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## ABSTRACT

The integral $\int_{0}^{1} x^{s} d x, s>0$, is approximated by the canonical trapezoidal rule

$$
T_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{2 n}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k / n)^{s}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k / n)^{s}\right\}
$$

and the log-convexity of $\left\{T_{n}(s)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is studied, with $s$ as a fixed parameter. The investigations are based on an integral representation of $T_{n}(s)$ and it is proved that the sequence $\left\{T_{n}(s)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $\log$ - convex (in $n$ ) for $1<s<3$ and $5<s<7$.
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## 0. INTRODUCTION

We consider the canonical trapezoidal approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}:=T_{n}(s):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{s}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{s}\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the integral $\int_{0}^{1} x^{s} d x$, where $s$ is any (fixed) positive real number.
In [2] it was shown that for $s>1$ (resp. $0<s<1$ ) the sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing (resp. increasing), whereas somewhat later it was shown in [3] that for $s=0(1) 7$ and $s \geq 8$ this sequence even has the much stronger property of being convex.

In [4; p. 8] the first named author conjectured that for all $s>1$ the sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Zogarithmically convex, i.e. $T_{n}^{2} \leq T_{n-1} T_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 2$. The main goal of this note is to prove the correctness of this conjecture for the intervals $1<s<3$ and $5<s<7$.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Our starting point is Hankel's integral representation of the reciprocal of Euler's gamma function (cf. WHITTAKER \& WATSON [6; pp. 244-245] or SANSONE \& GERRETSEN [5; pp. 201-204])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \not e^{t} t^{-s} d t, \quad s \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ddagger$ denotes integration along a contour as depicted below:


For any $p>0$ we substitute $t=p w$ in (1.1), replace $s$ by $s+1$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p^{s}=\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \not\right\} e^{p w_{w}-s-1} d w, \quad s \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $p=\frac{k}{n}, k=1(1) n$, we obtain by summation over $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \not \frac{e^{w}-1}{w} \frac{w}{2 n} \frac{e^{\frac{w}{n}}+1}{\frac{w}{n}} w^{-s-1} d w, \ldots s>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{s+1}=\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \notint \frac{e^{w}-1}{w} w^{-s-1} d w, \quad s>0
$$

(a result obtainable in various other ways; compare Section 4) so that (1.3) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \nLeftarrow \frac{e^{W}-1}{w} H\left(\frac{w}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w, \quad s>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H(z)=\frac{z}{2} \frac{e^{z}+1}{e^{z}-1}-1=z\left(\frac{1}{e^{z}-1}-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

It is well known that (cf. SANSONE \& GERRETSEN [5; p. 88])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{e^{z}-1}-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\left|B_{2 k}\right|}{(2 k)!} z^{2 k-1}, \quad|z|<2 \pi \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it is clear that the (even) function $H(z)$ has a zero of order 2 at $z=0$. With this in mind we rewrite (1.4) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \nLeftarrow \frac{e^{w}-1}{w}\left(\frac{1}{w^{2}} H\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)\right) w^{1-s} d w, \quad s>0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. THE CASE $1<s<2$.

For $1<s<2$ (so that $-1<1-s<0$ ) we may, by the regularity of $w^{-2} H\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)$ at $w=0$, contract the contour of integration in (1.6) to the negative
real axis so that by a standard argument, using the fact that $H(z)$ is an even function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1) \sin (s-1) \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-e^{-x}}{x} H\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) x^{-s-1} d x, \quad 1<s<2 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $x=n u$ and writing $\frac{1-e^{-n u}}{n u}=\int_{0}^{1} e^{-n u v} d v$ we may write (2.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}-\frac{1}{s+1}=\frac{\Gamma(s+1) \sin (s-1) \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{1} e^{-n u v} d v\right) H(u) u^{-s-1} d u . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sin (s-1) \pi>0$ for $1<s<2$ and $H(u)>0$ for $u>0$, we find, by the general theory of log-convex functions (cf. ARTIN [1]), that the sequence $\left\{T_{n}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-convex, a result which is even stronger than the previously announced assertion that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-convex for all (fixed) $s \in(1,2)$.

