A Group Theoretic Interpretation of the Last Part of de Branges' Proof of the Bieberbach Conjecture

TOM H. KOORNWINDER

Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science, P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Communicated by Ch. Pommerenke

A more conceptual and less computational proof is given for the last part of de Branges' proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, i.e. where the special functions enter and the Askey–Gasper inequality is applied. General solutions of de Branges' system of differential equations are brought in 1-1 correspondence first with Fourier-sine series and next with spherical function expansions on the sphere S^3. Restriction of spherical functions on S^5 to S^3 and positive definiteness then finish the proof.

AMS (MOS): 33A75, 30C50, 33A45, 43A35, 43A90

1. INTRODUCTION

Several people asked me about a possible group theoretic interpretation for the last part of de Branges' proof of the Bieberbach conjecture [3], i.e. for the part where the special functions enter and the Askey–Gasper [1] inequality is applied. A partial answer was given in Askey and Gasper [2, §2]. They reduce the question of the positivity of the hypergeometric functions

$$_3F_2(n - r, r + n + 2, n + \tfrac{1}{2}; 2n + 1, n + \tfrac{3}{2}; s^{-1}), \tag{1.1}$$

$s \geqslant 1$, $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leqslant n \leqslant r$, to proving that for each n, r the expansion

$$C_{r-n}^{n+1}(x) = \sum_{l=n}^{r} a_l C_{l-n}^{(1/2)+n}(x) \tag{1.2}$$

309

holds with nonnegative coefficients a_k. Here $C_m^\lambda(x)$ is a Gegenbauer polynomial. This nonnegativity follows without computation by observing that (1.2) can be interpreted as restricting a (zonal) spherical function on the sphere $S^{2n+3} = \mathrm{SO}(2n+4)/\mathrm{SO}(2n+3)$ to S^{2n+2} and then expanding it in terms of the spherical functions on S^{2n+2}. Since the zonal positive definite functions on a sphere are precisely the functions having spherical function expansions with nonnegative coefficients and restrictions of positive definite functions on a sphere to a lower dimensional sphere are again positive definite, the nonnegativity of the a_k's follows. If next, in (1.2), x is replaced by $1 - (1 - x)s^{-1}$ and both sides are multiplied by $(1 - x^2)^{n-(1/2)}$ and integrated with respect to x from -1 to 1 then, at the left, the $_3F_2$ in (1.1) appears while, at each term at the right, a $_3F_2$ arises which, by Clausen's identity, can be written as

$$\mathrm{const}(C_{l-n}^{n+(1/2)}((1 - s^{-1})^{1/2}))^2 \tag{1.3}$$

with positive constant.

Two elements remain unsatisfactory about this Askey–Gasper approach. First, de Branges [4] and Koornwinder [8] show that (1.2) is not really needed for all n but only for $n = 1$, so it is a matter of restriction of spherical functions on S^5 to S^4. However, this reduction is performed by a trick which needs deeper explanation. Second, one would like to have a better understanding why $_3F_2$ functions arise which can be written as squares.

In the present paper I will explain both things. The key observation is that general solutions of de Branges' system of differential equations are obtained as Fourier-sine coefficients of functions of argument transformed under the action of a one-parameter semigroup. This can be rewritten in group theoretic form, where rotation groups SO(6), SO(5), SO(4), SO(3) are involved and then an extension of the positive definiteness argument we mentioned yields the result. I conclude the paper with a similar, but not group theoretic characterization of the solutions of de Branges' more general system of differential equations in [4].

It is tempting to extend the group theoretic interpretation presented here to the earlier parts of the proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. For instance, do univalent analytic functions on the disk conceptually live on a low dimensional sphere and can Loewner's differential equation be interpreted in group language? However, if anything is possible in this spirit then it must be tied up with the logarithmic case. It follows from

[4] that νth powers of univalent functions are connected with "spherical functions" on "spheres" of fractional dimension $2\nu + 4$, the case $\nu = 0$ being the logarithmic case.

Many mathematicians have checked de Branges' proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, but did not have the courage to do the (not too tedious) computations leading to the Askey–Gasper inequality for themselves. The proof presented here may serve as a less computational and more conceptual alternative. The remaining computations only involve trigonometric identities.

For convenience of the reader without knowledge about positive definite and spherical functions on compact groups, Section 3 is inserted with the relevant material.

2. AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM YIELDING THE GENERAL SOLUTION OF DE BRANGES' SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

In [3] de Branges considered the system of differential equations

$$\sigma_n(t) + n^{-1}t\sigma_n'(t) = \sigma_{n+1}(t) - (n+1)^{-1}t\sigma_{n+1}'(t), \qquad (2.1)$$

$t \geq 1, n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Call a solution $\{\sigma_n\}$ *admissible* if σ_1 is not identically zero, σ_n is identically zero for n sufficiently large and $\sigma_n'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 1$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. In [3, Theorem 2] de Branges states that any admissible solution of (2.1) yields a Milin type inequality for the logarithmic power series coefficients of a univalent analytic function on the unit disk which sends 0 to 0. The Milin inequality itself follows by showing that the unique solution of (2.1) with initial values

$$\sigma_n(1) = \max(r + 1 - n, 0), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots \qquad (2.2)$$

is admissible. For this solution we have that $-s^{n+1}\sigma_n'(s)$ equals a positive factor times expression (1.1), cf. [3, proof of Theorem 3], but this will not be needed here. By (2.2) and (2.1):

$$-\sigma_n'(1) = \begin{cases} n, & n = r, r - 2, r - 4, \ldots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \qquad (2.3)$$

Note that, if $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a solution of (2.1) then other solutions are given by the functions $t \mapsto \sigma_n(st)$ $(s \geq 1)$ and $t \mapsto t\sigma_n'(t)$.

THEOREM 2.1 *Let* $P \in C^1([-1,1])$ *and define functions* $\sigma_n = \sigma_n[P]$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ *on* $[1, \infty)$ *by*

$$\sigma_n(t) := 2\pi^{-1}t^{-1} \int_0^\pi P(1 - t^{-1} + t^{-1}\cos\theta)\sin(n\theta)\sin\theta \, d\theta. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the functions σ_n *solve* (2.1) *with initial values*

$$\sigma_n(1) = 2\pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi P(\cos\theta)\sin(n\theta)\sin\theta \, d\theta. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof Let $\sigma_n(t)$ be defined by (2.4). Straightforward integration by parts yields

$$\sigma_n(t) + n^{-1}t\sigma_n'(t) - \sigma_{n+1}(t) + (n+1)^{-1}\sigma_{n+1}'(t)$$

$$= 2\pi^{-1}t^{-1} \int_0^\pi P(1 - t^{-1} + t^{-1}\cos\theta)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{n-1}{n}\sin(n\theta)\sin\theta + \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(\sin(n\theta)(1-\cos\theta)) \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{n+2}{n+1}\sin(n+1)\theta\sin\theta + \frac{1}{n+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(\sin(n+1)\theta(1-\cos\theta)) \right] d\theta.$$

It follows from elementary trigonometric identities that the expression in square brackets vanishes. ∎

Observe that

$$(\sigma_n[P])(st) = (\sigma_n[P_s])(t), \qquad \text{where} \quad P_s(x) := s^{-1}P(1 - s^{-1} + s^{-1}x), \quad s \geqslant$$

and

$$-t(\sigma_n[P])'(t) = (\sigma_n[Q])(t), \qquad \text{where} \quad Q(x) := \frac{d}{dx}((x-1)P(x)) \quad (2.6)$$

If a solution $\{\sigma_n\}$ of (2.1) is identically zero for n large then, by (2.5), $\sigma_n = \sigma_n[P]$ with P given by the terminating series

$$P(\cos\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sigma_n(1)\frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin\theta}, \quad (2.7)$$

while, in view of (2.6), $-t\sigma_n'(t) = (\sigma_n[Q])(t)$ with Q given by the terminating series

$$Q(\cos\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-\sigma_n'(1))\frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin\theta}. \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously

$$U_{n-1}(\cos\theta) := \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin\theta} \qquad (2.9)$$

defines a polynomial U_{n-1} of degree $n-1$ which satisfies the orthogonality relations

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} U_k(x)U_l(x)(1-x^2)^{1/2}\,dx = \delta_{k,l} \qquad (2.10)$$

(*Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind*). So (2.7) (or (2.8)) is terminating iff P (or Q) is a polynomial. If Q is a polynomial then, by (2.6), it determines a unique polynomial P given by

$$P(x) = (1-x)^{-1}\int_{x}^{1} Q(y)\,dy, \qquad (2.11)$$

and (2.8) will be valid with $\sigma_n := \sigma_n[P]$. We can now conclude:

