Electron. Commun. Probab. **28** (2023), article no. 62, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1214/23-ECP567 ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

Scale-free graphs with many edges

Clara Stegehuis^{*} Bert Zwart[†]

Abstract

We develop tail estimates for the number of edges in a Chung-Lu random graph with regularly varying weight distribution. Our results show that the most likely way to have an unusually large number of edges is through the presence of one or more hubs, i.e. vertices with degree of order n.

Keywords: random graphs; power law; large deviations.MSC2020 subject classifications: 05C80; 60F10.Submitted to ECP on December 12, 2022, final version accepted on December 2, 2023.

1 Introduction and main results

We analyze a sequence of random graphs introduced by [5, 13] which is constructed as follows. Let n be the number of vertices and let $X_i, i \ge 1$, be an i.i.d. sequence of non-negative random variables with mean μ and a right tail which is regularly varying with index $\alpha > 1$:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > x) = L(x)x^{-\alpha},\tag{1.1}$$

for x > 0, with $L(yx)/L(x) \to 1$ for y > 0 as $x \to \infty$. X_i can be interpreted as a weight for vertex *i*, and we denote $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$. A vertex with a high weight tends to have more edges: the probability p_{ij} that an edge is present between vertices *i* and *j* equals

$$p_{ij} = p_{ij}^n(X_i, X_j) := \min\left\{\frac{X_i X_j}{\mu n}, 1\right\}.$$
 (1.2)

Given i.i.d. uniform [0,1] random variables $U_{ij}, 1 \le i < j \le n$, we define the total number of edges E_n in the graph as

$$E_n := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n}^n \mathbb{1}(U_{ij} \le \min\{X_i X_j / (\mu n), 1\}),$$
(1.3)

where 1 denotes the indicator function. The mean of E_n grows as $\mu n/2$. The specific purpose of this study is to investigate the probability that E_n has significantly more edges than usual, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)$$

for some fixed a > 0. Our broader aim is to contribute to a better understanding of largedeviations properties of random graphs with power-law degrees. In the past decade

^{*}University of Twente, The Netherlands. E-mail: c.stegehuis@utwente.nl

[†]Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, The Netherlands. E-mail: bert.zwart@cwi.nl

there has been increased activity in establishing large deviations for random graphs. There now exist various large-deviations results for dense graphs and sparse graphs with light-tailed degrees [6, 9, 10, 14, 23], which do not cover scale-free graphs. The typical behavior of scale-free graphs is subject to intense research activity [17, 18], while their large-deviations analysis is so far restricted to the Pagerank functional [12, 24] or the cluster sizes for critical random graphs [20].

To describe our main results, we introduce additional notation. Denote the mean M_n of E_n , conditional on the weights X_1, \ldots, X_n by

$$M_n := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \min\{X_i X_j / (\mu n), 1\},$$
(1.4)

and set $S_n = \mu n M_n$, i.e.

$$S_n := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \min\{X_i X_j, \mu n\}.$$
(1.5)

We now give a description of our main results. A key parameter is

$$k(a) := \lceil a/\mu \rceil. \tag{1.6}$$

Assuming that a/μ is not an integer, we show that the most likely way for S_n to reach a value exceeding $(\mu^2/2 + a)n$ is by k large (of order n) values of X_i , an event which has probability of order $n^k \mathbb{P}(X_1 > n)^k$. In particular, if X_1, \ldots, X_k equal a_1n, \ldots, a_kn , the remaining $X_i, i > k$ have a typical value, and $k \ll n$ is fixed, then, invoking the weak law of large numbers yields

$$S_n = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} (\mu n) + \sum_{1 \le i \le k < j} \min\{a_i n X_j, \mu n\} + \sum_{k < i < j \le n} \min\{X_i X_j, \mu n\}$$

$$\approx o(n^2) + n^2 \sum_{1 \le i \le k} \mathbb{E} \left[\min\{a_i X_{k+1}, \mu\}\right] + (\mu n)^2 / 2.$$
(1.7)

Following the intuition from large deviations for heavy-tailed random variables (see e.g. [26]) we need to choose k as the smallest number such that there exist constants a_1, \ldots, a_k to get $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{a_iX_1, \mu\}\right] > a$. This leads to the choice k = k(a). A transition in the number of required hubs in a appears when k(a) is integer, which then also changes the scaling of $n^k \mathbb{P}(X_1 > n)^k$. Precisely at this transition point, it is therefore difficult to obtain precise statements, which is why we will work with the assumption that k(a) is non-integer. A more technical discussion on this topic can be found at the end of Section 3. To state our results formally, we define