Similarly one may show that $\left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}+1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}$ is log - convex for all (fixed) $s \in(0,1)$.
3. INTERMEZZO: A SPECIAL PROPERTY OF $H(u)=u\left(\frac{1}{e^{u}-1}-\frac{1}{u}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$

In the previous section we transformed (2.1) into (2.2) and then concluded that $\left\{T_{n}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $10 g$-convex for all $s \in(1,2)$. In this section we will show that this result may also be obtained directly from (2.1) by observing that the function $H\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, $x>0$, has the remarkable property of being log-convex on $\mathbf{R}^{+}$. As a matter of fact we will prove the following

THEOREM 3.1. There exists a constant $\alpha_{0}>2.863$ such that for every (fixed) $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right]$ the function $\phi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, defined by $\phi_{\alpha}(x):=H\left(x^{-\alpha}\right)$, $\mathrm{x}>0$, is log-convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

PROOF. In order to prove the 10 - convexity of $\phi_{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$we proceed by brute force, at the same time inviting the reader to invent a nicer proof.

Writing

$$
\psi(x):=\log \phi_{\alpha}(x)=\log H\left(x^{-\alpha}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\alpha u^{2}+\frac{A B-C^{2}}{A^{2}}
$$

where
$u:=\frac{1}{x}$,
$\mathrm{v}:=\mathrm{u}^{\alpha}$,
$\mathrm{A}:=\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{V}}-1\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}+\frac{1}{2}$,
B $:=2 \alpha^{2} u^{2} v^{2} e^{2 v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-3}-\alpha^{2} u^{2} v^{2} e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-2}$
$-\alpha(\alpha+1) u^{2} v e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-2}-\alpha(\alpha-1) \frac{u^{2}}{v}$,
$\mathrm{C}:=\alpha \mathrm{uve}^{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{v}}-1\right)^{-2}-\alpha \frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{v}}$.
It clearly suffices to show that $\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$so that (since $\alpha>0$ ) we may just as well prove that

$$
\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{x})}{\alpha u^{2}}=1+\frac{\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}-\alpha C_{1}^{2}}{A_{1}^{2}}>0
$$

where ( $u$ and $v$ being defined as above)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}: & =A(a s \text { defined above }), \\
B_{1}: & : 2 \alpha v^{2} e^{2 v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-3}-\alpha v^{2} e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-2} \\
& -(\alpha+1) v e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-2}-\frac{\alpha-1}{v}, \\
C_{1}: & =e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{-2}-\frac{1}{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it suffices to show that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$

$$
A_{1}^{2}+A_{1} B_{1}>\alpha C_{1}^{2}
$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $v^{2}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{4}$ we arrive at the equivalent inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{2}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{2}+\left(v^{2}-2 v\right)\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{3}+\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right)^{2}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{4}+ \\
& +\left(v+\left(\frac{v}{2}-1\right)\left(e^{v}-1\right)\right)\left(2 \alpha v^{3} e^{2 v}-\alpha v^{3} e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)-(\alpha+1) v^{2} e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(\alpha-1)\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{3}\right)>\alpha\left(v^{4} e^{2 v}-2 v^{2} e^{v}\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{2}+\left(e^{v}-1\right)^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality may be written in the equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{4} P_{k}(v) e^{k v}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}(v)=\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+\frac{v}{4} \\
& P_{1}(v)=-(\alpha+1)+(3 \alpha+1) v+\frac{3 \alpha+1}{2} v^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2} v^{3} \\
& P_{2}(v)=-\frac{5+12 \alpha}{2} v, \\
& P_{3}(v)=\alpha+1+(3 \alpha+1) v-\frac{3 \alpha+1}{2} v^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2} v^{3} \\
& P_{4}(v)=-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+\frac{v}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we write the left hand side of (3.1) in the form $\Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} v^{n}$ and observe that $c_{0}=c_{1}=0$ for all $\alpha$. For $n \geq 2$ one may verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n!c_{n} & =(\alpha+1)\left(-1+3^{n}-2^{2 n-1}\right)+ \\
& +n\left((3 \alpha+1)-(12 \alpha+5) 2^{n-2}+(3 \alpha+1) 3^{n-1}+4^{n-2}\right)+ \\
& +(3 \alpha+1) \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(1-3^{n-2}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{2} n(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+3^{n-3}\right)= \\
& =a a(n)+b(n),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(n):= & -1+3^{n}-2^{2 n-1}+3 n-3 n 2^{n}+n 3^{n}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(3-3^{n-1}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\left(1+3^{n-3}\right), \\
b(n):= & -1+3^{n}-2^{2 n-1}+n-5 n 2^{n-2}+n 3^{n-1}+n 4^{n-2}+ \\
& +\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(1-3^{n-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a matter of routine to show that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a(n)=0 & \text { for } & n \leq 8, \\
a(n)<0 & \text { for } & n \geq 9, \\
b(n)=0 & \text { for } & n \leq 6, \\
b(n)>0 & \text { for } & n \geq 7,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\min _{n \geq 9}-\frac{b(n)}{a(n)}=-\frac{b(24)}{a(24)}=2.863921 \ldots
$$

from which it follows that for $0<\alpha<2.8639$ we have $c_{n}=0$ for $n \leq 6$ and $c_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 7$, which proves the theorem.

