

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 113/78

AUGUSTUS

M. VOORHOEVE, K. GYÖRY & R. TIJDEMAN

ON THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION  $1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + R(x) = y^z$

Preprint

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
—AMSTERDAM—

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
—AMSTERDAM—

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).*

On the Diophantine equation  $1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + R(x) = y^z$  \*)

by

M. VOORHOEVE, K. GYÖRY & R. TIJDEMAN \*\*)

ABSTRACT

We prove the following theorem:

Let  $R(x)$  be a fixed polynomial with rational integer coefficients. Let  $b \neq 0$  and  $k \geq 2$  be fixed rational integers such that  $k \notin \{3, 5\}$ . Then the equation

$$1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + R(x) = by^z$$

in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  and  $z > 1$  has only finitely many solutions.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Diophantine equations, Bernoulli polynomials*

---

\*) This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

\*\*) K. GYÖRY in Debrecen, R. TIJDEMAN in Leiden.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

In J.J. SCHÄFFER [4] the equation

$$(1) \quad 1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k = y^m$$

is studied. Schäffer proves that for fixed  $k > 0$  and  $m > 1$  the equation (1) has an infinite number of solutions in positive integers  $x$  and  $y$  only in the cases

$$(I) \quad k = 1, m = 2; \quad (II) \quad k = 3, m \in \{2,4\}; \quad (III) \quad k = 5, m = 2.$$

He conjectures that all other solutions of (1) have  $x = y = 1$ , apart from  $k = m = 2$ ,  $x = 24$ ,  $y = 70$ . In [1], the present authors have extended Schäffer's result by proving that for fixed  $r, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and fixed  $k \geq 2$ ,  $k \notin \{3,5\}$  the equation

$$(2) \quad 1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + r = by^z$$

has only finitely many solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  and  $z > 1$  and all solutions can be effectively determined. In this paper we prove a further generalization.

THEOREM. *Let  $R(x)$  be a fixed polynomial with rational integer coefficients. Let  $b \neq 0$  and  $k \geq 2$  be fixed rational integers such that  $k \notin \{3,5\}$ . Then the equation*

$$(3) \quad 1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + R(x) = by^z$$

*in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  and  $z > 1$  has only finitely many solutions.*

The proof of our theorem differs from our proof in [1] in quite a few respects. We combine a recent result of SCHINZEL and TIJDEMAN [5] with an older, ineffective theorem by W.J. LE VEQUE [2]. Thus, we can determine an effective upper bound for  $z$ , but not for  $x$  and  $y$ . However, we think that it

is possible to prove an effective version of Le Veque's theorem. By such a theorem one could determine effective upper bounds for  $x$  and  $y$ , like in [1] for the equation (2).

In section 2 we quote the general results mentioned above; in section 3 we formulate a special lemma and prove that this lemma implies our Theorem. In section 4 we shall prove our lemma, thus completing the proof of the Theorem. In section 5 we show that our Theorem is not valid for  $k \in \{1,3,5\}$  and discuss the number of solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  of (3) for fixed  $z > 1$  and fixed  $k \in \{1,3,5\}$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

LEMMA 1.  $1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k = \frac{1}{k+1} (B_{k+1}(x+1) - B_{k+1}(0)),$

where

$$(4) \quad B_q(x) = x^q - \frac{1}{2} qx^{q-1} + \frac{1}{6} \binom{q}{2} x^{q-2} - \dots = \sum_{\ell=0}^q \binom{q}{\ell} B_\ell x^{q-\ell}$$

is the  $q$ -th Bernoulli polynomial.

PROOF. Well-known (see e.g. RADEMACHER [3], pp. 1-7).  $\square$

LEMMA 2. (Le Veque). Let  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,

$$P(x) = a_0 x^N + a_1 x^{N-1} + \dots + a_N = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)^{r_i},$$

with  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $s_i := m / (m, r_i)$ . Then the equation

$$P(x) = by^m$$

has only finitely many solutions  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  unless  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  is a permutation of one of the  $n$ -tuples

- i)  $\{s, 1, \dots, 1\}$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ; ii)  $\{2, 2, 1, \dots, 1\}$ .

