STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49 AMSTERDAM

ZW 1957 - 030 /

Completeness of Holomorphs

W. Peremans



MATHEMATICS

COMPLETENESS OF HOLOMORPHS

вv

W. PEREMANS

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. Koksma at the meeting of May 25, 1957)

1. Introduction. A complete group is a group without centre and without outer automorphisms. It is well-known that a group G is complete if and only if G is a direct factor of every group containing G as a normal subgroup (cf. [6], p. 80 and [2]). The question arises whether it is sufficient for a group to be complete, that it is a direct factor in its holomorph. Rédei [9] has given the following necessary condition for a group to be a direct factor in its holomorph: it is complete or a direct product of a complete group and a group of order 2. In section 2 I establish the following necessary and sufficient condition: it is complete or a direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2. Obviously a group of order 2 is a trivial example of a non-complete group which is a direct factor of its holomorph (trivial, because the group coincides with its holomorph). For non-trivial examples we need non-trivial complete groups without subgroups of index 2. We quote some examples of complete groups from the literature.

The unrestricted symmetric group S_n of n objects, where n is an arbitrary finite or infinite cardinal number is complete, except if n=2 or n=6 ([5], p. 92 and [11]). If n is infinite, S_n does not contain subgroups of index 2 ([10] and [1]; in these papers general statements about normal subgroups of S_n are proved. A direct proof of the non-existence of subgroups of index 2 is easier).

The group of automorphisms of a non-abelian elementary group is complete (a group is called elementary, if it has no proper characteristic subgroups); cf. [3], p. 96 corollary, where the theorem is proved for finite groups. The generalization to infinite groups offers no difficulties.

The holomorph of a finite abelian group of odd order is complete (cf. [7]; in [4] some special cases of this theorem are rediscovered). In section 3 we direct our attention to a generalization of this theorem. The condition of being abelian of odd order is replaced by the condition that the mapping $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism. This condition means that the group is abelian without elements of order 2, and every element is a square. Obviously a finite group satisfies this condition if and only if it is abelian of odd order. Unfortunately the statement, that the holomorph of a group, in which $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism, is complete, is false. A counterexample is

the direct product of a quasicyclic group of type p^{∞} and a group of order p, where p is an odd prime (for details see section 3). In theorem 3.1 we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the holomorph of a group, for which $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism, be complete. These conditions, however, are complicated, but it seems that the conditions such a group has to satisfy in order that its holomorph is not complete, are rather strong. In theorem 3.2 some classes of groups are given, for which the holomorph is complete.

I have not investigated the question, whether an abelian group, in which $x \to x^2$ is not an automorphism, may have a complete holomorph. It is well-known, that a non-abelian group never has a complete holomorph (cf. [8]; see also section 2, iv).

2. The elements of a group are denoted by Latin characters; the identity element is denoted by e. Automorphisms are denoted by Greek characters and are written as left multipliers; accordingly $\alpha\beta$ denotes the automorphism, arising by first applying β and then α . The identity automorphism is denoted by 1. The inner automorphism $x \to axa^{-1}$ is denoted by $\tau(a)$. The group of automorphisms of a group G is denoted by A(G).

The holomorph K(G) of a group G may be defined as follows. The elements of K(G) are the pairs (a, α) with $a \in G$, $\alpha \in A(G)$; multiplication of elements of K(G) is given by the rule

$$(a, \alpha) (b, \beta) = (a(\alpha b), \alpha \beta).$$

The unit element of K(G) is (e, 1), the inverse of (a, α) is $(\alpha^{-1}a^{-1}, \alpha^{-1})$. The following facts about K(G) are well-known.

- i. K(G) contains the normal subgroup $G' = \{(x, 1) | x \in G\}$, isomorphic to G and $K(G)/G' \simeq A(G)$.
- ii. K(G) contains the subgroup $A(G)'' = \{(e, \xi) | \xi \in A(G)\}$, isomorphic to A(G) and K(G) = G'A(G)''.
- iii. Every automorphism of G' is induced by an inner automorphism of K(G).
- iv. The mapping $(x, \xi) \to (x^{-1}, \tau(x)\xi)$ is an automorphism of K(G), which is outer if G is not abelian, because it maps the normal subgroup G' onto a group different from G'.

