



Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica

REPORTRAPPORT

A model problem for unsaturated porous media flow with dynamic capillary pressure

C. Cuesta, J. Hulshof

Modelling, Analysis and Simulation (MAS)

MAS-R0104 March 31, 2001

Report MAS-R0104
ISSN 1386-3703

CWI
P.O. Box 94079
1090 GB Amsterdam
The Netherlands

CWI is the National Research Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science. CWI is part of the Stichting Mathematisch Centrum (SMC), the Dutch foundation for promotion of mathematics and computer science and their applications.

SMC is sponsored by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). CWI is a member of ERCIM, the European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics.

Copyright © Stichting Mathematisch Centrum
P.O. Box 94079, 1090 GB Amsterdam (NL)
Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam (NL)
Telephone +31 20 592 9333
Telefax +31 20 592 4199

A Model Problem for Unsaturated Porous Media Flow with Dynamic Capillary Pressure

C. Cuesta

CWI

P.O. Box 94079, 1090 GB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

email: carlota@cwi.nl

J. Hulshof

Mathematical Department of the Free University of Amsterdam

De Boelelaan 108, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

email: hulshof@wi.leidenuniv.nl

ABSTRACT

We consider a simplified model of vertical non-static groundwater flow, resulting in a Burgers' diffusive equation extended with a third order term with mixed derivatives in space and time. This model is motivated by previous work on the existence of travelling wave solutions on a more general model. We investigate stability of travelling wave solutions of the simplified model, for which we first obtain well-posedness results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K70, 76S05, 74H40.

Keywords and Phrases: Unsaturated flow, travelling waves, stability.

Note: Work carried out under project 'PDEs in porous media research' (MAS1.2)

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the pseudo-parabolic Burgers' equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + 2uu_x + \varepsilon^2 u_{xxt} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

with initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here ε is a positive parameter. Setting $\varepsilon = 0$ equation (1.1) reduces to the classical Burgers' equation, see e.g. [11], which, depending on u_0 has solutions exhibiting several possible large time behaviours: convergence to a selfsimilar source type solution, a rarefaction wave or a travelling wave, see [11] and [13].

After a change of notation, equation (1.1) is a special case of

$$S_t = \{S^\alpha + S^\beta S_x + \varepsilon^2 S^\alpha (S^\gamma S_t)_x\}_x, \quad (1.3)$$

in which α , β and γ are nonnegative constants. We studied (1.3) in [6] as a model equation for Darcy flow in porous media with a dynamic capillary pressure relation, the general form of the equation being

$$S_t = \{K(S) + K(S) (-p_c(S) + L(S) S_t)_x\}_x. \quad (1.4)$$

In (1.4) the unknown is the water saturation S in a vertically placed one-dimensional porous medium, $K(S)$ the conductivity, $p_c(S)$ the capillary pressure function and $L(S)$ a damping coefficient. Taking powerfunctions for these nonlinear functions of S leads to (1.3) under the assumption that S is small. We note that equation (1.4) combines conservation of mass, the law of gravity and Darcy's law with the pressure-saturation relation

$$p_c(S) = p_a - p_w + L(S) S_t, \quad (1.5)$$

where p_a and p_w denote the air and water pressures. The dynamic term with the time derivative accounts for the third order term in (1.3). This extension of the Darcy flow model is based on the approach introduced by Hassanizadeh & Gray [9] and previous experimental work done by Stauffer [16], among others, see [8] for an overview. Earlier modifications can be found in [1] and [2]. In the context of homogenization and hysteresis, see also [4], [17] and [10].

Returning to (1.3), we note that it is a nonlinear diffusion-convection equation with an additional nonlinear third order term involving two space derivatives and one time derivative. Equations with such a term are sometimes called pseudo-parabolic, see e.g. [7]. In principle this term allows to rewrite the equation as a nonlinear ordinary differential equation (ODE) in a suitable function space, which involves the solution operator of an elliptic equation in the space variable. However, in view of the degeneracies in (1.3) as $S \rightarrow 0$, it is far from clear how this has to be implemented if S is not bounded away from zero. Of course one may lift the initial data by a small parameter δ and then take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, but in the absence of a comparison principle (except in very special cases) the limit solution has not been shown to exist.

This is more than just a technical complication in view of the results of a study of the possibility of having travelling wave solutions fitting in the familiar picture of propagating fronts separating dry and wet regions. The local analysis in [12] indicates that this depends heavily on the parameters in (1.3), as is confirmed by the formal arguments in the same paper. We note that the equation studied in [12] corresponds to a horizontal medium, i.e. without gravity. Including gravity (pointing in the negative x -direction) has no effect on the issue of dry-wet fronts but it does in general allow for global travelling wave solutions connecting two saturation levels, say $S(-\infty) = \delta > 0$ and $S(+\infty) > \delta$ at respectively $x = -\infty$ and $x = +\infty$. Indeed, in [6] we showed that equation (1.3) has such travelling waves moving with speed c given by the Rankine-Hugoniot condition

$$c = \frac{S(+\infty)^\alpha - S(-\infty)^\alpha}{S(+\infty) - S(-\infty)}. \quad (1.6)$$

These travelling waves converge to a limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ only if the equation (with or without convection) allows local travelling waves with dry-wet fronts. Of the two possible types of such fronts, see [12], the limit established in [6] then selects the flattest one, consistent with the formal asymptotics in [12].