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_k) := \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\min\{a_i X_{k+1}, \mu\}]$$
(1.8)

and we let, for b > 0, X_i^b , $i \ge 1$, be an i.i.d. sequence such that $\mathbb{P}(X_i^b > x) = (x/b)^{-\alpha}, x \ge b$. Informally, the distribution of X_i^b is that of X_i conditioned on the event $\{X_i \ge b\}$, when the slowly-varying function L(x) = 1. In our context, it emerges as the limit of $\mathbb{P}(X_i/bn > x \mid X_i > bn)$ as $n \to \infty$. Set $\eta(a)$ as the smallest value η for which $(k(a) - 1)\mu + \mathbb{E}[\min\{\eta X_1, \mu\}] \ge a$. Observe that $\eta(a) > 0$, and also $\eta(a) < \infty$ if a/μ is not an integer. Define the constant

$$K(a) := \eta(a)^{-k(a)\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(C(X_1^{\eta(a)}, \dots, X_{k(a)}^{\eta(a)}) \ge a\right).$$
(1.9)

We first state our main result on S_n . With $f(n) \sim g(n)$ we denote that the ratio of f and g converges to 1 as $n \to \infty$.

ECP 28 (2023), paper 62.

Proposition 1.1. Assume that a/μ is not an integer. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2) \sim K(a)(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^{k(a)}.$$
(1.10)

 S_n only involves randomness from the vertex weights X_i , while E_n also involves randomness from the uniform random variables in (1.3). Our main result, derived from Proposition 1.1, shows that the tail of E_n behaves the same as the one of M_n :

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that *a* is not an integer. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n) \sim \mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a)n) = \mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + \mu a)n^2).$$
(1.11)

Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)$ is regularly varying of index $-\lceil a \rceil(\alpha - 1)$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n) \sim K(\mu a)(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^{|a|}.$$
(1.12)

The intuition behind this result is similar to the intuition given for S_n , combined with the insight that the additional randomness generated by the uniform random variables U_{ij} is of lesser importance: the event that the number of edges exceeds $(\mu/2 + a)n$ is caused by $k = \lceil a \rceil$ hubs, i.e. vertices with nodes of weight of order n. More in particular, our proofs give the insight that the weights of the k hubs, normalized by n, converge weakly to $(X_1^{\eta(\mu a)}, \ldots, X_k^{\eta(\mu a)})$ conditioned upon $C(X_1^{\eta(\mu a)}, \ldots, X_k^{\eta(\mu a)}) \ge \mu a$ as $n \to \infty$.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we use well-known concentration bounds for non-identically distributed Bernoulli random variables to show that E_n and M_n are close, facilitated by an estimate for the lower tail of S_n . It is difficult to get rid of the integrality condition in Theorem 1.2, as this is where a transition occurs between the number of hubs that are needed. We are able to derive a weaker result, namely a large-deviations principle. Define $I(x) = (\alpha - 1) \lceil x \rceil$ if $x \ge 0$ and ∞ otherwise. Although I is discontinuous on its effective domain, it is lower semi-continuous, so that I is a rate function. Define $\widehat{E}_n = E_n/n - \mu/2$.

Corollary 1.3. \widehat{E}_n , $n \ge 1$, satisfies a large-deviations principle with speed $\log n$ and rate function *I*, i.e. for any Borel set *A*,

$$-\inf_{x\in\mathring{A}}I(x)\leq\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n\in A)}{\log n}\leq\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n\in A)}{\log n}\leq-\inf_{x\in\overline{A}}I(x).$$
(1.13)

Our results constitute another case where a rare event in the presence of heavy tails is caused by multiple big jumps. Other heavy-tailed systems exhibiting rare events with multiple big jumps are exit problems [4], fluid networks [11, 28], multi-server queues [3, 15, 16], and reinsurance problems [1]. For sample-path large deviations of heavy-tailed random walks, see [26].

In all our asymptotic results, the slowly varying function L(x) plays no essential role, our techniques essentially allow us to treat the case of a general slowly varying function without any significant additional effort. The probability of a hub of weight at least εn is dominated by the power-law part of the distribution. However, L(x) is included implicitly in our results, for example in $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n)$, but also in the definition of C in (1.8) in Proposition 1.1.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we gather some preliminary results from the literature needed for our proofs. The proof of Proposition 1.1 is developed in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 4. The proof of Corollary 1.13 is given in Section 5, and we end with a short discussion of our results.

