1992

N.M. Temme Asymptotic estimates of Stirling numbers

Department of Analysis, Algebra and Geometry Report AM-R9204 April

CWI is het Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica van de Stichting Mathematisch Centrum

CWI is the Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science of the Mathematical Centre Foundation

CWI is the research institute of the Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, which was founded on February 11, 1946, as a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of mathematics, computer science, and their applications. It is sponsored by the Dutch Government through the Netherlands organization for scientific research (NWO).

Asymptotic Estimates of Stirling Numbers

N. M. Temme

CWI, P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands e-mail: nicot@cwi.nl

New asymptotic estimates are given of the Stirling numbers $S_n^{(m)}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)}$, of first and second kind, respectively, as n tends to infinity. The approximations are uniformly valid with respect to the second parameter m, with $0 \le m \le n$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B73, 41A60.

Keywords & phrases: Stirling numbers, uniform asymptotic expansion, saddle point method.

1. Introduction

The Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, denoted by $S_n^{(m)}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)}$, respectively, are defined through the generating functions

$$x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} S_n^{(m)} x^m, \qquad (1.1)$$

$$x^{n} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} x(x-1) \cdots (x-m+1), \tag{1.2}$$

where the left-hand side of (1.1) has the value 1 if n=0; similarly for the factors in the right-hand side of (1.2) if m=0. This gives the 'boundary values'

$$S_n^{(n)} = \mathfrak{S}_n^{(n)} = 1, \ n \ge 0, \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^{(0)} = \mathfrak{S}_n^{(0)} = 0, \ n \ge 1.$$

Furthermore it is convenient to agree on $S_n^{(m)} = \mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)} = 0$ if m > n.

The Stirling numbers are integers; apart from the above mentioned zero values, the numbers of the second kind are positive; those of the first kind have the sign of $(-1)^{n+m}$.

Alternative generating functions are

$$\frac{[\ln(x+1)]^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_n^{(m)} \frac{x^n}{n!},\tag{1.3}$$

$$\frac{(e^x - 1)^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$
 (1.4)

Report AM-R9204 ISSN 0924-2953 CWI

P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The Stirling numbers play an important role in difference calculus, combinatorics, and probability theory. More properties of Stirling numbers can be found in, for example, JORDAN [8, CH. 4], COMTET[5], and in the chapter on combinatorial analysis in ABRAMOWITZ & STEGUN [1, CH. 24]. Recent interest in Stirling numbers can be found in BUTZER ET AL. [3], [4].

Asymptotic expansions can be found in several papers. Hsu [7] has given an expansion for the numbers of the second kind that is useful when n-m is small. Moser & Wyman [10], [11] have considered several overlapping domains in the n,m- plane with $n \geq m$. Bleick & Wang [2] have given a complete expansion for the numbers of the second kind, which is convergent when $m < (n+1)^{2/3}/[\pi+(n+1)^{-1/3}]$. When divergent the expansion is still useful when used as an asymptotic series. However, the case $m \sim n$ (both large) is not covered. In Dingle [6, p. 199] the numbers of both kinds are considered. Again, the approximations are not uniformly valid with respect to m; the results for the numbers of the first kind become worse when $m \ll n$, whereas for the numbers of the second kind the problems arise when $m \sim n$. In most cases the asymptotic results are obtained by using saddle point techniques for contour integrals. Recently, Knessl & Keller [9] have treated the asymptotics from a quite different point of view.

The purpose of the paper is to present new expansions for the Stirling numbers which hold uniformly with respect to m. The method is based on a modification of the saddle point method, as described in [13]. Short tables are given to show the results for n = 10; further computer experiments confirm the uniform character of our estimates.

2. STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE SECOND KIND

An explicit representation of the numbers of the second kind is available as a finite sum:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} k^{n}. \tag{2.1}$$

A proof follows by expanding the left-hand side of (1.4) with Newton's binomial formula and by comparing the power series of the resulting exponential functions with the right-hand side of (1.4). From (2.1) several limiting forms can be derived. However more powerful results can be obtained from integral representations following from the generating functions. From (1.4) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{(e^{x} - 1)^{m}}{x^{n+1}} dx, \tag{2.2}$$

where the contour of integration is a small circle around the origin. We write this in the form

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int e^{\phi(x)} \frac{dx}{x}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\phi(x) = -n \ln x + m \ln(e^x - 1). \tag{2.4}$$