PROPOSITION 2.2 *Formula* (2.8) *establishes a* 1-1 *correspondence between admissible solutions* $\{\sigma_n\}$ *of* (2.1) *and nonzero polynomials* Q *such that* $(\sigma_n[Q])(t) \geqslant 0$ *for all* $t \geqslant 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

If $\{\sigma_n\}$ is the solution of (2.1) determined by (2.2) then (2.3) and (2.8) yield

$$Q(\cos\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{[(1/2)(r-1)]} (r-2k)\frac{\sin(r-2k)\theta}{\sin\theta}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{[(1/2)(r-1)]} U_{r-1-2k}(\cos\theta)U_{r-1-2k}(1). \qquad (2.12)$$

Hence Q is a polynomial of degree $r-1$, $Q(-x) = (-1)^{r-1}Q(x)$ and, by (2.10) and (2.12), we have for any polynomial p of degree $\leqslant r$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} Q(x)p(x)(1-x^2)^{1/2}\,dx = p(1) \quad \text{or} \quad 0$$

according to whether Q and p have the same or opposite parity, respectively. It follows that Q is an orthogonal polynomial of degree $r-1$ on the interval $(-1, 1)$ with respect to the weight function $(1-x^2)^{3/2}$, normalized by

$$Q(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{[(1/2)(r-1)]} (r-2k)^2 = \tfrac{1}{6}r(r+1)(r+2). \qquad (2.13)$$

Generally, *Gegenbauer polynomials* C_n^λ are orthogonal polynomials of degree n on the interval $(-1, 1)$ with respect to the weight function $(1 - x^2)^{\lambda - (1/2)}$, normalized by

$$C_n^\lambda(1) = \frac{(2\lambda)_n}{n!}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $(a)_k := a(a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$. Hence Q given by (2.12) equals

$$Q(x) = C_{r-1}^2(x) \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$U_{n-1}(\cos \theta) = C_{n-1}^1(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin \theta}. \tag{2.16}$$

So, avoiding the expression (1.1), we have to verify that $(\sigma_n[Q])(t) \geqslant 0$ for all $t \geqslant 1$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ with Q given by (2.15).

3. GROUP THEORETIC PRELIMINARIES

Let U be a compact topological group with closed subgroup K such that for each irreducible unitary representation π of U the representation space $\mathscr{H}(\pi)$ contains an at most one-dimensional subspace of K-fixed vectors. Then the pair (U, K) is called a (compact) *Gelfand pair*. If $\mathscr{H}(\pi)$ contains a K-fixed vector e of unit norm then the function

$$\phi(u) := (\pi(u)e, e), \qquad u \in U, \tag{3.1}$$

is called the *spherical function* on U with respect to K associated with π. Let du denote Haar measure on U such that $\int_U du = 1$ and let $L^2(U) := L^2(U; du)$. By Schur's lemma, distinct spherical functions are mutually orthogonal elements of $L^2(U)$.

Call a function on U U-*finite* if it is a finite linear combination of functions $u \mapsto (\pi(u)e_1, e_2)$, where π is an irreducible unitary representation of U and $e_1, e_2 \in \mathscr{H}(\pi)$. If V is a closed subgroup of U then the restriction of a U-finite function to V is V-finite. The U-finite K-bi-invariant functions f on U are precisely the finite linear combinations of spherical functions ϕ_δ:

$$f(u) = \sum_{\text{finite}} (\|\phi_\delta\|_2)^{-2} c_\delta \phi_\delta(u), \qquad u \in U. \tag{3.2}$$

Then

$$c_\delta = \int_U f(u)\overline{\phi_\delta(u)} \, du. \tag{3.3}$$

A continuous function f on U is called *positive definite* on U if

$$\int_U \int_U f(u_2^{-1} u_1)\, d\mu(u_1)\, \overline{d\mu(u_2)} \geqslant 0 \qquad (3.4)$$

for all complex Borel measures μ on U. Clearly, the restriction of a positive definite function on U to a closed subgroup V is positive definite on V. If the spherical function ϕ is given by (3.1) and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{H}(\pi)$ then

$$\phi(u_2^{-1} u_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\pi(u_1)e, e_j)\overline{(\pi(u_2)e, e_j)}, \qquad u_1, u_2 \in U. \qquad (3.5)$$

It follows that spherical functions are positive definite and also functions of the form (3.2) with all $c_\delta \geqslant 0$. Conversely, if f is a positive definite function on U and ϕ a spherical function then

$$\int_U f(u)\overline{\phi(u)}\, du = \int_U f(u_2^{-1} u_1)\overline{\phi(u_2^{-1} u_1)}\, du_1$$

$$= \int_U \int_U f(u_2^{-1} u_1)\overline{\phi(u_2^{-1} u_1)}\, du_1\, du_2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_U \int_U f(u_2^{-1} u_1)\overline{(\pi(u_1)e, e_j)}\, (\pi(u_2)e, e_j)\, du_1\, du_2 \geqslant 0$$

for all $u_2 \in U$. It follows in particular that f of the form (3.2) is positive definite iff all $c_\delta \geqslant 0$.