2 Preliminary results

The following lemma is a key estimate for sums of truncated heavy-tailed random variables, which is a reformulation of Lemma 3 in [25].

Lemma 2.1. For every $\delta > 0$ and $\beta < \infty$ there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > (\mu + \delta)n, X_i \le \varepsilon n, i = 1, \dots, n\right) = o(n^{-\beta}).$$
(2.1)

We proceed by stating a version of Chernoff's bound for sums of independent Bernoulli random variables. The statement is a variation of Theorem A.1.4 in [2].

Lemma 2.2. Let $B_i, i \ge 1$ be a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables with $p_i = \mathbb{P}(B_i = 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(B_i = 0)$. Set $\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. For every b > 0 we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i > (1+b)\mu_n\right) \le e^{-\mu_n I_B(b)}, \qquad \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i < (1-b)\mu_n\right) \le e^{-\mu_n I_B(-b)}, \quad (2.2)$$

with $I_B(b) = (1+b)\log(1+b) - b$.

We finally state an elementary tail bound for binomially distributed random variables. Lemma 2.3. Suppose B(n, p) has a binomial distribution with parameters n and p. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(B(n,p) \ge m) \le (np)^m.$$
(2.3)

Proof. Set $B(n,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i$, note that $\mathbb{P}(B(n,p) \ge m) = \mathbb{P}(\exists i_1, \ldots, i_m \text{ s.t. } B_{i_j} = 1)$ and apply the union bound.

3 Proof of Proposition 1.1

Throughout this section, we fix a such that a/μ is not an integer and write $k(a) = k, \eta(a) = \eta$. Define for $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$N_{n,\varepsilon} := |\{i \le n : X_i > \varepsilon n\}|. \tag{3.1}$$

1

The idea of the proof is to subsequently rule out the events $N_{n,\varepsilon} < k$ and $N_{n,\varepsilon} > k$. After that, we condition on $N_{n,\varepsilon} = k$ to work out the remaining technical details. This will be the focus of the next three lemmas which together form the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} \le k - 1) = o\Big(\Big(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n)\Big)^{\kappa}\Big).$$
(3.2)

Proof. We prove this lemma by suitably upper bounding S_n to invoke Lemma 2.1. Let $m \le k$. Set for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ the event

$$A_m := \{X_i > \varepsilon n, i < m; X_i \le \varepsilon n, i \ge m\}.$$
(3.3)

Write

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} = m - 1) = \binom{n}{m-1} \mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_m).$$
(3.4)

On the event A_m ,

$$S_n \le \mu n(m-1)(m-2)/2 + \sum_{i,j \ge m, i < j} \min\{X_i X_j, \mu n\} + \sum_{i < m, j \ge m} \min\{X_i X_j, \mu n\}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2} \mu n(m-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{i \ge m} X_i\Big)^2 + (m-1)n^2 \mu.$$

ECP 28 (2023), paper 62.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} > \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{2} + a\right)n^{2}; A_{m}\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i \ge m} X_{i} > \sqrt{\mu^{2}n^{2} + 2(a - (m - 1)\mu)n^{2} - \mu n(m - 1)^{2}}; A_{m}\right).$$
(3.5)

Recalling that $k = \lceil a/\mu \rceil$, we obtain that $a/\mu > k-1 \ge m-1$, and therefore $(a-(m-1)\mu) > 0$. Consequently, there exists a $\zeta > 0$ such that for sufficiently large n,

$$\sqrt{\mu^2 n^2 + 2(a - (m - 1)\mu)n^2 - \mu n(m - 1)^2} > (\mu + \zeta)n$$

We can now bound (3.5) for n large, by

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_m) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i \ge m} X_i > (\mu + \zeta)n; A_m\right) = o\left(\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n)^k\right), \quad (3.6)$$

for suitably small ε , where we have applied Lemma 2.1 in the last equality. Invoking (3.4) and summing the estimates over $m = 1, \ldots, k$ gives the desired result.

Lemma 3.2. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} \ge k + 1) = o((n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^k).$$
(3.7)

Proof. We observe that $N_{n,\varepsilon}$ has a Binomial distribution with parameters n and $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > \varepsilon n)$ and invoke Lemma 2.3:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} \ge k + 1) \le \mathbb{P}(N_{n,\varepsilon} \ge k + 1) \le (n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^{k+1},$$

which is $o((n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^k)$ by (1.1).

