

SUBSPACES OF TENSORS WITH HIGH ANALYTIC RANK

JOP BRIËT

ABSTRACT. It is shown that for any subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{n \times \dots \times n}$ of d -tensors, if $\dim(V) \geq tn^{d-1}$, then there is subspace $W \subseteq V$ of dimension at least $t/(dr) - 1$ whose nonzero elements all have analytic rank $\Omega_{d,p}(r)$. As an application, we generalize a result of Altman on Szemerédi's theorem with random differences.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Mes85], Meshulam proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Meshulam). *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let $V \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a subspace of $n \times n$ matrices. If $\dim(V) > rn$, then V contains a matrix of rank at least $r + 1$.*

Here we prove a version of this result for tensors over finite fields. Identify a d -linear form $T : \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ with the order- d tensor with (i_1, \dots, i_d) -coordinate $T(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_d})$, where e_i is the i th standard basis vector in \mathbb{F}^n . A tensor of order d will be referred to as a d -tensor. The notion of rank for tensors we consider is the analytic rank, introduced by Gowers and Wolf in [GW11].

Definition 1.2 (Bias and analytic rank). Let $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers. Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field and let $\chi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nontrivial additive character. Let $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a d -tensor. Then, the *bias* of T is defined by¹

$$\text{bias}(T) = \mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{F}^n} \chi(T(x_1, \dots, x_d)),$$

and the *analytic rank* of T is defined by

$$\text{arank}(T) = -\log_{|\mathbb{F}|} \text{bias}(T).$$

The author is supported by the Gravitation grant NETWORKS-024.002.003 from the Dutch Research Council (NWO).

¹Here and elsewhere, for a finite set X , we denote $\mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in X} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = |X|^{-k} \sum_{x_1 \in X} \dots \sum_{x_k \in X} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $\Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in X}$ denotes the probability with respect to independent uniformly distributed elements $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$.

The bias is well-defined, since its value is independent of the choice of nontrivial additive character and it is not hard to see that it is real and nonnegative. Moreover, for any $d \geq 2$, the analytic rank is at most n and for matrices ($d = 2$), the analytic rank is the ordinary matrix rank.

Our version of Theorem 1.1 is then as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *For every finite field \mathbb{F} and integer $d \geq 2$, there is a $c \in (0, 1]$ such that the following holds. Let $n \geq t \geq r \geq 1$ be integers and $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a subspace of d -tensors. If $\dim(V) \geq tn^{d-1}$, then there is a subspace $W \subseteq V$ of dimension at least $\frac{t}{dr} - 1$ such that every nonzero element in W has analytic rank at least cr .*

Theorem 1.3 gives an analogue of Theorem 1.1 asserting that if V has dimension at least rn^{d-1} , then it contains a tensor of analytic rank at least $\Omega_{\mathbb{F},d}(r)$. The same statement holds for another notion of tensor rank, namely the partition rank, which originated in [Nas17].

Definition 1.4 (Partition rank). Let $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers. A d -linear form $T : \mathbb{F}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ has partition rank 1 if there exist integers $1 \leq e, f \leq d - 1$ such that $e + f = d$, a partition $\{i_1, \dots, i_e\}, \{j_1, \dots, j_f\}$ of $[d]$ and e - and f -linear forms T_1, T_2 (respectively) such that for any $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{F}^n$,

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_d) = T_1(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_e}) T_2(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_f}).$$

The partition rank of T is the smallest r such that $T = T_1 + \dots + T_r$, where each T_i has partition rank 1.

Partition rank is always at most n and for matrices is also equal to the usual rank. Independently, Kazhdan and Ziegler [KZ18] and Lovett [Lov19] proved that $\text{prank}(T) \geq \text{arank}(T)$ and so Theorem 1.3 holds for the partition rank as well. This implies that the parameters of Theorem 1.3 are close to optimal. Indeed, if $U \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ is a t -dimensional subspace and $V = \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ is the set of $(d - 1)$ -tensors, then $U \otimes V$ is a (tn^{d-1}) -dimensional subspace of d -tensors containing only tensors of partition rank (and so analytic rank) at most t . In the other direction, partition and analytic rank are polynomially related. Independently, Milićević in [Mil19] and Janzer in [Jan19] proved that

$$(1) \quad \text{prank}(T) \leq O_{|\mathbb{F}|,d}(\text{arank}(T)^D)$$

for some $D \leq 2^{2^{d^{O(1)}}}$. We refer to these papers for further information on bounds for specific values of d .