If H is a subgroup of G we denote by H' the group $\{(x, 1)|x \in H\}$; if B is a subgroup of A(G) we denote by B'' the group $\{(e, \xi)|\xi \in B\}$.

Theorem 2.1. If G is a group, G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if either G is complete or G is direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2.

Proof. G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if every coset of G' contains an element, which is permutable with every element of G', such that these elements constitute a group. If this element of the coset

 $\{(x, \alpha) | x \in G\}$ is denoted by $(f(\alpha)^{-1}, \alpha)$, a short calculation gives the following two conditions:

(2.1)
$$\alpha x = f(\alpha)x f(\alpha)^{-1},$$

(2.2)
$$t(\alpha\beta) = t(\alpha) \ t(\beta).$$

From (2.1) it follows that all automorphisms of G are inner; from (2.2) and (2.1) it follows that f is an isomorphic mapping of A(G) into G. If all automorphisms of G are inner, (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent with the possibility of choosing one element from every coset of the centre G of G, such that these elements constitute a group. This is possible if and only if G is a direct factor of G. So we have proved the following statement.

G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if all automorphisms of G are inner and the centre of G is a direct factor of G.

Let $G = C \times D$; C the centre of G. Then D has no centre. If all automorphisms of G are inner, the same holds for C and D, so D is complete. As C is abelian, it has order 1 or 2. If C has order 1, then G (and therefore G') is complete, and by a theorem, mentioned in the introduction, G' is direct factor in every group containing G' as a normal subgroup, thus G' is a direct factor of K(G).

Now let $G = C \times D$; C of order 2 and D complete. An automorphism α of G induces on C the identical automorphism, because C is the centre of G and has order 2. It maps D onto a subgroup D^* of G of index 2. If α is outer, $D^* \neq D$, because D is complete and α is identical on C. Then $D^* \cap D$ is a subgroup of D of index 2 in D. Conversely if H is a subgroup of D of index 2 in D, we determine a mapping of G into G in the following way (C denotes the generating element of C):

$$\begin{split} h &\to h \quad \text{for} \ h \in H, \\ d &\to cd \ \text{for} \ d \in D, \ d \notin H, \\ ch &\to ch \ \text{for} \ h \in H, \\ cd &\to d \quad \text{for} \ d \in D, \ d \notin H. \end{split}$$

Obviously this mapping is an outer automorphism of G. So theorem 2.1 is proved.

3. In this section we consider abelian groups G; we adopt an additive notation for the group operation of G. If $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism of G, we denote it by 2. If G_1 and G_2 are abelian groups, the collection of all homomorphisms of G_1 into G_2 may be made in the obvious way into an additive group, which we denote by Hom (G_1, G_2) .

Theorem 3.1. The holomorph K(G) of an abelian group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, is not complete, if and only if G is a direct sum of groups B and C, satisfying the following requirements:

- i. $B \neq 0$.
- ii. $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B) = 0$.

- iii. There exists an isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta(x)$ of B onto Hom(B, C).
- iv. There exists a function $f(x)(x \in B, f(x) \in B)$, mapping B onto B and satisfying $\delta(y)f(x) = \delta(x)y$ for all $x, y \in B$.

Remark. It is possible to show that, by iii, the function f(x) in iv is determined uniquely by $\delta(x)$ and that the fulfilment of iv does not depend on the choice of $\delta(x)$ in iii in this sense, that if $\delta(x)$ is replaced by another isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta_1(x)$ of B onto $\operatorname{Hom}(B,C)$, then f(x) can be replaced by $f_1(x)$, satisfying iv (with indices 1 at the appropriate places). As we do not need these facts in the sequel, we omit the proof.