We are left to consider $\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} = k)$. Recall that η is the smallest value such that $(k - 1)\mu + \mathbb{E}[\min\{\eta X_1, \mu\}] \ge a$.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} = k)}{(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^k} = \eta^{-k\alpha} \mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta}, \dots, X_k^{\eta}) \ge a).$$
(3.8)

Proof. Write

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; N_{n,\varepsilon} = k) = \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_{k+1}),$$
(3.9)

with A_{k+1} as in (3.3). To analyze $\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_{k+1})$, define the random variable $S_n(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ as S_n conditioned on $X_i = x_i n, i = 1, \ldots, k$, but where X_i for i > k are random and distributed as (1.1). More precisely,

$$S_{n}(x_{1},...,x_{k}) := \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le k}} \min\{x_{i}x_{j}n^{2},\mu n\} + \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le k < j}} \min\{x_{i}nX_{j},\mu n\} + \sum_{\substack{k < i < j}} \min\{X_{i}X_{j},\mu n\}.$$
(3.10)

Recall that $C(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\min\{a_i X_{k+1}, \mu\}]$. From the weak law of large numbers, it follows that $S_n(x_1, \ldots, x_k)/n^2 \to 0 + C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) + \mu^2/2$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n(x_1,\ldots,x_k) > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_{k+1}) \to \begin{cases} 1, & C(x_1,\ldots,x_k) > a, \\ 0, & C(x_1,\ldots,x_k) < a. \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

ECP 28 (2023), paper 62.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Next, recall that $X_i^{\varepsilon}, i \ge 1$ are i.i.d. random variables with support on $[\varepsilon, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_i^{\varepsilon} > y) = (y/\varepsilon)^{-\alpha}$. Write $\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_{k+1})$ as

$$\int_{(\varepsilon,\infty)^k} \mathbb{P}(S_n(x_1,\ldots,x_k) > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; X_i < \varepsilon n, i > k) d \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_i}{n} \le x_i \mid X_i > \varepsilon n\right) \\ \times \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \varepsilon n)^k.$$
(3.12)

Since $\mathbb{P}(X_i/n \leq x_i \mid X_i > \varepsilon n)$ converges to the continuous distribution $\mathbb{P}(X_i^{\varepsilon} \leq x_i)$, we can ignore the contribution to the integral of all (x_1, \ldots, x_k) such that $C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = a$. To see this, note that $a \to \mathbb{E}[\min\{aX_{k+1}, \mu\}]$ is strictly increasing on $[0, 1/u^*)$, with $u^* = \inf\{u > 0 : \mathbb{P}(X_{k+1} > u) = 1\}$. If $u^* > 0$, then the subset of \mathbb{R}^k on which $C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \mu k = \mu \lceil a/\mu \rceil > a$, since a/μ is not an integer. Consequently, the set of (x_1, \ldots, x_k) for which $C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = a$ has Lebesgue measure 0 in \mathbb{R}^k . Thus, we can apply (3.11) to show that the integral in the last display converges to $\mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, X_k^{\varepsilon}) \geq a)$. This probability is strictly positive, since a/μ is non-integer. To eliminate the auxiliary parameter ε , note that $C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) < a$ as soon as there exists some i such that $x_i < \eta$, as the other terms contribute at most $(k-1)\mu$ to the summation. Therefore, if $\varepsilon < \eta$,

$$\mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\varepsilon},\ldots,X_k^{\varepsilon}) \ge a) = (\eta/\varepsilon)^{-k\alpha} \mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta},\ldots,X_k^{\eta}) \ge a).$$
(3.13)

Furthermore, by regular variation,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > \varepsilon n)^k \sim (\eta/\varepsilon)^{k\alpha} \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \eta n)^k.$$
(3.14)

Putting everything together, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > (\mu^2/2 + a)n^2; A_{k+1}) \sim \mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta}, \dots, X_k^{\eta}) \ge a) \mathbb{P}(X_i > \eta n)^k.$$
(3.15)

The lemma now follows from (3.9) and the fact that $\binom{n}{k} \sim n^k$.

