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Abstract

Mathematical modeling is an essential approach for the understanding of complex multicellular behaviors in tissue morphogenesis. Here, we review the cellular Potts model (CPM; also known as the Glazier-Graner-Hogeweg model), an effective computational modeling framework. We discuss its usability for modeling complex developmental phenomena by examining four fundamental examples of tissue morphogenesis: (1) cell sorting, (2) cyst formation, (3) tube morphogenesis in kidney development, and (4) blood vessel formation. The review provides an introduction for biologists for starting simulation analysis using the CPM framework.
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**Introduction**

Biological tissue architectures emerge as a consequence of complex multicellular behaviors during embryonic development. With the molecular insight provided by genetic experiments, ever-improving visualization techniques including microscopy systems and fluorescence tools have revealed multicellular behaviors associated with the spontaneous formation of structures and patterns from the cell to the whole-organ scale (Keller 2013; Miyawaki & Niino 2015; Abe & Fujimori 2013; Chen *et al.* 2014). These techniques are the basis of quantification of chemico-mechanical activities in intra- and inter-cellular regulation of developing tissues (Grashoff *et al.* 2010; Serwane *et al.* 2016; Polacheck & Chen 2016; Aoki *et al.* 2013). Hence, it is expected that a large amount of data on multicellular dynamics can be accumulated. Instead of listing the activities of constituent cells, integrating interconnections of those cellular activities into a mechanistic mathematical modeling framework is an effective approach towards understanding how complex multicellular behaviors drive the formation of tissue architectures (Kitano 2002; Merks & Glazier 2005; Sasai 2013).

In this review, we introduce the cellular Potts model (CPM), a ‘cell-centered’ modeling framework that has been employed to represent complex multicellular behaviors. Our aim is to describe its usability for modeling various developmental phenomena to biologists that are new to modeling by presenting applications without mathematical details. Following a brief explanation of the CPM, we provide guidance for biologists who want to analyze their system using CPM simulations along four typical applications of the CPM to tissue morphogenesis: (1) cell sorting, (2) cyst formation, (3) tube morphogenesis, and (4) blood vessel formation.

**Cellular Potts Model**

The CPM, also known as the Glazier-Graner-Hogeweg (GGH) model, is a cell-based computational modeling framework and has been utilized to describe complex cell behaviors (Graner & Glazier 1992; Glazier & Graner 1993; Hogeweg 2000). The CPM represents biological cells on a regular lattice as usually connected domains of lattice sites identified with the same numerical index. This representation enables the CPM to express arbitrary cell shapes (Fig. 1A). The domains in the general CPM framework can also represent other biological structures, including subcellular compartments or the extracellular matrix (Dias *et al.* 2014; Starruß *et al.* 2007; Boas & Merks 2014). Because the biological structures can be flexibly represented on regular lattices, the CPM can be conveniently interfaced with simulations of a range of physical processes, including reactions within cells, diffusion in extracellular space, and the mechanics of the extracellular matrix (Angermann *et al.* 2012; Albert & Schwarz 2014; Albert & Schwarz 2016; van Oers *et al.* 2014; Rens & Merks 2017). Owing to its
straightforward expression of cell shape and cell motility, the CPM is regarded as a convenient modeling tool to dynamically describe cell behavior and tissue structures across multiple scales (Fig. 1B).

The cell behavior in the CPM depends on a balance of forces described by a generalized energy $H$. In the basic form of the CPM, $H$ is a sum of the interfacial energies and energies due to the cell’s deviation from a resting volume. The interfacial energies are due to intercellular adhesion and other sources of interfacial tension at intercellular and cell-matrix boundaries, including cortical tension (Krieg et al. 2008). The mathematical details are described elsewhere (Graner & Glazier 1992; Glazier & Graner 1993; Ouchi et al. 2003). The dynamics proceed stochastically on the basis of a free energy minimization using a dynamic Monte Carlo simulation algorithm. To mimic pseudopod extensions and retractions of the cells, this algorithm randomly selects a lattice site (source site) and attempts to copy its index into a randomly chosen neighboring site (target site). If this site belongs to a different biological cell (i.e., if it has a different index), the algorithm checks the net energy changes $\Delta H$ associated with this move (Fig. 1C). While the index copying occurs in a deterministic manner for the case of energy decrease, it occurs stochastically with the following Boltzmann acceptance function for the case of energy increase (Fig. 1D):