In this paper we aim for a better understanding of the effect of the third order term on the dynamics of diffusion and convection. Intuitively one expects that

this effect is more notable if the large time behaviour of solutions of the diffusion-convection equation is characterised by profiles which do not become flat (in terms of their dependence on x). This is why we restrict our study of the large time behaviour to the travelling wave case, meaning that $S(+\infty) > S(-\infty) \geq 0$. In fact we shall only consider the large time behaviour of solutions of (1.1), the simplest pseudo-parabolic equation allowing convection-driven travelling waves, with initial data satisfying

$$u_0(-\infty) = 0, \quad u_0(+\infty) = 1. \quad (1.7)$$

We will show that such solutions converge to a travelling wave solution

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x + t), \quad (1.8)$$

provided the travelling wave profile ϕ is monotone. This depends on $\varepsilon > 0$: travelling wave solutions connecting zero to one and travelling with speed one exist for all $\varepsilon > 0$, but only when $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ the profiles are monotone, see Section 3.

Our stability result is of a global character and therefore we first require well-posedness results for the initial value problem. To this end we reformulate equation (1.1) in Section 2 as

$$u_t = F_\varepsilon(u) = A_\varepsilon u + B_\varepsilon u^2, \quad (1.9)$$

where $A_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon$ are linear operators defined by

$$A_\varepsilon u = \left(I - \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)^{-1} u_{xx}; \quad B_\varepsilon u = \left(I - \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)^{-1} u_x, \quad (1.10)$$

and study local well-posedness of the ODE (1.9) in several Banach spaces, namely in $L^1 \cap L^2, L^1 \cap H^1, L^\infty, L^2$ and H^1 . Here $L^p(\mathbb{R}) = L^p$ with norm $u \rightarrow |u|_p$ and $H^1 = H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is the Sobolev space with norm $u \rightarrow \|u\| = \sqrt{|u|_2^2 + |u'|_2^2}$. We note that although formally equation (1.1) preserves the integral (conservation of mass), the map $u \rightarrow B_\varepsilon u^2$ is not well defined on L^1 , hence the choice of $L^1 \cap L^2$ with norm $u \rightarrow |u|_{1,2} = |u|_1 + |u|_2$, and $L^1 \cap H^1$ with norm $u \rightarrow \|u\|_{1,2} = |u|_1 + \|u\|$.

Since travelling wave solutions do not belong to L^p if $1 \leq p < \infty$, we also consider (1.1) in affine spaces of the form $H + X$, where H is any smooth function such that $H(-\infty) = 0, H(+\infty) = 1$. It is no restriction to assume that H' is nonnegative and compactly supported. In Section 4 we obtain local well-posedness in $H + X$ for $X = L^2, L^1 \cap L^2, H^1, L^1 \cap H^1$.

In Section 5 we establish mass conservation: if u_1 and u_2 are solutions of (1.1) with $u_1 - u_2$ in $L^1 \cap L^2$ then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)) dx = 0 \text{ for all } t.$$

This allows us to follow [13] by introducing

$$v(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^x (u(s, t) - \phi(s + t)) ds. \quad (1.11)$$

The function v is well defined if u is in $H + L^1$. For solutions u with values in $H + X, X = L^1 \cap L^2$, shifting either ϕ or u_0 we may restrict attention to solutions v of

$$v_t = v_{xx} + v_x^2 + 2v_x \phi + \varepsilon^2 v_{xxt}, \quad (1.12)$$

with $v(+\infty) = 0$. Equation (1.12) is analysed in Section 6. Again we establish local well-posedness in several natural spaces, in particular in H^1 and $H^2 = \{v \in L^2; v', v'' \in L^2\}$. Using the identities

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_x^2) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1-v)v_x^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' v^2 dx, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_x^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_{xx}^2) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{xx}^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' v_x^2 dx, \quad (1.14)$$

we obtain a global well-posedness result for solutions of (1.12) in H^2 . Finally, to formulate and prove stability results we need $\phi' \geq 0$ and $v(x, 0)$ sufficiently small in H^1 guaranteeing $v < 1$. Using (1.13) this gives convergence of the integral $\int_0^\infty \|v(\cdot, t)\|^2 dt$ and thereby of $\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |u(x, t) - \phi(x+t)|^2 dx dt$. If in addition $v(x, 0)$ is in H^2 we adapt methods from in [14] showing that $v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ in H^2 whence $\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi(\cdot + t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

A natural question is of course whether the monotonicity of ϕ is essential. In the context of Korteweg-de Vries type of equations there are examples where a switch from monotone to oscillatory behaviour of the travelling wave leads to instability, but this depends on the exponent in the nonlinearity, see [15].

We conclude this introduction with the observation that we have avoided a transformation of the problem to travelling wave variables. Such a change is common in the study of stability properties of travelling wave solutions of Burgers' and other "normal" equations. Here it would lead to an equation with yet another third order term involving three space derivatives, which cannot be seen as an ODE in a function space.