We close this section with some technical comments on the integrality condition on a/μ . From the heuristics given so far, it is clear that it helps to distinguish between scenarios involving k(a) or k(a) + 1 jumps, and it guarantees that the prefactor $\mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta}, \ldots, X_k^{\eta}) \geq a)$ is strictly positive. If a/μ is integer and $u^* = \inf\{u > 0 : \mathbb{P}(X_{k+1} > u) = 1\} = 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta}, \ldots, X_k^{\eta}) \geq a) = 0$ and the true asymptotics will change. We conjecture that either a/μ or $a/\mu + 1$ hubs are needed. If $u^* > 0$ and a/μ is integer, then $\mathbb{P}(C(X_1^{\eta}, \ldots, X_k^{\eta}) \geq a) > 0$. In that case we expect that the dominant scenario is a/μ hubs, but the above proof method breaks down, and we need to understand (at least) the second-order properties of $S_n(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ as $n \to \infty$. To develop a complete understanding in each of the cases $u^* > 0$ and $u^* = 0$ requires methods which are beyond the scope of this study. For example one may obtain a central limit theorem for the number of edges by extending some of the results in [21] to take into account truncation, as is done for sums of truncated i.i.d. heavy-tailed random variables in [8]. Also in the next section, the non-integrality assumption plays an important role.

4 **Proof of Theorem 1.2**

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on suitably bounding the difference between E_n and its conditional mean $M_n = S_n/\mu n$, using the concentration bounds in Lemma 2.2. For this procedure to work, we need an asymptotic estimate for the lower tail of S_n . Since $X_i, i \ge 1$, are non-negative random variables, this estimate is considerably easier to obtain than the upper tail.

Lemma 4.1. For each a > 0, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \le (\mu^2/2 - a)n^2) = O(e^{-\delta n}).$$
(4.1)

Proof. Define $X_i^M = \min\{X_i, M\}$. Let $M < \infty$ be large enough such that $\mathbb{E}[\min\{X_i, M\}]^2 \ge \mu^2 - a/2$. Observe that, for sufficiently large n,

$$S_n = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \min\{X_i X_j, \mu n\} \ge \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} X_i^M X_j^M \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^M\right)^2 - nM^2.$$
(4.2)

The estimate (4.1) now follows by an application of Chernoff's bound to $\sum_{i=1}^n -X_i^M$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Conditional on X_1, \ldots, X_n , the variables $B_{ij}, i < j$, indicating whether there is an edge between node i and j, are independent. Therefore, observing $M_n = S_n/(n\mu) = \mathbb{E}[E_n \mid X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, we can apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain that, for b > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(|E_n - M_n| > bM_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_n) \le 2e^{-M_n J(b)}$$
(4.3)

almost surely, with $J(b) = \min\{I_B(b), I_B(-b)\}$. Now, write for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n) = \mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n; |E_n - M_n| \le \varepsilon M_n) + \mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n; |E_n - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n).$$
(4.4)

Invoking Lemma 4.1, the second term on the RHS of (4.4) is smaller than

$$\mathbb{P}(|E_n - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n) \le \mathbb{P}(|E_n - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n; M_n > \zeta n) + \mathbb{P}(M_n \le \zeta n)$$

$$\le 2e^{-\zeta n J(b)} + O(e^{-\delta n})$$
(4.5)

for some $\delta > 0$ depending on $\zeta > 0$, the latter chosen suitably small. We conclude that (making δ smaller than $\zeta J(b)$ if needed)

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n) = \mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n; |E_n - M_n| \le \varepsilon M_n) + O(e^{-\delta n})$$

$$\le \mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a - \varepsilon)n) + O(e^{-\delta n}).$$
(4.6)

We use this identity to prove asymptotic lower and upper bounds which together complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. Invoking (4.6) and Proposition 1.1 for $M_n = S_n/(\mu n)$, we see that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)}{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a - \varepsilon)n)}{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)}$$
$$= \frac{\eta(\mu(a - \varepsilon))^{-k(\mu a)\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(C\left(X_1^{\eta(\mu(a - \varepsilon))}, \dots, X_{k(\mu a)}^{\eta(\mu(a - \varepsilon))}\right) \ge \mu(a - \varepsilon)\right)}{\eta(\mu a)^{-k(\mu a)\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left(C\left(X_1^{\eta(\mu a)}, \dots, X_{k(\mu a)}^{\eta(\mu a)}\right) \ge \mu a\right)}$$

In the last equality we have used that $k(\mu a) = k(\mu(a - \varepsilon))$, which holds because a is non-integer. This property also implies that the last expression converges to 1 if $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, providing the upper bound. The lower bound uses that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n; |E_n - M_n| < \varepsilon M_n)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a + \varepsilon)n) - \mathbb{P}(|E_n - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n).$$
(4.7)

The second term of the RHS is exponentially small in n, as shown in (4.5). Consequently, invoking Proposition 1.1,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(E_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)}{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)} \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a + \varepsilon)n)}{\mathbb{P}(M_n > (\mu/2 + a)n)}$$

ECP 28 (2023), paper 62.

