

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM

ZW 1956-026<sup>n</sup>

On the Minkowski-Hlawka theorem

C.G. Lekkerkerker



MATHEMATICS

ON THE MINKOWSKI-HLAWKA THEOREM

BY

C. G. LEKKERKERKER

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. KOKSMA at the meeting of March 24, 1956)

Let  $R_n (n \geq 2)$  be the real Euclidean space of points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $O$  be the origin. The theorem of MINKOWSKI-HLAWKA asserts that, if  $K$  is a bounded star domain in  $R_n$ , of volume  $V$  and symmetric about  $O$ , there exists a lattice, which is admissible for  $K$  and whose determinant does not exceed  $V/2\zeta(n)$ , where  $\zeta(n) = 1 + 2^{-n} + 3^{-n} + \dots$ . Various proofs of this theorem have been given [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In all these proofs the lattice found may have a rather contorted form: if  $S$  is any sphere about  $O$  and the volume of  $K$  has a fixed value, then, for suitably chosen  $K$ , these proofs lead to a lattice which does not have a basis contained in  $S$ . It is also clear that the proofs of SIEGEL [6] and WEIL [7] do not give us any information concerning the form of the lattices which have the required properties.

By applying the BRUNN-MINKOWSKI theorem, MAHLER [8] and DAVENPORT-ROGERS [9] obtained improvements of the theorem of MINKOWSKI-HLAWKA in the case of convex bodies. The results of these authors are as follows. For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $c_n$  denote the lower bound of  $V/\Delta$  for all centrally symmetric convex bodies in  $R_n$ ,  $V$  being the volume and  $\Delta$  denoting the critical determinant of  $K$ ; it is the largest positive number such that, for all  $K$ , there exists a  $K$ -admissible lattice with determinant not exceeding  $V/c_n$ . By the theorem of MINKOWSKI-HLAWKA,  $c_n \geq 2\zeta(n)$ . Now MAHLER found that

$$(1) \quad c_2 \geq 2\sqrt{3}, \quad c_n > 2\zeta(n) + 1/6 \text{ for all } n,$$

whereas DAVENPORT-ROGERS proved that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \geq c,$$

where  $c = 4.921 \dots$  is the solution of

$$(2) \quad c \log c = 2(c-1) \quad (c > 1).$$

In this note I shall prove two theorems. Firstly, I shall show

*Theorem 1. Let  $c$  be defined by (2). Then  $c_n > c$  for  $n \geq 5$ .*

Next, I shall show that, for an arbitrary convex body  $K$ , by using the method of proof employed by MAHLER and DAVENPORT-ROGERS, one can find a lattice which has the properties discussed and, in addition,

has a basis contained in some relatively small sphere about  $O$ . More precisely, I shall prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let the numbers  $\bar{c}_2, \bar{c}_3, \dots$  be given by*

$$(3) \quad \bar{c}_2 = 3, \bar{c}_3 = 3.82, \bar{c}_4 = 4.41, \bar{c}_5 = 4.80, \bar{c}_6 = c \text{ for } n \geq 6.$$

*Let  $\kappa_n$  be the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere. Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $R_n$ , of volume  $V$  and symmetric about  $O$ . Then there exists a  $K$ -admissible lattice, whose determinant does not exceed  $V/\bar{c}_n$  and which, after a suitable rotation about  $O$ , has a basis contained in the cube defined by*

$$(4) \quad |x_i| < b(V/\kappa_n)^{1/n} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where, for all  $n$ , one may take  $b = 2.13$ .

We note that, since  $\kappa_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(\frac{n+2}{2})$ ,  $\kappa_n^{-1/n}$  is asymptotically equal to  $\sqrt{n/(2\pi e)}$ . Further, the cube defined by (4) is contained, also after an arbitrary rotation, in the sphere with centre at  $O$  and radius  $\sqrt{n} \cdot b(V/\kappa_n)^{1/n}$ . So the assertion of theorem 2 can also be stated in the following somewhat weaker form: *there exists a  $K$ -admissible lattice, whose determinant does not exceed  $V/\bar{c}_n$  and which has a basis contained in the sphere*

$$x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < (b_1 n V^{1/n})^2,$$

where  $b_1$  is some positive constant not depending on  $K$  and  $n$ .