2 Local well-posedness in Banach spaces

In this section we show that the initial value problem for the ODE (1.9), which as we recall reads

$$u_t = F_\varepsilon(u) = A_\varepsilon u + B_\varepsilon u^2,$$

is locally well-posed in the Banach spaces $L^1 \cap L^2$, $L^1 \cap H^1$, L^∞ , L^2 and H^1 . The operators A_ε and B_ε may be rewritten as

$$A_\varepsilon u = (I - \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2})^{-1} u_{xx} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left((I - \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2})^{-1} - I \right) u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (g_\varepsilon * u - u), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$B_\varepsilon u = (I - \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2})^{-1} u_x = g_\varepsilon * u_x, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$g_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}} \quad (2.3)$$

is the Green's function for the problem

$$-\varepsilon^2 w'' + w = f. \quad (2.4)$$

That is to say,

$$w(x) = (G_\varepsilon f)(x) = (g_\varepsilon * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\varepsilon(x-y)f(y)dy \quad (2.5)$$

is the solution of (2.4). Since for any $u \in L^p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the derivative $(g_\varepsilon * u)_x$ is well defined and $(g_\varepsilon * u)_x = g'_\varepsilon * u$ we have

$$B_\varepsilon u(x) = (g'_\varepsilon * u)(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\varepsilon(x-y) \int_x^y u(s)dsdy \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let X denote any of the Banach spaces $L^1 \cap L^2$, $L^1 \cap H^1$, L^∞ , L^2 and H^1 . Then for all $u_0 \in X$ there exists $T > 0$ such that there exists a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ of (1.9).*

Theorem 2.1 will follow from Picard's Theorem: if X is a Banach space and $F : X \rightarrow X$ is Lipschitz continuous in a neighbourhood of $u_0 \in X$, then there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ of $u_t = F(u)$ with $u(0) = u_0$. We shall show that F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous on each of the Banach spaces listed above. This is done within the next five lemmas and is based on the following properties of g_ε :

$$|g_\varepsilon|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\varepsilon(y)dy = 1, \quad |g_\varepsilon|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g_\varepsilon(y))^2 dy = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|g'_\varepsilon|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_\varepsilon(y)|dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad |g'_\varepsilon|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g'_\varepsilon(y))^2 dy = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^3}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\varepsilon(y)|y|dy = \varepsilon, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g'_\varepsilon(y)dy = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Lemma 2.2 *The map F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in L^∞ .*

Proof. Since $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(G_\varepsilon - I)$ it follows from (2.7) that A_ε is a bounded linear operator in L^∞ with $\|A_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^\infty)} \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}$. Thus it is (uniformly) Lipschitz continuous on L^∞ . By (2.6) and (2.9) we have

$$|(B_\varepsilon u)(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_\varepsilon(x-y) |x-y| |u|_\infty dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |u|_\infty,$$

which implies that the operator B_ε is a bounded linear operator in L^∞ . The map $u \rightarrow u^2$ clearly maps L^∞ into L^∞ , and is locally Lipschitz continuous: if $u_1, u_2 \in L^\infty$ are such that $\|u_i\|_\infty \leq R$, for some $R > 0$, then

$$|u_1^2 - u_2^2|_\infty = |(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2)|_\infty \leq 2R|u_1 - u_2|_\infty.$$

□

Lemma 2.3 *The map F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in L^2 .*

Proof. Since A_ε is the Hille-Yosida approximation of the operator $A = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, which is maximal monotone in the Hilbert space L^2 , A_ε is bounded linear operator in L^2 with $\|A_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^2)} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$, see [5]. In view of $B_\varepsilon u = g'_\varepsilon * u$, the inequality $|f * g|_p \leq |f|_p |g|_1$ and (2.8), the linear operator B_ε is bounded in L^1 and bounded as an operator from L^1 to L^2 , with

$$\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1, L^2)} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_\varepsilon(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (2.10)$$

For $u_1, u_2 \in L^2$ with $|u_i|_2 \leq R$ we have

$$|B_\varepsilon(u_1^2 - u_2^2)|_2 \leq \|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1, L^2)} |(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2)|_1 \leq \frac{R}{\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} |u_1 - u_2|_2. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.4 *The map F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in H^1 .*

Proof. Since $A = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ is maximal monotone on the Hilbert space H^1 , its Hille-Yosida approximation A_ε is a bounded linear operator in H^1 with $\|A_\varepsilon\|_{B(H^1)} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$. For u_1, u_2 in H^1 with $\|u_i\| \leq R$ we now have

$$|B_\varepsilon(u_1^2 - u_2^2)|_2 \leq \frac{R}{2\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|u_1 - u_2\|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_\varepsilon(u_1^2 - u_2^2))_x|_2 &\leq \|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1, L^2)} |((u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2))_x|_1 \leq \\ &\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1, L^2)} (|(u_1 + u_2)_x|_2 |u_1 - u_2|_2 + |u_1 + u_2|_2 |(u_1 - u_2)_x|_2) \leq \\ &\frac{R}{\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|u_1 - u_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \rightarrow B_\varepsilon u^2$ is locally Lipschitz continuous. \square

Lemma 2.5 *The map F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in $L^1 \cap L^2$.*

Proof. The inequality $|g_\varepsilon * u|_p \leq |g_\varepsilon|_1 |u|_p$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and (2.7) imply that A_ε is a bounded linear operator in L^1 with $\|A_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1)} \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}$. Consequently A_ε is also bounded in $L^1 \cap L^2$ with $\|A_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1 \cap L^2)} \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon^2}$. Now B_ε is a bounded linear operator in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_\varepsilon(y)| dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad (2.11)$$

so by (2.11) and (2.10) $u \rightarrow B_\varepsilon u^2$ maps $L^1 \cap L^2$ to itself. Let $u_1, u_2 \in L^1 \cap L^2$ with $|u_i|_{1,2} \leq R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\varepsilon(u_1^2 - u_2^2)|_{1,2} &\leq \|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1, L^2)} |(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2)|_1 + \\ &\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1)} |(u_1 + u_2)(u_1 - u_2)|_1 \leq \\ 2R \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) |u_1 - u_2|_2 &\leq 2R \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) |u_1 - u_2|_{1,2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.6 *The map F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in $L^1 \cap H^1$.*