This integral will be estimated by using the saddle point method (for a general introduction to this topic see, for instance, OLVER[12] or WONG[14]). The saddle point is defined by the solution of the equation $\phi'(x) = 0$. There is a real positive saddle point x_0 that solves the equation

$$\frac{m}{n}x = 1 - e^{-x}. (2.5)$$

The solution $x_0 = 0$ is not of interest, since the contour in (2.3) is not allowed to pass through the origin. Moreover, $\phi'(0)$ is undefined. When $m \ll n$ the solution x_0 approaches the origin, however, and when $m \sim n$, it approaches infinity. The saddle point method is based on replacing $\phi(x)$ by a quadratic function, for instance by writing $\phi(x) - \phi(x_0) = t^2$, a local transformation near $x = x_0$. However, straightforward application of the saddle point method gives approximations that are less accurate when $n \sim m$. To define a different transformation, we observe that when $x \to 0^+$, we have $\phi(x) \sim (m-n) \ln x$, and when $x \to \infty$, we have $\phi(x) \sim mx$. This suggests the transformation $x \to t(x)$ defined by

$$\phi(x) = mt + (m-n)\ln t + A, \tag{2.6}$$

where A is not depending on t. The derivative of the right-hand side vanishes at $t_0 = (n-m)/m$. We prescribe for the mapping in (2.5) the corresponding points

$$x = 0 \iff t = 0, \quad x = x_0 \iff t = t_0, \quad x = +\infty \iff t = +\infty.$$

The quantity A follows from substitution of $x = x_0$, $t = t_0$ in (2.6), which gives

$$A = \phi(x_0) - mt_0 + (n-m)\ln t_0.$$

Transformation (2.6) brings (2.3) in the form

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \frac{e^{A}}{2\pi i} \int e^{mt} f(t) \frac{dt}{t^{n-m+1}}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{x} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{m(t - t_0)}{x\phi'(x)}.$$
 (2.8)

A transformation like (2.6) is investigated earlier in [13]. From the analysis of [13], it follows that the function f is analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin, and in a wider domain of the complex plane, including the positive real axis. Especially, f is analytic at t = 0 and at $t = t_0$, also when t_0 (that is, x_0) tends to zero.

Initially, the contour in (2.7) is a small circle around t = 0, but it can be deformed into a contour through the new saddle point t_0 . A first approximation to $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)}$ is now obtained by replacing f(t) in (2.7) with the value of this function at the saddle point t_0 . The remaining integral is easily evaluated, giving the one-term approximation

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)} \sim e^A m^{n-m} f(t_0) \binom{n}{m}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$
 (2.9)

where

$$f(t_0) = \frac{1}{x_0} \sqrt{\frac{mt_0}{\phi''(x_0)}} = \sqrt{\frac{t_0}{(1+t_0)(x_0-t_0)}}.$$
 (2.10)

The value of $f(t_0)$ follows from applying l'Hôpital's rule on the second form in (2.8), giving

$$f(t_0) = \frac{m}{x_0 \phi''(x_0) dx/dt}.$$

m	$\mathfrak{S}_{10}^{(m)}$	(2.9)	m	$\mathfrak{S}_{10}^{(m)}$	(2.9)
1	1	0.9993	6	22827	22922
2	511	512.98	7	5880	5897.7
3	9330	9390.1	8	750	751.45
4	34105	34319	9	45	45.04
5	42525	42750	10	1	1

Table 1. Approximations of the Stirling numbers of the second kind

Using this in comparison with the first form in (2.8), we obtain an expression of dx/dt evaluated at $x = x_0$, $t = t_0$. This finally gives $f(t_0)$. In §4 a second term in the asymptotic estimate (2.9) is given.

We compute the limiting values of $f(t_0)$. Let $\nu = m/n$, and consider $\nu \to 1$. It easily follows that $x_0 = 2(1-\nu) + \mathcal{O}\left[(1-\nu)^2\right]$. Hence,

$$f(t_0) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - \nu), \text{ as } \nu \to 1.$$

When $\nu \to 0$, we have $x_0 \sim 1/\nu$, giving

$$f(t_0) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\nu)$$
, as $\nu \to 0$.

