecewise linear map  $g_1: (L(c_0), c_0) \rightarrow (M, d)$  such that  $g_1 \sim p \circ g_0 \text{ rel}(S^{2k-1} \cup \{c_0\})$  and for  $x \neq y$  in  $L(c_0)$ ,  $g_1(x) = g_1(y)$  only if  $x, y \in S^{2k-1}$ . Since  $L(c_0)$  is simply connected, we can lift  $g_1$  to a map  $\tilde{g}_1: (L(c_0), c_0) \rightarrow (E, d_0)$ . We verify easily that  $\tilde{g}_1$  is an extension of  $f'_k|_{S^{2k-1} \cup \{c_0\}}$  and by hypothesis of  $g_1$ ,  $\tilde{g}_1$  is an imbedding.

Define  $g_n: (L(c_n), c_n) \rightarrow (M, d)$  by  $g_n(x) = g_1 \circ \alpha_{k+1}^{-n}(x)$ . Let  $\tilde{g}_n: (L(c_n), c_n) \rightarrow (E, d_n)$  denote the lifting of  $g_n$ . Then each  $\tilde{g}_n$  is an imbedding extending  $f'_k|_{S^{2k-1} \cup \{c_n\}}$  and satisfies  $p \circ g_n(x) = p \circ \tilde{g}_{n+1} \circ \alpha_{k+1}(x)$

for all  $x \in L(c_n)$ . We assert that  $\tilde{g}_n(x) = \tilde{g}_m(y)$  only if  $x = y$ . To see this suppose for some  $x \in L(c_m)$ ,  $y \in L(c_n)$ ,  $\tilde{g}_m(x) = \tilde{g}_n(y)$ . There are points  $x_0, y_0 \in L(c_0)$  for which  $\alpha_{k+1}^m(x_0) = x$ ,  $\alpha_{k+1}^n(y_0) = y$ . Thus

$g_1(x_0) = g_m(x) = p \circ \tilde{g}_m(x) = p \circ \tilde{g}_n(y) = g_n(y) = g_1(y_0)$ . Then

(1) If  $x_0 \neq y_0$ , by hypothesis of  $g_1$ ,  $\{x_0, y_0\} \subset S^{2k-1}$ . Hence  $\{x, y\} \in S^{2k-1}$ .

but since  $f'_k(x) = \tilde{g}_m(x) = \tilde{g}_n(y) = f'_k(y)$ , we have  $x = y$ .

(2) If  $x_0 = y_0$ , we may suppose  $x_0 \notin S^{2k-1} \cup S_0$  (otherwise the conclusion follows easily). Let  $L$  be an arc in  $L(c_0)$  joining  $x_0$  and  $c_0$  such that

$g_1|_L: (L, c_0) \rightarrow (M, d)$  is an imbedding. Then the restrictions  $\tilde{g}_m \circ \alpha_{k+1}^m|_L: (L, c_0) \rightarrow (E, d_m)$  and  $\tilde{g}_n \circ \alpha_{k+1}^n|_L: (L, c_0) \rightarrow (E, d_n)$  are both liftings of  $g_1|_L$ . Since we assume  $\tilde{g}_m \circ \alpha_{k+1}^m(x_0) = \tilde{g}_m(x) = \tilde{g}_n(y) = \tilde{g}_n \circ \alpha_{k+1}^n(x_0)$ , by Lemma 3 this is the case only when  $m = n \pmod{q}$ . But  $\tilde{g}_n$  is one-to-one, so  $x = y$ .

Define  $\tilde{f}_k: (\bigcup_{n=0}^{q-1} L(c_n)) \cup S_0 \rightarrow E$  by  $\tilde{f}_k|_{L(c_n)} = \tilde{g}_n$  and  $\tilde{f}_k|_{S_0} = \tilde{f}'_k|_{S_0}$ . By

what we have just shown,  $\tilde{f}_k$  is an imbedding which satisfies

$p \circ \tilde{f}_k(x) = p \circ \tilde{f}_k \circ \alpha_{k+1}(x)$  for all  $x$ .