REMARK. It is not known to us which $\alpha_{0}^{*}$ is the largest number such that $H\left(x^{-\alpha}\right)$ is log-convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$for all $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}^{*}\right]$. Numerical computations show that $H\left(x^{-3}\right)$ is not $\log$ - convex on all of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$so that (2.863<) $\alpha_{0}^{*}<3$.
4. FURTHER PREPARATIONS

In order to carry our analysis somewhat further we need some auxiliary formulas. In (1.2) let $p \downarrow 0$ (keeping $s$ fixed and $>0$ ) and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nLeftarrow w^{-s-1} d w=0, \quad s>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another way of proving this formula is as follows. In (1.3) put $n=1$ so that (for $s>0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}(s) & =\frac{1}{2}=\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \not \frac{e^{W}+1}{2} w^{-s-1} d w=  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrows e^{W} w^{-s-1} d w+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{2} \not w^{-s-1} d w= \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \pi i}{(s+1)}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{2} \not w^{-s-1} d w
\end{align*}
$$

and it follows again that $\int \mathrm{w}^{-s-1} d w=0$ for $s>0$.
Our next important auxiliary result is

LEMMA 4.1. For any positive integer N we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}(z)=z\left(\frac{1}{e^{z}-1}-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=P_{N}(z)+(-1)^{N} z^{2 N+2} \mu_{N}(z) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(z):=\sum_{k=1}^{N}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\left.\mid B_{2 k}\right\rfloor}{(2 k)!} z^{2 k} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{N}(z):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(z^{2}+4 \pi^{2} m^{2}\right)(2 \pi m)^{2 N}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. In order to prove this lemma we apply Taylor's formula as described in WHITTAKER \& WATSON [6; p. 93]. We observe that (compare (1.5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{H^{(2 k)}(0)}{(2 k)!} z^{2 k} \text { and } H^{(2 k+1)}(0)=0, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{N} \mu_{N}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{H(w)}{(w-z) w^{2 N+2}} d w, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\oint$ denotes counter clockwise integration along a closed contour containing the points $w=0$ and $w=z$ in its interior and such that it does not encircle any of the points $w=k .2 \pi i, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. A standard application of the calculus of residues then yields

$$
(-1)^{N} \mu_{N}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{\frac{1}{e^{w}-1}-\frac{1}{w}+\frac{1}{2}}{(w-z) w^{2 N+1}} d w=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{(2 \pi i m-z)(2 \pi i m)^{2 N+1}}+\frac{1}{(-2 \pi i m-z)(-2 \pi i m)^{2 N+1}}\right\}=  \tag{4.8}\\
& =(-1)^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(z^{2}+4 \pi^{2} m^{2}\right)(2 \pi m)^{2 N}},
\end{align*}
$$

and the lemma follows.
REMARKS.

1) We note that Lemma 4.1 also holds true for $N=0$. In this case we have the well-known formula

$$
H(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 z^{2}}{z^{2}+4 \pi^{2} m^{2}} .
$$

2) As an immediate consequence of Lerma 4.1 we have for any fixed $N>0$

$$
\mu_{N}(x)=O\left(x^{-2}\right), \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

3) $\mu_{N}(z)$ is regular at $z=0$.

LEMMA 4.2. For any fixed $N>0$ the function $\mu_{N}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-2 N-2}$ is $\log$-convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. PROOF. In order to see this we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{N}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-2 N-2} & =2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2 N-2}}{\left(x^{-2}+4 \pi^{2} m^{2}\right)(2 \pi m)^{2 N}}= \\
& =2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+4 \pi^{2} m^{2} x^{2}\right)(2 \pi m x)^{2 N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and observe that every term of this series is log-convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Indeed, for any (fixed) $a>\frac{1}{8}$ the function

$$
\phi_{a}(x)=-\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)-2 a \log x
$$

is convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
5. THE CASE $2<s<3$

From

$$
T_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \notint \frac{e^{W}-1}{w} H\left(\frac{w}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w
$$