In Table 1 we give the exact values of $\mathfrak{S}_{10}^{(m)}$ $(m=1,2,\ldots,10)$ and the approximations based on (2.9) (the value for m=10 is not computed via (2.9)). The maximal relative error is 0.0064, and occurs at m=3. Similar computations with n=20, n=30 show the following: the maximal errors are 0.0031, 0.0021, and occur for m=7, m=10, respectively. Observe that the maximal errors do not occur at boundary values of m, but at about $m=\frac{1}{3}n$. Also larger values of n show the uniform character of the asymptotic estimate (2.9).

3. STIRLING NUMBERS OF THE FIRST KIND

DINGLE [6] has used the generating function (1.3) giving the representation

$$S_n^{(m)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{[\ln(z+1)]^m}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

where the contour is a small circle around z = 0. To make the integral representation similar to the previous case, he transformed $1 + z = e^x$. This gives

$$S_n^{(m)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{x^m e^x}{(e^x - 1)^{n+1}} dx,$$

Integrating by parts and $x \to -x$ gives

$$S_n^{(m)} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(m-1)!} \frac{(-1)^{n-m}}{2\pi i} \int \frac{x^{m-1}}{(1-e^{-x})^n} dx,$$

Again, the contour is a small circle around x = 0. This representation has been used by Dingle to apply the saddle point method. We have used the method of the previous section on this integral, but the results were quite inaccurate.

To try an alternative representation we turn to (1.1). It is easy to verify that

$$(-1)^{n-m}S_{n+1}^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n)}{x^{m+1}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int e^{\phi(x)} \frac{dx}{x}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \ln[(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n)] - m\ln x.$$

The saddle point is the solution of $\phi'(x) = 0$. When $1 \le m \le n-1$ there is one positive solution x_0 . To prove this, note that $\phi'(x)$ is negative when x is small and that for positive values of x we have $\phi'(x) > n/(x+n) - m/x$. Hence, $\phi'(x) > 0$ when x > nm/(n-m). This shows that ϕ' has at least one positive zero. Next we observe that ϕ' has at least one zero in any of the n-1 intervals $(-2,-1),(-3,-2),\ldots(-n,-n+1)$. Now we reduce the n+1 fractions of ϕ' to a common denominator. Then the nominator of ϕ' is a polynomial having degree n and having at most n zeros. From the distribution of the zeros of ϕ' just mentioned we infer that ϕ' indeed has one and only one positive zero.

The present function $\phi(x)$ has the following behaviour on the positive real axis:

$$\phi(x) \sim -m \ln x$$
, as $x \to 0$, $\phi(x) \sim (n-m) \ln x$, as $x \to \infty$.

Combining these two limiting cases, we observe that the function $n \ln(x+1) - m \ln x$ has (globally on $(0,\infty)$) the same graph as $\phi(x)$. This suggests the following transformation $x \to t(x)$

$$\phi(x) = n \ln(1+t) - m \ln t + B. \tag{3.2}$$

The derivative of the right-hand side vanishes at $t_0 = m/(n-m)$. We prescribe for the mapping in (3.2) the corresponding points

$$x = 0 \iff t = 0, \quad x = x_0 \iff t = t_0, \quad x = +\infty \iff t = +\infty.$$

The quantity B follows from substitution of $x = x_0$, $t = t_0$ in (3.2), which gives

$$B = \phi(x_0) - n \ln(t_0 + 1) + m \ln t_0.$$

Transformation (3.2) brings (3.1) in the form

$$(-1)^{n-m}S_{n+1}^{(m+1)} = \frac{e^B}{2\pi i} \int \frac{(1+t)^n}{t^{m+1}} g(t) dt, \tag{3.3}$$

where, initially, the contour is a small circle around t = 0, and

$$g(t) = \frac{t}{x} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{(n-m)t - m}{(t+1)x\phi'(x)}.$$
 (3.4)

A first approximation to $S_{n+1}^{(m+1)}$ is now obtained by replacing g(t) in (3.3) with the value of this function at the saddle point t_0 . The remaining integral is evaluated by using

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{(1+t)^n}{t^{m+1}} dt = \binom{n}{m}.$$

m	$ S_{10}^{(m)} $	(3.5)	m	$ S_{10}^{(m)} $	(3.5)
1	362880	362880	6	63273	63007
2	1026576	1018563	7	9450	9420.8
3	1172700	1163168	8	870	868.2
4	723680	718718	9	45	44.95
5	269325	267855	10	1	1