We shall employ exactly the same process to obtain an extension

$f_{k+1}: S^{2k+1} \rightarrow E$ . Let  $h_0: (L[c_0, c_1], c_0) \rightarrow (E, d_0)$  be any map extending  $\tilde{f}_k|_{B_1}$ ,

where  $B_1 = L(c_0) \cup L(c_1) \cup A[c_0, c_1]$ . By Lemma 2 we may replace the map

$p \circ h_0: (L[c_0, c_1], c_0) \rightarrow (M, d)$  by a piecewise linear map

$h_1: (L[c_0, c_1], c_0) \rightarrow (M, d)$  such that  $h_1 \sim p \circ h_0 \text{ rel}(B_1)$  and for  $x \neq y$  in

$L[c_0, c_1]$ ,  $h_1(x) = h_1(y)$  only if  $x, y \in B_1$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$ , define

$h_n: (L[c_{n-1}, c_n], c_{n-1}) \rightarrow (M, d)$  by  $h_n(x) = h_1 \circ \alpha_{k+1}^{-(n-1)}(x)$  ( $\alpha_{k+1}^0 = \text{identity}$ ).

Since  $L[c_{n-1}, c_n]$  is simply connected, we can lift  $h_n$  to a map

$\tilde{h}_n: (L[c_{n-1}, c_n], c_{n-1}) \rightarrow (E, d_{n-1})$ . We verify easily that each  $\tilde{h}_n$  is an imbedding extending  $\tilde{f}_k|_{B_n}$ , where  $B_n = L(c_{n-1}) \cup L(c_n) \cup A[c_{n-1}, c_n]$ , and satisfies

$p \circ \tilde{h}_n(x) = p \circ \tilde{h}_{n+1} \circ \alpha_{k+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in L[c_{n-1}, c_n]$ . It follows from

exactly the same argument as for maps  $\{\tilde{g}_n\}$  that  $\{\tilde{h}_n\}$  satisfies  $\tilde{h}_n(x) = \tilde{h}_m(y)$

only if  $x = y$ . Define  $f_{k+1}: S^{2k+1} \rightarrow E$  by  $f_{k+1}|_{L[c_{n-1}, c_n]} = \tilde{h}_n$ . Then  $f_{k+1}$

extends  $f_k$  and fulfills all the requirements of the lemma.

## 5. Proof of Theorems 1, 3 and 5

Proof of Theorem 3. As pointed out in the discussion following the statement of Theorem 4, there is a  $q$ -fold covering projection  $p: E \rightarrow M$ . Fix

$a_0 \in S^1$  and distinct points  $b_0, b_1 \in p^{-1}(b)$ ,  $b \in M$ . Let  $f_n: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow M$  be a sequence of imbeddings satisfying conditions (1) - (3) of Lemma 1. By the usual technique of stereo-projection of  $S \setminus \{\text{point}\}$  onto a hyperplane of  $H$ , we see that  $(\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}) \setminus \{\text{point}\} \cong \lim_{\rightarrow} E^n$ , where  $E^1 \subset E^2 \subset \dots$  are finite dimensional subspaces of  $H$ . Consequently  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}$  is homotopically trivial.

By conditions (2) and (3) of Lemma 1,  $\{f_n\}$  induces one-to-one maps  $\tilde{f}: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1} \rightarrow E$  and  $f: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ p_0$ , where  $p_0: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$  is the natural projection.

We claim that  $\tilde{f}$  is a weak homotopy equivalence. It suffices to show that  $f_{\#}: \pi_1(\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is an isomorphism. To see this let  $a = p_0(a_0)$ .

First we show  $f_{\#}$  is one-to-one. Suppose  $\lambda: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n, a)$  is a loop such that  $f \circ \lambda \sim c \text{ rel}(0,1)$  where  $c: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$  is the constant map. Then  $\lambda$  and  $f \circ \lambda$  lift to maps  $\lambda_0: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}, a_0)$  and  $\lambda_1: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  respectively such that  $\lambda_1(1) = b_0$ . But  $\tilde{f} \circ \lambda_0$  is another lifting of  $f \circ \lambda$ , hence  $\tilde{f} \circ \lambda_0 = \lambda_1$ . Since  $\tilde{f}|_{\lambda_0([0,1])}$  is an imbedding,  $\lambda_0(1) = a_0$ . We have shown that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}$  is homotopically trivial,