Proof. Again A_ε is a bounded linear operator on $L^1 \cap H^1$ with $\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^1 \cap H^1)} \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon^2}$. As in Lemma 2.5 one has that B_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous in $L^1 \cap H^1$ with Lipschitz constant $\frac{R}{\varepsilon^2}$ on the ball with radius R in $L^1 \cap H^1$. \square

3 Travelling waves

The analysis of travelling wave solutions of (1.1) is similar to the analysis of travelling wave solutions of (1.3) in [6]. Substituting

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x + ct) \quad (3.1)$$

in (1.1), we have for $\phi(x)$, after an integration in x , that

$$c(\phi(x) - \phi(-\infty)) = \phi'(x) + c(\phi(x)^2 - \phi(-\infty)^2) + \varepsilon^2 c \phi''(x), \quad (3.2)$$

so that

$$c = \frac{\phi(\infty)^2 - \phi(-\infty)^2}{\phi(\infty) - \phi(-\infty)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Restricting attention to $\phi(-\infty) = 0$ and $\phi(\infty) = 1$ we have $c = 1$ and (3.2) can be written as a Lienard type system of two equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \phi' = \psi - \phi \\ \psi' = \phi(1 - \phi) \end{cases}$$

The travelling wave solutions connecting $\phi(-\infty) = 0$ to $\phi(\infty) = 1$ are unique up to translation and correspond to a unique orbit connecting the saddle $(0, 0)$ to the sink $(1, 1)$. Note that $(0, 0)$ has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\varepsilon^2}\right) < 0, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\varepsilon^2}\right) > 0 \quad (3.4)$$

and $(1, 1)$ has eigenvalues

$$\mu_1 = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon^2}), \quad \mu_2 = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon^2}), \quad \mu_2 < \mu_1 < 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The unique orbit coming out of $(0, 0)$ into the first quadrant connects to $(1, 1)$. This follows from arguments very similar to the arguments in [6] and relies in particular on the negativity of the divergence of the vector field.

If $\varepsilon^2 < \frac{1}{4}$ the eigenvalues at $(1, 1)$ are negative: $(1, -\varepsilon^2 \mu_2)$ is an eigenvector of the slow eigenvalue μ_1 and $(1, -\varepsilon^2 \mu_1)$ is an eigenvector of the fast eigenvalue μ_2 . The set $\{\phi > 0, 0 < \psi < -\varepsilon^2 \mu_2 \phi + (1 + \varepsilon^3 \mu_2)\}$, contained in the region where $\phi' > 0$, is then invariant and contains the connecting orbit. Therefore ϕ is monotone if $\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. In this case the invariant region gives an explicit upper bound for ϕ' , namely

$$\phi' = \frac{\psi - \phi}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2 \mu_2}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\varepsilon^2}}{2\varepsilon^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Theorem 3.1 *Equation (1.1) has a travelling wave solution connecting $u = 0$ in $x = -\infty$ to $u = 1$ in $x = \infty$. This solution is unique up to translation and of the form $u(x, t) = \phi(x + t)$. If $\varepsilon^2 < \frac{1}{4}$ the profile ϕ is monotone increasing and its derivative is bounded by (3.6).*

4 Local well-posedness in affine Banach spaces

In this section we show that the initial value problem for the ODE (1.9) is locally well-posed in the affine Banach spaces $Y = \Psi + X$, $X = L^1 \cap L^2, L^1 \cap H^1, L^2, H^1$. We recall that Ψ is a smooth function with $\Psi(-\infty) = 0$, $\Psi(\infty) = 1$ and Ψ' nonnegative and compactly supported. We say that u is a solution of (1.9) in $C^1([0, T]; Y)$ if $\bar{u} = u - \Psi$ is a solution in $C^1([0, T]; X)$ of the equation

$$\bar{u}_t = F_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) + 2B_\varepsilon(\Psi\bar{u}) + F_\varepsilon(\Psi). \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1 *Let $Y = \Psi + X$, where X is any of the spaces $L^1 \cap L^2, L^1 \cap H^1, L^2, H^1$. Then for all $u_0 \in Y$ there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution of problem (1.1) $u \in C^1([0, T]; Y)$.*

Proof. If we show that the operator

$$\bar{u} \rightarrow F_\varepsilon(\bar{u}) + 2B_\varepsilon(\Psi\bar{u}) + F_\varepsilon(\Psi)$$

is locally Lipschitz from X to X , the theorem follows again from Picard's theorem. From Section 2 we know that $\bar{u} \rightarrow F_\varepsilon(\bar{u})$ is locally Lipschitz in X for each of the choices of X . We only need to prove that $F_\varepsilon(\Psi) \in X$ and that the linear map $\bar{u} \rightarrow 2B_\varepsilon(\Psi\bar{u})$ is a bounded operator in X .