1.1. Szemerédi’s theorem with random differences. We apply Theorem 1.3 to a probabilistic version of Szemerédi’s theorem [Sze75]. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and integer $k \geq 3$, Szemerédi’s theorem asserts that any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ of size at least εN contains a proper k -term arithmetic progression (k -AP), provided N is large enough in terms of ε and k . The setup for the probabilistic version is as follows. Given a finite abelian group G of order N and positive integer m , let $S \subseteq G$ be a random subset formed by sampling m elements from G independently and uniformly at random. A general open problem is to determine the smallest m such that with high probability over S , any set $A \subseteq G$ of size at least εN contains a proper k -AP with common difference in S . For $k = 3$, it was shown by Christ [Chr11] and Frantzikinakis, Lesigne and Wierdl [FLW12] that $m \geq \omega(\sqrt{N} \log N)$ suffices and for $k \geq 3$, it was shown by Gopi and the author in [BG18] that $m \geq \omega(N^{1-\frac{1}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}} \log N)$ does; see also [BDG19]. In [FLW16] the authors conjecture that in the group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, for all fixed $k \geq 3$, already $m \geq \omega(\log N)$ would do. However, in [Alt19] Altman showed that in the finite field case, where $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ with p an odd prime, the analogous conjecture is false for 3-APs and that $m \geq \Omega_p(n^2)$ is necessary (we refer to this paper for more information). Using Theorem 1.3, we generalize Altman’s result to arbitrarily long APs.

Theorem 1.5. *For every integer $k \geq 3$ and prime $p \geq k$ there is a constant C such that the following holds. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is a set formed by selecting at most $\binom{n+k-2}{k-1} - C(\log_p n)^2 n^{k-2}$ elements independently and uniformly at random, then with probability $1 - o(1)$ there is a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size $|A| \geq \Omega_{k,p}(p^n)$ that contains no proper k -term arithmetic progression with common difference in S .*

In particular, for $N = p^n$ at least $\Omega((\log_p N)^{k-1})$ elements must be sampled for Szemerédi’s theorem with random differences and k -APs over \mathbb{F}_p^n . Showing (much) stronger lower bounds, possibly over other groups (including non-abelian groups), is of interest for coding theory [BDG19]. In [Alt19], the case $k = 3$ of Theorem 1.5 is proved without squaring the logarithmic factor. The proof given there uses both the analytic and algebraic characterization of matrix rank and can be generalized using the relations between analytic and partition rank. But the best-known relations (1) cause the exponent of the logarithmic factor to blow up substantially and currently require fairly intricate proofs. Theorem 1.3 allows one to avoid the use of partition rank altogether gives a proof based more easily-established results.

Acknowledgements. I thank Farrokh Labib and Michael Walter for useful discussions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We use some results of Lovett [Lov19] and corollaries thereof. Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field. For a d -tensor $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ and set $S \subseteq [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by $T|_S$ the principal sub-tensor obtained by restricting T to $S \times \dots \times S$. It will be convenient to slightly extend the definitions of bias and analytic rank. For finite sets S_1, \dots, S_d , d -tensor $T \in \mathbb{F}^{S_1 \times \dots \times S_d}$ and non-trivial additive character $\chi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\text{bias}(T) = \mathbb{E}_{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{F}^{S_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}^{S_d}} \chi(T(x_1, \dots, x_d))$$

and define $\text{arank}(T)$ as before.