Proof. We first prove that for any abelian group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, K(G) has no centre. An element (a, α) of the centre of K(G) has to satisfy

$$(a, \alpha)(x, \xi) = (x, \xi)(a, \alpha),$$

for all $x \in G$, $\xi \in A(G)$. So we must have

$$a + \alpha x = x + \xi a$$
.

Taking $\xi=1$, we get $\alpha x=x$, so $\alpha=1$. Now $\alpha=\xi\alpha$. Taking $\xi=2$, we get $\alpha=0$, which completes the proof.

Let χ be an automorphism of K(G). G' is mapped by χ onto an abelian normal subgroup S of K(G). If $(a, \alpha) \in S$, $(0, 2)(a, \alpha)(0, 2)^{-1}(a, \alpha)^{-1} = (a, 1) \in S$ and $(a, 1)^{-1}(a, \alpha) = (0, \alpha) \in S$. So there exist subgroups C of G and B_1 of A(G), such that $S = \{(x, \xi) | x \in C, \xi \in B_1\}$. Obviously S is the direct product of C' and B'_1 . For $c \in C$ and $\alpha \in B_1$ we have $(c, \alpha) = (c, 1)(0, \alpha) = (0, \alpha)(c, 1) = (\alpha c, \alpha)$, so $\alpha c = c$. Moreover if $b \in G$ and $\alpha \in B_1$, we have $(b, 1)(0, \alpha)(b, 1)^{-1} = (b - \alpha b, \alpha) \in S$, so $b - \alpha b \in C$. Finally $C' = S \cap G'$ and C is a characteristic subgroup of G, because C' is a normal subgroup of K(G), contained in G'. We may interpret K(G) also as the holomorph of S and repeat our preceding argument interchanging the rôles of S and G'. So we find that G is the direct sum of C and a subgroup B isomorphic to B_1 .

As we are only interested in the question, whether χ is outer or inner, we may replace χ by another automorphism χ_1 of K(G) belonging to the same automorphism class. As every automorphism of G' is induced by an inner automorphism of K(G), we may choose χ_1 in such a way, that it induces on G' any prescribed isomorphic mapping of G' onto S. We choose this mapping in such a way that it induces the identical mapping on G' and maps G' onto G'. We need the following two lemma's.

Lemma 3.1. If the abelian group G is the direct sum of its subgroups B and C, C is a characteristic subgroup of G if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B) = 0$.

Proof. If $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(C, B)$, $\zeta \neq 0$, the mapping $b \to b$ for $b \in B$ and $c \to \zeta c + c$ for $c \in C$ determines an automorphism of G; there exists a $c_1 \in C$ with $\zeta c_1 \neq 0$, so C is not a characteristic subgroup of G. We now

assume $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B) = 0$ and denote the projection of G onto B, corresponding to the given direct decomposition, by θ . If α is an automorphism of G, the restriction to C of $\theta \alpha$ is an element of $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B)$ and therefore zero. This means that α maps C into itself, so C is a characteristic subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.2. If the abelian group G is the direct sum of its subgroups B and C, where C is a characteristic subgroup of G, there exists a one-to-one mapping of A(G) onto the set of all triples (α, β, γ) , where $\alpha \in A(B)$, $\beta \in A(C)$, $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$; the mapping $\lambda \to (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is determined by

(3.1)
$$\lambda(b+c) = \alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b$$

for $b \in B$, $c \in C$.

Proof. Let θ_1 and θ_2 denote the projections of G onto B and C respectively, corresponding to the given direct decomposition. Let $\lambda \in A(G)$. Because C is a characteristic subgroup of G, the restriction β of λ to C is an element of A(C). Let γ denote the restriction of $\theta_2\lambda$ to B; then $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B,C)$. Let α denote the restriction of $\theta_1\lambda$ to B. Then (3.1) holds. It remains to prove that $\alpha \in A(B)$. Let $\alpha b = 0$, then $\theta_1\lambda b = 0$, $\lambda b \in C$, $b \in C$, $b \in C$, $b \in C$, there exists a $g \in G$, satisfying $\lambda g = b$. Now

$$b = \vartheta_1 \lambda g = \vartheta_1 \lambda (\vartheta_1 g + \vartheta_2 g) = \vartheta_1 \lambda \vartheta_1 g = \alpha (\vartheta_1 g).$$

So $\alpha \in A(B)$.