hence  $\lambda$  belongs to the homotopy class of the constant loop. Thus  $f_{\#}$  is one-to-one. Next suppose  $\mu: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (M, b)$  is any loop. Denote the lifting of  $\mu$  to  $(E, b_0)$  by  $\tilde{\mu}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu}(0) = b_0$  and  $\mu(1) = b_1$  for some  $b_1 \in p^{-1}(b) \subset f_1(S^1)$ . Let  $\mu_0: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (S^1, a_0)$  be any map for which  $\mu_0(1) = f_1^{-1}(b_1) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(b_1)$ . By the linear structure on  $E$ ,  $\tilde{f} \circ \mu_0 \sim \tilde{\mu} \text{ rel}(0,1)$ . This implies  $f \circ p_0 \circ \mu_0 \sim \mu$ . So  $f_{\#}$  is onto and the claim is complete.

By Palais ([12 - Theorem 14]) and Whitehead ([17 - Theorem 1]),  $f$  is in fact a homotopy equivalence.

To prove Theorem 1 we need

Lemma 4. Let  $X, X_1$  be connected Hausdorff spaces carrying respectively fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphisms  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$ . Suppose  $h: X \rightarrow X_1$  is an imbedding such that (i) for each  $x \in X$ , there is an  $n \geq 1$  for which  $h \circ \beta(x) = \beta_1^n \circ h(x)$  and (ii) there is a point  $a_0 \in X$  such that  $h \circ \beta(a_0) = \beta_1 \circ h(a_0)$ , then  $h \circ \beta(x) = \beta_1 \circ h(x)$  for all  $x$ .



Proof. Let  $A_n = \{x \in X: h \circ \beta(x) = \beta_1^n \circ h(x)\}$ . Then each  $A_n$  is closed and  $\{A_n\}$  are pairwise disjoint (mod  $q$ ). Since  $X$  is connected,  $X = A_n$  for some  $n$ . By hypothesis (ii),  $n = 1$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $\beta, \beta_1: E \rightarrow E$  be fixed point free periodic homeomorphisms of period  $q$ . Fix any  $a_0 \in S^1$  and  $b_0 \in E$ . By Lemma 1 there are one-to-one maps  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: (\lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}, a_0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  satisfying  $\tilde{f} \circ \alpha_1(a_0) = \beta(b_0)$ ,  $\tilde{g} \circ \alpha_1(a_0) = \beta_1(b_0)$  and such that  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  induce homotopy equivalences  $f: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n \rightarrow E/\beta$  and  $g: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n \rightarrow E/\beta_1$ . Let  $a = p_0(a_0)$ ,  $b = p(b_0)$  and  $b_1 = p_1(b_0)$ , where  $p_0: \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$ ,  $p: E \rightarrow E/\beta$  and  $p_1: E \rightarrow E/\beta_1$  are projections. Let  $f': (E/\beta, b) \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n$  be a map such that  $f' \circ f \sim \text{identity}$  and  $f \circ f' \sim \text{identity}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (E, b_0) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} & (\lim S^{2n-1}, a_0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & (E, b_0) \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_0 & & \downarrow p_1 \\
 (E/\beta, b) & \xleftarrow[f']{f} & (\lim S^{2n-1}/\alpha_n, a_0) & \xrightarrow{g} & (E/\beta_1, b_1)
 \end{array}$$

By [8],  $g \circ f'$  is homotopic to a homeomorphism  $h: (E/\beta, b) \rightarrow (E/\beta_1, b_1)$ . Since  $E$  is simply connected,  $h$  induces a homeomorphism  $h_*: (E, b_0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  such that  $p_1 \circ h_* = h \circ p$ . The usual construction of  $h_*$  goes as follows. Let any  $x \in E$ , let  $\mu: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$  be any map such that  $\mu(1) = x$ . The composition  $h \circ p \circ \mu: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E/\beta_1, b_1)$  lifts to a map  $\tilde{\mu}: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, b_0)$ . Define  $h_*: E \rightarrow E$  by  $h_*(x) = \tilde{\mu}(1)$ . Then  $h_*$  has the required properties.