Table 2. Approximations of the Stirling numbers of the first kind

This gives the one-term approximation

$$S_{n+1}^{(m+1)} \sim (-1)^{n-m} e^B g(t_0) \binom{n}{m}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (3.5)

We finally compute $g(t_0)$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$g(t_0) = \frac{t_0}{x_0} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{n-m}{(t_0+1)x_0\phi''(x_0)dx/dt},$$

where dx/dt is evaluated at $t = t_0$. This gives a relation for dx/dt at $t = t_0$, from which we obtain

$$g(t_0) = \frac{1}{x_0} \sqrt{\frac{m(n-m)}{n\phi''(x_0)}}.$$

The function $\phi(x)$ and its derivatives occurring in the above formulas are elementary functions, and can be computed straightforwardly. However, when n and x are large, one may use representations in terms of the logarithm of the gamma function and the derivatives thereof. That is,

$$\phi(x) = \ln \Gamma(x+n+1) - \ln \Gamma(x+1) - m \ln x, \quad \phi'(x) = \psi(x+n+1) - \psi(x+1) - m/x.$$

Asymptotic expansions of these functions are given in [1], Ch. 6.

The mapping defined in (3.2) is of the same kind as the one in (2.6). The analytic properties again follow from the results in [13].

REMARK. A curious finite expansion follows by substituting

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k t^k$$

in (3.3), from which we obtain the exact representation

$$(-1)^{n-m}S_{n+1}^{(m+1)} = e^B \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \binom{n}{m-k}.$$

For example, when m = 0, we have $c_0 = g(0) = 1$, $e^B = n!$, giving $S_{n+1}^{(1)} = (-1)^n n!$.

In Table 2 exact values of $|S_{10}^{(m)}|$, $(m=1,\ldots,10)$ are compared with absolute values of the approximations given in (3.5) (the values for m=1, m=n are not computed via (3.5)). The maximal relative error now occurs at m=3, and is 0.0082. For n=20, n=30, the maximal errors are: 0.0063 and 0.0053, respectively; again they occur at m=3. These experiments confirm the uniform character with respect to m of the result in (3.5).

4. HIGHER ORDER APPROXIMATIONS

The estimates in (2.9) and (3.5) can be supplied with more terms, and eventually written as complete asymptotic expansions. We concentrate on the numbers of the second kind; the treatment for the numbers of the first kind is more complicated owing to the special form of the function ϕ in (3.2).

Consider the integral in (2.7) in the form

$$F_{\lambda}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int e^{mt} f(t) \frac{dt}{t^{\lambda+1}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where m and λ are positive integers. The contour is a small circle around the origin, where f should be analytic (the method also works (with minor modifications) when m and λ are complex numbers). Writing

$$f(t) = f(\mu) + (t - \mu)g(t), \quad \mu = \lambda/m,$$

we obtain

$$F_{\lambda}(m) = rac{m^{\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} f(\mu) + rac{1}{2\pi i} \int (t-\mu) e^{mt} g(t) rac{dt}{t^{\lambda+1}}.$$

Writing

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int (t-\mu) g(t) e^{mt-\lambda \ln t} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2m\pi i} \int g(t) de^{mt-\lambda \ln t},$$

and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$F_{\lambda}(m) = \frac{m^{\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} f(\mu) - \frac{1}{2m\pi i} \int f_1(t) e^{mt} \frac{dt}{t^{\lambda+1}},$$

where

$$f_1(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} g(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{f(t) - f(\mu)}{t - \mu}.$$

Repeating this procedure (observe that the final integral has the same form as the starting function (4.1)), we can obtain eventually

$$F_{\lambda}(m) \sim \frac{m^{\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k f_k(\mu) m^{-k}$$

where the functions $f_k(t)$ are defined by

$$f_{k+1}(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{f_k(t) - f_k(\mu)}{t - \mu}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with $f_0(t) = f(t)$. This procedure gives for (2.9) a complete asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(m)} \sim e^{A} m^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} f_{k}(t_{0}) m^{-k}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$
 (4.2)

where $t_0 = \mu = (n-m)/m$ and f is given by (2.8). The first coefficient of the series is given by (2.10), the second one, $f_1(t_0)$, can be obtained as follows. The function f is analytic in a domain containing the positive real axis. Consider the Maclaurin expansion around $t = t_0$ (the point where we expect the main contributions to the integral in (2.7))

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (t - t_0)^k, \quad a_k = \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(t_0).$$

Then it follows that

$$f_1(t) = t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)a_{k+2}(t-t_0)^k,$$

and that $f_1(t_0) = t_0 a_2$. Further coefficients $f_k(t_0)$ can also be expressed in terms of a_k , the derivatives of f at the point t_0 .

