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## 1. Introduction

Let A and B be two groups. Then the (abstract) wreath product A $2 B$ of $A$ and $B$ is one way of defining new groups from $A$ and $B[1]$. If $A$ and $B$ are permutation groups then a permutation group A \& B can be defined ([5], [4] p. 81). This permutation groupis isomorphic to the abstract group A 2 B if and only if $B$ is a regular permutation group. We generalise in what follows the abstract definition of $A$ 亿 $B$ in the sense that the group structures of the permutation groups A 2 B can be computed from generators and defining relations as well.
Furthermore, representations of such general wreath products are considered ( $B$ is here supposed to be finite). The discussion is carried through in terms of modules: starting from a module M over the group algebra KA of the group A over a field K, and a transitive permutation representation of $B$, a $K A ~ Z B-m o d u l e ~ W$ is constructed. A sufficient condition for the irreducibility of $W$ is derived (corollary 1 to theorem 2) as a special case of a general irreducibility condition for modules $W_{G}$, where $G$ is a subgroup of a certain type of $A$ Q $B$ (see section 3). It appears that $W$ is irreducible if the matrix representation of $A$ afforded by $M$ does not consist of matrices all of which have 1 as a characteristic value. This condition is not necessary as has been shown by considering a special case (theorem 3). The irreducibility condition for $W_{G}$ however is pointed out to be also a necessary condition if we take for $G$ metacyclic groups while $K$ is algebraically closed with characteristics not dividing the order of $G$. The $K A$ Q B-modules play a

[^0]role in the definition of generalized transfer maps in the cohomology of groups [3].
2. Definition of A 2 B and of the modules W

Let A and B be two groups; then A $\mathcal{A}$ B as an abstract group is defined as follows. Let for every $b \in B, A_{b}$ be a copy of $A$. Let $\Pi A_{b}$ be the (restricted resp. unrestricted) direct product of the $A_{b}$; then the (restricted resp. unrestricted) wreath product A 2 B in the group generated by the (restricted resp. unrestricted) product group $\Pi_{A_{b}}$, together with the elements of $B$, where multiplication of the elements of $\Pi A_{b}$ with the elements of $B$ is defined by the relations $b^{-1} a_{b_{1}} b=a_{b_{1}} b$, for $a_{b} \in A_{b}, b, b_{1} \in B . A 2 B$ contains $\Pi A_{b}$ as an invariant subgroup with factor group B. It is a splitting subgroup. We assume in what follows $B$ to be of finite order, so that the distinction between restricted and unrestricted wreath product will not play a role.

Lemma 1. Let $\phi: A \geqslant B \rightarrow F$ be a group homomorphism of A 2 B onto the group F. Let $\phi$ be such that the image of $\Pi A_{b}$ under $\phi$ has the form $\Pi^{\prime} \phi\left(A_{b}\right)$, where $I^{\prime}$ means that the product is taken by letting $b$ run through some subset of $B$. We have for $a l l b^{\prime}, b \in B$ that $\phi\left(A_{b}\right) \cong \phi\left(A_{b},\right)$. Let moreover $b_{1}$ be a fixed chosen element of $B$, then the set

$$
B^{\prime}=\left\{b \mid b \in B, \forall a \in A: \phi\left(b^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{b_{1}}\right) \phi(b)=\phi\left(a_{b_{1}}\right)\right\}
$$

is a subgroup of $B . B^{\prime}$ consists precisely of those elements $b \in B$ for which the equality $\phi\left(A_{b}\right)=\phi\left(A_{b_{1}}\right)$ holds. The right $\operatorname{coset} B^{\prime} b_{o}\left(b_{0} \in B\right)$ of $B^{\prime}$ consists of precisely those elements $b \in B$ for which $\phi\left(A_{b}\right)=\phi\left(A_{b_{1}} b_{o}\right)$ holds.