$$
Pr_{\text{transition accepted}} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \Delta H \leq 0 \\
\exp(-\Delta H/T) & \text{if } \Delta H > 0 
\end{cases}
$$

where $T$ represents a simulation temperature that determines the magnitude of random biological fluctuations. A higher $T$ causes large fluctuations allowing mesenchymal-like cell behaviors. For extremely high $T$ (melting temperature), the cells tend to disintegrate as the system becomes dominated by random fluctuations. The naïve algorithm described above can be sped up using a variety of techniques developed for related, kinetic Monte Carlo methods (Newman & Barkema 1999). For example, rejection-free algorithms maintain a list of lattice site pairs at the cell-cell interfaces to prevent the repetitive selection and rejection of lattice sites of identical index; due to the computational cost of maintaining such lists, these algorithms become particularly favorable for CPM configurations with high surface-volume ratios. Other authors have proposed synchronous update schemes to speed up the CPM (Harrison et al. 2011); we recommend against using these, as they may change the system’s kinetics.

The series of index-copies attempts to reach an energetic global minimum corresponding to force-balance, if it exists. This is, to some extent, compatible with the over-damped dynamics of in vivo environments, in which viscosity dominates inertia and the effective force, acting on cells is proportional to the velocity of the cells (Marée et al. 2007; Merks & Glazier 2005; Swat et al. 2012). However, it should be noted that the validity of the dynamics in the CPM becomes unclear for cases when the state of the system is moving far from the mechanical equilibrium due to, for example, constant injection of energy into the system.
An advantage of the CPM is its simplicity in implementing various cellular activities, such as shape change, active contraction, proliferation, and apoptosis, and the users can examine the influences of cell-level events on multicellular tissues (Merks et al. 2006; Akanuma et al. 2016; Belmonte et al. 2016; Zajac et al. 2003; Zajac et al. 2000). Additionally, because of its extensibility, the CPM allows us to tackle issues in a wide spectrum of biological phenomena including biomedical applications, e.g., cancer biology and wound healing (Szabó & Merks 2013; Hirashima et al. 2013; Noppe et al. 2015; Savill & Merks 2007). Please refer to other reviews for additional applications and details regarding the model (Merks & Glazier 2005; Szabó & Merks 2013; Scianna & Preziosi 2012; Marée et al. 2007; Swat et al. 2012; Balter et al. 2007). See (Glazier et al. 2007) for details about the historical origins of the CPM.

There is a growing demand for biologists to utilize mathematical models for predictions or generating a working hypothesis in their research. To do so, biologists should be able to perform simulation analysis using multicellular models by themselves. Without devoting to writing source codes, open source simulation environments like CompuCell3D or Morpheus support the entire workflow of the computational model analysis with graphical user interfaces depending on settings of individual users (Starruß et al. 2014; Swat et al. 2012). Moreover, open source C++ libraries are available (e.g., Tissue Simulation Toolkit, see (Daub & Merks 2014)). These environments assist biologists in their in silico analysis to better understand complex multicellular behaviors in tissue morphogenesis.

**CPM applications in tissue morphogenesis**

1. **Cell sorting**

   A well-studied biological phenomenon that has been successfully explained using the CPM is cell sorting (Graner & Glazier 1992; Glazier & Graner 1993; Steinberg 2007). Cell sorting spontaneously occurs through rearrangement of cells or selective cell aggregation in various developmental processes (Townes & Holtfreter 1955; Krens & Heisenberg 2011). To understand the mechanisms underlying the sorting behavior of cells, biophysical aspects of the phenomenon have been studied experimentally and theoretically since Steinberg proposed the differential adhesion hypothesis (DAH), i.e., the sorting of embryonic tissues results from differences in intercellular adhesion (Steinberg 1963; Steinberg 2007; Foty & Steinberg 2005; Steinberg & Takeichi 1994; Brodland 2004). Recently, the mechanical factors driving cell sorting have begun to be clarified; cell sorting depends on the coupling function generated through mechanical anchoring of adhesion molecules to the cell cortex between intercellular surface tension due to the cell-cell adhesion and cortical tension (Lecuit & Lenne 2007; Heisenberg & Bellaïche 2013).