The proofs of the above theorems will be preceded by a number of lemmas. The first two of these lemmas are the main steps in the proof of DAVENPORT-ROGERS and are also fundamental for our purpose. For the proofs I refer to the paper mentioned above. Further, in particular, lemmas 4 and 5 deal with the volumes of the sections of a convex body or a star body by planes through  $O$ . Lemma 5 is perhaps of interest by itself.

The following notations will be used. For given  $K$  and real  $a$ , denote by  $V(a)$  the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of the section of  $K$  by  $x_n = a$ . For each  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane  $\Pi$  through  $O$ , let  $v(\Pi)$  denote the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of  $K \cap \Pi$ . Further, for each point  $x$ , write  $|x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$ . If  $x \neq O$ , then let  $\Pi_x$  denote the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane, which passes through  $O$  and is orthogonal to the vector  $x$ . Finally, let  $\omega_n$  denote the area of the unit sphere in  $R_n$ , so that

$$(5) \quad \omega_n = n\kappa_n \quad (n \geq 2).$$

**Lemma 1.**<sup>1)</sup> *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice in the space*

<sup>1)</sup> Lemma 1 says a little more than lemma 2 in the paper of DAVENPORT-ROGERS, but follows immediately from the proof of that lemma. Lemma 2 follows from their lemma 3, if one puts  $\beta = (1 + \delta/n)^{-n+1}$  and notes that

$$1 < \frac{n(1 - \beta^{1/(n-1)})}{1 - \beta^{n/(n-1)}} < n, \text{ if } 0 < \beta < 1.$$

$x_n = 0$ , with determinant  $d(\mathcal{L})$ , which has no point (except  $O$ ) in  $K$ . Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$ , and

$$(6) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} V(\alpha t) < d(\mathcal{L}).$$

Then there exists a point  $g$  of the form  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, \alpha)$ , such that the lattice generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $g$  is admissible for  $K$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $\beta$  be a real number with  $0 < \beta < 1$  and let  $\alpha$  be defined by

$$(7) \quad \alpha = \frac{V}{2V(0)} \cdot \frac{n(1-\beta)^{1/(n-1)}}{1-\beta^{n/(n-1)}}.$$

Then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V(\alpha t) \leq \beta V(0).$$

It is convenient to deduce from lemma 1 the following

Lemma 3. The assertion of lemma 1 remains true, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is allowed to have points on the boundary of  $K$  and if the condition (6) is replaced by

$$(6') \quad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} V(\alpha t) \leq d(\mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\alpha$  be such that (6') holds and that  $\mathcal{L}$  has no point (except  $O$ ) in the interior of  $K$ . For each positive integer  $r$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_r$  be the lattice  $(1+r^{-1})\mathcal{L}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_r$  has no point (except  $O$ ) in  $K$  and (6) holds, with  $\mathcal{L}_r$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}$ . So, by lemma 1, there exists a point  $g^{(r)}$  in the plane  $x_n = \alpha$ , such that the lattice  $\mathcal{A}_r$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}_r$  and  $g^{(r)}$  is admissible for  $K$ . Clearly there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers  $r_1, r_2, \dots$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}_{r_k}$ , for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , converges to a lattice  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and some point  $g$  in the plane  $x_n = \alpha$ . By a familiar argument<sup>1)</sup>,  $\mathcal{A}$  is admissible for  $K$ . This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4. Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $R_n$ , of volume  $V = \kappa_n$ , symmetric about  $O$ . Then there exists an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane  $\Pi$ , which passes through  $O$ , such that

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \kappa_n \leq v(\Pi) \leq \frac{1}{2} n \kappa_n.$$

Proof. Let  $x'$  be a point on the boundary of  $K$ , for which  $|x'|$  is maximal. Since  $V = \kappa_n$ ,  $K$  is not properly contained in the unit sphere, and so  $|x'| \geq 1$ . Write  $\Pi' = \Pi_{x'}$ , and consider the cone  $C$ , with vertex at  $x'$  and with the intersection  $K \cap \Pi'$  as a basis.