Proof, Using $\phi\left(b^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{b_{0}}\right) \phi(b)=\phi\left(a_{b_{o}}\right)$, which holds true for all $b, b_{o} \in B$ and $a l l a \in A$, we find that $\phi\left(A_{b}\right) \cong \phi\left(A_{b_{o}}\right)$. It follows from same relations that $B^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $B$. Now, if $b \in B^{\prime}$, then let $b_{o} \in B^{\prime}$ be such that $b=b_{1} b_{o}$. Then $\phi\left(b_{0}^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{b_{1}}\right) \phi\left(b_{o}\right)=\phi\left(a_{b_{1}} b_{0}\right)=\phi\left(a_{b_{1}}\right)$
for all $a \in A$, which means $\phi\left(A_{b}\right)=\phi\left(A_{b}\right)$. Let, inversely, $\phi\left(A_{b}\right)=\phi\left(A_{b_{1}}\right)$, then $\phi(b) \phi\left(a_{b}\right) \phi\left(b^{-1}\right)=\phi(b) \phi\left(a_{b_{1}}\right) \phi\left(b^{-1}\right)^{1}=\phi\left(a_{1}\right)$ for all $a \in A$. But $\phi\left(A_{1}\right)=\phi\left(A_{b_{1}}\right)$ from which $b^{-1} \epsilon^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ and $b \in B^{\prime}$ follow. The last propos; ition of the lemma follows by same kind of reasoning.

We are in what follows interested in group homomorphisms $\phi$ of the kind as described in lemma 1, and try to compose homomorphisms of that kind. From lemma 1 we see that $\Pi^{\prime} \phi\left(A_{b}\right)$ is a splitting normal subgroup of $F$ with factor group $\phi(B)$. The product $\Pi^{\prime} \phi\left(A_{b}\right)$ appears to be extended over a set of different coset representatives of a certain subgroup B' of $B$, while the set of factors $\phi\left(A_{b}\right)$ is permuted transitively by premultiplication by $\phi\left(b^{-1}\right)$ and postmultiplication by $\phi(b)$. We therefore start out with a given subgroup $B^{\prime} \subset B$. $B^{\prime}$ defines a transitive permutation representation of $B$, which is given by those permutations of the right cosets $B^{\prime} b(b \in B)$ of $B^{\prime}$ which are induced from the right regular representation $x \rightarrow x b(b \in B)$ of $B$ (see [4], p. 57).

Now, let $\phi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a homomorphism of the group $A$ onto the group $A^{\prime}=\phi(A)$. Let $\phi_{x}: A_{x} \rightarrow A_{x}^{\prime}$ denote same homomorphism $\phi$ except that it is applied upon a copy $A_{x}$ of $A$ and ends up in a copy $A_{x}^{\prime}=\phi_{x}\left(A_{x}\right)$ of $A^{\prime}$. In order to avoid excessive notation we denote in what follows the group $\phi_{X}\left(A_{x}\right)$ by $\phi\left(A_{x}\right)$. Let for every $B^{\prime} b$ the symbol $A_{B \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ denote a copy of $A$. Then let for every $b \in B, \phi_{b}$ be a homomorphism of $A_{b}$ onto $A_{B^{\prime} b}^{\prime}=\phi\left(A_{B}^{\prime} b\right)$ defined by the map $a_{b} \rightarrow \phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b}\right)(a \in A)$. We try to extend the homomorphisms $\phi_{b}$ to a homomorphism of $\Pi_{A_{b}}$ onto $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$. This is not necessarily possible for every choice of subgroup $B^{\prime} \subset B$.

Lemma 2. Given a subgroup $B^{\prime}$ of $B$, the homomorphisms $\phi_{b}: A_{b} \rightarrow \phi\left(A_{B}{ }_{b}\right)$ defined by the mappings $a_{b} \rightarrow \phi\left(a_{B}{ }^{\prime} b\right)(a \in A)$ can be extended to $a$ homomorphism of $\Pi_{b}$ onto $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ if and only if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:
(i) $B^{\prime}$ is any subgroup of $B$ and $\phi(A)$ is abelian,
(ii) $B^{\prime}$ is the trivial subgroup of $B$ and $\phi(A)$ is non-abelian.