   Simulations of the CPM incorporating the mechanical factors are sufficient to
reproduce the multicellular patterns that emerge as a result of the cell sorting observed in experiments (Krieg et al. 2008; Käfer et al. 2007). In these simulations, in addition to interfacial energies originating from cell-cell adhesion, the Hamiltonian includes a cortical tension term (Ouchi et al. 2003). Although CPMs based on the DAH alone can explain separation of differentially-adherent cells, cortical tension terms are required for sorting in the biologically correct order (Glazier et al. 2007; Magno et al. 2015) and can affect the kinetics of cell sorting (Nakajima & Ishihara 2011). Further insights into the dynamic aspects of cell sorting will become available after more experimental data is integrated into CPM simulations.

2. Cyst formation

In recent years, advanced computer performance has made it possible to study the formation of 3D multicellular structures using the CPM. Particularly, cystogenesis is an appropriate target to understand how epithelial cells coordinate their polarity in space and time to form a spherical monolayer that has a single fluid-filled lumen in 3D extracellular matrix (Fig. 2A). In vitro studies have revealed that cells involved in cystogenesis acquire apico-basal polarity during the process, and several cellular activities including proliferation and migration involved in maintaining cell-cell adhesion are coordinated to generate the spherical structure polarized on a tissue scale (Bryant & Mostov 2008; Martin-Belmonte & Mostov 2008). Therefore, understanding dynamical aspects in the activities of individual polarized cells is essential for clarifying mechanisms underlying the generation of epithelial cysts.

For implementing the polarity of individual cells, subcellular compartments are introduced into the cells in the CPM. For example, modeling the apical region in the cells at the cell-lumen interface in the cyst leads to representing the dynamics of individual polarized cells (Fig. 2B). Based on this framework, Cerruti et al. modeled the cystogenesis of Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, which have served as an excellent in vitro experimental system for cystogenesis, and analyzed their CPM to reveal what generates multi-lumen structures appearing in abnormal cystogenesis (Cerruti et al. 2013). They examined the relationship between doubling time in cell division and relaxation time of the system to its mechanical equilibrium during cystogenesis, and found that the multilumen structures tend to appear when the doubling time is shorter than the relaxation time (Fig. 2C). This can be regarded as a consequence of aberrant cell proliferation due to the loss of contact inhibition (Abercrombie 1979). In addition to the extreme cell proliferation, Belmonte et al. used the CPM to predict that a reduction in cell-cell adhesion in an epithelial monolayer of the polarized multicellular structure triggers ectopic cyst induction, eventually producing multilumens, and verified the model prediction in vitro (Belmonte et al. 2016) (Fig. 2D). By integrating predictive CPM modeling and experimental validation, these studies have deepened the understanding of how genetic mutations can trigger cystic diseases by inducing subtle changes in cell behavior.
In addition to cellular compartments, the CPM can be used to examine non-cellular materials. Boas and Merks modeled intracellular vesicles and vacuoles in endothelial cells to examine mechanisms of lumen formation during blood vessel development (Boas & Merks 2014). They compared two competing explanations of lumen formation in blood vessels: (1) fusion of intracellular vacuoles transported out of the cells; and (2) active repulsion of adjacent cells. Extensive simulation studies for broad parameter ranges led them to conclude that only simultaneous operation of the two mechanisms would robustly produce lumens. By thus extending the CPM to describe chemico-mechanical factors, we can better understand dynamic properties of cells during the lumen formation.

3. Tube morphogenesis

The CPM has been adopted to model tissue growth and deformation of relatively simple multicellular structures during development, such as the outgrowth of the limb bud (Poptawski et al. 2007; Marée & Hogeweg 2001; Savill & Hogeweg 1997) and subsequent digit formation (Chaturvedi et al. 2005; Cickovski et al. 2005), and morphogenesis of the cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum* from aggregation of free-living amoebae and slug formation (Savill & Hogeweg 1997) to fruiting body formation (Marée & Hogeweg 2001). In these processes, chemical communication between different tissues plays a pivotal role, as in most types of tissue morphogenesis. Hence, modeling tissue morphogenesis using the CPM has demonstrated the capability of integrating the dynamics of diffusive chemicals and reacting cells as chemotactic behaviors (Savill & Hogeweg 1997). We here explore an application of the CPM in which chemical interaction between different tissues is incorporated to understand a simple tissue morphogenesis observed during vertebrate kidney development.