PROOF. This follows from LE VEQUE [2], theorem 1, giving the stated result in the case  $b = 1$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Let  $d$  be an integer such that  $dP(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $b^{m-1}d^mP(x)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients, satisfying

$$b^{m-1}d^mP(x) = (bdy)^m.$$

According to Le Veque's theorem there are only finitely many solutions  $x$  and  $bdy$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3. (Schinzel, Tijdeman). *Let  $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be a polynomial with at least two distinct zeros. Then the equation*

$$P(x) = by^z$$

*in integers  $x, y > 1, z$  implies that  $z < C$ , where  $C$  is an effectively computable constant depending only on  $P$  and  $b$ .*

PROOF. See SCHINZEL & TIJDEMAN [5]. For a generalization compare SHOREY, VAN DER POORTEN, TIJDEMAN, SCHINZEL [6], Theorem 2.  $\square$

### 3. A LEMMA; PROOF OF THE THEOREM

From section 2 it is clear that we have to prove that the polynomial

$$P(x) = B_q(x) - B_q + qR(x-1)$$

satisfies the conditions in Lemmas 2 and 3 with respect to the multiplicity of its zeros, unless  $q \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ . We shall formulate such a result, postponing its proof for the time being, and show that this result implies our Theorem.

LEMMA 4. *For  $q \geq 2$  let  $B_q(x)$  be the  $q$ -th Bernoulli polynomial. Let  $R^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and set*

$$(5) \quad P(x) = B_q(x) - B_q + qR^*(x).$$

Then

- (i)  $P(x)$  has at least three zeros of odd multiplicity, unless  $q \in \{2,4,6\}$ .
- (ii) For any odd prime  $p$ , at least two zeros of  $P(x)$  have multiplicities relatively prime to  $p$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Let  $R(x-1) = R^*(x)$ . We know from Lemma 4 that the polynomial

$$1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k + R(x) = \frac{1}{k+1} (B_{k+1}(x+1) - B_{k+1} + (k+1)R^*(x+1))$$

has at least two distinct zeros. Hence it follows from the equation (3) by applying Lemma 3 that  $z$  is bounded. We may therefore assume that  $z$  is fixed. So we have obtained the following equation in integers  $x$  and  $y$

$$(6) \quad P(x) = by^m,$$

where  $P$  is given by (5) with  $q = k+1$ . Write  $P(x) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (x-\alpha_i)^{r_i}$ , where  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . If  $p|m$  for an odd prime  $p$ , then by Lemma 4 at least two zeros of  $P$  have multiplicities prime to  $p$ , so we may assume that  $(r_1, p) = (r_2, p) = 1$ . Setting  $s_i = m/(m, r_i)$ , we find that  $p|s_1$  and  $p|s_2$ . If  $m$  is even, then by Lemma 4 at least three zeros have odd multiplicity, say  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$  are odd. Hence  $s_1, s_2$  and  $s_3$  are even. Consequently, the exceptional cases in Lemma 2 cannot occur and thus (6) has only finitely many solutions for any  $m > 1$ . This proves the Theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF LEMMA 4

By the Staudt-Clausen theorem (see RADEMACHER [3] p.10), the denominators of the Bernoulli numbers  $B_1, B_{2k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are even but not divisible by 4. Choose the minimal  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $dP(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so

$$dP(x) = d \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{\ell} B_{\ell} x^{q-\ell} + dqR^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

hence  $d \binom{q}{1} B_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and



$$\binom{q}{2k} dB_{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(q-1) \rfloor.$$

If  $d$  is odd, then necessarily  $\binom{q}{1}$  and  $\binom{q}{2k}$  must be even for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(q-1) \rfloor$ . Write  $q = 2^\lambda r$ , where  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $r$  is odd. Then  $\binom{q}{2^\lambda}$  is odd, giving a contradiction unless  $r = 1$ . So

$$(7) \quad d \text{ is odd} \iff q = 2^\lambda \text{ for some } \lambda \geq 1.$$

If  $q \neq 2^\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \geq 1$  then

$$(8) \quad d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

We distinguish three cases

A). Let  $q \geq 3$  be odd. Then  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and for  $\ell = 1, 2, 4, \dots, q-1$

$$d \binom{q}{\ell} B_\ell \equiv \binom{q}{\ell} \pmod{2}.$$

Now

$$dP(x) \equiv x^{q-1} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(q-1)} \binom{q}{2^\lambda} x^{q-2^\lambda} \pmod{2}.$$