Conversely, let $\alpha \in A(B)$, $\beta \in A(C)$, $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B,C)$. Determine λ by (3.1); obviously λ is a homomorphism. If $\lambda(b+c)=0$, then $\alpha b=0$ and $\beta c+\gamma b=0$, so b=c=0. Finally

$$\lambda(\alpha^{-1}b + \beta^{-1}c - \beta^{-1}\gamma\alpha^{-1}b) = b + c.$$

This completes the proof.

We remark that if $\lambda_1 \to (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ and $\lambda_2 \to (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$, then $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \to (\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \beta_1 \beta_2, \gamma_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \gamma_2)$.

Let $\lambda \in B_1$ and $\lambda \to (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ according to lemma 3.2. If $c \in C$, then $c = \lambda c = \beta c$, so $\beta = 1$. If $b \in B$, then $b - \lambda b = b - \alpha b - \gamma b \in C$, so $b - \alpha b = 0$, $\alpha = 1$. Moreover $(1, 1, \gamma_1)(1, 1, \gamma_2) = (1, 1, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$. So an isomorphic mapping of B onto B_1 induces an isomorphic mapping of B into Hom(B, C); we denote the mapping corresponding to χ_1 by $b \to \delta(b)$; the mapping of B onto B_1 then reads $b \to (1, 1, \delta(b))$.

According to lemma 3.2 we now write the elements of K(G) in the form

$$(b+c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

with $b \in B$, $c \in C$, $\alpha \in A(B)$, $\beta \in A(C)$, $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$.

We return to the automorphism χ_1 . We have

(3.2)
$$\chi_1(b+c, 1, 1, 0) = (c, 1, 1, \delta(b)).$$

We put

(3.3)
$$\chi_1(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)),$$

where $B(\lambda) \in B$, $C(\lambda) \in C$, $\Xi(\lambda) \in A(B)$, $H(\lambda) \in A(C)$, $Z(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$, $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Because χ_1 is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{split} (B(\lambda_1\lambda_2) + C(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \Xi(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2)) &= (B(\lambda_1) + C(\lambda_1) + \Xi(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2) + \\ &+ \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2), \ \Xi(\lambda_1)\Xi(\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{H}(\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\Xi(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2)). \end{split}$$
 So we get

$$(3.4) B(\lambda_1 \lambda_2) = B(\lambda_1) + \Xi(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2).$$

(3.5)
$$C(\lambda_1 \lambda_2) = C(\lambda_1) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2).$$

(3.6)
$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2).$$

Moreover we have

$$\chi_{1}(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\chi_{1}(b+c, 1, 1, 0) = \chi_{1}(\alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, 0)\chi_{1}(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

$$(B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda))(c, 1, 1, \delta(b)) = (\beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, \delta(\alpha b))(B(\lambda) + C(\lambda).$$

$$\Xi(\lambda), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)), \ (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)c, \ \Xi(\lambda), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\delta(b)) =$$

$$= (\beta c + \gamma b + B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda), \ \Xi(\lambda), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \ \delta(\alpha b)\Xi(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)),$$

So we get

(3.7)
$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda)c = \beta c + \gamma b + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda).$$

(3.8)
$$\delta(\alpha b)\Xi(\lambda) = \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\delta(b).$$

Putting b=0 into (3.7) we get $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)c=\beta c$, and so

$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda) = \beta.$$

From (3.7) and (3.9) we infer

(3.10)
$$\delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda) + \gamma b = 0.$$

We now use the fact that 2 is an automorphism of K(G); obviously 2 is permutable with all other automorphisms of K(G). Moreover 2 corresponds to the triple (2, 2, 0).

