## stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE (DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 150/81

FEBRUAR!

A.E. BROUWER

AN INEQUALITY IN BINARY VECTOR SPACES

## kruislaan 413 1098 SJ amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 413 Kruislaan, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.).

An inequality in binary vector spaces

Ъу

A.E. Brouwer

## ABSTRACT

We prove that if an n-dimensional vector space over GF(2) is the irredundant union of k subspaces, and this collection of subspaces has zero intersection, then n < k. This answers a question of B. Ganter.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: blocking set

In [1] GANTER posed the following problem: "Let V be a vector space over GF(2) which is the irredundant union of k subspaces which have a trivial global intersection, i.e.,

$$V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} U_{i}, \quad V \neq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} U_{i} \quad (j = 1, ..., k), \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U_{i} = \{0\}.$$

Does this imply that dim V < k?"

Here we answer this question affirmatively. In fact, in order to make the induction work we prove the slightly stronger

THEOREM. Let X be a vector space over GF(2) and V, U  $(1 \le i \le k)$  subspaces of X such that for certain vectors  $a_i \in X$  we have

$$V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (a_i + U_i), \quad V \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} (a_i + U_i) \quad (j = 1, ..., k).$$

Then, if  $W := V \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U_i$ , we have  $k \ge \dim V - \dim W + 1$ .

(Clearly, Ganter's problem is the case V = X, W =  $\{0\}$ ,  $a_i$  = 0  $(1 \le i \le k)$ .)

<u>PROOF.</u> Induction on k and for fixed k on decreasing  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{k} \dim(U_{i} \cap V)$ . (Note that if  $(a+U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  then  $\dim((a+U) \cap V) = \dim(U \cap V)$ , in fact  $(a+U) \cap V = b + (U \cap V)$  for some  $b \in (a+U) \cap V$ .) If k = 1 then the statement of the theorem is obvious. Now assume k > 1. Let  $n := \dim V$ . Since the union is irredundant V meets all  $a_{i} + U_{i}$  and since k > 1 it follows that  $\dim(U_{i} \cap V) \leq n-1$  for all i. If  $\dim(U_{i} \cap V) = n-1$  for all i, then  $W = V \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$  implies  $\dim W \geq \dim V - k$ , and we are done unless  $\dim W = \dim V - k$ . But in the latter case  $\dim(V \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + U_{i})) \geq \dim W \geq 0$  so that  $V \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + U_{i}) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Consider  $W_{\mathbf{I}} := V \cap \bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \notin \mathbf{I}} U_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Then  $W_{\emptyset} = W$ .

<u>LEMMA</u>. If 0 < |I| < k then dim  $W_I \le |I| + \dim W - 1$ . In particular  $W_{\{i\}} = W$ .

<u>PROOF.</u> Induction on |I|.  $V\setminus_{i\notin I}^{U}$   $(a_i+U_i)$  is a nonempty union of translates of  $W_I$ , so that for some a we have  $a+W_I\subset_{i\in I}^{U}(a_i+U_i)$ . If this union is irredundant than by the theorem (applied with |I| instead of k) we find

dim  $W_I \leq |I| + \dim W - 1$  (note that  $W_I \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i = W$ ). On the other hand, if the union is redundant then we may choose  $J \nsubseteq I$  such that  $a + W_I \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} (a_i + U_i)$  and this latter union is irredundant. By the theorem and the induction hypothesis we find

$$\dim W_{I} \leq |J| + \dim W_{I \setminus J} - 1 \leq |J| + |I \setminus J| + \dim W - 2$$

$$< |I| + \dim W - 1.$$

Returning to the proof of the theorem: we shall carry out the induction by either enlarging some  $U_i$  or reducing the number of subspaces k. We may suppose that  $\dim(U_g \cap V) < n-1$  for some g  $(1 \le g \le k)$ . Set  $U_g' = U_g \cup (a+U_g)$  and and  $U_i' = U_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $i \ne g$  where a is chosen such that  $\dim((a_g+U_g') \cap V) > \dim((a_g+U_g) \cap V)$ . Now  $V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} (a_i+U_i')$  and  $W' := V \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} U_i' = W$  (for:  $W \subset W' \subset W_{\{g\}} = W$ ) so if the union is irredundant we succeeded in reducing the problem to one with larger  $U_g$ . On the other hand, if the union is redundant then we may choose I such that  $g \notin I$  and  $V \subset \bigcup_{i \notin I} (a_i+U_i')$  is irredundant. Since  $\dim(U_g' \cap V) < n$  we have |I| < k-1 so that by the lemma dim  $W' = \dim(U_g' \cap W_{I\cup\{g\}}) \le \dim W_{I\cup\{g\}} \le |I| + \dim W$ . By the theorem (applied with k-|I| instead of k) we find

$$\dim V \le k - |I| + |I| + \dim W - 1 = k + \dim W - 1.$$

<u>REMARK</u>. It is natural to ask what happens for vector spaces over GF(q) with q > 2. It is easy to see that there are examples with k = (n-1)(q-1) + 2 where  $n = \dim V$ . We have seen that  $k \ge (n-1)(q-1) + 2$  for q = 2, and it is trivial to prove the same inequality for n = 2. But already for n = 3 smaller k occur: First rephrase the problem as a projective problem, and then dualize. Now our problem is:

"Let V be a projective space of dimension n+1 over GF(q) which is spanned by k subspaces  $U_i$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  such that any hyperplane contains at least one of the  $U_i$ , and where there are hyperplanes  $H_i$  such that  $H_i$  does not contain any  $U_j$   $(j \ne i, 1 \le i \le k)$ . Find a lower bound for k."

In the special case n=3 we get dim V=2 and ask for a minimal blocking set (with less than 2q elements). If q is a square then a Baer subplane will do - it provides us with an example with  $q+\sqrt{q}+1$  elements. Also when q is not a square one may have k<2q. For example, if q=5 one may take 4 points on a line and 5 points forming a transversal of the remaining two parallel classes. This gives k=9. (See HIRSCHFELD [2], Ch. 13 for a discussion of blocking sets.)

Note that for q=2, n=3 we have a blocking family  $\{U_i\}_i$  consisting of two points and two lines, but a blocking set consisting of points only does not exist. It is easily seen that for  $q \ge 3$  we may restrict attention to blocking sets, and thus  $k \ge q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ , with equality precisely in case of a Baer subplane.

The case n > 3 remains completely open.

## REFERENCES

- [1] GANTER, BERNARD, letter to J.A. Thas, dated 23.6.80.
- [2] HIRSCHFELD, J.W.P., Projective geometries over finite fields, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.