If such an extension homomorphism exists, then it is unique.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\phi_{b}$ can be extended to a homomorphism of $\prod_{b \in B} A_{b}$ into $\phi\left(A_{B}\right.$ ). Such an extension homomorphism necessarily maps an element $\left(a_{b}\right) \in \prod_{b \in B^{\prime}} A_{b}$ onto the product in $\phi\left(A_{B}\right.$ ) of the images $\phi\left(a_{b}\right)$ of the components of $\left(a_{b}\right)$. We see readily that if $\phi\left(A_{B},\right)$ is abelian then such an extension exists and is unique, whatever $B^{\prime}-B$ is taken. If on the other hand $\phi\left(A_{B},\right)$ is non-abelian and if $B^{\prime} \neq\{1\}$, then one finds easily an element in $\prod_{b \in B} A_{b}$ that is carried into two different elements of $\phi\left(A_{B},\right)$, under the correspondence described above.

Proposition 1. Let a group homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}=\phi(A)$ be given. Let furthermore $B^{\prime}$ B be a subgroup of $B$ such that the derived homomorphisms $\phi_{b}$ of lemma 2 are extendable to a homomorphism (called also $\phi$ ) of $\Pi_{A_{b}}$ onto $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$. Denote the permutation representation induced from the right regular representation of $B$ on $B^{\prime}$ by $\pi(B)$. Then the group $H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$ generated by the groups $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ and $\pi(B)$ with defining relations $\pi\left(b_{o}^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b}\right) \pi\left(b_{o}\right)=\phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b_{0}}\right)\left(b_{o}, b \in B\right)$ is homomorphic to A2 B under the map

$$
(\phi, \pi): b\left(a_{b}\right) \rightarrow \pi(b)\left(\phi\left(a_{B^{\prime}}\right)\right)
$$

where $\left(\phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b}\right)\right)$ is the image in $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ of $\left(a_{b}\right)$ in $\Pi A_{b}$ under $\phi$.

Proof. The proposition can be verified immediately.

Remark. The definition of $H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$ is in fact independent from the extendability of the $\phi_{b}$ and from the finiteness of $B$.

Let $P(\phi(A))$ be an arbitrary isomorphic permutation representation of $\phi(A)$, then $H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$ is as an abstract group isomorphic to the wreath product $P(\phi(A)) 2 \pi(B)$ of the permutation groups $P(\phi(A))$ and $\pi(B)$. Those permutation groups $P(\phi(A)) \geqslant \pi(B)$ were first introduced in [5].

The modules $W$ are obtained as follows. Let $B^{\prime} \subset B$ be a subgroup of $B$ and let $\phi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}=\phi(A)$ be a given homomorphism. Let furthermore $M$ be
a finitely generated $K(A)$-module with basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. Let for every coset $B^{\prime} b, M_{B^{\prime} b} \cong M$ be $a K \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$-module, where for every $B^{\prime} b, \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ denotes a copy of $\phi(A)$ acting in same way upon a basis $\left\{e_{i}^{B^{\prime} b}\right\}$ of $M_{B^{\prime} b} b^{\text {as }} \phi(A)$ acts upon the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $M$.

Define $W=\sum \oplus M_{B^{\prime} b}$, where the summation is taken over the (different) cosets of $B^{\prime}$. Then $W$ becomes in the obvious way a $K \Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime}} b^{\prime}\right)$ module. Let $\pi(B)$ be the permutation representation of $B$ defined by $B^{\prime}$. We make $W$ into a $K \pi(B)$-module by letting the elements of $\pi(B)$ act upon the basis elements $e_{i}^{B^{\prime} b}$ of $W$ as follows:

$$
\pi\left(b_{o}\right) e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b\right)}=e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b b_{o}^{-1}\right)} \quad\left(b_{o} \in B\right)
$$

Then we have

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be the smallest ring of endomorphisms of $W$ containing $K \Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ and $K \pi(B)$. Then $R=K H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$, where $H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$ is the group defined in proposition 1. If $B^{\prime} E B$ is chosen such that the homomorphisms $\phi_{b}$ of lemma 2 can be extended to $\pi A_{b}$, then by letting the elements $b^{\prime}\left(a_{b}\right)$ of $A 2 B$ act on $W$ in same way as their images $(\phi, \pi) b^{\prime}\left(a_{b}\right)=\pi\left(b^{\prime}\right)\left(\phi\left(a_{B^{\prime}} b^{\prime}\right)\right.$ in $H(\phi(A), \pi(B))$ do, w becomes a KA $\mathcal{Z}$ B module.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for all $b \in B, a \in A$ and every coset $B^{\prime} b^{*}$ the relations $\pi\left(b_{0}^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{B^{\prime}} b^{*}\right) \pi\left(b_{0}\right)=\phi\left(a_{B^{\prime}} b^{*} b_{0}\right)$ between the automorphisms in $\pi(B)$ and $\Pi \phi\left(A_{B^{\prime} b}\right)$ of $W$ hold true.