So we infer from (3.4)

(3.11)
$$B(\lambda) + \Xi(\lambda)B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)B(\lambda),$$

from (3.5), using (3.9),

$$(3.12) C(\lambda) + \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) = C(2) + 2C(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda),$$

and from (3.6), using (3.9),

(3.13)
$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)\mathbf{\Xi}(2) + \beta\mathbf{Z}(2) = \mathbf{Z}(2)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda) + 2\mathbf{Z}(\lambda).$$

From (3.12) we infer

(3.14)
$$C(\lambda) = \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) - C(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda).$$

We are going to use now the fact that χ_1 is a mapping of K(G) onto K(G). Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \chi_1(b+c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (B(\lambda) + c + C(\lambda) + \delta(b)B(\lambda), \ \Xi(\lambda), \beta, \delta(b)\Xi(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)).$$

To every collection consisting of $b \in B$, $c \in C$ and a triple $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ there exist $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and a triple $\omega = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ such that

$$(3.16) B(\omega) = b,$$

$$\Xi(\omega) = \alpha,$$

$$(3.18) \eta = \beta,$$

(3.19)
$$\delta(x)\alpha + \mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \gamma,$$

$$(3.20) y + C(\omega) + \delta(x)b = c.$$

Substituting ω for λ in (3.11) and using (3.16) and (3.17) we get

$$b + \alpha B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)b$$
.

Taking $\alpha = 1$, we get $b = \Xi(2)b$ for every $b \in B$, so

$$\Xi(2) = 1.$$

Taking $\alpha = 2$ and using (3.21) we get

$$(3.22) B(2) = 0.$$

Using (3.21) we infer from (3.13)

(3.23)
$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda).$$

Using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.23) we infer from (3.19)

$$\delta(x)\alpha + \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)\alpha = \gamma$$
.

Taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ we get $\delta(x) = \gamma$ and this means, that $x \to \delta(x)$ is a mapping of B onto Hom(B, C).

. According to this fact there exists a $b_1 \in B$ satisfying $\delta(b_1) = \mathbf{Z}(2)$. Let ψ be the inner automorphism $\tau((b_1 + C(2), 1, 1, 0))$ of K(G) and $\chi_2 = \chi_1 \psi$. We get

$$\psi(0, 2, 2, 0) = (-b_1 - C(2), 2, 2, 0).$$

Using (3.15), (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$\chi_{2}(0, 2, 2, 0) = (0, 1, 2, 0).$$

Now χ_2 belongs to the automorphism class of χ , and it induces on G' the same mapping as χ_1 , i.e. (3.2) holds with χ_1 replaced by χ_2 . We replace χ_1 by χ_2 and take the functions $B(\lambda)$, $C(\lambda)$, $\Xi(\lambda)$, $H(\lambda)$ and $Z(\lambda)$ corresponding to χ_2 . All formulas deduced for these functions remain valid and moreover from (3.24) we infer

(3.25)
$$C(2) = 0$$
,

$$(3.26)$$
 $\mathbf{Z}(2) = 0.$

Using (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26), (3.14) turns into

$$(3.27) C(\lambda) = 0.$$

Using (3.26), (3.23) turns into

$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = 0.$$

We return to the fact, that χ_2 is a mapping onto K(G). Substituting ω for λ and t for b in (3.8) and using (3.9), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

(3.29)
$$\delta(\xi t) = \beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1}.$$

Substituting ω for λ and t for b in (3.10) and using (3.16) we get

$$\delta(\xi t)b + \zeta t = 0,$$

and using (3.29), this turns into

$$\zeta t = -\beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1} b.$$

Obviously (3.29) determines ξ uniquely as a function of α and β and (3.30) determines ζ uniquely as a function of α , β and b. Moreover the mapping ξ determined by (3.29) is an element of A(B), and the mapping ζ determined by (3.30) is an element of Hom(B, C).