Since  $K$  is convex,  $C$  is contained in  $K$ . Hence, since  $K$  is also symmetric about  $O$ ,

$$V = \kappa_n \geq 2V(C) = \frac{2}{n} |x'| \cdot v(\Pi') \geq \frac{2}{n} v(\Pi'),$$

hence

$$v(\Pi') \leq \frac{1}{2} n \kappa_n.$$

<sup>1)</sup> See e.g. MAHLER [10], proof of theorem 8.

Next, let  $x''$  be a point on the boundary of  $K$ , such that  $|x''|$  is minimal. Since the unit sphere is not properly contained in  $K$ , we now have  $|x''| \leq 1$ . Write  $\Pi'' = \Pi_{x''}$  and, for real  $a$ , denote by  $W(a)$  the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of the intersection of  $K$  and the plane which passes through  $ax''$  and is parallel to  $\Pi''$ . In particular,  $W(0) = v(\Pi'')$ . In virtue of the BRUNN-MINKOWSKI theorem<sup>1)</sup>, the expression  $\sqrt[n-1]{W(a)}$  is a concave function of  $a$ . Further, by the symmetry of  $K$ , this function is even. Hence  $W(a) \leq W(0)$ , for all  $a$ , and so

$$V = \kappa_n \leq 2|x''| \cdot W(0) \leq 2W(0) = 2v(\Pi'').$$

Hence

$$v(\Pi'') \geq \frac{1}{2}\kappa_n.$$

Since the point of intersection of the boundary of  $K$  and a straight line through  $O$  varies continuously, as the direction of this line varies, the volume  $v(\Pi)$  varies continuously with  $\Pi$ . Then it follows from the above estimates for  $v(\Pi')$  and  $v(\Pi'')$  that  $\Pi$  may be chosen such that (9) holds.

When  $K$  is the  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere, then, for all  $\Pi$ ,  $v(\Pi)$  is equal to  $\kappa_{n-1}$ . Here, since  $\kappa_n = \pi^{n/2} / \Gamma(\frac{n+2}{2})$ , the number  $\kappa_{n-1}$  is asymptotically equal to  $\sqrt{n/(2\pi)} \cdot \kappa_n$ . One might conjecture that in lemma 4 the plane  $\Pi$  can always be chosen in such a way that  $v(\Pi) = \kappa_{n-1}$ . But it seems difficult to decide whether this is true. In the following lemma I shall prove (for a much wider class of bodies) that a certain mean value of  $v(\Pi)$  is at most equal to  $\kappa_{n-1}$ . As a consequence, in lemma 4 the plane  $\Pi$  may be chosen such that instead of (9) we have

$$(9') \quad \frac{1}{2}\kappa_n \leq v(\Pi) \leq \kappa_{n-1}.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $K$  be a bounded star domain (not necessarily symmetric about  $O$ ), of volume  $\kappa_n$ . Let  $S_{n-1}$  be the sphere  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1$ . For  $x \in S_{n-1}$ , let  $v(\Pi_x)$  be the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of  $K \cap \Pi_x$ . Then we have*

$$(10) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{S_{n-1}} \{v(\Pi_x)\}^{n/(n-1)} dx \right]^{(n-1)/n} \leq \kappa_{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** For  $x \in S_{n-1}$ , denote by  $f(x)$  the uniquely determined positive number  $\lambda$ , for which  $\lambda x$  belongs to the boundary of  $K$ . Clearly  $f(x)$  is a positive, continuous function. Expressing the volume of  $K$  in terms of  $f(x)$  we get

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S_{n-1}} \{f(x)\}^n dx.$$

For given  $x \in S_{n-1}$ , let us denote by  $S_{n-2}(x)$  the set of points  $y$  with

$$y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2 = 1, \quad y_1 x_1 + \dots + y_n x_n = 0.$$

<sup>1)</sup> See BONNESEN-FENCHEL [11], pp. 71 and 88.