Let $W=\sum_{\text {(i) }} \sum_{B^{\prime} b} \lambda_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b\right)} e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b\right)}$ be an arbitrary vector in $W$. Then
$\pi\left(b_{o}^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b} b^{*}\right) \pi\left(b_{o}\right) w=\pi\left(b_{o}^{-1}\right) \phi\left(a_{B^{\prime} b^{*}}\right) \sum_{\text {(i) } B^{\prime} b} \lambda_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b\right)} e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b b_{o}^{-1}\right)}=$
$=\pi\left(b_{o}^{-1}\right) \sum_{(i)} \sum_{B^{\prime} b \neq B^{\prime} b^{*}} \lambda_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b\right)} e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b b_{o}^{-1}\right)}+\sum_{(i)} \lambda_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b^{*}\right)} \phi\left(a_{\left.B^{\prime} b^{*}\right)} e_{i}^{\left(B^{\prime} b^{*}\right)}=\right.$


Remark. The symbol $W$ denotes in what follows a module $W$ of the type constructed above, the operator ring being KA 2 B , where the elements of A 2 B are defined to act upon $W$ as defined in theorem 1. In case however that $B^{\prime} \subset B$ is such that the $\phi_{b}$ cannot be extended to $\Pi_{A_{b}}$, we may consider the module $W$ to be a $K H(\phi(A), \pi(B)$ )-module, or (remark on proposition 1) as a $\operatorname{KP}(\phi(A)) \geqslant \pi(B)$ - module. All definitions and propositions pertaining A 2 B and the module $W$ that will be derived in what follows can be carried over to the groups $P(\phi(A)) \eta \pi(B)$ - considered as abstract groups - and the module $W$.
3. The class $C$ and the modules $W_{G}$ :

The letter $G$ denotes in what follows a subgroup of $A \geqslant B$ with the properties:
(i) G contains a subgroup $\bar{A}$ which is a subdirect product of $\Pi A_{b}$;
(ii) a set of right coset representatives of $G$ with respect to $A$ is also a set of representatives of $A \geqslant B$ with respect to $\Pi A_{b}$ :

The class of groups defined by (i) and (ii) is denoted by C. Example of groups in C are A 2 B itself, the group extensions G of A by B: G/A $\cong B$, which are embedded in $A \geqslant B([5],[6])$. Other groups of $C$ are defined in [6]. Finally, we would mention the groups $G$ with subgroup $A$, such that the permutation group defined by $A$ in $G$ is isomorphic to $B$ (Frobenius embedding).

Let $W$ be a KA 2 B -module as defined in section 2 , then $W_{G}$ will denote the same module except that the operators are restricted to KG in KA 2 B .

Proposition 2. If $B^{\prime}=\{1\}$ then $W_{G}$ is isomorphic to the induced module $\overline{M_{B}}{ }^{\text {' }}$, where $M_{B}$, is the $K \bar{A}$-submodule of $W_{\bar{A}}$ obtained from the $K \Pi A_{b}$-module $M_{B}$, by restriction of the operators to $K \bar{A}$.

Proof. $B^{\prime}=\{1\}$ implies $B \cong \pi(B)$ under the map $b \rightarrow \pi(b)$. If $\pi(b) \bar{a}_{b} \in G$ with $\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{b}} \in \overline{\mathrm{A}}$ are the representatives of $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ in $G$ then we have $W_{G}=\sum \Theta \pi(b) \bar{a}_{b} M_{B^{\prime}}=\sum \oplus \pi(b) M_{B^{\prime}}$. The map $\sum \overline{b a}_{b} \otimes m_{b} \rightarrow \sum \pi(b) \bar{a}_{b} m_{b}$ $\left(m_{b} \in M_{B}\right.$, ) defines a KG-isomorphism of $M_{B^{\prime}}^{G}=\sum b \bar{a}_{b} \otimes M_{B^{\prime}}$, onto $W_{G}$ (see $[2], \mathrm{p} .74,323$ ).