Lemma 2.1 (Lovett). *Let $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a d -tensor and $S \subseteq [n]$. Then,*

$$\text{arank}(T) \geq \text{arank}(T|_S).$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a d -tensor, let $S_1, \dots, S_d \subseteq [n]$ be sets of equal size and let $T' \in \mathbb{F}^{S_1 \times \dots \times S_d}$ be the restriction of T to $S_1 \times \dots \times S_d$. Then,*

$$\text{arank}(T) \geq \text{arank}(T').$$

Proof: Let $\pi_2, \dots, \pi_d : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ be permutations such that $\pi_i(S_i) = S_1$. Let Q be the d -tensor obtained by permuting the i th leg of T according to π_i . Then, since analytic rank is invariant under such permutations, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\text{arank}(T) = \text{arank}(Q) \geq \text{arank}(Q|_{S_1}) = \text{arank}(T'),$$

where the second equality follows since $Q|_{S_1}$ is a permutation of T' . \square

Lemma 2.3 (Lovett). *Let $\chi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nontrivial additive character. Let $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a d -tensor and let $\mathbb{F}^n = U \oplus V$ for two subspaces U, V . Then, for any $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$,*

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{u_1, \dots, u_d \in U} \chi(T(u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_d + v_d)) \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_{u_1, \dots, u_d \in U} \chi(T(u_1, \dots, u_d)).$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \dots \times n}$ be a d -tensor and $E_n = e_n \otimes \dots \otimes e_n$ be the d -tensor with a 1 at its last coordinate and zeros elsewhere. Then, there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that*

$$\text{arank}(T + \lambda E_n) \geq \text{arank}(T|_{[n-1]}) + c_{\mathbb{F}, d},$$

where

$$(2) \quad c_{\mathbb{F}, d} = -\log_{|\mathbb{F}|} \left(1 - \left(\frac{|\mathbb{F}| - 1}{|\mathbb{F}|} \right)^d \right).$$

Proof: Let $U = \text{Span}(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$ and V be the line spanned by e_n . We consider the average bias of the tensor $T + \lambda E_n$, where λ is uniformly distributed over \mathbb{F} . This average equals

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}} \mathbb{E}_{u_1, \dots, u_d \in U} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \in V} \chi((T + \lambda E_n)(u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_d + v_d)).$$

The character expression factors as

$$\chi(T(u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_d + v_d)) \chi(\lambda E_n(u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_d + v_d)).$$

Writing $v_i = a_i e_n$, then the second factor simplifies to $\chi(\lambda a_1 \cdots a_d)$. Hence, the average bias of $T + \lambda E_n$ equals

$$\mathbb{E}_{a \in \mathbb{F}^d} \left(\mathbb{E}_{u_1, \dots, u_n \in U} \chi(T(u_1 + a_1 e_n, \dots, u_d + a_d e_n)) \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \chi(\lambda a_1 \cdots a_d) \right).$$

The expectation over λ equals $1[a_1 \cdots a_d = 0]$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality, the average bias is at most

$$\max_{v_1, \dots, v_d \in V} \left| \mathbb{E}_{u_1, \dots, u_n \in U} \chi(T(u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_d + v_d)) \right| \Pr_{a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{F}}[a_1 \cdots a_d = 0].$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.3 following similar lines as Meshulam's proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Order $[n]^d$ lexicographically. For a d -tensor $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \cdots \times n}$, let $\rho(T)$ denote its first nonzero coordinate. Let $T_1, \dots, T_{\dim(V)}$ be a basis for V . By Gaussian elimination (viewing the T_i as vectors in \mathbb{F}^{n^d}), we can assume that the coordinates $\rho(T_i)$ are pairwise distinct.

Cover $[n]^d$ by the “diagonal matchings” given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (0, n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}) + (i, \dots, i) : i \in [n - \max_{l \in [d-1]} n_l] \right\} \\ & \left\{ (n_1, 0, \dots, n_{d-1}) + (i, \dots, i) : i \in [n - \max_{l \in [d-1]} n_l] \right\} \\ & \vdots \\ & \left\{ (n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}, 0) + (i, \dots, i) : i \in [n - \max_{l \in [d-1]} n_l] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $n_1, \dots, n_{d-1} \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. This is a cover since the coordinate (i_1, \dots, i_d) with $j = \min\{i_1, \dots, i_d\} - 1$ lies in the matching whose smallest element (with respect to the product order) is $(i_1 - j, \dots, i_j - j)$. Since there are at most dn^{d-1} such matchings and $\dim(V) \geq tn^{d-1}$, one of these matchings contains at least t/d of the coordinates $\rho(T_1), \dots, \rho(T_{\dim(V)})$. Let $s = \lfloor t/dr \rfloor$, so that $rs \leq t/d$.