Scale-free graphs with many edges

$$=\frac{\eta(\mu(a+\varepsilon))^{-k(\mu a)\alpha}\mathbb{P}\left(C\left(X_1^{\eta(\mu(a+\varepsilon))},\ldots,X_{k(\mu a)}^{\eta(\mu(a+\varepsilon))}\right)\geq\mu(a+\varepsilon)\right)}{\eta(\mu a)^{-k(\mu a)\alpha}\mathbb{P}\left(C\left(X_1^{\eta(\mu a)},\ldots,X_{k(\mu a)}^{\eta(\mu a)}\right)\geq\mu a\right)}.$$

In the last equality we used that $k(\mu a) = k(\mu(a+\varepsilon))$, which holds because a is non-integer, implying that the last expression converges to 1 if $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, providing the lower bound. \Box

5 Proof of Corollary 1.3

As a first step we show that the left tail of E_n is lighter than polynomial. **Lemma 5.1.** For each a > 0, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n \le (\mu/2 - a)n) = O(e^{-\delta n}).$$
(5.1)

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $a < \mu/2$. Note that $\mathbb{P}(E_n \le (\mu/2 - a)n)$ can be upper bounded by

$$\int_{\mu/2-a/2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n \le (\mu/2 - a)n \mid M_n = ny) d\mathbb{P}(M_n/n \le y) + \mathbb{P}(M_n \le (\mu/2 - a/2)n).$$
(5.2)

The second term is exponentially small in n due to Lemma 4.1. To analyze the first term, note that E_n is a sum of Bernoulli variables with mean M_n . Thus, by conditioning on M_n , we can we can apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n \le (\mu/2 - a)n \mid M_n = ny) = \mathbb{P}\left(E_n \le ny\left(1 - \frac{y - (\mu/2 - a)}{y}\right) \mid M_n = ny\right)$$
$$\le e^{-nyI_B(-(1 - (\mu/2 - a)/y))} \le e^{-n(\mu/2 - a/2)I_B(-a/(\mu - a))}.$$

The second inequality follows by noting that I_B is non-negative, strictly convex, and 0 at 0. Therefore, $yI_B(-(1 - (\mu/2 - a)/y))$ is increasing on $[\mu/2 - a/2, \infty)$, so that we obtain the second inequality by replacing y with $\mu/2 + a/2$. Consequently,

$$\int_{\mu/2-a/2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n \le (\mu/2 - a)n \mid M_n = ny) d\mathbb{P}(M_n/n \le y) \le e^{-n(\mu/2 - a/2)I_B(-a/(\mu - a))}.$$

We have shown that both terms in (5.2) are exponentially small in n, completing the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Consider first A closed. If $0 \in A$, the upper bound is trivial. If $0 \notin A$ we can write $A = A_- \cup A_+$, with $a_- = \sup A_- < 0$ and $a_+ = \inf A_+ > 0$. Since A is closed and $0 \notin A$, both a_- and a_+ are elements of A, and $a_- < 0 < a_+$. Next, note that

$$\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \in A) \le \mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \le a_-) + \mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \ge a_+).$$

Invoking Lemma 5.1, the first term is exponentially small in n. By Theorem 1.2, the second term is regularly varying with exponent $(\alpha - 1)\lceil a_+\rceil$ if a_+ is not an integer. If a_+ is an integer, we can make a_+ a bit smaller, while keeping $\lceil a_+\rceil$ fixed, preserving the upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \in A)$. This yields, using that $\log L(n)/\log n \to 0$, and abbreviating the *n*-independent constant in Theorem 1.2 with $a = a_+$ by K,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \in A)}{\log n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log[\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \le a_-) + \mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \ge a_+)]}{\log n}$$
$$\le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log[K(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^{\lceil a_+ \rceil}]}{\log n}$$

ECP 28 (2023), paper 62.

https://www.imstat.org/ecp

Scale-free graphs with many edges

$$= -(\alpha - 1)\lceil a_+\rceil = -\inf_{x \in A} I(x).$$
(5.3)