The morphogenesis of kidneys occurs through reciprocal inductive interactions between the ureteric bud (UB) and metanephric mesenchyme (MM) via GDNF/Ret signaling; the secreted protein glial cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) is expressed in the MM adjacent to the caudal Wolffian duct (WD) and its receptor Ret is expressed throughout the WD and in the distal tip of the UB (Costantini & Shakya 2006) (Fig. 3A). During the process of the mutual induction between these tissues, the UB is formed by budding from the WD and elongates to the MM region, initiating a number of iterative branching events (Costantini & Kopan 2010; Michos 2009). It has been revealed that Ret-expressing cells in the WD exhibit chemotaxis against the GDNF, which drives the outgrowth of the UB (Tang *et al.* 1998; Sariola & Sainio 1997). Moreover, it is known that the process is controlled via positive feedback of GDNF/Ret signaling (Majumdar *et al.* 2003).

In the CPM, a tubular structure similar to the WD can be modeled by introducing apical subcellular compartments using the techniques for polarized cells introduced in the previous section, and the macroscopic physical process of GDNF including diffusion and decay can be calculated on the grids simultaneously with the dynamics of
cells (Fig. 3B). Simulations in which chemotaxis of UB cells was integrated using techniques similar to those used in earlier studies (Savill & Hogeweg 1997) suggest that the UB budding can occur from the WD as cells migrating along the gradient of GDNF (Fig. 3C). In addition, further analysis incorporating the effect of GDNF/Ret feedback regulation realized the dynamic morphogenetic process from the budding to the elongation as observed (Hirashima, unpubl. data, 2011). Furthermore, by incorporating a second role of GDNF as a stimulator of cell proliferation in the ureteric epithelia (Pepicelli et al. 1997), the CPM simulations predict that the balance between chemotaxis and cell proliferation of UB would determine the branching morphology in a single tip of the UB (Hirashima et al. 2009). When the chemotaxis is influential over the cell proliferation provided by GDNF, the single UB morphology becomes kinked, and in the opposite case, it becomes bloated. In general, tissue morphogenesis involves multiple feedback regulation loops; the studies review above illustrate that the CPM is a convenient modeling framework to implement such complex events.

4. Blood vessel formation

The CPM has found much application in the study of vascular development. Blood vessel formation occurs throughout development and adulthood. During embryonic development, dispersed endothelial cells aggregate to form networks, a process called vasculogenesis (Eichmann et al. 2005). In adult organisms, new blood vessels sprout from pre-existing ones in a process called angiogenesis (Carmeliet 2005). Angiogenesis occurs in physiological processes, e.g., during wound healing, or in pathological processes, e.g., during tumor angiogenesis. In order to promote or inhibit angiogenesis in these processes, a better understanding of the mechanisms behind blood vessel formation is required.

Much like any developmental mechanism, blood vessel formation involves an intricate, multiscale interplay between processes occurring at the molecular scale, at the cellular scale, and at the tissue scale. This makes the CPM, in combination with techniques to model the subcellular scale and the cellular microenvironment, including the extracellular matrix, a suitable tool for modeling blood vessel formation.

Although much experimental research has been dedicated to unravel how endothelial cells form vascular networks, it is still not completely clear what cell behavior makes vasculogenesis possible. Mathematical modelers proposed various mechanisms for vasculogenesis by using the CPM.

One class of models proposed that the endothelial cells attract one another via an autocrinically secreted chemoattractant, e.g., via vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) or small cytokines (Gamba et al. 2003). In a CPM model resembling the Keller-Segel equations (Keller & Segel 1970) for chemotaxis (Merks et al. 2008), this mechanism makes the cells aggregate into disconnected islands, suggesting that such autocrine chemoattraction does not suffice for vascular network formation. Two alternative, additional assumptions, however, allow stable network formation. A first
model showed that networks can form via autocrine chemoattraction if the chemotaxis is contact-inhibited (Merks et al. 2008). A potential mechanism for such contact-inhibition of chemotaxis is modulation of the activity of the VEGFR2 receptor by VE-cadherin activity (Dejana 2004). Consistent with this hypothesis, treatment with anti-VE-cadherin antibodies in mouse allantois cultures could prevent network formation of endothelial cells (Merks et al. 2008), but alternative explanations for this observation, including loss of cell-cell adhesion, cannot be excluded.

An alternative model showed that autocrine chemoattraction can generate stable networks if the endothelial cells are elongated (Merks et al. 2006). Interestingly, a more recent CPM study showed that cell elongation alone, in the absence of chemotaxis, can induce network formation (Palm & Merks 2013). In this model, adhesive elongated cells form elongated structures that connect to each other. Because the clusters rotate very slowly, the network is not in equilibrium, but continues to evolve, ever more slowly towards equilibrium, a phenomenon called dynamical arrested. Another CPM study suggested that cells which preferentially adhere to elongated cell aggregates can also form networks (Szabo et al. 2007). This cell behavior was proposed based the experimental observation that cellular networks can form on bare, fibronectin-coated substrates, in continuously shaken culture bottles to prevent the formation of chemical or tensile gradients in the ECM, thus preventing cell-cell communication. The authors proposed that an increased tension at elongated parts of the network could recruit cell-cell adhesion molecules.