We need a few facts about spherical functions on the sphere $S^{N-1} = SO(N)/SO(N-1)$, which can be obtained from the theory of spherical harmonics, cf. for instance Müller [9]. Let $U := SO(N)$, the group of real orthogonal $N \times N$ matrices of determinant 1, with subgroups

$$K := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & SO(N-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A := \left\{ a_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{N-2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Suppose $N \geqslant 3$. Then (U, K) is a Gelfand pair, there is the *Cartan decomposition* $U = KAK$, where $u \in U$ determines unique $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ such

that $u \in Ka_\theta K$, and, for $f \in C(U//K)$ (continuous K-bi-invariant on U):

$$\int_U f(u) \, du = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}N)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}N - \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi f(a_\theta)(\sin \theta)^{N-2} \, d\theta. \qquad (3.6)$$

The spherical functions ϕ on U with respect to K are completely determined by their restrictions to A and are there given by

$$\phi(a_\theta) = C_n^{(1/2N)-1}(\cos \theta)/C_n^{(1/2N)-1}(1), \qquad (3.7)$$

where $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $C_n^{(1/2N)-1}$ denotes a Gegenbauer polynomial.

4. PROOF OF THE POSITIVITY RESULT

In this section we make silent use of the group theoretic conventions and results summarized in Section 5. Let $U := SO(5)$ with subgroups

$$K := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & SO(4) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M := \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & SO(3) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A := \left\{ a_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

$$B := \left\{ b_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and let ψ_n $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ be the spherical functions on K with respect to M such that

$$\psi_n(b_\theta) = C_{n-1}^1(\cos \theta)/C_{n-1}^1(1) = \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{n \sin \theta}. \qquad (4.1)$$

Let $p \in C(U//K)$ and put

$$P(\cos \theta) := p(a_\theta). \qquad (4.2)$$

Then, for each η, the function $k \mapsto p(a_\eta k a_\eta^{-1})$ is M-bi-invariant on K,

since A and M commute. Hence, by (3.6), (4.1), (4.2):

$$\int_K p(a_\eta k a_\eta^{-1}) \psi_n(k) \, dk = 2\pi^{-1} n^{-1} \int_0^\pi p(a_\eta b_\theta a_\eta^{-1}) \sin(n\theta) \sin\theta \, d\theta$$

$$= 2\pi^{-1} n^{-1} \int_0^\pi P(\cos^2\eta + \sin^2\eta \cos\theta) \sin(n\theta) \sin\theta \, d\theta.$$

Compare with (2.4). Then it follows that

$$(\sigma_n[P])(\sin^{-2}\eta) = n \sin^2\eta \int_K p(a_\eta k a_\eta^{-1}) \psi_n(k) \, dk. \qquad (4.3)$$

For each η, $(a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}, M)$ is a Gelfand pair with spherical functions $a_\eta k a_\eta^{-1} \mapsto \psi_n(k)$. Let P be a polynomial (or, equivalently, p a U-finite function in $C(U/\!/K)$). Then, by (4.3), $(\sigma_n[P])(t) \geqslant 0$ for all n, t iff p restricted to any subgroup $a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}$ is positive definite.

By Section 2, for settling the Milin conjecture, we have to verify that $(\sigma_n[Q])(t) \geqslant 0$ for all n, t, with Q given by (2.15). The corresponding $q \in C(U/\!/K)$ is given by

$$q(k_1 a_\theta k_2) = C_{r-1}^2(\cos\theta), \qquad k_1, k_2 \in K. \qquad (4.4)$$

We recognize the C_{r-1}^2 as a spherical function χ_r on SO(6) with respect to SO(5) (up to a positive factor). Let $G := $ SO(6), U embedded as a subgroup of G by

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{SO}(5) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let

$$V := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SO}(5) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\chi_r(v_1 a_\theta v_2) = C_{r-1}^2(\cos\theta)$, $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Since $V \cap U = K$, it follows that $\chi_r|_U = q$, so q restricted to $a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}$, which equals χ_r restricted to $a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}$, is positive definite for each η, since χ_r as a spherical function is positive definite. Hence $(\sigma_n[Q])(t) \geqslant 0$ for all n, t and our promises are fulfilled.