Clearly $F_\varepsilon(\Psi) = A_\varepsilon\Psi + B_\varepsilon\Psi^2 = G_\varepsilon\Psi'' + G_\varepsilon(\Psi^2)'$, $F_\varepsilon(\Psi)$ is in X for any of the choices of X , because Ψ'' and $(\Psi^2)'$ are compactly supported (smooth) functions. As for $\bar{u} \rightarrow 2B_\varepsilon(\Psi\bar{u})$, we saw in (2.11) that B_ε is a bounded linear operator in L^1 . It is also bounded in L^2 and H^1 with

$$\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(L^2)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_\varepsilon(y)| dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\|B_\varepsilon\|_{B(H^1)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_\varepsilon(y)| dy = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.3)$$

respectively. Thus B_ε is bounded in $L^1 \cap L^2$ and in $L^1 \cap H^1$. Finally $|\Psi|_\infty = 1$, $|\Psi'|_\infty < \infty$,

$$|\Psi\bar{u}|_2 \leq |\bar{u}|_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi\bar{u}\| &\leq |\Psi\bar{u}|_2 + |\Psi\bar{u}_x|_2 + |\Psi'\bar{u}|_2 \leq \\ &|\bar{u}|_2 + |\bar{u}_x|_2 + |\Psi'|_\infty |\bar{u}|_2 \leq \|\bar{u}\|_{H^1} (1 + |\Psi'|_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3) with the above estimates we get that $\bar{u} \rightarrow 2B_\varepsilon(\Psi\bar{u})$ is a bounded linear operator on each X . This completes the proof. \square

5 Conservation of mass

In this section we prove that equation (1.1) preserves the integral if we consider solutions in $\Psi + L^1 \cap L^2$. Note that unlike in the case of the Burgers' equation, this is not the same as being contracting in L^1 . Again this is due to the absence of a comparison principle.

Proposition 5.1 *Let u_1, u_2 be two solutions of equation (1.9) in $C^1([0, T]; \Psi + L^1 \cap L^2)$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_1(x, 0) - u_2(x, 0)) dx \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Consider the composite map

$$\mathcal{F} : t \in [0, T] \rightarrow u(\cdot, t) \in L^1 \cap L^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) dx \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $u = u_1 - u_2$. Since by definition $u \in C^1([0, T]; L^1 \cap L^2)$, we have $\mathcal{F} \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. The chain rule implies that

$$\mathcal{F}'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_\varepsilon(u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon(u_1^2(x, t) - u_2^2(x, t)) dx. \quad (5.2)$$

We claim that both terms in (5.2) are zero for all $t \in [0, T]$.

To see that the first term is zero, we recall that $A_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(G_\varepsilon - I)$ and note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\varepsilon u = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u$ for all $u \in L^1$. This is immediate from the definition of G_ε as convolution with the Green's function for (2.4): if $f \in L^1$ then both w and w' are in L^1 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f$.

Before proving $\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon(u_1^2(x, t) - u_2^2(x, t)) dx = 0$ we observe that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon(u_1^2(x, t) - u_2^2(x, t)) dx$ is well-defined. If $u_i = \bar{u}_i + \Psi$, $i = 1, 2$ then

$$u_1^2 - u_2^2 = \bar{u}_1^2 - \bar{u}_2^2 + 2\Psi(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2).$$

Since $\bar{u}_1^2 - \bar{u}_2^2 \in L^1$ and $2\Psi(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2) \in L^1 \cap L^2$ we have $u_1^2 - u_2^2 \in L^1$. In Lemma 2.5 (2.11) we saw that $B_\varepsilon \in B(L^1)$ and therefore $\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon(u_1^2(x, t) - u_2^2(x, t)) dx$ is well defined.

Now let $w \in L^1$ and consider the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon w(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g'_\varepsilon(x - y) w(y) dy \right) dx.$$

Applying Fubini's theorem to $g'_\varepsilon(x - y) w(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ we obtain, in view of (2.9),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_\varepsilon w(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g'_\varepsilon(x - y) dx \right) dy = 0,$$

which in particular holds for $w = u_1^2(\cdot, t) - u_2^2(\cdot, t) \in L^1$. Thus also the second term in (5.2) is zero. \square

6 Integrated equation

Now that we have conservation of the integral we may adapt ideas from [13]. Rather than solving (1.1) for the unknown $u(x, t)$ we consider an equation for the unknown $v(x, t)$, which is formally defined as

$$v(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^x (u(s, t) - \phi(s + t)) ds. \quad (6.1)$$

Thus if u is a solution of (1.1) in $C^1([0, T]; \Psi + L^1 \cap L^2)$, then by Proposition 5.1

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(s, t) - \phi(s + t)) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(s, 0) - \phi(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (6.2)$$

which, without loss of generality, we take equal to zero, just by shifting ϕ . This will allow us to work with function spaces for v having $v(\pm\infty, t) = 0$ in some weak or strong sense. The equation for $v(x, t)$ is obtained by formally integrating (1.1). It reads

$$v_t = v_{xx} + v_x^2 + 2v_x\phi + \varepsilon^2 v_{xxt}, \quad (6.3)$$

and may be rewritten as an ODE in similar fashion as equation (1.1). This yields

$$v_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} v = G_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} v + v_x^2 + 2v_x\phi \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Proposition 6.1 *Let u be a solution in $C^1([0, T]; \Psi + L^1 \cap L^2)$. The v defined by (6.1) is a solution of (6.4) defined on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. We rewrite equation (1.9) as

$$u_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u = G_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u + u^2 \right)_x. \quad (6.5)$$

Subtracting from (6.5) the same equation for $\phi(x + t)$ we arrive at

$$z_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} z = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} G_\varepsilon z + G_\varepsilon (z^2 + 2z\phi)_x. \quad (6.6)$$

for $z(x, t) = u(x, t) - \phi(x + t)$. We define the operator $J : L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ by

$$(Jf)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f, \quad (6.7)$$

and apply J to (6.6). Then

$$(Jz)_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} Jz = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} JG_\varepsilon z + JG_\varepsilon (z^2 + 2z\phi)_x,$$

and $v = Jz$ will satisfy (6.4) if $JG_\varepsilon f = G_\varepsilon Jf$ and $JG_\varepsilon f_x = G_\varepsilon f$ for all $f \in L^1$.