Proposition 3. $W_{G}$ is reducible if the $\overline{K A}$-module $M_{B}$, is reducible.
Proof. Let $M_{B}^{*}$, be an irreducible $K \bar{A}-$ submodule of $M_{B}$, then $\sum \oplus M_{B^{\prime} b}^{*}$ is a KG-submodule of $W_{G}$.

Lemma 3. $W_{G}$ is irreducible if the $K \bar{A}-$ module $W_{\bar{A}}$ contains no other $K \bar{A}-$ submodules than thosewhich are direct sums of $M_{B}{ }^{\prime} b$ ' $s$.

Proof. The condition of the lemma implies that the submodules of $W_{G}$ must also be direct sums of $M_{B^{\prime}} b^{\prime} s$. A direct sum of $M_{B^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ 's can never be a proper submodule of $W_{G}$, as the automorphisms $\pi(b) \bar{a}_{b}$ act transitively upon the modules $M_{B^{\prime} b}$.

Let a vector $v=\sum \lambda_{i} e_{i}^{B^{\prime} b}+\sum \mu_{i} e_{i}^{B^{\prime} b^{*}} \quad\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i} \in K\right)$ of the $K \bar{A}-\operatorname{sub}-$ module $M_{B^{\prime} b} \oplus_{*} M_{B^{\prime} b^{*}} \quad\left(B^{\prime} b \neq B^{\prime} b^{*}\right)$ be denoted by $\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$. Let moreover $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(a_{i j}^{*}\right)$ denote the matrix blocks in $T(a)(a \in \bar{A})$, corresponding to the modules $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ and $M_{B^{\prime}} b^{*}$ respectively, in the (direct sum) matrix representation $T$ of $\bar{A}$ afforded by $W_{\bar{A}}$. Let those blocks have degree $n$. Then we prove

Lemma 4. If the KA-module $M$ is irreducible and if for all pairs of different cosets $B^{\prime} b, B^{\prime} b^{*}$ the $K \bar{A}-$ module $M_{B^{\prime} b} \oplus M_{B^{\prime} b^{\prime}} * \square_{\bar{A}}$ does not contain a subdirect submodule $S$ such that there exist vectors ( $X_{i}, y_{i}$ ) and $\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$ in $S$, and an a $\in \bar{A}$ with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{*} y_{j} \neq \mu_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}=\lambda_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),
\end{aligned}
$$

then $W_{\bar{A}}$ contains no other $\overline{K A}$-submodules than those which are arbitrary direct sums of $M_{B} b^{\prime} s$.

Proof. From the irreducibility of $M$ and the subdirectness of $\bar{A}$ in $\Pi A_{b}$ it follows immediately that every $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ is an irreducible submodule of $W_{-}$. Let $V$ be a submodule of $W_{\bar{A}}$, not equal to a direct sum of $M_{B}{ }^{\prime} b^{\prime s}$. Then $M_{B \prime}{ }^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{V}$ implies that $M_{B \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $V$. If we leave away all those direct summands from $V$, then we are left with a submodule $V^{*}$ of $W_{\bar{A}}, V^{*}$ being a submodule of the direct sum of a number of $M_{B}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$, which contains no $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ as a submodule.

Let $S^{*}$ be the smallest non-trivial submodule of $V^{*}$ in a composition series of $\mathrm{V}^{*}$. Then it follows from the Jordan-Holder theorem that $\mathrm{S}^{*}$ is $K \bar{A}$-isomorphic to some module $M_{B^{\prime} b}$. As $S^{*}$ is not equal to any $M_{B^{\prime} b}$, there must exist at least two different cosets $B^{\prime} b$ and $B^{\prime} b^{*}$ such that the $K \bar{A}$-projection $S$ of $S^{*}$ into $M_{B^{\prime} b} \oplus M_{M^{\prime} b^{*}}$ is a subdirect module of $M_{B^{\prime} b} \oplus M_{B^{\prime} b^{*}} \subset W_{-}$and is not equal to $M_{B^{\prime} b} \oplus M_{B^{\prime} b^{*}}$. $S$ is an irreducible module, as $S^{*}$ is irreducible. The progection $\pi(S)$ of $S$ into $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ is for that reason a $K \bar{A}-i$ isomorphism.