Assume now that A is open. If $\sup A \leq 0$ the result is straightforward, so assume that $\sup A > 0$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can pick the following subset of A: take a such that $a \in A$; and $\inf_{x \in A} I(x) \geq I(a) - \varepsilon$. Since A is open, we may modify the constant a slightly such that a is non-integer. Next, take a sufficiently small constant b such that the ball around a with radius b is in A, such that a - b/2 and a + b/2 are both non-integer, and $\lceil a - b/2 \rceil = \lceil a + b/2 \rceil = \lceil a \rceil$. Now, observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{E}_n \in A) \ge \mathbb{P}(\hat{E}_n \in (a - b/2, a + b/2)) \\ = \mathbb{P}(E_n > n(\mu/2 + a - b/2)) - \mathbb{P}(E_n \ge n(\mu/2 + a + b/2)) \\ \sim (K(\mu(a - b/2)) - K(\mu(a + b/2)))(n\mathbb{P}(X_1 > n))^{\lceil a \rceil},$$

with $K(\cdot)$ as in (1.9). Using (3.13) we can write for a fixed $\delta \in (0, \eta(a\mu))$,

$$K(a\mu) = \delta^{-\alpha\lceil a\rceil} \mathbb{P}\left(C(X_1^{\delta}, \dots, X_{\lceil a\rceil}^{\delta})\right) \ge \mu a).$$
(5.4)

Since $\lceil a \rceil$ is constant in a neighborhood of a, we see that $K(a\mu)$ is strictly decreasing in a neighborhood of a. Consequently, $K(\mu(a - b/2)) - K(\mu(a + b/2)) > 0$ and we can apply Theorem 1.2 to conclude that $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \in (a - b/2, a + b/2))$ is regularly varying with index I(a). Therefore, since $\log L(n)/\log n \to 0$,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}(\widehat{E}_n \in A)}{\log n} \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \left(\left(K(\mu(a-b/2)) - K(\mu(a+b/2)) \right) \left(n \mathbb{P} \left(X_1 > n \right) \right)^{\lceil a \rceil} \right)}{\log n}$$
$$= -I(a) \ge -\inf_{x \in A} I(x) + \varepsilon.$$
(5.5)

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ completes the proof.

6 Discussion

In this paper, we have studied the probability of a large number of edges in a heavytailed random graph model. We show that the most likely way to obtain at least an more edges than expected is by k(a) hubs with weight of order n.

While this paper focuses on the Chung-Lu version of the inhomogeneous random graph (1.2), there is a wide class of connection probabilities p_{ij} with similar properties [19]. We therefore believe that our results can be extended to other connection probabilities in this class. Some of these connection probabilities construct random graphs that are similar to the erased configuration model, or the uniform random graph, suggesting that large deviations of the number of edges behaves similarly in these models.

In the random geometric graph on the other hand, large deviations of the number of edges are caused by one large clique, due to the geometric nature of the model [10]. We here show that power-law random graphs on the other hand, are more likely to contain a large amount of edges due to the presence of hubs. It would therefore be interesting to investigate large deviations of edge counts for models with both geometry and power-law degrees, such as the hyperbolic random graph [22] or geometric inhomogeneous random graphs [7].

While the number of edges is one of the simplest graph statistics, we believe that there is a much wider class of graph statistics where the randomness of the i.i.d. weights does not play a role in the large deviations properties, similarly to Theorem 1.2. Proving this however will be more involved for more complex statistics however, as for properties

that depend on more than one edge, dependencies between the presences of these edges arise, due to their random weights. For relatively simple statistics, such as triangle counts, this is possible through an exhaustive enumeration of different cases [27], but a more comprehensive method for a wider class of statistics would be interesting to investigate in further research.