We note that J commutes with G_ε . Indeed, if $f \in L^1$, then $w = G_\varepsilon f$ has w, w' and w'' in L^1 and satisfies the equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 w'' + w = f.$$

Thus $Jw = JG_\varepsilon f$ satisfies

$$-\varepsilon^2 (Jw)'' + Jw = Jf,$$

whence $G_\varepsilon Jf = JG_\varepsilon f$. Finally $JG_\varepsilon f_x = G_\varepsilon f$ for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ because

$$JG_\varepsilon f_x = J(g'_\varepsilon * f) = (Jg'_\varepsilon) * f = G_\varepsilon f,$$

where, if we write the integrals explicitly, we have used Fubini's theorem applied to $(s, y) \rightarrow g'_\varepsilon(s - y)f(y)$ on $(-\infty, x) \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Remark 6.2 Note that if $u \in L^1 \cap H^1$ we only need G_ε commuting with J in the argument above. Applying J to (6.6) gives

$$(J(u - \phi))_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} J(u - \phi) = JG_\varepsilon(u - \phi) + JG_\varepsilon(u^2 - \phi^2)_x.$$

Since $(u^2 - \phi^2)_x \in L^1$ this implies

$$v_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} v = G_\varepsilon v + G_\varepsilon(u^2 - \phi^2),$$

and the result follows because $u^2 - \phi^2 = (u - \phi)(u + \phi) = v_x^2 + 2\phi v_x$.

7 Local well-posedness of the integrated equation

By Proposition 6.1 a solution u of (1.9) in $C^1([0, T]; \Psi + L^1 \cap L^2)$ defines a solution v of (6.4) in the Banach space $X = \{v \in L^\infty : v_x \in L^1 \cap L^2\}$ with norm $\|v\|_X = |v|_\infty + |v_x|_{1,2}$. In this section we give a direct proof of local well-posedness of (6.4) in a number of Banach spaces.

Proposition 7.1 *The initial value problem for equation (6.4) is well-posed in each of the following Banach spaces.*

- (i) $X = \{v \in L^\infty, v_x \in L^1 \cap L^2\}$ with norm $|v|_\infty + |v_x|_{1,2}$.
- (ii) $X = \{v \in L^\infty, v_x \in L^2\}$ with norm $|v|_\infty + |v_x|_2$.
- (iii) $X = H^1$ with norm $|v|_2 + |v_x|_2$.
- (iv) $X = \{v \in L^2, v_x \in L^1 \cap L^2\}$ with norm $|v|_2 + |v_x|_{1,2}$.

For each of these spaces it is also well-posed in $X_1 = \{v \in X, v_{xx} \in L^2\}$ with norm $\|v\|_{X_1} = \|v\|_X + |v_{xx}|_2$.

Proof. We rewrite the equation (6.4) as

$$v_t = A_\varepsilon v + G_\varepsilon(v_x^2 + 2\phi v_x).$$

We first observe that the linear operator A_ε is bounded in X and in X_1 . This follows from $(A_\varepsilon v)_x = A_\varepsilon v_x$ and $(A_\varepsilon v)_{xx} = A_\varepsilon v_{xx}$ and the boundedness of A_ε on L^∞ , L^1 and L^2 , see Section 2.

Next we prove that the operator $v \rightarrow G_\varepsilon(v_x \phi)$ is bounded in X and in X_1 . It is bounded on L^2 and L^∞ because for all $v \in L^p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$) we have, writing $\phi v_x = (\phi v)_x - \phi_x v$,

$$|G_\varepsilon(\phi v_x)|_p \leq |g'_\varepsilon * (\phi v)|_p + |g_\varepsilon * (\phi_x v)|_p \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\phi v|_p + |\phi_x v|_p \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\phi|_\infty + |\phi'_x|_\infty\right) |v|_p. \quad (7.1)$$

Moreover,

$$|(G_\varepsilon(\phi v_x))_x|_p = |g'_\varepsilon * (\phi v_x)|_p \leq |g'_\varepsilon|_1 |\phi v_x|_p \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\phi|_\infty |v_x|_p. \quad (7.2)$$

Thus the operator $v \rightarrow G_\varepsilon(v_x\phi)$ is bounded in X for each of the choices of X . Finally if $v_x \in L^2$ and $v_{xx} \in L^2$, then

$$|(G_\varepsilon(\phi v_x))_{xx}|_2 \leq |g'_\varepsilon * (\phi v_{xx})|_2 + |g'_\varepsilon * (\phi_x v_x)|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(|\phi|_\infty |v_{xx}|_2 + |\phi'|_\infty |v_x|_2), \quad (7.3)$$

so $v \rightarrow G_\varepsilon(v_x\phi)$ is also bounded in each X_1 .

It remains to show that the map $v \rightarrow G_\varepsilon v_x^2$ is locally Lipschitz continuous in X and in X_1 . It is well defined on X because with $f = v_x^2 \in L^1$ the solution $w = G_\varepsilon v_x^2$ of (2.4) has $w, w_x \in L^2$ and $w, w_{xx} \in L^1$. If in addition $v_{xx} \in L^2$, then $f_x = (v_x^2)_x = 2v_x v_{xx} \in L^1$, so that w_x has the same properties as just formulated for w and in particular $w_{xx} \in L^2$. The local Lipschitz continuity in each X follows from the estimates

$$|G_\varepsilon((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2)|_p \leq |g_\varepsilon|_p |(v_1 + v_2)_x|_2 |(v_1 - v_2)_x|_2,$$

which we use for $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(G_\varepsilon((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2))_x|_1 &= |g'_\varepsilon * ((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2)|_1 = |B_\varepsilon((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2)|_1 \leq \\ &|B_\varepsilon|_{B(L^1)} |(v_1 + v_2)_x|_2 |(v_1 - v_2)_x|_2. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(G_\varepsilon((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2))_x|_2 &= |g'_\varepsilon * ((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2)|_2 = |B_\varepsilon((v_1)_x^2 - (v_2)_x^2)|_2 \leq \\ &|B_\varepsilon|_{B(L^1, L^2)} |(v_1 + v_2)_x|_2 |(v_1 - v_2)_x|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The local Lipschitz continuity in each X_1 , i.e. the estimate for the L^2 -norm of the difference of the second order derivatives, is left to the reader. \square