Then for  $v(II_x)$  we have the expression

$$v(II_x) = \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{S_{n-1}(x)} \{f(y)\}^{n-1} dy.$$

To the last integral we apply HÖLDER'S inequality. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} v(II_x) &\leq \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} \{f(y)\}^n dy \right]^{(n-1)/n} \cdot \left[ \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} dy \right]^{1/n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \omega_{n-1}^{1/n} \left[ \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} \{f(y)\}^n dy \right]^{(n-1)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

hence, on account of (5),

$$\{v(II_x)\}^{n/(n-1)} \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \kappa_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} \{f(y)\}^n dy.$$

The inequality (10) will follow if we can prove that

$$(11) \quad \int_{S_{n-1}} dx \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} \{f(y)\}^n dy = \omega_{n-1} \int_{S_{n-1}} \{f(y)\}^n dy;$$

for then we have

$$\int_{S_{n-1}} \{v(II_x)\}^{n/(n-1)} dx \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \kappa_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} \omega_{n-1} \cdot n \kappa_n = \kappa_{n-1}^{n/(n-1)} \omega_n.$$

Let  $\delta$  be a small positive number. We define a function  $\phi(x, y)$  of two independent variables  $x, y$ , as follows:

$$\phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{f(y)\}^n & \text{if } |xy| \leq \delta \\ 0 & \text{if } |xy| > \delta \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in S_{n-1}),$$

where  $xy = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n$ . Since  $f(y)$  is a continuous function of  $y$ , we certainly have

$$\int_{S_{n-1}} \int_{S_{n-1}} \phi(x, y) dx dy = \int_{S_{n-1}} \int_{S_{n-1}} \phi(x, y) dy dx.$$

We further have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{n-1}} \phi(x, y) dy &\sim 2\delta \int_{S_{n-2}(x)} \{f(y)\}^n dy \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{S_{n-1}} \phi(x, y) dx &= \{f(y)\}^n \int_{|xy| \leq \delta} dx \sim 2\delta \omega_{n-1} \{f(x)\}^n \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these relations (11) follows. This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $c$  be the solution of (2). Then, if  $a > c$  and  $n \geq 5$ ,*

$$(12) \quad \frac{2}{n} \frac{a^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{a^{1/(n-1)} - 1} > c.$$

**Proof.** Since the left-hand member of (12) can be written as a polynomial in  $a^{1/(n-1)}$ , with positive coefficients, it is an increasing function of  $a$ . Hence it is sufficient to prove that

$$(12') \quad \frac{2}{n} \frac{c^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{c^{1/(n-1)} - 1} \geq c \quad \text{for } n \geq 5.$$

Put  $y_n = c^{1/(n-1)} - 1$ . Then  $n = 1 + \log c / \log (y_n + 1)$  and so the inequality (12') takes the form

$$(12'') \quad \frac{2 \log (y_n + 1)}{\log c + \log (y_n + 1)} \left( c + \frac{c-1}{y_n} \right) \geq c \quad \text{for } n \geq 5.$$

The quantity  $y_n$  is positive and tends to zero for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We now consider the function

$$f(y) = \frac{2 \log (y+1)}{\log c + \log (y+1)} \left( c + \frac{c-1}{y} \right) \quad (y > 0).$$

Clearly, by (2),

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow +0} f(y) = \frac{2}{\log c} (c-1) = c.$$

We shall prove that  $f(y)$  is a steadily increasing function of  $y$  for  $0 < y < 0.5$ .

Differentiating  $f(y)$  and using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(y) &= -\frac{c-1}{y^2} \cdot \frac{2 \log (y+1)}{\log c + \log (y+1)} + \left( c + \frac{c-1}{y} \right) \cdot \frac{2 \log c}{(y+1)[\log c + \log (y+1)]^2} \\ &= \frac{2(c-1)}{y^2(y+1)[\log c + \log (y+1)]^2} \psi(y), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\psi(y) = -(y+1) \log (y+1) [\log c + \log (y+1)] + 2y^2 + \left( 2 - \frac{2}{c} \right) y.$$

We have  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(y) &= -[\log^2 (y+1) + (2 + \log c) \log (y+1) + \log c] + 4y + 2 - \frac{2}{c}, \\ \psi''(y) &= -\frac{1}{y+1} [2 \log (y+1) + 2 + \log c] + 4 \quad (y \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\psi'(0) = -\log c + 2 - \frac{2}{c} = 0$ . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \log (y+1) + 2 + \log c &< 0.4 + 2 + 1.6 = 4 \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 0.2, \\ 2 \log (y+1) + 2 + \log c &< 1 + 2 + 1.6 < 4.8 \quad \text{for } 0.2 \leq y < 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\psi''(y) > 0$ , and so  $\psi'(y) > 0$ , for  $0 < y < 0.5$ . Then also  $\psi(y) > 0$ , and so  $f'(y) > 0$  for  $0 < y < 0.5$ .

