

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 81/76    AUGUSTUS

A.E. BROUWER

A GENERALIZATION OF BARANYAI'S THEOREM

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
—AMSTERDAM—

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.*

---

A generalization of Baranyai's theorem

by

A.E. Brouwer

ABSTRACT

The existence of resolvable parallelisms on a complete multipartite hypergraph is shown. As an application a question of P.J. Cameron is answered.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *parallelism*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a finite set which is the disjoint union of  $r$  subsets  $X_j$ :

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^r X_j.$$

Let  $n = |X|$  and  $n_j = |X_j|$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ).

Let  $N = \prod_{j=1}^r \{0, \dots, n_j\}$  and define for  $\underline{i} \in N$ :

$$\binom{\underline{n}}{\underline{i}} = \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{n_j}{i_j}$$

For each subset  $A \subset X$  we define its *characteristic* as the rowvector

$\underline{i} = \underline{i}_A = (|A \cap X_1|, \dots, |A \cap X_r|) \in N$ . Observe that  $\binom{\underline{n}}{\underline{i}}$  is just the number of

subsets of  $X$  with characteristic  $\underline{i}$ . Let a map  $a: N \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be given. (We shall

often write  $a_{\underline{i}}$  instead of  $a(\underline{i})$ .) A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called

an  $(a)$ -*spread* if

(i) for each  $\underline{i} \in N$  it contains exactly  $a_{\underline{i}}$  sets of characteristic  $\underline{i}$  and

(ii) each point of  $X_j$  is contained in the same number  $\lambda_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ) of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

If  $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}$  it is called an  $(a)$ -*partition*.

Observe that  $\underline{\lambda}$  is uniquely determined by the function  $a$ :

$$\sum_{\underline{i} \in N} a_{\underline{i}} \underline{i} = \underline{\lambda} \cdot \underline{n} = (\lambda_1 n_1, \dots, \lambda_r n_r).$$

We now have the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** A collection of  $\ell$   $(a)$ -spreads on  $X$  such that each subset of  $X$  with characteristic  $\underline{i}$  occurs exactly  $\alpha_{\underline{i}}$  times among the members of the spreads exists if and only if

- (i) for each  $\underline{i} \in N$ :  $\ell a_{\underline{i}} = \binom{\underline{n}}{\underline{i}} \alpha_{\underline{i}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{\underline{i} \in N} a_{\underline{i}} \underline{i} = \underline{n} \cdot \underline{\lambda}$

where (if  $\ell \neq 0$ )  $\ell$  and the  $\alpha_{\underline{i}}$  ( $\underline{i} \in N$ ) and  $\lambda_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ) must be integers.

The stated conditions are obviously necessary: (i) counts the number of sets with characteristic  $\underline{i}$  in two ways, while (ii) counts in two ways the number of times a point is covered. The sufficiency will be proved in the next section.

Now consider some special cases:

First, if we set  $r = 1$  and  $\alpha_i = \delta_{ih}$  (then  $a_i = \delta_{ih} \cdot \frac{n\lambda}{h}$  and  $\ell = \frac{1}{\lambda} \binom{n-1}{h-1}$ ) we get the theorem of BARANYAI [1]:

COROLLARY 1.1. *If  $h|n$  and  $\lambda | \binom{n-1}{h-1}$  then the complete  $h$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n$  vertices is  $\lambda$ -factorizable; in particular this is true for  $\lambda = \frac{h}{(n,h)}$ .*

Here a  $\lambda$ -factorization of a hypergraph  $(X, E)$  is a partition of its edge-set  $E = \bigcup_j E_j$  such that for each  $j$  and each  $x \in X$   $|\{E \in E_j \mid x \in E\}| = \lambda$  holds.

A 1-factorization is also called a *parallelism*.

The next special case,  $r = 2$ , will provide an answer to the question of P.J. CAMERON [2]: For which  $h$  and  $n$  does there exist a parallelism on the collection of all  $h$ -subsets of a given  $n$ -set  $X$  such that it induces a parallelism on some  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -subset  $X_1$  of  $X$ ?

That is, we would like to have a parallelism on  $X$  such that each parallel class either contains only  $h$ -sets intersecting both  $X_1$  and  $X_2 := X \setminus X_1$  or contains only  $h$ -sets entirely contained within  $X_1$  or  $X_2$ . Clearly  $2h|n$  is necessary. Cameron knew of solutions for  $h = 2$  or  $h = 3$  and  $n = 12$  or  $n = 2h$ , while J.C. Bermond, J.I. Hall and the author constructed solutions for  $h = 3$  and  $6|n$  using resolvable triple systems.