These proposed mechanisms can be tested and validated using experimental data. Kohn-Luque et al. noted that the diffusion speed for VEGF that was assumed in (Merks et al. 2008) is generally much lower than the values reported for most VEGF isoforms in vitro (Köhn-Luque et al. 2011). By including binding of VEGF to the extracellular matrix in the CPM, it was suggested that VEGF is secreted by an underlying endodermic tissue. Then, endothelial cells secrete ECM that the VEGF binds to. It was assumed that endothelial cells are more attracted to ECM-bound than to soluble VEGF. This mechanism also predicts network dynamics (Köhn-Luque et al. 2011), suggesting that including interactions of cells with the ECM is vital for increasing our understanding of the mechanisms of vasculogenesis. More recent work by Van Oers et al. also modeled cell-matrix interaction in the CPM, but of a mechanical type (van Oers et al. 2014) (Fig. 4A). In this model, cells generate mechanical strains in the matrix by pulling on it. Then, based on experimental observations of cell movements, the authors modeled cells that preferentially move along these mechanical strains. This mechanism was based on the experimental observation that by straining the matrix, cells stiffen the matrix and subsequently better attach to it. The underlying assumption is that focal adhesions, the bundles of integrins that bind cells to the matrix, grow to larger size on stiff matrices. This mechanism is sufficient to explain network formation and sprouting on compliant matrices.

The models described above (Merks et al. 2006; Merks et al. 2008; van Oers et al.
and a further study (Szabó et al. 2012) can, besides vasculogenesis, also explain sprouting from cellular spheroids, an in vitro mechanism thought to be representative for the first steps of angiogenesis (Szabó et al. 2012).

Other CPM studies have focused specifically on sprouting from a pre-existing vessel. In particular, the role of cell-matrix interactions through chemical and mechanical interactions were investigated (Daub & Merks 2013) (Fig. 4B). Bauer et al. represented ECM fibers using the CPM and studied a system where the vessel sprouts up a VEGF gradient secreted by a tumor (Bauer et al. 2007; Bauer et al. 2009a) (Fig. 4C). The cells at the tip of the sprout degrade the matrix and chemotact. This model suggests that due to haptotaxis, degradation and secreting of ECM, the speed, direction and the amount of branching depends on matrix fiber density. Daub and Merks also studied how a VEGF gradient and ECM density influences sprout dynamics (Daub & Merks 2013). In this model, cells secrete enzymes that degrade an ECM material, which was represented by a continuum field. The cells in this model exhibit chemotaxis, haptokinesis (migration speed proportional to ECM concentration) and haptotaxis (migration up non-diffusion ECM gradients). The authors found that haptotaxis promotes the formation of branches while haptotaxis primarily influenced the degree of sprouting.

In the model by Bauer et al., cells were given a fixed tip or stalk identity (Bauer et al. 2007). Tip cells are generally more motile and responsive to VEGF, thus leading the sprout. Stalk cells are generally more proliferative and follow the tip cells. It is thought that tip and stalk cells do not maintain a fixed phenotype but can rapidly change phenotype through the Notch-Delta pathway. High intercellular Delta levels are associated with tip cells. Cell-cell signaling then reduces Delta in neighboring cells, which obtain a stalk phenotype. Such signaling can thus highly impacts sprout progression. Prokopiou et al. studied sprout progression by means of chemotaxis and haptotaxis in the presence of Delta-Notch signaling (Prokopiou et al. 2016). Simulation results of this model most closely mimic experimental data when the VEGF gradient is established by a VEGF secreting astrocytic cell and the fibrous matrix is heterogeneous.

Scianna et al. studied sprouting from vessels in a hypoxic tissue (Scianna et al. 2015) (Fig. 4D). This model suggests that stalk cell proliferation perpendicular to sprouting is vital to optimal sprout progression. The formation of high vascularity in the hypoxic tissue is also stunted by interference of the Delta-Notch pathway. These multiscale models show that cell-cell signaling and distinguishing of cell phenotypes if vital for a better understanding of angiogenesis.