As long as $v_x \in L^2$ the operator $v \rightarrow G_\varepsilon v_x^2$ is Lipschitz continuous in X . Thus if $v_x \in L^2$ for all $t > 0$ then the solution of (6.4) for $v_0 \in X$ exists globally. As for $v_0 \in X_1$, v exists globally if $v_x \in L^2$ and $v_{xx} \in L^2$ for all $t > 0$.

8 Global existence and stability

In this section we establish two equalities for solutions of the integrated equation (6.4) and deduce from them global existence for small initial data and stability aspects of the zero solution of equation (6.4). The first comes from testing the equation with v .

Lemma 8.1 *Any solution v of equation (6.4) in $C^1([0, T]; H^1)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_x^2) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((1-v)v_x^2 + \phi_x v^2). \quad (8.1)$$

Proof. We write equation (6.4) as

$$v_t - A_\varepsilon v = G_\varepsilon (v_x^2 + 2v_x\phi).$$

Let $w = v_t - A_\varepsilon v$ and $f = v_x^2 + 2v_x\phi$. Then by assumption f is in $L^1 + L^2$ and thus $w \in H^1$ is the solution of (2.4), i.e.

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \varphi_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \varphi \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H^1.$$

Taking $\varphi = v$ and observing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v v_x^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x v^2, \quad \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\varepsilon v)_x v_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\varepsilon v) v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x^2,$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v v_t + \varepsilon^2 v_x v_{xt}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((1-v)v_x^2 + \phi_x v^2).$$

This equality is valid for each $t \in [0, T]$. \square

The second equality is derived testing with v_x .

Lemma 8.2 *For any choice of X_1 in Proposition 7.1 any solution v of equation (6.4) in $C^1([0, T]; X_1)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_x^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_{xx}^2) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{xx}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x v_x^2 \quad (8.2)$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 8.1 above. Differentiating with respect to x we have with the same notation that $f_x = v_{xx}v_x + 2\phi v_{xx} + 2\phi_x v$ is in $L^1 + L^2$, $w_x = G_\varepsilon f_x$ is in H^1 , so that

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{xx} \varphi_x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_x \varphi \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H^1.$$

Taking $\varphi = v_x$ and observing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_x v_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x v_x^2, \quad \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\varepsilon v)_{xx} v_{xx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\varepsilon v)_x v_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{xx}^2,$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_x v_{xt} + \varepsilon^2 v_{xx} v_{xxt}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_{xx}^2 + \phi_x v_x^2).$$

This equality is again valid for each $t \in [0, T]$. \square

Since the L^∞ -norm is controlled by the H^1 -norm, see (8.6) below, the first equality tells us that a solution in H^1 can be continued as long as $|v_x(\cdot)|_2$ remains bounded. The second equality shows that this is also the criterion for solutions in X_1 to be continued. In terms of u the condition for global existence is therefore that $|u(\cdot, t) - \phi(\cdot + t)|_2$ does not blow up in finite time. Next we show that this can be assured by a smallness condition on the initial data. It will be convenient to use the norm

$$\|v\|_\varepsilon = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_x^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is equivalent to the standard H^1 -norm:

$$\|v\|_\varepsilon \leq \|v\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (8.3)$$

Estimate (8.1) implies stability of the null solution in H^1 , provided the travelling wave profile ϕ is monotone.

Proposition 8.3 *Let $\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such for every initial value $v_0 \in H^1$ with $\|v_0\|_\varepsilon < \delta$ there exists a unique solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow H^1$ with $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_\varepsilon$ decreasing for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover*

$$\int_0^\infty |v_x(\cdot, t)|_2^2 dt < \infty, \quad (8.4)$$

whence also

$$\int_0^\infty |v(\cdot, t)|_\infty^4 dt < \infty, \quad (8.5)$$

This result is better than stability but slightly weaker than asymptotic stability: we do not get that $v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ in H^1 .

Proof. In view of $\phi_x \geq 0$ and the estimate

$$|v^2|_\infty \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2vv_x| \leq 2|v|_2|v_x|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|v|_2^2 + \varepsilon|v_x|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_\varepsilon^2, \quad (8.6)$$

the assertion follows immediately from (8.1) if we choose

$$\delta^2 = \varepsilon.$$

In particular the solution has $1 - v$ bounded away from zero by a positive constant, C , so that upon integrating (8.1) we find the first estimate:

$$C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x^2 < \frac{1}{2} \|v_0\|_\varepsilon^2.$$

Combining both estimates with the boundedness of $|v(\cdot, t)|_2$ gives the second estimate in the theorem. \square

Next we obtain an asymptotic stability result using the stronger norms with $v_{xx} \in L^2$ and a combination of (8.1) and (8.2).