But from the theorem above, taking  $r = 2$ ,  $n_1 = n_2 = \frac{1}{2}n$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$  and some fixed  $g$  :  $\alpha_{g, h-g} = \alpha_{h-g, g} = 1$  and all other  $\alpha$ 's zero (so that  $a_{g, h-g} - a_{h-g, g} = \frac{n}{2h}$  if  $2g \neq h$  and  $a_{g, g} = \frac{n}{h}$  if  $2g = h$ , while it is also easy to check that  $\ell$  is integral), it follows that there exists a parallelism on all  $h$ -subsets intersecting  $X_1$  in  $g$  or  $h-g$  points; now take the union of these parallelisms for  $g = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}h \rfloor$  to get the required system:

COROLLARY 1.2. *If  $2h|n$  then there exists a parallelism on the collection of all  $h$ -subsets of a given  $n$ -set which induces a parallelism on a  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -subset.*

Finally we mention a result announced in BARANYAI [1]:

Let  $K_{r \times m}^h$  be the collection of all  $h$ -subsets  $A \subset X$  such that

$$|A \cap X_j| \leq 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq r),$$

where  $|X_1| = \dots = |X_r| = m$  (so that  $n = rm$ ). Then

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let  $1 \leq h \leq r$  and  $h|n\lambda$  and  $\lambda | \binom{r-1}{h-1} m^{h-1}$ . Then  $K_{r \times m}^h$  is  $\lambda$ -factorizable.*

PROOF. If  $\binom{r-1}{h-1} | \lambda m$  we can directly apply Theorem 1 to get a  $\lambda$ -factorization in which every  $\lambda$ -factor is an  $(a)$ -spread for the same function  $a$ . In the general case however, just as in the proof of the corollary 1.2, we need  $\lambda$ -factors of several types. The choice of the types can be done by an application of corollary 1.1 as follows: Let

$$\mu = \frac{h}{(h,r)}, \quad \text{and let } K_r^h = \bigcup_j E_j \quad (j=1, \dots, \binom{r-1}{h-1}/\mu)$$

be a  $\mu$ -factorization of the complete  $h$ -uniform hypergraph on  $r$  vertices. Identifying sets  $E \in E_j$  with 0-1 vectors of length  $r$ , we can consider each  $E_j$  as a subset of  $N$ . Now apply Theorem 1 for each  $j$  with  $\alpha_{\underline{i}} = 1$  if  $\underline{i} \in E_j$  and  $\alpha_{\underline{i}} = 0$  otherwise. (Then  $\ell = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} m^{h-1}$  and  $a_{\underline{i}} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} m$  (if  $\underline{i} \in E_j$ ) are integers.) This yields that for each  $j$  the collection of subsets of  $X$  with characteristic in  $E_j$  is  $\lambda$ -factorizable, and hence  $K_{r \times m}^h$  is  $\lambda$ -factorizable.  $\square$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad \text{and } X_j = \{x_{m_{j-1}+1}, \dots, x_{m_j}\}$$

where

$$m_s = \sum_{j \leq s} n_j.$$

We prove the theorem using induction with respect to  $k$  and  $s$ , where  $k$  ranges from 0 to  $n$  and either  $x_k \in X_s$  or  $k = m_{s-1}$ . The inductive assertion is:

Let  $X^{(k)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . There exists a collection of  $\lambda$   $\underline{\lambda}$ -factors  $F_g^{(k)}$  ( $1 \leq g \leq \lambda$ ) on the set  $X^{(k)}$ , where each  $F_g^{(k)}$  is the disjoint union of sets  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  ( $\underline{i} \in N$ ) such that

1.  $|F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}| = a_{\underline{i}}$  for  $\underline{i} \in N$  and  $1 \leq g \leq \lambda$ .
2. If  $Y \in F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  then for  $j < s$  :  $|Y \cap X_j| = i_j$ .
3. If  $Y \subset X^{(k)}$  then for each  $\underline{i}$  such that  $|Y \cap X_j| = i_j$  for  $j < s$   $Y$  occurs  $\alpha_{\underline{i}} M_{\underline{i}} \binom{m_s - k}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|}$  times in some  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$ , where

$$M_{\underline{i}} = \prod_{j=s+1}^r \binom{n_j}{i_j}.$$

The idea is that the  $F_g^{(n)}$  are the required  $\underline{\lambda}$ -factors, and the  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(n)}$  are the subsets of  $F_g^{(n)}$  consisting precisely of the sets with characteristic  $\underline{i}$ . The  $F_g^{(k)}$  and  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  will be their restrictions to  $X^{(k)}$ , i.e.  $F_g^{(k)} = \{A \cap X^{(k)} \mid A \in F_g^{(n)}\}$  and for  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  likewise.

Note that  $F_g^{(k)}$  may contain the same set more than once, i.e. it is a selection rather than a set.

Given this interpretation, the conditions of the inductive hypothesis are clearly necessary, and it will appear below that they suffice.

Starting the induction with  $k = 0$ ,  $s = 1$ , we are to construct collections  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(0)}$  containing empty sets only, where the empty set occurs for each  $\underline{i} \in N$   $\alpha_{\underline{i}} \binom{n}{i_1}$  times in some  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(0)}$ , and  $|F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(0)}| = a_{\underline{i}}$ . This is possible since by assumption  $\alpha_{\underline{i}}$  and  $a_{\underline{i}}$  are integers and  $\alpha_{\underline{i}} \binom{n}{i_1} = \lambda a_{\underline{i}}$ .