Relabelling if necessary, we can assume that $\rho(T_1), \dots, \rho(T_{rs})$ lie in the same matching and that they are listed increasingly according to the product order, so that

$$(3) \quad \rho(T_i) = (n_1, \dots, n_d) + (f(i), \dots, f(i))$$

for some $n_1, \dots, n_d \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and strictly increasing function $f : [rs] \rightarrow [n]$. For each $i \in [rs]$, let $Q_i \in \mathbb{F}^{rs \times \dots \times rs}$ be tensor given by

$$Q_i(i_1, \dots, i_d) = T_i((n_1, \dots, n_d) + (f(i_1), \dots, f(i_d))).$$

Then, Q_i is a sub-tensor of T_i obtained from its restriction to the rectangle $(n_1, \dots, n_d) + (\text{im}(f))^d$. Moreover, it follows from (3) that $\rho(Q_i) = (i, \dots, i)$, which in turn implies that the restriction $(Q_i)_{|[i]}$ is nonzero only on coordinate (i, \dots, i) .

Partition $[rs]$ into s consecutive intervals I_1, \dots, I_s of length r each. We claim that for each $j \in [s]$, there is an $R_j \in \text{Span}(Q_i : i \in I_j)$ such $\text{arank}((R_j)_{|I_j}) \geq c_{\mathbb{F}, d} r$, for $c_{\mathbb{F}, d}$ as in (2). We prove the claim for $j = 1$. To this end, we show by induction on $i \in [r]$ that $\text{Span}(Q_1, \dots, Q_i)$ contains a tensor R whose restriction $R_{|[i]}$ to $[i] \times \dots \times [i]$ has analytic rank at least $i c_{\mathbb{F}, d}$. For $i = 1$, the claim follows since $Q_1(1, \dots, 1) = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and the bias of the $1 \times \dots \times 1$ tensor a equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{F}} \chi(ax_1 \cdots x_d) &= \Pr_{x_2, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{F}} [x_2 \cdots x_d = 0] \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{|\mathbb{F}| - 1}{|\mathbb{F}|} \right)^{d-1} \\ &\leq |\mathbb{F}|^{-c_{\mathbb{F}, d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume the claim for $i \in [r-1]$ and let $R \in \text{Span}(Q_1, \dots, Q_i)$ be such that $\text{arank}(R_{|[i]}) \geq i c_{\mathbb{F}, d}$. Since the restriction of $(Q_{i+1})_{|[i+1]}$ is nonzero only on coordinate $(i+1, \dots, i+1)$, it is a nonzero multiple of E_{i+1} . Hence, by Corollary 2.4, there is a $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\text{arank}((R + \lambda Q_{i+1})_{|[i+1]}) \geq \text{arank}(R_{|[i]}) + c_{\mathbb{F}, d} \geq (i+1) c_{\mathbb{F}, d},$$

which proves the claim. For $j > 1$ the claim is proved similarly, using induction on $i \in [r]$ to show that $\text{Span}(Q_{jr+1}, \dots, Q_{jr+i})$ contains a tensor R such that $\text{arank}(R_{|\{jr+1, \dots, jr+i\}}) \geq i c_{\mathbb{F}, d}$.