we obtain by means: of the results of the previous section (for $2<s<3$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(s) & =\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \notint \frac{e^{W}-1}{W}\left(H\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)-P_{1}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)\right) w^{-s-1} d w+ \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \nsim \frac{e^{W}-1}{W} P_{1}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{1}(z)=\frac{z^{2}}{12}$ we thus find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(s) & =\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \leftrightarrows \frac{e^{w}-1}{w}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)^{4}(-1)^{1} \mu_{1}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w+ \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{12 n^{2}} \leftrightarrows\left(e^{w}-1\right) w^{-s} d w= \\
& =\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}-\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\pi} \sin (s-3) \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{t}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{4} \mu_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) t^{-s-1} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Section 4 it was shown that $\mathrm{x}^{-4} \mu_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{-1}\right)$ is $\log$ - convex on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$so that for any $t>0,\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{4} \mu_{1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)$ is $\log$ - convex as a function of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sin (s-3) \pi<0$ for $2<s<3$ it follows that $\left\{T_{n}-\frac{1}{s+1}-\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is logconvex (in $n$ ) for any fixed $s \in(2,3)$, a result which is even stronger than the previously announced $\log$ - convexity of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}$.
6. SOME REMARKS ON THE GENERAL CASE: $2 \mathrm{~N}<\mathrm{s}<2(\mathrm{~N}+1)$

Similarly as before we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(s) & =\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \npreceq \frac{e^{W}-1}{w} P_{N}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w+ \\
& +(-1)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \npreceq \frac{e^{W}-1}{w}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)^{2 N+2} \mu_{N}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w= \\
& =\frac{1}{s+1}+I_{1}(n)+I_{2}(n), \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the preliminaries in Section 4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(n) & =\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \leftrightarrows \frac{e^{W}-1}{w}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\left|B_{2 k}\right|}{(2 k)!}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)^{2 k}\right) w^{-s-1} d w= \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\left|B_{2 k}\right|}{(2 k)!} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s-2 k+2)} \frac{1}{2 k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly as before,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(n) & =(-1)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{2 \pi i} \leftrightarrows \frac{e^{W}-1}{W}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right)^{2 N+2} \mu_{N}\left(\frac{W}{n}\right) w^{-s-1} d w= \\
& =(-1)^{N} \frac{\Gamma(s+1) \sin (s-2 N-1) \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{t}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2 N+2} \mu_{N}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) t^{-s-1} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

the last integral being convergent at $t=0$ since ( $2 \mathrm{~N}+2$ ) -s $-1>-1$ and at $t=\infty$ since $-1+(2 N+2)-2-s-1<-1$. We now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N} \text { even and } 2 \mathrm{~N}+1<\mathrm{s}<2 \mathrm{~N}+2 \Rightarrow(-1)^{\mathrm{N}} \sin (\mathrm{~s}-2 \mathrm{~N}-1) \pi>0 \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{N} \text { even and } 2 \mathrm{~N}<\mathrm{s}<2 \mathrm{~N}+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \| \quad<0 \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{N} \text { odd and } 2 \mathrm{~N}<\mathrm{s}<2 \mathrm{~N}+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad ">0 \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{N} \text { odd and } 2 \mathrm{~N}+1<\mathrm{s}<2 \mathrm{~N}+2 \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{\|}<0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, whenever we can show that $\left\{I_{2}(n)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-convex then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $\log$ - convex if $(-1)^{N} \sin (s-2 N-1) \pi>0$. It follows that our approach can only be successful if $2 N+1<s<2 N+3$, where $N$ is even.


## 7. THE CASE $5<s<7$

We first assume $5<\mathrm{s}<6$ so that

$$
T_{n}(s)-\frac{1}{s+1}=\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}-\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{720 n^{4}}+\log -\text { convex }(\text { in } n)
$$

Hence, in order to show the log-convexity of $\left\{T_{n}-\frac{1}{s+1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ it suffices to show the log-convexity of $\left\{\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}-\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{720 n^{4}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Since $5<s<6$ it is easily seen that this in its turn is a consequence of the log-convexity of $\left\{\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{3 n^{4}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the verification of which is a matter of routine. Now let $6<s<7$, so that by the results of Section 6 it suffices to show the logconvexity of

$$
\left\{\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}-\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{720 n^{4}}+\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)(s-4)}{42720 n^{6}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}
$$

which, using the assumption $6<s<7$, is an easy consequence of the log convexity of $\left\{\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{7}{12 n^{4}}+\frac{3}{89 n^{6}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, a (though tedious) matter of routine. REMARK. For $9<s<10$ we would have to verify the $\log$ - convexity of

$$
\left\{\frac{s}{12 n^{2}}-\frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{720 n^{4}}+\frac{s(s-1) \ldots(s-4)}{42720 n^{6}}-\frac{s(s-1) \ldots(s-6)}{1209600 n^{8}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}
$$

whereas for still larger values of $s$ it seems practically unfeasible (if true) to prove the $\log$ - convexity (in $n$ ) of forms of such a complexity.
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