There are two kinds of induction step: steps that increment  $k$  and steps that increment  $s$  if  $k = m_s$ .

The latter are only a formality: suppose the induction hypothesis has been verified for  $s = t$  and  $k = m_t$ , and let now  $s = t + 1$ .

2. requires that for  $Y \in F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$   $|Y \cap X_t| = i_t$  but this follows from 3. since  $\binom{m_t - k}{i_t - |Y \cap X_t|}$  is nonzero only if  $|Y \cap X_t| = i_t$ .

3. requires that  $Y$  occurs  $\alpha_{\underline{i}} \prod_{j=\underline{i}+1}^r \binom{n_j}{i_j}$  times for such  $Y$ , and this equals the hypothesis.

The former are implemented using a flow-through-network argument: Suppose the collections  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  constructed for some  $k < m_s$ . Then in order to get them for  $k+1$  we have to choose  $\lambda_s$  sets from each collection  $F_g^{(k)}$  and adjoin the point  $x_{k+1}$  to them so that

$$F_g^{(k+1)} = \{Y \in F_g^{(k)} \mid Y \text{ not chosen}\} \cup \{Y \cup \{x_{k+1}\} \mid Y \text{ chosen}\}.$$

Consider a directed network with vertices: source, sink,  $F^{(k)}$  ( $1 \leq g \leq \ell$ ),  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  ( $1 \leq g \leq \ell$ ,  $\underline{i} \in N$ ),  $Y$  ( $Y \subset X^{(k)}$ ),  $Y_{\underline{i}}$  ( $Y \subset X^{(k)}$ ,  $|Y \cap X_j| = i_j$  ( $j < s$ )) and edges from the source to each  $F_g^{(k)}$ , from  $F_g^{(k)}$  to each  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$ , from  $F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$  to  $Y_{\underline{i}}$  iff  $Y \in F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}$ , from  $Y_{\underline{i}}$  to  $Y$  and from each  $Y$  to the sink.

A flow through this network is completely defined by its value on each of the edges  $(F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}, Y_{\underline{i}})$ . Consider the flow with value  $\frac{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|}{m_s - k}$  on each such edge. Through the vertex  $F_g^{(k)}$  the flow is

$$\frac{1}{m_s - k} \sum_{\underline{i} \in N} \sum_{Y \in F_{g,\underline{i}}^{(k)}} (i_s - |Y \cap X_s|) = \frac{\lambda_s}{m_s - k} (n_s - (k - m_s - 1)) = \lambda_s$$

since  $\sum_{\underline{i} \in N} a_{\underline{i}} i_s = \lambda_s n_s$  and  $F_g^{(k)}$  restricted to  $X_s \cap X^{(k)}$  is a  $\lambda_s$ -factor.

Through the vertex  $Y_{\underline{i}}$  the flow is

$$\frac{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|}{m_s - k} \cdot \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{i}} M_{\underline{i}} \binom{m_s - k}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|} = \alpha_{\underline{i}, \underline{i}} M_{\underline{i}} \binom{m_s - k - 1}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s| - 1}$$

which is an integer.

Now use the integrality theorem on flows in networks in the following form:

If there is a flow in a network with value  $\phi_i$  on edge  $e_i$ , then there is a flow with value  $\psi_i$  on edge  $e_i$ , where  $\phi_i - 1 < \psi_i < \phi_i + 1$  and  $\psi_i$  is integral for each  $i$ . [I.e. all flow values may be rounded either up or down in such a way that again a flow results. In particular if some flow value was integral it is not changed.]

(cf. Ford & Fulkerson [3], p. 19).



In this particular case the integrity theorem yields an integer flow through the network with flow  $\lambda_s$  through each vertex  $F_g^{(k)}$ , i.e. the flow defines for each collection  $F_g^{(k)}$   $\lambda_s$  elements  $Y$ , each belonging to some known  $F_{g,i}^{(k)}$ . Now if we adjoin the point  $x_{k+1}$  to these sets  $Y$  then, using that

$$\binom{m_s - k}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|} = \binom{m_s - k - 1}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s|} + \binom{m_s - k - 1}{i_s - |Y \cap X_s| - 1},$$

it is readily verified that the new collections  $F_g^{(k+1)}$  and  $F_{g,i}^{(k+1)}$  satisfy the conditions 1, 2 and 3.

This shows that the inductive hypothesis is true for  $k = n$  and  $s = r$ , and therefore the theorem holds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BARANYAI, ZS., *On the factorization of the complete uniform hypergraph*, pp.91-108 in: *Infinite and finite sets*, Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 1973.
- [2] CAMERON, P.J., *Lecture at Oberwolfach*, May 1976.
- [3] FORD, L.R. & D.R. FULKERSON, *Flows in Networks*, Princeton Press, Princeton, 1962.

ONTVANGEN 3 D SEP 1976