To compute a_k , we need the coefficients x_k in the expansion

$$x = x_0 + x_1(t - t_0) + x_2(t - t_0)^2 + \cdots, \tag{4.3}$$

where x_0 is the solution of (2.5) and $x_1 = a_0x_0/t_0 = f(t_0)x_0/t_0$. See the first relation in (2.8); $f(t_0)$ is given in (2.10). With (2.8) we can express other values a_k in terms of x_k . So we obtain by formal manipulations of power series

$$a_1 = \frac{2t_0x_0x_2 + x_1x_0 - t_0x_1^2}{x_0^2}, \quad a_2 = \frac{3x_3t_0x_0 + 2x_2x_0^2 - 3t_0x_0x_1x_2 - x_1^2x_0 + t_0x_1^3}{x_0^3}.$$

Hence for $f_1(t_0) = t_0 a_2$ we need x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 . To obtain x_2, x_3 , we substitute (4.3) in the second relation of (2.8). After several manipulations we finally obtain the coefficient of the second term in (4.2), that is

$$f_1(t_0) = \frac{-2x_0^3 + 2t_0^5 + 4t_0^3 + 4t_0^4 + 3x_0^2t_0 - 6x_0t_0^4 - 5x_0^2t_0^2 + 2x_0^4t_0 + x_0^3t_0 - 6x_0^3t_0^2 + 8x_0^2t_0^3}{24a_0(1+t_0)^2(x_0-t_0)^4}.$$

A further analysis shows that $f_1(t_0)$ is a bounded function of t_0 on $[0, \infty)$. Using the two-term variant of (2.9), that is,

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^{(m)} \sim e^A m^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \left[f(t_0) - \frac{f_1(t_0)}{m} \right], \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$
 (4.9)

we obtain the following maximal relative errors:

$$n=10$$
: relative error = 0.00047 occurs at $m=4$
 $n=20$: relative error = 0.00012 occurs at $m=3$
 $n=30$: relative error = 0.00006 occurs at $m=4$
 $n=40$: relative error = 0.00003 occurs at $m=5$
 $n=50$: relative error = 0.00002 occurs at $m=7$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ & I.A. STEGUN (1964), Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables, Nat. Bur. Standards Appl. Series, 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- [2] W.E. BLEICK & P.C.C. WANG (1974), Asymptotics of the Stirling numbers of the second kind, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 42 575-580.
- [3] P.L. Butzer, M. Hauss & M. Schmidt (1989), Factorial functions and Stirling numbers, Results in Mathematics, 16 16-33.
- [4] P.L. BUTZER & M. HAUSS (1991), On Stirling functions of the second kind, Studies Appl. Math., 84 71-91.
- [5] L. Comtet (1974), Advanced combinatorics, D. Reidel, Dordrecht-Holland.
- [6] R.B. DINGLE (1973), Asymptotic expansions: Their derivation and interpretation, Academic Press, New York.
- [7] L.C.Hsu (1948), Note on an asymptotic expansion of the n-th difference of zero, Ann. Math. Statist., 19 273-277.
- [8] C. JORDAN (1947), The calculus of finite differences, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York.
- [9] C.H. KNESSL & J.B. KELLER (1991), Stirling number asymptotics from recursion equations using the ray method, Studies Appl. Math., 84 43-56.
- [10] L. Moser & M. Wyman (1958), Stirling numbers of the second kind, Duke Math. J., 25 29-43.
- [11] MOSER & WYMAN (1958), Asymptotic development of the Stirling numbers of the first kind, J. London Math. Soc., 33 133-146.
- [12] F.W.J. OLVER (1974), Asymptotics and special functions, Academic Press, New York.
- [13] N.M. TEMME (1985), Laplace integrals: Transformation to standard form and uniform asymptotic expansion, Quart. Appl. Math., XLIII, 103-123.
- [14] R. Wong (1989), Asymptotic approximations of integrals, Academic Press, New York.