5. THE SUM OF SQUARES

In de Branges [4] and Koornwinder [8] it was pointed out that (1.3) multiplied by s^{-n} also yields a solution of (2.1), while Askey and Gasper

[1], [2] wrote (1.1) as a sum of expressions (1.3). These things also fit nicely into our picture of Section 4.

Replace P, p in (4.2) and (4.3) by Q, q. In view of Proposition 2.2 and (4.3), any U-finite non-zero $q \in C(U//K)$ which is positive definite on all subgroups $a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}$ yields an admissible solution $\{\sigma_n\}$ of (2.1) and conversely. In particular, if q is positive definite on U then it is positive definite on all subgroups $a_\eta K a_\eta^{-1}$ (but not conversely), and the positive definite U-finite q on U are of the form

$$q = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_l \phi_l, \qquad a_l \geqslant 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where ϕ_l is the spherical function on U with respect to K given by

$$\phi_l(a_\theta) := C_{l-1}^{3/2}(\cos \theta)/C_{l-1}^{3/2}(1), \qquad l = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{5.2}$$

Write $\Phi_l(\cos \theta) := \phi_l(a_\theta)$. Then, by (4.3):

$$(\sigma_n[\Phi_l])(\sin^{-2} \eta) = n \sin^2 \eta \int_K \phi_l(a_\eta k a_\eta^{-1})\psi_n(k)\, dk. \tag{5.3}$$

It follows from [7, §3.3] that

$$\int_K \phi_l(a_{\eta_1} k a_{\eta_2}^{-1})\psi_n(k)\, dk = \phi_l^n(a_{\eta_1})\overline{\phi_l^n(a_{\eta_2})}, \tag{5.4}$$

where ϕ_l^n is an *associated spherical function*. Hence combination of (5.2) and (5.3) gives

$$(\sigma_n[\Phi_l])(\sin^{-2} \eta) = n \sin^2 \eta |\phi_l^n(a_\eta)|^2. \tag{5.5}$$

This shows once more that $(\sigma_n[\Phi_l])(t) \geqslant 0$.

For q of the form (5.1):

$$\cdot \quad (\sigma_n[Q])(\sin^{-2} \eta) = n \sin^2 \eta \sum_l a_l |\phi_l^n(a_\eta)|^2. \tag{5.6}$$

In particular, if q is given by (4.4), then q, as the restriction of the positive definite spherical function χ_r, is positive definite on U, so $a_l \geqslant 0$ in (5.1). Then (5.6) is the Askey–Gasper expansion of (1.1) in terms of (1.3).

The relationship between $|\phi_l^n(a_\eta)|^2$ and (1.3) can be seen more explicitly by rewriting (5.3) as

$$(\sigma_n[\Phi_l])(\sin^{-2} \eta) = 2\pi^{-1}(C_{l-1}^{3/2}(1))^{-1} \sin^2 \eta$$

$$\times \int_0^\pi C_{l-1}^{3/2}(\cos^2 \eta + \sin^2 \eta \cos \theta)C_{n-1}^1(\cos \theta)\sin^2 \theta\, d\theta. \tag{5.7}$$

We recognize the right-hand side of (5.7) as an integrated form of the addition formula for Gegenbauer polynomials [5, 10.9 (34), watch for the misprint 2^m which should be 2^{2m}] and obtain

$$\phi_l^n(a_\eta) = \text{const}(\sin \eta)^{n-1} C_{l-n}^{n+(1/2)}(\cos \eta). \qquad (5.8)$$

6. A MORE GENERAL SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND ITS SOLUTIONS

In [4] de Branges considers the system of differential equations

$$\sigma_n(t) + n^{-1}t\sigma_n'(t)$$

$$= \frac{(2v + n)(2v + n + 1)}{n(n + 1)}(\sigma_{n+1}(t) - (2v + n + 1)^{-1}t\sigma_{n+1}'(t)), \quad (6.1)$$

$t \geqslant 1, n = 1, 2, \ldots, v > -\frac{1}{2}$. For $v = 0$ it reduces to (2.1). Call a solution σ_n *admissible* if σ_1 is not identically zero, σ_n is identically zero for n sufficiently large and $d/dt(t^{-2v}\sigma_n(t)) \leqslant 0$ for all $t \geqslant 1, n = 1, 2, \ldots$. In [4, Theorem 2] de Branges states that any admissible solution of (6.1) yields an inequality for the coefficients a_n in

$$v^{-1}[(f(z))^v - (f'(0)z)^v] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{v+n},$$

where f is a univalent analytic function on the unit disk sending 0 to 0.