Observations of tip and stalk cell motility in mouse embryoid bodies and mouse retina assays show that the tip cell position and role is repeatedly taken over by stalk cells further behind on the sprout (Jakobsson et al. 2010a; Arima et al. 2011; Sugihara et al. 2015; Bentley et al. 2014). The function and mechanisms of this observed mechanism was not immediately clear and was subject to further studies. A combination of in vitro and in vivo imaging and mathematical modeling based on a modified CPM model, has suggested that contact-dependent lateral inhibition via the Dll4-Notch
pathway regulate active, polarized motion of candidate tip cells towards the tip position (Bentley et al., 2014). A recent CPM simulation study further analyzed the two previous autocrine chemotaxis models of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis described above (Boas & Merks 2015). It was found that in these models overtake movements occur naturally, as a side-effect of the cell-cell interactions responsible for branching. Integrating a Dll4-Notch-VEGFR2 network in this model allowed cells at the tip to maintain the right phenotype. (Bentley et al. 2014; Jakobsson et al. 2010b). This CPM study thus supports a view where, instead of being actively regulated by Notch levels, tip cell overtaking is a non-functional side-effect of the collective cell behavior that drives branching. In this view, Notch signalling acts to make tip-stalk patterning robust during branching (Jakobsson et al. 2010a).

In conclusion, the CPM is able to reproduce the most important phenomenology of angiogenesis and vasculogenesis from relatively simple, experimentally plausible assumptions on endothelial cell behavior. By incorporating details on the subcellular scale and the microenvironment, more realistic tissue dynamics can be inferred and we can increase our understanding of how mechanisms working on different tissue scales and the intricate interplay among them may promote or inhibit blood vessel formation.

Perspectives

In this review, we showed application of the CPM to various multiscale phenomena of multicellular tissue development. The studies highlighted here take into account experimental facts, and hence, can be regarded as successful examples of how the model simulations contribute to bridging from complex interconnections of cellular activities to the processes of tissue morphogenesis. The CPM simulations are often not yet adequate to assimilate measured data with physical units (Marée et al. 2007; Oates et al. 2009), but other aspects including the kinetic exponents that characterize the dynamics of pattern formation can be readily matched with experiment data in order to validate if the model falls in the right universality class (Marée et al. 2007; Graner & Glazier 1992; Glazier & Graner 1993). The CPM has the advantage of incorporating information on complex multicellular phenomena without tricky algorithmic implementations. Therefore, it still provides an effective tool for biologists to interpret a large amount of spatio-temporal data for multicellular dynamics.
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Figure legends

Figure 1 Cellular Potts Model.
(A) On-lattice expression in the CPM. Colors represent individual cells. (B) Multiple scales from cells to organs in living structure. (C) Configuration change by the index copying. (D) Rule of state transitions in the CPM.
Figure 2 Cyst Formation.
(A) Schematics for cystogenesis. (B) Representation of cells and subcellular compartments in the CPM. (C) Lumen formation in cysts depending on the relative doubling time of constituent cells. Parts of C are reproduced from ©Cerruti et al., 2013, published in Journal of Cell Biology. (D) Ectopic cyst induction by either decreased adhesion or decreased contact inhibition. Reproduced from ©Belmonte et al., 2016 with permission from the American Society for Cell Biology. Originally published in Molecular Biology of the Cell.
Figure 3 Wolffian Duct Morphogenesis.
(A) Morphogenetic process of development of the epithelial tube in the kidney. Reproduced from ©Costantini and Shakya, 2006 originally published in BioEssays with permissions from Wiley. The text labels have been added to the original figure.
(B) Spatial distribution of diffusible molecule GDNF around the WD. The contour planes of diffusive GDNF concentrations are visualized. Only the center position of the cells in the WD are in the display. (C) Time course of UB budding. Only some cells in the tube are visualized in order to show the tube structure.
Figure 4 Multiscale Angiogenesis Models.

(A) Vascular network formation (left) and sprouting from a blob (left) and by mechanical cell-matrix interactions (van Oers et al. 2014).

(B) Sprouting from a vessel into a matrix, branching is promoted by haptokineses (Daub & Merks 2013) (part of Supplementary Figure 1 in original).

(C) Sprouting through a fibrous matrix by matrix degradation and chemotaxis towards a tumor (Bauer et al. 2009b) (part of Figure 7 in original).

(D) Sprouting from a vessel produces vascular network in hypoxic region (Scianna et al. 2015) (part of Figure 7 in original).