It follows that  $f(y) > c$  for  $0 < y < 0.5$ . Since  $0 < y_n < 0.5$  for  $n \geq 5$ , this proves (12'') and so proves the lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *If  $a$  is a number with  $c < a < 6$ , and  $n \geq 6$ , then*

$$(13) \quad \frac{94}{100} a < \frac{2}{n} \frac{a^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{a^{1/(n-1)} - 1} < 6.$$

**Proof.** Put  $n-1 = m$ , so that  $m \geq 5$ . Write  $a_m = \frac{2}{m+1} \frac{a^{(m+1)/m} - 1}{a^{1/m} - 1}$ . Since  $a_m$  is a steadily increasing function of  $a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_m &< \frac{2}{m+1} \frac{6^{(m+1)/m} - 1}{6^{1/m} - 1} = \frac{2}{m+1} \left( 6 + \frac{5}{6^{1/m} - 1} \right) \\ &< \frac{2}{m+1} \left( 6 + \frac{5}{m^{-1} \log 6 + \frac{1}{2} m^{-2} \log^2 6} \right) = \frac{6}{m+1} \left( 2 + \frac{10}{6 \log 6} \cdot \frac{m}{1 + \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} \log 6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $a_m < 6$ , since

$$\frac{10}{6 \log 6} = 0.9416 \dots < (1 - m^{-1}) (1 + \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} \log 6) \quad \text{for } m \geq 5.$$

Further, since  $\frac{a-1}{a \log a}$  is steadily decreasing for  $c < a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_m}{a} &= \frac{2}{m+1} \left( 1 + \frac{a-1}{a(a^{1/m}-1)} \right) > \frac{2}{m+1} \left( 1 + m \cdot \frac{a-1}{a \log a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2m} \log a \right) \right) \\ &> \frac{2}{m+1} \left( 1 + \frac{5m}{6 \log 6} - \frac{a-1}{2a} \right) > \frac{10}{6 \log 6} > \frac{94}{100}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (13).

Proof of theorem 1. By (2),  $c_2 \geq 2\sqrt{3} > 1$ . Suppose  $n \geq 3$ , and that  $c_{n-1} > 1$ . Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $R_n$ , of volume  $V$ , symmetric about  $O$ . Consider the section of  $K$  by  $x_n = 0$ . It is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional convex body, symmetric about  $O$ , of volume  $V(0)$ . By the definition of  $c_{n-1}$ , the critical determinant of this body is at most equal to  $V(0)/c_{n-1}$ , and so there exists an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in the plane  $x_n = 0$ , of determinant  $d(\mathcal{L}) = V(0)/c_{n-1}$ , which has no point (except  $O$ ) in the interior of  $K$ .

Put  $\beta = c_{n-1}^{-1}$ . Since we assumed that  $c_{n-1} > 1$ , we have  $0 < \beta < 1$ . For this  $\beta$  let  $\alpha$  be defined by (7). Then, from lemma 2,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} V(\alpha t) \leq \beta V(0) = V(0)/c_{n-1} = d(\mathcal{L}).$$

In virtue of the lemmas 1 and 3 there now exists a point  $g$  of the form  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, \alpha)$ , such that the lattice  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $g$  is admissible for  $K$ . It follows that the critical determinant of  $K$ ,  $\Delta$  say, is at most equal to  $\alpha d(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence

$$V/\Delta \geq \frac{c_{n-1}V}{\alpha V(0)} = \frac{2c_{n-1}}{n} \frac{1 - c_{n-1}^{-n/(n-1)}}{1 - c_{n-1}^{-1/(n-1)}} = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{c_{n-1}^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{c_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} - 1}.$$