Now, let $\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$ and $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ be vectors in $S$ such that the conditions of the lemma hold true. This means that there exist two different vectors in $S$, viz. $\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\sum a_{i j} x_{j}, \sum a_{i j}^{*} y_{j}\right)=\left(\lambda_{i}, \sum a_{i j}^{*} y_{j}\right)$, having same projection in $\pi(S)$, viz. $\left(\lambda_{i}, 0\right)$. This is however impossible on account of the isomorphism between $S$ and $M_{B}{ }^{\prime} b$.

Theorem 2. The module $W_{G}$ is irreducible if the conditions of lemma 4 with respect to the $K \bar{A}$-module $W_{\bar{A}}$ are satisfied.

Proof. Lemma 3 and lemma 4.

Corollary 1. Let $G=A 2 B$, then $W_{A 2}=W$ is irreducible if not all the matrices of the irreducible matrix representation of $A$ afforded by $M$ have a characteristic value equal to 1 .

Proof. We have $\overline{\mathbf{A}}=\Pi A_{b}$. Let $S$ be an irreducible subdirect $\overline{K A}$-submodule of $M_{B}{ }^{\prime} b \oplus M_{B}{ }^{\prime} b^{*}$, then take for $\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right) \in S$ a vector ( $1, \mu_{i}$ ). Such a vector exists in $S$ as the projection of $S$ in $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ is onto. Take $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\left(1, \mu_{i}\right),\left(a_{i j}\right)=I$ (identity matrix),$\left(a_{i j}^{*}\right) \neq I$. We have that $\sum a_{i j}^{*} \mu_{j}=\mu_{j}$ only if $\left(a_{i j}^{*}\right)$ has a characteristic value equal to 1 .

Corollary 2. If the degree of the irreducible representation of $A$ afforded by $M$ is equal to 1 , then theorem 2 gives:
$W_{G}$ is irreducible if for every pair of different cosets $B^{\prime} b$ and $B^{\prime} b^{*}$ there exists an element $a \in \overline{\mathrm{~A}}$ such that for the entries $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{*}$ ( $\alpha, \alpha^{*} \in K$ ) corresponding to the modules $M_{B^{\prime} b}$ and $M_{B^{\prime} b^{*}}$ in the diagonal representation of $\bar{A}$ afforded by $W_{\bar{A}}$, the inequality $\alpha \neq \alpha^{*}$ holds.

Remark. The question whether the condition of theorem 2 is also a necessary condition for the irreducibility of $W_{G}$ has to be answered in the negative (see theorem 3 below). This condition is however necessary in the following case. Let $K$ be algebraically closed, and let $A$ and $B$ be both finite cyclic with order $n$ and $m$, respectively. Assume that $n m$ is not divisible by the characteristic of $K$. Then take for $G$ the metacyclic groups $G / A \cong B$. The module $W_{G}$ is in this case isomorphic to the induced module $M_{B^{\prime}}^{G}$ (proposition 2). A simple calculation shows that in this case the condition of corollary 2 is equivalent to (the sufficient partoof) the irreducibility criterion for $M_{B}^{G}$, as has been derived in [2], §47. This condition however is also necessary (loc.cit.). It is likely that the condition of corollary 2 is also necessary if we take for $G$ metabelian groups ( $A$ and $B$ abelian, $G / A \cong B$ ).

Theorem 3. Let A and B be finite, $K$ algebraically closed. Assume that the characteristic of $K$ does not divide the order of $A 2 B$. Let $B^{\prime}=\{1\}$. Then $W$ is an irreducible $K A \geqslant B$ - module if $M$ is an irreducible KA-module.

Proof. We have $W=M_{1}^{A} 2 B$ (proposition 2). The assumptions of the theorem permit us to apply an irreducibility criterion for induced modules ([2], §45). According to this theorem, we have only to show that for every $b \in B$ the irreducible $K \| A_{b}$-modules $M_{b}$ are not $K \Pi A_{b}$-isomorphic. This follows however immediately from the fact that every $A_{b}$, acts trivially on $M_{b}$ if and only if $b \neq b^{\prime}$.

The only if part of the theorem follows from proposition 3.
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