For $j \in [s]$, let $T_j^* \in \text{Span}(T_i : i \in I_j)$ be the tensor whose restriction to $(n_1, \dots, n_d) + (\text{im}(f))^d$ equals R_j . Let $W = \text{Span}(T_1^*, \dots, T_s^*)$. We claim that the space W meets the criteria of Theorem 1.3. Since the sets I_j are pairwise disjoint and the tensors $T_1, \dots, T_{\dim(V)}$ linearly independent, it follows that $\dim(W) \geq s \geq \frac{t}{dr} - 1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^s \setminus \{0\}$ and let $j \in [s]$ be its first nonzero coordinate. It follows from Corollary 2.2

and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{arank}(\lambda_1 T_1^* + \cdots + \lambda_s T_s^*) &\geq \text{arank}(\lambda_1 R_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s R_s) \\
&\geq \text{arank}((\lambda_1 R_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s R_s)|_{I_j}) \\
&= \text{arank}(\lambda_j (R_j)|_{I_j}) \\
&\geq c_{\mathbb{F}, d} r,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third line we used that $\lambda_k = 0$ for all $k \in [j - 1]$ and $(R_k)|_{I_j} = 0$ for all $k \in \{j + 1, \dots, s\}$, which holds since the restriction of Q_i to I_j is the zero tensor for all $i > j$. Hence, every nonzero element of W has analytic rank at least $c_{\mathbb{F}, d} r$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

For positive integer d and $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, denote $\varphi_d(x) = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$ (d times). Then, for any d -tensor $T \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times \cdots \times n}$, we have $T(x, \dots, x) = \langle T, \varphi_d(x) \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product. Theorem 1.5 follows from the following two lemmas, the first of which is proved in [Alt19] and the second of which we prove below.

Lemma 3.1 (Altman). *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $p \geq k$ be a prime number. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that the set $\varphi_{k-1}(S)$ is linearly independent. Then, there exists a nonzero $(k - 1)$ -tensor $T \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n \times \cdots \times n}$ such that the set $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : \langle T, \varphi_{k-1}(x) \rangle = 0\}$ contains no k -term arithmetic progressions with common difference in S .*

Lemma 3.2. *For every integer $d \geq 2$ and prime $p \geq d + 1$, there is a $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that the following holds. Let $m = \binom{n+d-1}{d}$ and let $s \leq m - C(\log_p m)^2 n^{d-1}$ be an integer. Let x_1, \dots, x_s be independent and uniformly distributed random vectors from \mathbb{F}_p^n . Then, $\varphi_d(x_1), \dots, \varphi_d(x_s)$ are linearly independent with probability $1 - o(1)$.*

Theorem 1.5 now follows from Lemma 3.2 with $d = k - 1$ and the Chevalley–Warning theorem [LN97, Chapter 6], which implies that the set from Lemma 3.1 has size $\Omega_{k,p}(p^n)$.

Lemma 3.2 follows from the following proposition, which in turn follows from Theorem 1.3. A d -tensor is symmetric if it is invariant under permutations of its legs. Let $\text{Sym}_d^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the $\binom{n+d-1}{d}$ -dimensional subspace of symmetric d -tensors. Note that if $p > d$, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $\text{Sym}_d^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ since if T is an element of this space with a nonzero (i_1, \dots, i_d) -coordinate, then by symmetry of T and the fact that $d! \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\left\langle T, \sum_{\pi \in S_d} e_{i_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{\pi(d)}} \right\rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S_d} \langle T, e_{i_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{\pi(d)}} \rangle = d! T_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \neq 0.$$

Proposition 3.3. *For every integer $d \geq 2$ and prime $p \geq d + 1$, there is a $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that the following holds. Let $t > 0$ and $U \subseteq \text{Sym}_d^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be a subspace of co-dimension at least $C4^{dt^2}n^{d-1}$. Then,*

$$\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n}[\varphi_d(x) \in U] \leq \frac{2}{p^{2t}}.$$

Proof: Let $V = U^\perp \subseteq \text{Sym}_d^n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then, since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate, $U = V^\perp$ and $\dim(V) \geq C4^{dt^2}n^{d-1}$. Moreover, if C is large enough in terms of d and p , then it follows from Theorem 1.3 that there is a subspace $W \subseteq V$ of dimension $m \geq 2^{dt}$ such that each nonzero element of W has analytic rank at least $r \geq 2^{dt}$. Hence, for $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n}[\varphi_d(x) \in U] &= \Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n}[\varphi_d(x) \in V^\perp] \\ &\leq \Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n}[\varphi_d(x) \in W^\perp] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \mathbb{E}_{T \in W} \omega^{\langle \varphi(x), T \rangle} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}_{T \in W} \text{bias}(T) \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p^m} + \frac{p^m - 1}{p^m} \frac{1}{p^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{p^{2t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third line follows from [Alt19, Lemma 3.5] and the fourth line follows from [GW11, Lemma 3.2] and Jensen's inequality. \square