From the arbitrariness of  $K$  it then follows that

$$(14) \quad c_n \geq \frac{2}{n} \frac{c_{n-1}^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{c_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} - 1}.$$

From (14) it follows that  $c_n > 1$ , since we assumed that  $c_{n-1} > 1$ . Then it follows, by induction on  $n$ , that (14) holds for all  $n \geq 3$ . Using the relation  $c_2 \geq 2\sqrt{3}$  and applying (14) with  $n = 3, 4, 5$  we find that  $c_5 > c = 4.921\dots$ . Hence, by (14) and lemma 6, we have  $c_n > c$  for  $n \geq 5$ . This proves the theorem.

Proof of theorem 2. We define numbers  $d_2, d_3, \dots$  as follows:

$$(15) \quad d_2 = 3, \quad d_n = \frac{2}{n} \frac{d_{n-1}^{n/(n-1)} - 1}{d_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} - 1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

(Clearly  $d_n > 1$  for all  $n$ . By induction on  $n$ , we shall prove the following assertion:

*Let  $b$  be any number  $\geq \frac{200}{94}$ . Then there exists a lattice  $\mathcal{A}$  with the following properties:*



1. the lattice  $\Lambda$  is admissible for  $K$
2. the determinant of  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $V/d_n$
3.  $\Lambda$  has a basis contained in the cube

$$W: |x_i| < b(V/\kappa_n)^{1/n} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

4. for each point  $x$  of the space there exists a point  $y \in \Lambda$ , such that  $x - y \in W$ .

In proving this assertion it is no loss of generality to suppose that  $V = \kappa_n$ .

First consider the case  $n = 2$  and suppose that  $V = \kappa_2 = \pi$ . Then there exists a point  $x'$  on the boundary of  $K$  at distance 1 from  $O$ . It is no loss of generality to suppose that  $x'$  is the point  $(1, 0)$ . Then the line  $x_2 = \pi/3$  intersects  $K$  in a segment of length  $\leq 1$ , since otherwise the volume of  $K$  would be greater than  $\pi$ . For a similar reason the line  $x_2 = 2\pi/3$  does not intersect  $K$ . Consequently, there exists a  $K$ -admissible lattice  $\Lambda$ , generated by the point  $(1, 0)$  and a point of the form  $(a, \pi/3)$ . Here we may take  $a$  such that  $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $\Lambda$  has a basis contained in the square

$$|x_i| \leq \pi/3 < b \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Next, for each point  $x$  of the plane there exist integers  $u$  and  $v$ , such that  $x - u \cdot (a, \pi/3) - v \cdot (1, 0)$  is contained in the square  $|y_i| < b \quad (i = 1, 2)$ . Finally,  $\Lambda$  has determinant  $d(\Lambda) = \pi/3$ . This proves the assertion in the case  $n = 2$ .

Now let  $n \geq 3$  and suppose that the assertion is true, with  $n$  replaced by  $n - 1$ . Let  $K$  be a centrally symmetric convex in  $n$  dimensions, of volume  $\kappa_n$ . As we already remarked above (see the relation (9')), it follows from the lemmas 4 and 5 that there exists an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional plane  $\Pi$  through  $O$ , such that the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volume of  $K \cap \Pi$  is comprised between  $\frac{1}{2}\kappa_n$  and  $\kappa_{n-1}$ . It is no loss of generality to suppose that  $\Pi$  is the plane  $x_n = 0$ , so that

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{2}\kappa_n \leq V(0) \leq \kappa_{n-1}.$$

Hence  $V(0)/\kappa_{n-1} \leq 1$ . So, according to the induction hypothesis, there exists an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in the plane  $x_n = 0$  with the following properties:

- 1)  $\mathcal{L}$  has no point (except  $O$ ) in the interior of  $K$
- 2)  $\mathcal{L}$  has determinant  $d(\mathcal{L}) = V(0)/d_{n-1}$
- 3)  $\mathcal{L}$  has a basis contained in the cube

$$|x_i| < b \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1), \quad x_n = 0$$

- 4) for each point  $x$  in the space  $x_n = 0$  there exists a point  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ , such that  $|x_i - y_i| < b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

We now apply the lemmas 2 and 3. Take  $\beta = 1/d_{n-1}$ . Then  $0 < \beta < 1$ . With this value of  $\beta$ , let  $\alpha$  be defined by (6). Then, by 2) and lemma 2,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} V(\alpha t) \leq d(\mathcal{L}).$$

Hence, in virtue of 1) and lemma 3, there exists a point  $g$  of the form  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, \alpha)$ , such that the lattice  $A$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $g$  is admissible for  $K$ . We shall prove that this lattice possesses also the properties 2, 3, 4.