For any  $x \in E$ , there is an  $i \geq 1$  for which  $h_* \circ \beta(x) = \beta_1^i \circ h(x)$ . We assert that  $i = 1$  for all  $x$  (hence proving Theorem 1). In view of Lemma 4, we need only to verify  $h_* \circ \beta(b_0) = \beta_1 \circ h_*(b_0)$ . To see this suppose  $\lambda: ([0,1], 0) \rightarrow (E, a_0)$  is a map such that  $\lambda(1) = \alpha_1(a_0)$ . Then  $\mu = \tilde{f} \circ \lambda$  and  $\mu_1 = \tilde{g} \circ \lambda$  are maps satisfying  $\mu(1) = \beta(b_0)$  and  $\mu_1(1) = \beta_1(b_0)$ . Since  $f' \circ p \circ \tilde{f} \circ \lambda \sim p_0 \circ \lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h \circ p \circ \mu \\
 & \sim g \circ f' \circ p \circ \tilde{f} \circ \lambda \\
 & = g \circ f' \circ f \circ p_0 \circ \lambda \\
 & \sim g \circ p_0 \circ \lambda \\
 & = p_1 \circ \tilde{g} \circ \lambda \\
 & = p_1 \circ \mu_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$h_* \circ \beta(b_0) = \mu_1(b_0) = \beta_1(b_0) = \beta_1 \circ h_* (b_0).$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Let  $p_1: E \rightarrow M$  be given by the theorem. For any fixed point free period  $q$  homeomorphism  $\beta_0$  on  $E$  (see West ([15]) for the existence of  $\beta_0$ ), let  $p: E \rightarrow E/\beta_0$  be the projection. By Theorem 4 there is a homeomorphism  $h: E/\beta_0 \rightarrow M$ .  $h$  then induces a fibre homeomorphism  $h_*: E \rightarrow E$ . Let  $\beta = h_* \circ \beta_0 \circ h_*^{-1}$ .  $\beta$  satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

University of California, Santa Barbara.

References

- [ 1] R.D. Anderson, Hilbert space is homeomorphic to infinite product of lines, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72(1966), 515-519.
- [ 2] C. Bessaga, Every infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is diffeomorphic with its unit sphere, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. XIV, 1(1966), 27-31.
- [ 3] C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, Some remarks on homeomorphisms of F-spaces, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 10(1962), 265-270.
- [ 4] T.A. Chapman, Deficiency in infinite-dimensional manifolds, to appear in General Topology and its Applications.
- [ 5] W. Cutler, Negligible subsets of non-separable Hilbert manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23(1969), 668-675.
- [ 6] J. Eells Jr. and K.D. Elworthy, On the differential topology of Hilbertian manifolds, Proc. of the Summer institute of Global Analysis, Berkeley, 1968.
- [ 7] D.W. Henderson, Infinite-dimensional manifolds are open subsets of Hilbert space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75(1969), 759-762 and Topology, 9(1970), 25-33.
- [ 8] D. Henderson and J.E. West, Triangulated infinite-dimensional manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76(1970), 655-660.
- [ 9] D.W. Henderson and R. Schori, Topological classification of infinite dimensional manifolds by homotopy type, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76(1970), 121-124.
- [10] V. Klee, Convex bodies and periodic homeomorphisms in Hilbert space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74(1953), 10-43.
- [11] N.H. Kuiper and D. Burghelea, Hilbert manifolds, Annals of Mathematics, 90(1969), 379-417.
- [12] R.S. Palais, Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds, Topology 5(1966), 1-16.

- [13] P.L. Renz, The contractibility of the homeomorphism group of some product spaces by Wong's method, *Mathematica Scandinavia*, 28(1971), 182-188.
- [14] E.H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw Hill, 1966.
- [15] J.E. West, Fixed point sets of transformation group on infinite product spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(1969), 575-582.
- [16] J.E. West, Infinite products which are Hilbert cubes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150(1970), 1-25.
- [17] J.H.C. Whitehead, Combinatorial homotopy I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(1959), 213-245.
- [18] R.Y.T. Wong, Involutions on the Hilbert spheres and related properties in (I-D) spaces,