Proposition 8.4 *Let $\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\max \phi'}$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such for every initial value $v_0 \in H^2$ with $\|v_0\|_\varepsilon < \delta$ (no assumption on the size of $|v_0''|_2$) there exists a unique solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow H^2$ with not only*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_x^2),$$

but also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + (\varepsilon^2 + \alpha)v_x^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha v_{xx}^2)$$

decreasing for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, $t \rightarrow \|v_x(\cdot, t)\|_\varepsilon$ is square integrable and converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, $|v(\cdot, t)|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $|v_x(\cdot, t)|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Combining (8.1) and (8.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v^2 + (\varepsilon^2 + \alpha)v_x^2 + \varepsilon^2 \alpha v_{xx}^2) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x v^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - v - \alpha \phi_x) v_x^2 - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{xx}^2. \quad (8.7)$$

As in the proof of Proposition 8.3, the first assertion follows immediately from (8.7) if we choose

$$\delta^2 = (1 - \alpha \max \phi') \varepsilon.$$

In particular the solution has $1 - v - \alpha \phi_x$ bounded away from zero by a positive constant. Note that Proposition 8.3 applies here too.

To establish the asymptotic behaviour we note that we now have two decreasing functions. Taking the difference it follows that the function

$$t \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_x(x, t)^2 + \varepsilon^2 v_{xx}(x, t)^2) dx$$

has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Integrating (8.7) over $(0, \infty)$ on t , it is also integrable over $(0, \infty)$ and thus the limit is zero. This proves the statement about v_x . The remaining assertion follows again using (8.6). \square

We list the consequences that Proposition 8.3 and Proposition 8.4 have for solutions of (1.1).

Theorem 8.5 *Let $\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and let $u_0 \in \Psi + L^1 \cap L^2$ be such that $v_0 \in L^2$, where $v_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x (u(s, t) - \phi(s+t)) ds$. If v_0 is sufficiently small the solution exists globally and $t \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x, t) - \phi(x+t)|^2 dx$ is both integrable and bounded on $[0, \infty)$. If in addition $v_0 \in H^2$ is sufficiently small, the solution has $u(\cdot, t) - \phi(\cdot + t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in H^1 and therefore also in L^∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Without any restriction on ε and the norm of v_0 the solution is global if $v_0 \in H^2$.*

References

- [1] BARENBLATT G. I., GARCÍA-AZORERO J., DE PABLO A. & VÁZQUEZ J. L. (1997) Mathematical Model of the Non-Equilibrium Water-Oil Displacement in Porous Strata. *Applicable Analysis*, **65**: 19–45.
- [2] BARENBLATT G. I., ENTOV V. M. & RYZHIK V. M. (1990) *Theory of fluid flow through natural rocks*. Dordrecht-Boston-London. Kluwer.
- [3] BEAR J. (1979) *Hydraulics of groundwater*. New York. McGraw-Hill: Series in Water Resources and Environmental Engineering.
- [4] BOURGEAT A. & PANFILOV M. (1998) Effective two-phase flow through highly heterogeneous porous media: Capillary nonequilibrium effects. *Computational Geosciences*, **2**: 191–215.
- [5] H. BREZIS (1983) *Analyse fonctionnelle : theorie et applications*, Masson.
- [6] C. CUESTA, C.J. VAN DUIJN & J. HULSHOF (2000) Infiltration in porous media with dynamic capillary pressure: travelling waves, *Euro. Journal of Applied Math.*, **11**, 381–397.
- [7] DiBENEDETTO E. & PIERRE M. (1981) On the maximum principle for pseudoparabolic equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **30**: 821–854.
- [8] HASSANIZADEH S. M. (May 1997) Dynamic effects in the capillary pressure saturation relationship. *Proceedings of 4th international conference on Civil Engineering*, Vol4: *Water Resources and envirom. eng.*, 141–149, Sharif U. of Technology. Tehran.

- [9] HASSANIZADEH S. M. & GRAY W. G. (1993) Thermodynamic basis of capillary pressure in porous media. *Water Resources Research*, **29**: 3389–3405.
- [10] HASSANIZADEH, S.M., R.J. SCHOTTING & A. YU BELIAEV (25-29 June 2000) A New Capillary Pressure-Saturation Relationship Including Hysteresis and Dynamic Effects, *Proceedings of the XIII International Conference on Computational Methods in Water Resources*, **pg**: 245-251, Calgary, Canada, Bentley et.al (eds), Balkema Rotterdam.
- [11] E. HOPF (1950) The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **3**: 201-230
- [12] HULSHOF J. & KING J. R. (1998) Analysis of a Darcy flow model with a dynamic pressure saturation relation. *SIAM, Journal of Applied Math.*, **59(1)**: 318–346.
- [13] A.M. IL'IN & O.A. OLEINIK (1964) Behaviour of the solutions of the Cauchy problem for certain quasilinear equations for unbounded increase of the time, *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations*, **Ser. 2,42**: 19-23.
- [14] R.L. PEGO (1985) Remarks on the stability of shock profiles for conservation laws with dissipation, *Trans. amer. Math Soc.*, **291**: 353-361.
- [15] R.L. PEGO, P. SMERKA & M.I WEINSTEIN (1993) Oscillatory instability of travelling waves for Kdv-Burgers equation *Physica D*, **67**: 45-65, Noth-Holland.
- [16] F. STAUFFER (Aug. 29 - Sep. 1, 1978) Time dependence of the relations between capillary pressure, water content and conductivity during drainage of porous media, *LAHR Symp. on Scale Effects in Porous Media*, Thessaloniki, Greece.
- [17] A. VISINTIN (1994) *Differential models of hysteresis*, Springer, New York.