Using 2) and the definitions of  $\alpha$  and  $d_n$  we find that the determinant of  $A$  is given by

$$d(A) = \alpha d(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{V}{2d_{n-1}} \frac{n(1-d_{n-1}^{-1/(n-1)})}{1-d_{n-1}^{-n/(n-1)}} = \frac{V}{2} \frac{n(d_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} - 1)}{d_{n-1}^{n/(n-1)} - 1} = V/d_n.$$

Next, in virtue of 4), there exists a point  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ , such that  $|g_i - y_i| < b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Put  $g - y = x$ . Then  $A$  is generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $x$ . Further  $|x_i| < b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $x_n = \alpha$ . We prove that  $\alpha < b$ . We have  $\alpha = \frac{V}{V(0)} \frac{d_{n-1}}{d_n}$ . On account of (16),  $\frac{V}{V(0)} \leq 2$ . From (15) one easily finds that  $d_2 < d_3 < d_4 < d_5 < d_6 < 6$  and  $d_6 > c$ . Then, by lemma 6,  $d_n > c$  for  $n > 6$ . Hence, by lemma 7,  $\frac{94}{100} d_{n-1} < d_n < 6$  for  $n \geq 7$ . Hence  $d_{n-1}/d_n < \frac{100}{94}$  for  $n = 3, 4, \dots$ . This shows that  $\alpha < b$ . It follows that  $A$  has a basis contained in the cube  $|x_i| < b$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Finally, let  $x$  be an arbitrary point. There exists an integer  $u_n$ , such that  $|x_n - u_n \alpha| < b$ . In virtue of 4), there further exists a point  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ , such that  $|x_i - u_n g_i - y_i| < b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Hence the point  $x - u_n g - y$  is contained in the cube  $|x_i| < b$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

This proves that  $A$  possesses the properties 1, 2, 3, 4. By induction on  $n$ , the truth of the assertion follows. Calculation gives  $d_3 > 3.82$ ,  $d_4 > 4.41$ ,  $d_5 > 4.80$ , whereas  $d_n > c$  for  $n \geq 6$ . From this the theorem follows.

*Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam*

#### REFERENCES

1. HLAWKA, E., Zur Geometrie der Zahlen, Math. Z. 49, 285-312 (1943).
2. ROGERS, C. A., Existence theorems in the geometry of numbers, Ann. of Math. (2) 48, 994-1002 (1947).
3. ———, The number of lattice points in a star body, J. London Math. Soc. 26, 307-310 (1951).
4. MALYŠEV, A. V., On the Minkowski-Hlawka theorem concerning a star body, Uspehi Matem. Nauk. (N.S.) 7, no 2 (48), 168-171 (1952). (Russian).
5. CASSELS, J. W. S., A short proof of the Minkowski-Hlawka theorem, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 49, 165-166 (1953).
6. SIEGEL, C. L., A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers, Ann. of Math. (2) 46, 340-347 (1945).
7. WEIL, A., Sur quelques résultats de Siegel, Summa Brasiliensis Math. 1, fasc. 4, 21-39 (1946).
8. MAHLER, K., The theorem of Minkowski-Hlawka, Duke Math. J. 13, 611-621 (1946).
9. DAVENPORT, H. and C. A. ROGERS, Hlawka's theorem in the geometry of numbers, Duke Math. J. 14, 367-375 (1947).
10. MAHLER, K., On lattice points in  $n$ -dimensional star bodies, I. Existence theorems, Proc. Royal Soc. A 187, 151-187 (1947).
11. BONNESEN, T. and W. FENCHEL, Theorie der konvexen Körper (Chelsea, 1947).