A similar inequality to the one stated in Proposition 3.3 was proved in [BHH⁺18] over \mathbb{F}_2 . There, the full space of tensors is considered and the random element is of the form $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d$, where the x_i are independent and uniformly distributed. Similar to [Alt19, Lemma 3.4] we can now prove Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.2: The probability that $\varphi_d(x_1), \dots, \varphi_d(x_s)$ are linearly independent is at least

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[x_1 \neq 0] \prod_{i=2}^s \Pr[\varphi_d(x_i) \notin \text{Span}(\varphi_d(x_1), \dots, \varphi_d(x_{i-1}))] \\ \geq \left(1 - \max_{U \subseteq \text{Sym}_d^n(\mathbb{F}_p)} \Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n}[\varphi_d(x) \in U] \right)^s, \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum is taken over $(s-1)$ -dimensional subspaces. Setting $t = \log_p m$ and using that $s \leq m$, Proposition 3.3 then shows that this is bounded from below by $(1 - \frac{2}{m^2})^s \geq 1 - O(1/m) \geq 1 - o(1)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Alt19] D. Altman. On Szemerédi’s theorem with differences from a random set. *Acta Arith.*, 2019. To appear. ArXiv:1905.05045.
- [BDG19] J. Briët, Z. Dvir, and S. Gopi. Outlaw distributions and locally decodable codes. *Theory of Computing*, 15(12):1–24, 2019. doi:10.4086/toc.2019.v015a012. Preliminary version in ITCS’17.
- [BG18] J. Briët and S. Gopi. Gaussian width bounds with applications to arithmetic progressions in random settings. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page rny238, 2018. doi:10.1093/imrn/rny238.
- [BHH⁺18] A. Bhrushundi, P. Harsha, P. Hatami, S. Kopparty, and M. Kumar. On multilinear forms: Bias, correlation, and tensor rank, 2018. ArXiv:1804.09124.
- [Chr11] M. Christ. On random multilinear operator inequalities. *arXiv:1108.5655*, 2011.
- [FLW12] N. Frantzikinakis, E. Lesigne, and M. Wierdl. Random sequences and pointwise convergence of multiple ergodic averages. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 61(2):585–617, 2012. doi:10.1512/iumj.2012.61.4571.
- [FLW16] N. Frantzikinakis, E. Lesigne, and M. Wierdl. Random differences in Szemerédi’s theorem and related results. *J. Anal. Math.*, 130:91–133, 2016. doi:10.1007/s11854-016-0030-z.
- [GW11] W. T. Gowers and J. Wolf. Linear forms and higher-degree uniformity for functions on \mathbb{F}_p^n . *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 21(1):36–69, 2011. doi:10.1007/s00039-010-0106-3.
- [Jan19] O. Janzer. Polynomial bound for the partition rank vs the analytic rank of tensors, 2019. ArXiv:1902.11207.
- [KZ18] D. Kazhdan and T. Ziegler. Approximate cohomology. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 24(1):499–509, 2018. doi:10.1007/s00029-017-0335-5.
- [LN97] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*, volume 20 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn.
- [Lov19] S. Lovett. The analytic rank of tensors and its applications. *Discrete Anal.*, pages 1–10, 2019. doi:10.19086/da.8654. Paper No. 7.
- [Mes85] R. Meshulam. On the maximal rank in a subspace of matrices. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 36(2):225–229, 1985. doi:10.1093/qmath/36.2.225.
- [Mil19] L. Milićević. Polynomial bound for partition rank in terms of analytic rank. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 29(5):1503–1530, 2019. doi:10.1007/s00039-019-00505-4.
- [Nas17] E. Naslund. The partition rank of a tensor and k -right corners in \mathbb{F}_q^n , 2017. ArXiv:1701.04475.
- [Sze75] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975. doi:10.4064/aa-27-1-199-245.