Hence,

$$d(P(x) + xP'(x)) \equiv x^{q-1} \pmod{2}.$$

Any common factor of  $dP(x)$  and  $dP'(x)$  must therefore be congruent to a power of  $x \pmod{2}$ . Since  $dP'(0) \equiv qdB_{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we find that  $dP(x)$  and  $dP'(x)$  are relatively prime  $\pmod{2}$ . So any common divisor of  $dP(x)$  and  $dP'(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is of the shape  $2S(x) + 1$ . Write  $dP(x) = T(x)Q(x)$ , where  $T(x) = \prod_i T_i(x)^{k_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  contains the multiple factors of  $dP$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  contains its simple factors. Then  $T(x)$  is of the shape  $2S(x) + 1$  with  $S \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so

$$Q(x) \equiv dP(x) \equiv x^{q-1} + \dots \pmod{2}.$$

Thus the degree of  $Q(x)$  is at least  $q-1$ , proving case A if  $q > 3$ . If  $q = 3$ , then

$$2P(x) \equiv 2x^3 + x \equiv 2x(x+1)(x-1) \pmod{3},$$

showing that  $P$  has three simple roots, which proves Lemma 4 if  $q$  is odd.

B). Suppose  $q = 2^\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \geq 1$ , so  $d$  is odd. We first prove i) so we may assume that  $\lambda \geq 3$ . Now  $\binom{q}{2k}$  is divisible by 4 unless  $2k = \frac{1}{2}q = 2^{\lambda-1}$ . Similarly,  $\binom{q}{2k}$  is divisible by 8 unless  $2k$  is divisible by  $2^{\lambda-2}$ . We have therefore for some odd  $d'$ , writing  $v = \frac{1}{4}q$

$$(9) \quad dP(x) \equiv dx^{4v} + 2x^{3v} + d'x^{2v} + 2x^v \pmod{4}.$$

Write  $dP(x) = T^2(x)Q(x)$ , where  $T(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $Q$  contains each factor of odd multiplicity of  $P$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  exactly once. Assume that  $\deg Q(x) \leq 2$ . Since

$$T^2(x)Q(x) \equiv x^{4v} + x^{2v} = x^{2v}(x^{2v} + 1) \pmod{2},$$

$T^2(x)$  must be divisible by  $x^{2v-2} \pmod{2}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &= x^{v-1}T_1(x) + 2T_2(x), \\ T^2(x) &= x^{2v-2}T_1^2(x) + 4T_3(x), \end{aligned}$$

for certain  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . If  $q > 8$ , then  $v > 2$  so the last identity is incompatible with (9) because of the term  $2x^v$ . Hence  $\deg Q \geq 3$ , which proves (i). If  $q = 8$ , then  $d = 3$  and

$$dP(x) \equiv 3x^8 + 2x^6 + x^4 + 2x^2 \equiv -x^2(x+1)(x-1)(x^2+1)(x^2+2) \pmod{4}.$$

All these factors - except  $x^2$  - are simple, so  $\deg Q \geq 6 > 3$  if  $q = 8$ , proving (i) in case B.

To prove (ii), let  $p$  be an odd prime and write  $dP(x) = (T(x))^p Q(x)$ ,

where  $Q, T \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and all the roots of multiplicity divisible by  $p$  are incorporated in  $(T(x))^p$ . We have, writing  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}q$ ,

$$dP(x) = (T(x))^p Q(x) \equiv x^\mu (x^\mu + 1) \equiv x^\mu (x+1)^\mu \pmod{2}.$$

Since  $\mu$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $Q$  has at least two different zeros, proving (ii) in case B.

C). Suppose  $q$  is even and  $q \neq 2^\lambda$  for any  $\lambda$ . Then  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and hence

$$dP(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(q-2)} \binom{q}{2k} x^{2k} \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{q-1} \binom{q}{\ell} x^\ell \equiv (x+1)^q - x^{q-1} \pmod{2}.$$

Write  $q = 2^\lambda r$ , where  $r > 1$  is odd. Then

$$dP(x) \equiv (x+1)^{q-x^{q-1}} \equiv ((x+1)^r - x^{r-1})^{2^\lambda} \pmod{2}.$$

Since  $r > 1$  is odd,  $(x+1)^r - x^{r-1}$  has  $x$  and  $x+1$  as simple factors  $\pmod{2}$ . Thus

$$dP(x) \equiv x^{2^\lambda} (x+1)^{2^\lambda} H(x) \pmod{2},$$

where  $H(x)$  is neither divisible by  $x$  nor by  $x+1 \pmod{2}$ . As in the preceding case,  $P(x)$  must have two roots of multiplicity prime to  $p$ . This proves part (ii) of the lemma.