By (3.28), (3.19) turns into

$$\delta(x) = \gamma \alpha^{-1},$$

and by (3.27) and (3.31), (3.20) turns into

$$(3.32) y = c - \gamma \alpha^{-1}b.$$

Using (3.18), (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) we find that χ_2^{-1} is the mapping

$$(3.33) (b+c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow (X_1(\gamma\alpha^{-1})+c-\gamma\alpha^{-1}b, \ \Xi_1(\alpha, \beta), \beta, \beta \Xi_1(\alpha^{-1}b)),$$

where the functions $X_1(\gamma)$, $\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta)$ and $Z_1(b)$ are determined by

$$\delta(X_1(\gamma)) = \gamma,$$

(3.35)
$$\delta(\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta)t) = \beta \delta(t)\alpha^{-1},$$

$$(3.36) \mathbf{Z}_1(b)t = -\delta(t)b.$$

Resuming the facts proved thus far, we get the following statement. If χ is an automorphism of K(G), which maps G' onto S, G is the direct sum of B and C, where C is a characteristic subgroup of G and $C' = S \cap G'$. Moreover there exists an isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta(x)$ of B onto Hom(B,C) such that the mapping determined by (3.33), (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36) is the inverse of an automorphism χ_2 of K(G), belonging to the automorphism class of χ .

Obviously S=G', if and only if B=0. If B=0, (3.33) is the identical mapping. So K(G) contains an outer automorphism if and only if G is the direct sum of subgroups B and C, satisfying i, ii and iii and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of K(G).

It is a matter of straightforward verification that (3.33) is always a

homomorphism. This homomorphism is an isomorphism if and only if

$$(3.37) X_1(\gamma \alpha^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(3.38) c - \gamma \alpha^{-1}b = 0,$$

$$(3.39) \Xi_1(\alpha, 1) = 1,$$

(3.40)
$$\mathbf{Z}_{1}(\alpha^{-1}b) = 0$$

together imply $b=c=\gamma=0$ and $\alpha=1$. Now, by (3.34), (3.37) implies $\gamma=0$, and then (3.38) implies c=0. By (3.35), (3.39) is equivalent to

(3.41)
$$\delta(t)\alpha = \delta(t) \text{ for all } t \in B,$$

and by (3.36) and (3.41), (3.40) and (3.41) together are equivalent to (3.41) and

$$\delta(t)b = 0 \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

We now consider the conditions (3.33) has to satisfy in order that it is a mapping onto K(G). To every collection consisting of $b \in B$, $c \in C$ and a triple (α, β, γ) , there have to exist $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and a triple (ξ, β, ζ) , satisfying

(3.43)
$$X_1(\zeta \xi^{-1}) = b,$$

$$(3.44) y - \zeta \, \xi^{-1} x = c,$$

$$\Xi_1(\xi,\,\beta) = \alpha,$$

$$\beta \mathbf{Z}_{1}(\xi^{-1}x) = \gamma.$$

By (3.34), (3.43) may be replaced by

$$(3.47) \zeta = \delta(b)\xi.$$

By (3.47), (3.44) may be replaced by

$$(3.48) y = c + \delta(b)x.$$

By (3.35), (3.45) may be replaced by

(3.49)
$$\delta(\alpha t)\xi = \beta \delta(t) \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

By (3.36) and (3.49), (3.46) may be replaced by

(3.50)
$$\delta(t)x = -\gamma \alpha^{-1}t \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

If x and ξ are found, y and ζ follow from (3.47) and (3.48).