References

- H. Albrecher, B. Chen, E. Vatamidou, and B. Zwart. Finite-time ruin probabilities under large-claim reinsurance treaties for heavy-tailed claim sizes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 57(2):513–530, 2020. MR4125462
- [2] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. The probabilistic method. Wiley Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, fourth edition, 2016. MR3524748
- [3] M. Bazhba, J. Blanchet, C.-H. Rhee, and B. Zwart. Queue length asymptotics for the multipleserver queue with heavy-tailed Weibull service times. *Queueing Systems*, 93(3-4):195–226, 2019. MR4032925
- [4] A. Bhattacharya, Z. Palmowski, and B. Zwart. Persistence of heavy-tailed sample averages: principle of infinitely many big jumps. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 27:Paper No. 50, 25, 2022. MR4416674
- [5] M. Boguñá and R. Pastor-Satorras. Class of correlated random networks with hidden variables. Phys. Rev. E, 68:036112, 2003.
- [6] C. Bordenave and P. Caputo. Large deviations of empirical neighborhood distribution in sparse random graphs. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 163(1-2):149–222, 2015. MR3405616
- [7] K. Bringmann, R. Keusch, and J. Lengler. Geometric inhomogeneous random graphs. Theoretical Computer Science, 760:35–54, 2019. MR3913223
- [8] A. Chakrabarty and G. Samorodnitsky. Understanding heavy tails in a bounded world or, is a truncated heavy tail heavy or not? *Stochastic Models*, 28(1):109–143, 2012. MR2889004
- [9] S. Chatterjee. Large deviations for random graphs, volume 2197 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2017. Lecture notes from the 45th Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, June 2015, École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour. [Saint-Flour Probability Summer School]. MR3700183
- [10] S. Chatterjee and M. Harel. Localization in random geometric graphs with too many edges. The Annals of Probability, 48(2):574–621, 2020. MR4089488
- [11] B. Chen, J. Blanchet, C.-H. Rhee, and B. Zwart. Efficient rare-event simulation for multiple jump events in regularly varying random walks and compound Poisson processes. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 44(3):919–942, 2019. MR3996652
- [12] N. Chen, N. Litvak, and M. Olvera-Cravioto. Generalized PageRank on directed configuration networks. Random Structures Algorithms, 51(2):237–274, 2017. MR3683363
- [13] F. Chung and L. Lu. The average distances in random graphs with given expected degrees. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(25):15879–15882, 2002. MR1944974
- [14] K. Doku-Amponsah and P. Mörters. Large deviation principles for empirical measures of colored random graphs. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 20(6), 2010. MR2759726
- [15] S. Foss and D. Korshunov. Heavy tails in multi-server queue. Queueing Systems, 52(1):31–48, 2006. MR2201624
- [16] S. Foss and D. Korshunov. On large delays in multi-server queues with heavy tails. Mathematics of Operations Research, 37(2):201–218, 2012. MR2931277
- [17] R. van der Hofstad. Random graphs and complex networks. Vol. 1. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, [43]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. MR3617364
- [18] R. van der Hofstad. Random graphs and complex networks. Vol. 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022+. MR3617364

Scale-free graphs with many edges

- [19] R. van der Hofstad, A. J. E. M. Janssen, J. S. H. van Leeuwaarden, and C. Stegehuis. Local clustering in scale-free networks with hidden variables. *Physical Review E*, 95(2):022307, 2017.
- [20] R. van der Hofstad, S. Kliem, and J. S. H. van Leeuwaarden. Cluster tails for critical powerlaw inhomogeneous random graphs. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 171(1):38–95, 2018. MR3773851
- [21] Y. Kifer and S. R. S. Varadhan. Nonconventional limit theorems in discrete and continuous time via martingales. *The Annals of Probability*, 42(2):649–688, 2014. MR3178470
- [22] D. Krioukov, F. Papadopoulos, M. Kitsak, A. Vahdat, and M. Boguná. Hyperbolic geometry of complex networks. *Physical Review E*, 82(3):036106, 2010. MR2787998
- [23] Q. Liu and Z. Dong. Large deviations for empirical measures of generalized random graphs. Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods, 51(8):2676–2687, 2022. MR4391587
- [24] M. Olvera-Cravioto. PageRank's behavior under degree correlations. The Annals of Applied Probability, 31(3):1403–1442, 2021. MR4278789
- [25] S. Resnick and G. Samorodnitsky. Activity periods of an infinite server queue and performance of certain heavy tailed fluid queues. *Queueing Systems*, 33(1-3):43–71, 1999. MR1748638
- [26] C.-H. Rhee, J. Blanchet, and B. Zwart. Sample path large deviations for Lévy processes and random walks with regularly varying increments. *The Annals of Probability*, 47(6):3551–3605, 2019. MR4038038
- [27] C. Stegehuis and B. Zwart. Large deviations for triangles in scale-free random graphs. arXiv:2303.09198, 2023.
- [28] B. Zwart, S. Borst, and M. Mandjes. Exact asymptotics for fluid queues fed by multiple heavytailed on-off flows. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 14(2):903–957, 2004. MR2052908

Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS¹)
- Easy interface (EJMS²)

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS³, BS⁴, ProjectEuclid⁵
- Purely electronic

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund⁶ (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

¹LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

 $^{^2} EJMS: Electronic \ Journal \ Management \ System: \ \texttt{https://vtex.lt/services/ejms-peer-review/}$

³IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

⁴BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

⁵Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

⁶IMS Open Access Fund: https://imstat.org/shop/donation/