



A strange application of Kolmogorov complexity

A. Shen

Computer Science/Department of Algorithmics and Architecture

Report CS-R9328 April 1993

CWI is the National Research Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science. CWI is part of the Stichting Mathematisch Centrum (SMC), the Dutch foundation for promotion of mathematics and computer science and their applications. SMC is sponsored by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). CWI is a member of ERCIM, the European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics.

Copyright © Stichting Mathematisch Centrum
P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam (NL)
Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam (NL)
Telephone +31 20 592 9333
Telefax +31 20 592 4199

A Strange Application of Kolmogorov Complexity

Alexander Shen

Institute of Problems of Information Transmission;

57th Moscow school;

Moscow Mathematics Institute

Mailing address: Institute of Problems of Information Transmission,

19 Ermolovoy Street,

Moscow GSP-4, 101447 Russia

E-mail: shen@sch57.msk.su

Fax: +7-095-209-0579

and

CWI

P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

The paper deals with two similar inequalities:

- (1) $2K(\langle A, B, C \rangle) \leq K(\langle A, B \rangle) + K(\langle A, C \rangle) + K(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(\log n)$,
- (2) $2KP(\langle A, B, C \rangle) \leq KP(\langle A, B \rangle) + KP(\langle A, C \rangle) + KP(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(1)$,

where K denotes simple Kolmogorov entropy (i.e. the very first version of Kolmogorov complexity having been introduced by Kolmogorov himself) and KP denotes prefix entropy (self-delimiting complexity by the terminology of M. Li and P. M. B. Vitanyi [1]). It turns out that from (1) one can infer the following well-known geometric fact:

$$|V|^2 \leq |S_{xy}| \cdot |S_{yz}| \cdot |S_{xz}|,$$

where V is a set in three-dimensional space, S_{xy} , S_{yz} , S_{xz} are its three two-dimensional projections, and $|W|$ is the volume (or the area) of W . And (2), in its turn, is a corollary of the well-known Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. So the connection between geometry and Kolmogorov complexity works in both directions.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68Q30

1991 CR Categories: F.2, F.4

Keywords and Phrases: Kolmogorov complexity, Applications, Geometry, Algorithmic information theory, Simple Kolmogorov entropy, Prefix entropy, Self-delimiting complexity, Cagy-Schwarz inequality

Report CS-R9328

ISSN 0169-118X

CWI

P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

1. INEQUALITY

For any binary words A , B and C whose length does not exceed n we have

$$2K(\langle A, B, C \rangle) \leq K(\langle A, B \rangle) + K(\langle A, C \rangle) + K(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(\log n)$$

(By K we denote Kolmogorov complexity as defined in original Kolmogorov article, see, e.g., [1], p. 198; it is called sometimes “simple Kolmogorov entropy” and denoted by KS . Because of the term $O(\log n)$ other versions may be used, see above.)

2. PROOF

We rewrite the inequality as

$$(K(\langle A, B, C \rangle) - K(\langle A, B \rangle)) + (K(\langle A, B, C \rangle) - K(\langle A, C \rangle)) \leq K(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(\log n).$$

Now we use the equality $K(\langle X, Y \rangle) = K(X) + K(Y|X) + O(\log n)$ where $K(Y|X)$ is conditional complexity of Y when X is known and get

$$K(C|A, B) + K(B|A, C) \leq K(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(\log n).$$

Now it remains to use that $K(C|A, B) \leq K(C|B)$, $K(B|A, C) \leq K(B)$ and refer to the equality mentioned above.

3. APPLICATION

We use this inequality to prove a (well-known) geometric fact which seems to have nothing in common with Kolmogorov complexity. Assume that we have a set V in a three-dimensional space with coordinates x, y, z . Consider three projections S_{xy} , S_{yz} and S_{xz} . By $|Z|$ we mean volume (or area) of Z .