Suppose that i, ii, iii are satisfied and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of K(G). Take an element u of B and put $\gamma = -\delta(u)$, $\alpha = 1$. The solvability of (3.50) implies the existence of a function $f(u)(u \in B, f(u) \in B)$, satisfying $\delta(t)f(u) = \delta(u)t$ for all $u, t \in B$. In order to prove that f(u) maps B onto B we take an element b of B. Clearly the mapping $t \to \delta(t)b$ ($t \in B$) is an element of Hom(B, C), so, by iii, there exists a $u \in B$, satisfying $\delta(t)b = \delta(u)t = \delta(t)f(u)$ and therefore $\delta(t)(f(u) - b) = 0$. Because (3.42) implies b = 0, we find f(u) = b, so iv is satisfied.

Suppose conversely that i, ii, iii, iv are satisfied. We first prove that if $b \in B$ and $\delta(x)b = 0$ for all $x \in B$, then b = 0. By iv, $\delta(x)b = 0$ implies $\delta(b)f(x) = 0$; because f(x) is a mapping onto B, this implies $\delta(b) = 0$ and, by iii, b = 0. We now prove that the mapping $x \to f(x)$ is an automorphism of B. Clearly

$$\delta(y)(f(x_1+x_2)-f(x_1)-f(x_2))=0,$$

so $f(x_1+x_2)=f(x_1)+f(x_2)$. If f(x)=0, $\delta(x)y=0$ for all $y\in B$, $\delta(x)=0$ and, by iii, x=0. So $x\to f(x)$ is an automorphism; we denote it by σ and we have $\delta(y)\sigma x=\delta(x)y$ for all $x,y\in B$. We have proved already that (3.42) implies b=0. If (3.41) is satisfied, we have $\delta(t)(\alpha u-u)=0$ for all $u,t\in B$, so $\alpha u=u$ for all $u\in B$, so $\alpha=1$. In order to solve (3.50) we take a $u\in B$ satisfying $\delta(u)=-\gamma\alpha^{-1}$, which is possible by iii. Now x=f(u) solves (3.50). In order to solve (3.49) we remark that, for all $u\in B$, $\beta\delta(u)\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1}\in E$ Hom(B,C). So, by iii, there exists a function $g(u)(u\in B,g(u)\in B)$, satisfying $\delta(g(u))=\beta\delta(u)\sigma\alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$. It is easy to show that the mapping $u\to g(u)$ is an automorphism of B; we denote it by ξ . We now have for all $u,t\in B$:

$$\delta(\xi u)\sigma \alpha t = \beta \, \delta(u)\sigma t,$$
$$\delta(\alpha t)\xi u = \beta \, \delta(t)u,$$

so ξ indeed solves (3.49). This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

From the proof of theorem 3.1 it follows that an automorphism of K(G) which maps G onto itself is inner. Moreover the mapping (3.33) maps G onto S, and this means that in the group of automorphism classes of K(G) the square of every element equals 1. This gives the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. If G is an abelian group, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, every automorphism of K(G) which maps G onto itself is inner and the group of automorphism classes of K(G) is a direct product of groups of order 2 or a group of order 1.

We now give an example of a group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism and for which K(G) is not complete. Let p be an odd prime, B a group of order p, C a quasicyclic group of type p^{∞} and G the direct sum of B and C. Obviously $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism of G and i holds. A homomorphic image of G is zero or isomorphic to G, so ii holds. Let G be a generator of G and G, G, ... be generators of G, satisfying G, and G be a generator of G and G, G, and G be generators of G, satisfying G, and G be a generator of G, and G is determined by G, and G is determined by G and G is satisfied. If we take for G is determined by G putting G and G is satisfied. If we take for G is in it the identical mapping, then it is satisfied. By theorem 3.1 we find that G is not complete.

In theorem 3.2 we give some sufficient conditions in order that K(G) be complete.

Theorem 3.2. If G is an abelian group, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, the holomorph K(G) of G is complete, if at least one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- A. G is directly indecomposable.
- B. G is a direct sum of cyclic groups.
- C. G is a divisible group.

Proof. We assume that K(G) is not complete. Then G is a direct sum of B and C, where B and C satisfy the conditions of theorem 3.1. If C=0, then Hom(B,C)=0, so, by iii, B=0, contradicting i. So $C\neq 0$. This proves already case A.