In order to prove part (i) we may assume that  $q \geq 10$ , because  $q = 2, 4, 6$  are the exceptional cases and  $q = 8$  is treated in section B. Now  $d$  and  $q$  are even, so  $dq$  is divisible by 4 and, in view of (8)

$$(10) \quad dP(x) \equiv 2x^q - qx^{q-1} + \frac{1}{6} d \binom{q}{2} x^{q-2} + \dots + dB_{q-2} \binom{q}{2} x^2 \pmod{4}.$$

Write  $dP(x) = T^2(x)Q(x)$ , where  $T, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $Q(x)$  contains each factor of odd multiplicity of  $P$  exactly once. Let

$$T(x) \equiv x^{\lambda_1} + x^{\lambda_2} + \dots + x^{\lambda_m} \pmod{2},$$

where  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_m \geq 0$ . Then

$$T^2(x) \equiv x^{2\lambda_1} + x^{2\lambda_2} + \dots + x^{2\lambda_m} + 2 \sum_{\ell} p_{\ell} x^{\ell} \pmod{4},$$

where  $p_{\ell}$  is the number of solutions of  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = \ell$ ,  $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Assume that  $\deg Q < 3$ . Let

$$Q(x) = ax^2 + bx + c.$$

If  $a$  is odd, then  $T^2(x)Q(x) \equiv ax^{2\lambda_1+2} + \dots \pmod{4}$ , which is incompatible with (10). If  $4|a$ , then  $T^2(x)Q(x) \equiv bx^{2\lambda_1+1} + \dots \pmod{4}$  so  $4|b$ . By the definition of  $d$ ,  $dP(x)$  must have some odd coefficients, so  $c$  must be odd. Hence  $T^2(x)Q(x) \equiv cx^{2\lambda_1} + \dots \pmod{4}$ , which is again incompatible with (10). Thus  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(q-2)$ . By comparing the coefficient of  $x^{q-1}$  in (10) and in  $T^2(x)Q(x)$ , we find that  $b \equiv q \pmod{4}$ , so  $b$  is even and  $c$  must be odd. So  $Q(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and

$$dP(x) \equiv T^2(x) \equiv x^{2\lambda_1} + x^{2\lambda_2} + \dots + x^{2\lambda_m} \pmod{2}.$$

Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ . We have by (10) that

$$(11) \quad \lambda_i \in \Lambda \iff 2 \leq 2\lambda_i \leq q-2 \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{q}{2\lambda_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}(q-2) \in \Lambda$ , we have that  $\binom{q}{2}$  is odd, so  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , whence  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Thus

$$dP(x) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_i \in \Lambda} (2x^{2\lambda_i+2} + 2x^{2\lambda_i+1} + cx^{2\lambda_i}) + 2 \sum_{\ell} p_{\ell} x^{\ell} \pmod{4}.$$

If  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$  and  $\lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}(q-2)$ , then by (10) the coefficient of  $x^{2\lambda_i+1}$  in  $dP(x)$  must vanish, so

$$(12) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_i \in \Lambda \\ \lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}(q-2) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow p_{2\lambda_i+1} \text{ is odd.}$$

Observe that, by  $q \geq 10$  we have  $\frac{1}{2}(q-2) \geq 4$ .

Now  $\binom{q}{2}$  is odd, so  $1 \in \Lambda$  by (11). Thus  $p_3$  is odd by (12) and hence, by the definition of the numbers  $p_\ell$ ,  $2 \in \Lambda$ . So  $\binom{q}{4}$  is odd, thus  $q - 2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ . Then also  $\binom{q}{6}$  is odd, so  $3 \in \Lambda$  by (11). Since  $2 \in \Lambda$ ,  $p_5$  is odd by (12). But if  $\{1,2,3,4\} \in \Lambda$ , then  $p_5 = 2$ . So  $4 \notin \Lambda$  and  $\binom{q}{8}$  is even by (11). Thus  $q-6 \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ , so  $\binom{q}{10} \equiv \binom{q}{12} \equiv \binom{q}{14} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Hence  $5 \notin \Lambda$ ,  $6 \notin \Lambda$  and  $7 \notin \Lambda$ . So  $p_7 = 0$ . But since  $3 \in \Lambda$ ,  $p_7$  is odd by (12). This gives a contradiction, so  $\deg Q \geq 3$  if  $q \geq 10$ . The proof of Lemma 4 is thus complete.