Theorem (A continuous version.)

$$|V|^2 \leq |S_{xy}| \cdot |S_{yz}| \cdot |S_{xz}|.$$

To avoid difficulties (non-measurable sets etc.) we shall consider a finite version:

Theorem (A discrete version.) Let X, Y, Z be finite sets, $V \subset X \times Y \times Z$ and $S_{xy} \subset X \times Y$, $S_{xz} \subset X \times Z$, $S_{yz} \subset Y \times Z$ are projections of V . Then

$$(\#V)^2 \leq (\#S_{xy}) \cdot (\#S_{yz}) \cdot (\#S_{xz}).$$

Proof. Choose a random sequence $v = \langle v_1 \dots v_n \rangle \in V^n$. Its complexity is $n \cdot (\log \#V) + O(\log n)$. Now remember that v_i is a triple $\langle x_i, y_i, z_i \rangle$, therefore v can be considered as a triple $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ where $x = \langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle$, $y = \langle y_1 \dots y_n \rangle$, $z = \langle z_1 \dots z_n \rangle$. Now use our inequality:

$$2K(v) \leq K(\langle x, y \rangle) + K(\langle y, z \rangle) + K(\langle x, z \rangle) + O(\log n).$$

Remember that $\langle x, y \rangle$ can be considered also as a sequence of n elements of S_{xy} , therefore $K(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq n \cdot \log \#S_{xy} + O(\log n)$. Using similar inequalities for $\langle y, z \rangle$ and $\langle x, z \rangle$ we get

$$2n \log \#V = 2K(v) \leq n \cdot (\log \#S_{xy} + \log \#S_{yz} + \log \#S_{xz}) + O(\log n).$$

Dividing by n and using that $\frac{\log n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$2 \log \#V \leq \log \#S_{xy} + \log \#S_{yz} + \log \#S_{xz}.$$

4. PREFIX ENTROPY AND L^2 -INEQUALITY

Because of the $O(\log n)$ term the inequality of section 1 is valid for all usual variants of Kolmogorov complexity (entropy) such as decision entropy, monotone entropy and prefix entropy. But the case of prefix entropy (called also self-delimiting complexity, see [1], p. 209) is somehow special because logarithmic term may be omitted:

$$2KP(\langle A, B, C \rangle) \leq KP(\langle A, B \rangle) + KP(\langle A, C \rangle) + KP(\langle B, C \rangle) + O(1).$$

It turns out that this inequality can be proved analytically, using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Indeed, recall that KP may be defined as a $-\log_2 P$ where P is an *a priori* probability on the set of natural numbers. Therefore, we should prove that

$$P^2(\langle A, B, C \rangle) \geq c \cdot P(\langle A, B \rangle) \cdot P(\langle A, C \rangle) \cdot P(\langle B, C \rangle)$$

for some positive constant c . The *a priori* probability is defined as a maximum enumerable from below function on natural numbers with a finite sum; therefore it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{A,B,C} \sqrt{P(\langle A, B \rangle) \cdot P(\langle A, C \rangle) \cdot P(\langle B, C \rangle)} < +\infty.$$

This fact is a consequence of the following inequality which we prefer to write using integrals instead of sums:

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint f(x, y)g(x, z)h(y, z) dx dy dz &\leq \\ &\leq \left(\iint f^2(x, y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint g^2(x, z) dx dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint h^2(x, y) dy dz \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a version of Cauchy–Schwarz inequality containing three L^2 -norm in the right-hand side instead of two; it can be easily reduced to an ordinary Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint f(x, y)g(x, z)h(y, z) dx dy dz &= \\ &= \iint h(y, z) \left(\int f(x, y)g(x, z) dx \right) dy dz \leq \\ &\leq \iint h(y, z) \left(\int f^2(x, y) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int g^2(x, z) dx \right)^{1/2} dy dz \leq \\ &\leq \left(\iint h^2(y, z) dy dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint \underbrace{\left(\int f^2(x, y) dx \right)}_{\varphi(y)} \underbrace{\left(\int g^2(x, z) dx \right)}_{\psi(z)} dy dz \right)^{1/2} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\iint h^2(y, z) dy dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint \varphi(y)\psi(z) dy dz \right)^{1/2} = \\
&= \left(\iint h^2(y, z) dy dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \varphi(y) dy \int \psi(z) dz \right)^{1/2} = \\
&= \left(\iint h^2(y, z) dy dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint f^2(x, y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint g^2(x, z) dx dz \right)^{1/2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the connection between geometrical facts and Kolmogorov complexity works in both directions.

REFERENCES

1. M. Li and P. M. B. Vitanyi, Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications, in: J. v. Leeuwen (Ed.), *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, vol. A (Algorithms and Complexity)*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1990), 187–254.