Let G be a direct sum of cyclic groups; the same holds for B and C ([5], p. 174). Suppose that C contains an infinite cyclic summand C_{∞} . By i, B contains a cyclic direct summand $\neq 0$. We may map C_{∞} homomorphically $\neq 0$ onto this summand of B and the other summands of C onto 0; this gives an element $\neq 0$ of $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B)$, contradicting ii. So C is periodic. Suppose that B contains a primary direct summand of order p^n . By iii, there exists a $p \in \operatorname{Hom}(B, C)$ of order p^n . The image of p^n is not zero and consists of elements, whose order divides p^n . So p^n has a direct summand of order p^n of p^n and the other summands of p^n into 0. This gives an element p^n of p^n and the other summands of p^n into 0. This gives an element p^n of p^n infinite cyclic groups. Because p^n and p^n is periodic, p^n contains an element p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n . We map the generators of the cyclic summands of p^n onto p^n of order p^n .

Let G be divisible; the same holds for B and C ([5], p. 163). B and C both are direct sums of groups of types p^{∞} and R (additive group of the rational numbers). Suppose B contains a direct summand of type p^{∞} ; this group contains an element of order p. By iii, Hom(B, C) contains an element of order p. This implies, that C contains an element of order p and therefore a direct summand of type p^{∞} . This clearly contradicts ii. So B is torsion-free and contains at least one direct summand of type R. Suppose that C contains a direct summand of type R; we obtain again a contradiction with ii. So C is periodic. Because $C \neq 0$, it contains a direct summand of type p^{∞} . It is not difficult to show, that $\operatorname{Hom}(R, p^{\infty})$ is isomorphic to the additive group of the field of p-adic numbers, which has order \aleph (cardinal number of the continuum). Let B be a direct sum of α times a group of type R. The order of $\operatorname{Hom}(B,C) \geqslant \operatorname{the order}$ of $\operatorname{Hom}(B, p^{\infty}) = \operatorname{the}$ order of the unrestricted direct sum of α times $\operatorname{Hom}(R, p^{\infty})$. This order is \aleph^{α} . If α is finite, the order of B is $\aleph_0 < \aleph^{\alpha}$ and if α is infinite, the order of B is $\alpha < \aleph^{\alpha}$. This contradicts iii. So case C is

We remark that case B of theorem 3.2 implies MILLER's theorem ([7]), mentioned in the introduction.

If R is the additive group of the rational numbers, A(R) is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the rational numbers $\neq 0$. By theorem 3.2, K(R) is complete. So the group consisting of the pairs (a, b) with $a, b \in R$ and $b \neq 0$ and with the multiplication rule (a, b)(c, d) = (a + bc, bd) is a countable complete group.

Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.

REFERENCES

- BAER, R., Die Kompositionsreihe der Gruppe aller eineindeutigen Abbildungen einer unendlichen Menge auf sich, Studia Math. 5, 15-17 (1934).
- Absolute retracts in group theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, 501-506 (1946).
- 3. Burnside, W., Theory of groups of finite order, 2nd ed., Cambridge 1911.
- 4. Gol'fand, Yu A., On the automorphism group of the holomorph of a group (Russian), Mat. Sbornik 27, 333-350 (1950).
- 5. Kurosh, A. G., The theory of groups, Vol. I, New York 1955.
- 6. ——, The theory of groups, Vol. II, New York 1956.
- MILLER, G. A., On the multiple holomorphs of a group, Math. Ann. 66, 133-142 (1909).
- 8. Mills, W. H., On the non-isomorphism of certain holomorphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74, 428-443 (1953).
- 9. RÉDEI, L., Die Holomorphentheorie für Gruppen und Ringe, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 5, 169-195 (1954).
- Schreier, J. and S. Ulam, Über die Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, Studia Math. 4, 134-141 (1933).
- 11. ———— and ————, Über die Automorphismen der Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, Fund. Math. 28, 258–260 (1937).