□

## 5. ON THE CASES $k = 1, 3, 5$

Consider the equation (3) for fixed  $k \in \{1, 3, 5\}$  and fixed  $z = m > 1$ . Let  $R^*(x) = R(x-1)$  and  $q = k + 1$ . Then (3) is equivalent to the equation

$$(13) \quad P(x) = by^m,$$

where  $P(x) = B_q(x) - B_q + qR^*(x)$ ,  $q \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  and  $b \neq 0$  is a fixed integer divisible by  $q$ .

If  $q = 2$ , then  $P(x) = x^2 - x + 2R^*(x)$ .  $P(x)$  has two zeros of multiplicity 1, since  $P(x) \equiv x(x-1) \pmod{2}$ . In view of Lemma 2, (13) has a finite number of integer solutions  $x, y$  unless  $m = 2$ . In the case  $m = 2$  we can choose  $R^*(x) = (x^2 - x)(2S^2(x) + 2S(x))$  for any  $S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In that case (13) becomes

$$(x^2 - x)(2S(x) + 1)^2 = by^2,$$

which amounts to Pell's equation, having an infinite number of solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  for infinitely many choices of  $b$  with  $2|b$ .

In the case  $q = 4$  we have  $P(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 + 4R^*(x)$ . Since  $P(x) \equiv x^2(x-1)^2 \pmod{2}$ , by Lemma 2 the equation (13) has infinitely many solutions only if  $m = 2$  or  $m = 4$ . If this is the case, there are infinitely many choices for  $R^*(x)$  and  $b$  such that (13) has an infinite number of solutions. We may take  $R^*(x) = x^2(x-1)^2(4S^4(x) + 8S^3(x) + 6S^2(x) + 2S(x))$  for any

$S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and from (13) we get

$$x^2(x-1)^2(2S(x)+1)^4 = by^m, \quad m = 2 \text{ or } m = 4.$$

Both for  $m = 2$  and for  $m = 4$  this equation has an infinite number of solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  for infinitely many choices of  $b$  with  $4|b$ .

In the case  $q = 6$ , (13) is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} 2P(x) &= 2x^6 - 6x^5 + 5x^4 - x^2 + 12R^*(x) = \\ &= x^2(x-1)^2(2x^2-2x-1) + 12R^*(x) = by^m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $12|b$ . Since  $2P(x) \equiv 2(x-1)^2x^2(x+1)^2 \pmod{3}$ , by Lemma 2 the equation (14) has infinitely many solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  only if  $m = 2$ . For infinitely many choices of  $R^*(x)$  and  $b$  there is an infinite number of solutions  $x, y$  if  $m = 2$ . We may then choose

$R^*(x) = x^2(x-1)^2(2x^2-2x-1)(3S^2(x)+2S(x))$  for any  $S(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and (14) may be written in the form

$$x^2(x-1)^2(2x^2-2x-1)(6S(x)+1)^2 = by^2.$$

Consequently, (14) has an infinite number of solutions in integers  $x, y \geq 1$  for infinitely many choices of  $b$  with  $12|b$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] GYÖRY, K., R. TIJDEMAN & M. VOORHOEVE, *On the equation  $1^k + 2^k + \dots + x^k = y^z$* , Acta Arith., 37, to appear.
- [2] LE VEQUE, W.J., *On the equation  $y^m = f(x)$* , Acta Arith., 9 (1964), 209-219.
- [3] RADEMACHER, H., *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [4] SCHÄFFER, J.J., *The equation  $1^p + 2^p + 3^p + \dots + n^p = m^q$* , Acta Math., 95 (1956), 155-159.

- [5] SCHINZEL, A. & R. TIJDEMAN, *On the equation  $y^m = P(x)$* , Acta Arith., 31 (1976), 199-204.
- [6] SHOREY, T.N., A.J. VAN DER POORTEN, R. TIJDEMAN & A. SCHINZEL, *Applications of the Gel'fond-Baker method to Diophantine equations*, Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications, pp. 59-78, Academic Press, 1977.

ONTVANGEN 2 8 SEP. 1978