

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM

ZW 1953 - 009  
(intern)

On pseudo-convergent sequences

W. Peremans and J. Verhoeff



1953

On pseudo-convergent sequences

by

W. Peremans and J. Verhoeff.

A sequence  $\{a_i\}$  of elements of a non-Archimedean valuated field  $K$  is called pseudo-convergent if either  $|a_{i+1} - a_i| < |a_i - a_{i-1}|$  for all  $i \geq i_0$  or  $a_i = a_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq i_1$ . It follows that for such a sequence either  $i: |a_i| = |a_{i+1}|$  for all  $i \geq i_2$  or  $ii: |a_i| > |a_{i+1}|$  for all  $i \geq i_3$ . The sequence is called (pseudo-convergent) of the first kind or second kind according as  $i$  or  $ii$  holds. The property  $ii$  is sufficient for the pseudo-convergency of a sequence, property  $i$  however is not. This concept is due to A. Ostrowski (Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper; Math. Zeitschr. 39, p. 269-404 (1934)), who proved the following theorem: If  $\{a_i\}$  is a pseudo-convergent sequence ( $a_i \in K$ ) and  $f(x)$  a polynomial with coefficients in  $K$  then the sequence  $\{f(a_i)\}$  is also pseudo-convergent.

F. Loonstra (Pseudokonvergente Folgen in nichtarchimedisch bewerteten Körpern; Proc. Ned. Akad. v. Wet. XLV, p. 913-917 (1942)) treated this problem without using algebraic extension of  $K$ , contrary to Ostrowski.

The lemma stated as "Satz IV" however is false, as is shown by the following counter example. Take for  $K$  the field of the rational numbers with the 2-adic valuation and let  $\bar{K}$  be its completion i.e. the field of 2-adic numbers.

The polynomial  $x^2 + 7$  has a zero in  $\bar{K}$  and not in  $K$  (for the underlying theory see B.L. van der Waerden, Moderne Algebra I, 3e aufl. (1950) § 79).

Let  $a = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{\nu_j}$  (with  $\nu_{j+1} > \nu_j$ ) be the 2-adic expansion of such a zero. Now put  $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^i 2^{\nu_j}$ , then  $\{a_i\}$  is pseudo-convergent since  $|a_{i+1} - a_i| = 2^{-\nu_{i+1}} < 2^{-\nu_i} = |a_i - a_{i-1}|$ .

-----  
) Satz IV. Sei  $\{a_i\}$  eine pseudokonvergente Folge. Es gebe weiter in  $K$  kein Element  $\alpha$  derart, dass die pseudokonvergente Folge  $\{a_i - \alpha\}$  von der 2. Art ist. Sei  $f(x)$  ein Polynom mit Koeffizienten aus  $K$ . Dann ist  $|f(a_i)|$  konstant von einem gewissen  $i_0$  an.

(2)

$\{a_i - \alpha\}$  is pseudo-convergent of the first kind for all  $\alpha \in K$ . For, if  $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{\mu_j}$  (with  $\mu_{j+1} > \mu_j$ ) and if  $j_0$  is the smallest index such that  $\mu_{j_0} \neq \nu_{j_0}$  (it always exists because  $\alpha \notin K$ ), then for  $i > j_0$  we have

$$|a_i - \alpha| = 2^{-\min(\mu_{j_0}, \nu_{j_0})}$$

. Take for  $f(x)$  the polynomial  $x^2 + 7$ . The sequence  $\{a_i^2 + 7\}$  is convergent with the limit 0, hence  $|a_i^2 + 7| \rightarrow 0$  and since  $|a_i^2 + 7| \neq 0$  we have a contradiction with "Satz IV".