

A lower bound for the permanents of certain (0,1)-matrices

by

M. Voorhoeve

ABSTRACT

We prove that the permanent of a  $n \times n$  (0,1)-matrix with exactly three 1's in each row and column is at least  $6\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: (0,1)-matrices, permanent.

1. Let  $n \geq 3$  and let  $G_n$  be the class of  $n \times n$  (0,1)-matrices with all row and column sums equal to 3. We define

$$(1) \quad g(n) = \min \{ \text{per}(A); A \in G_n \}$$

VAN LINT [3, pp. 58-62] considers the problem of estimating  $g(n)$  and determining

$$g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g(n))^{1/n}.$$

The existence of the above limit is assured by the observation that  $g(m+n) \geq g(m)g(n)$ , for all  $m, n \geq 3$  (cf. VAN LINT [3] p. 59). By evaluating the permanents of certain circulant matrices, Van Lint proved

$$g \leq \xi \approx 1,465\dots,$$

where  $\xi$  is the real zero of  $x^3 - x^2 - 1$ . The best lower bound for  $g(n)$  obtained thus far was

$$g(n) \geq 3(n-1),$$

proved by HARTFIEL and CROSBY [2], cf. HARTFIEL [1]. In this paper we shall prove

$$g(n) \geq 6\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}$$

which implies that  $g \geq 4/3$ . The proof is elementary and merely consists of evaluating permanents by expansion.

2. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $U_n$  be the class of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and with all row and column sums equal to 3. Let  $V_n$  be the class of  $n \times n$  matrices that are obtained by subtracting 1 from any non-zero entry of any matrix  $A \in U_n$ . We define

$$u(n) = \min \{ \text{per}(A); A \in U_n \}$$

$$v(n) = \min \{ \text{per}(A); A \in V_n \}.$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\lceil x \rceil$  be the least integer  $\geq x$ .

LEMMA 1.  $u(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{3}{2} v(n) \right\rceil$ .

PROOF. Choose  $A \in U_n$  such that  $\text{per} A = u(n)$  and let  $a$  be the first row vector of  $A$ . We may assume that  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Since  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 3$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 2a &= \alpha_1(\alpha_1-1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 0, \dots, 0) + \alpha_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2-1, \alpha_3, 0, \dots, 0) + \\ &\quad + \alpha_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3-1, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

So  $2a$  is the sum of three vectors  $d_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) with nonnegative entries and hence  $2\text{per} A$  is the sum of the permanents of three matrices in the class  $V_n$ . This proves that

$$2u(n) = 2\text{per} A \geq 3v(n).$$

Since  $u(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ , this establishes our lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.  $v(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{4}{3} v(n-1) \right\rceil$ .

PROOF. Choose  $A \in V_n$  such that  $\text{per} A = v(n)$ . We may assume that the first row vector  $r$  is either  $(1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  or  $(2, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We distinguish these two cases.

(i)  $r = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $B_1$  be the matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting  $r$ . Then  $B_1$  has the shape  $c_1 c_2 B$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the first two column vectors of  $B_1$  and  $B$  is the remaining matrix. By expanding  $\text{per} A$  with respect to  $r$  we find

$$\text{per}(A) = \text{per}(c_1 B) + \text{per}(c_2 B) = \text{per}(c_3 B),$$

where  $c_3 = c_1 + c_2$ . The sum of its entries is 3 or 4, since the sum of

the entries of the first two columns of  $A$  is 5 or 6. If  $s = 3$ , then  $c_3 B \in U_{n-1}$ , so by Lemma 1

$$(2) \quad v(n) = \text{per}(A) \geq u(n-1) \geq \left\lceil \frac{3}{2} v(n-1) \right\rceil.$$

If  $s = 4$ , then we write  $3c_3$  as sum of the vectors  $d_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) obtained by subtracting 1 from the non-zero entries of  $c_3$ . (Compare the proof of Lemma 1). For  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  one has  $d_i B \in V_{n-1}$ , since it has one row sum 2 and one column sum 2 and all other row and column sums equal 3. So

$$(3) \quad v(n) = \text{per}(A) \geq \left\lceil \frac{4}{3} v(n-1) \right\rceil.$$

(ii)  $r = (2, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $c$  be the first column vector of  $A$ . We may assume that either  $c = (2, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  or  $c = (2, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $B$  be the matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting the first row and column. In the first case  $B \in V_{n-1}$  and in the second case  $B \in U_{n-1}$ . Thus

$$(4) \quad v(n) = \text{per } A \geq 2 \text{ per } B \geq 2 \min\{u(n-1), v(n-1)\} = 2v(n-1),$$

by Lemma 1.

By considering (2), (3) and (4) we find

$$v(n) \geq \left\lceil \frac{4}{3} v(n-1) \right\rceil,$$

proving the Lemma.  $\square$

THEOREM. Let  $g(n)$  be defined by (1). Then

$$g(n) \geq 6 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}.$$

PROOF. Since  $G_n \subset U_n$ , we have that  $g(n) \geq u(n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Now  $v(1) = 2$ , so by Lemma 2  $v(2) \geq 3$ ,  $v(3) \geq 4$  and

$$v(n) \geq 4 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}$$

for  $n \geq 3$ . Hence by Lemma 1

$$u(n) \geq 6 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n-3}$$

for  $n \geq 3$ , thus proving the theorem.  $\square$

3. By estimating less roughly, we find the following lower bounds for  $u(n)$  and  $v(n)$  from Lemmas 1 and 2

n	low. bnd. v(n)	low. bnd. u(n)
1	2	3
2	3	5
3	4	6
4	6	9
5	8	12
6	11	17
7	15	23
8	20	30

For  $n = 1$  to 6 these bounds represent the exact values of  $u(n)$  and  $v(n)$ .

The matrix in  $U_n$  for which the permanent equals  $u(n)$  is - up to isomorphism - unique for  $n = 1$  to 6. For  $n = 5, 6$  these matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. It is not too hard to show that  $v(7) = 15$ ,  $v(8) = 21$  and that  $u(7) = g(7) = 24$ , realized by the incidence matrix of the Fano plane.

The question whether or not  $g > 4/3$  still remains open. It also seems hard to generalize the above ideas to matrices with higher row and column sums and obtain a better multiplicative constant than  $4/3$ . Such a

generalization is important in connection to the so-called Van der Waerden conjecture that the permanent of a doubly stochastic  $n \times n$ -matrix is at least  $n! n^{-n}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] HARTFIEL, D.J., *A canonical form for fully indecomposable (0,1)-matrices*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 18, 223-227 (1975).
- [2] HARTFIEL, D.J. & J.W. CROSBY, *On the permanent of a certain class of (0,1)-matrices*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 14, 507-511 (1971).
- [3] LINT, J.H. VAN, *Combinatorial Theory Seminar*, Eindhoven University of Technology, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 382, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.