We can formulate a generalization of Theorem 2.1:

THEOREM 6.1   *Let* $P \in C^1([-1, 1])$ *and define functions* $\sigma_n = \sigma_n[P]$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ *on* $[1, \infty)$ *by*

$$\sigma_n(t) := \frac{n!\,(n - 1)!\,\Gamma(v + 2)}{(2v + 1)_n(2v + 1)_{n-1}\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(v + \frac{3}{2})}$$

$$\times\, t^{-1}\int_{-1}^{1} P(1 - t^{-1}(1 - x))C_{n-1}^{v+1}(x)(1 - x^2)^{v+(1/2)}\,dx$$

$$(6.2)$$

Then the functions σ_n *solve* (6.1) *with initial values*

$$\sigma_n(1) = \frac{n!\,(n - 1)!\,\Gamma(v + 2)}{(2v + 1)_n(2v + 1)_{n-1}\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(v + \frac{3}{2})}$$

$$\times \int_{-1}^{1} P(x)C_{n-1}^{v+1}(x)(1 - x^2)^{v+(1/2)}\,dx. \qquad (6.3)$$

Proof Let σ_n be defined by (6.2). Then

$$\sigma_n(t) + n^{-1}t\sigma_n'(t) - \frac{(2v + n)(2v + n + 1)}{n(n + 1)}$$

$$\times \left[\sigma_{n+1}(t) - (2v + n + 1)^{-1}t\sigma_{n+1}'(t) \right]$$

$$= \frac{n!\,(n - 1)!\,\Gamma(v + 2)t^{-1}}{(2v + 1)_n(2v + 1)_{n-1}\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(v + \frac{3}{2})} \int_{-1}^{1} P(1 - t^{-1}(1 - x))$$

$$\times \left[\left(1 - n^{-1}(1 - x)\frac{d}{dx} \right)(C_{n-1}^{v+1}(x)(1 - x^2)^{v+(1/2)}) \right.$$

$$\left. - \left(1 + (2v + n + 1)^{-1}(1 - x)\frac{d}{dx} \right)(C_n^{v+1}(x)(1 - x^2)^{v+(1/2)}) \right] dx.$$

The expression in brackets vanishes because of [5, 10.9 (11), (35)]. ∎

Note that

$$-t^{2v+1}\frac{d}{dt}(t^{-2v}\sigma_n[P])(t) = (\sigma_n[Q])(t)$$

with   (6.4)

$$Q(x) = -(1 - x)^{-2v}\frac{d}{dx}((1 - x)^{2v+1}P(x)).$$

We might now produce the various special Q which yield the special admissible solutions considered in de Branges [4]. These Q would again be spherical functions on spheres restricted to lower dimensional spheres, except that the dimension is now generally fractional, so that the group theoretic interpretation is only formal. We might still use group-like arguments about positive definiteness, as in [6], but it is more straightforward to work with explicit "sum of squares" solutions generalizing (5.6). However, we will not pursue this line here.

References

[1] R. Askey and G. Gasper, Positive Jacobi polynomial sums, II, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 709–737.

[2] R. Askey and G. Gasper, Inequalities for polynomials, in *Proceedings of the Lafayette Meeting on Occasion of the Solution of the Bieberbach Conjecture* (to appear).

[3] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Math.* **154** (1985), 137–152.

[4] L. de Branges, Powers of Riemann mapping functions, in *Proceedings of the Lafayette Meeting on Occasion of the Solution of the Bieberbach Conjecture* (to appear).

[5] A. Erdélyi et al., *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, 1953.

[6] T. H. Koornwinder, Positivity proofs for linearization and connection coefficients of orthogonal polynomials satisfying an addition formula, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **18** (1978), 101–114.

[7] T. H. Koornwinder, Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups, in *Special Functions: Group Theoretical Aspects and Applications*, ed. by R. Askey et al., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984, pp. 1–85.

[8] T. H. Koornwinder, Squares of Gegenbauer polynomials and Milin type inequalities, Report PM-R 8412, Centre for Math. and Computer Science, Amsterdam, 1984.

[9] C. Müller, *Spherical Harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 17, Springer, 1966.