

Erratum to: Computational complexity of stochastic programming problems

Martin Dyer¹ · Leen Stougie²

Published online: 17 July 2015

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg and Mathematical Optimization Society 2015

Erratum to: Math. Program. Ser. A 106, 423–432 (2006) DOI 10.1007/s10107-005-0597-0

Hanasusanto et al. [HKW] has pointed out an error in the original publication of the article. To be precise, the error occurs in the first formula on page 429. We gratefully acknowledge their careful reading of our paper. We have rectified the error and the corrected Sect. 3.2 is given below. We have done this so that the correction can be read almost independently of the rest of the paper and to take the opportunity to improve the exposition.

3.2 Continuous distributions

For two-stage stochastic programming problems with continuously distributed parameters, $\#P$ -hardness of obtaining the optimal solution can be established under even the mildest conditions on the distributions. For the proof, we use a reduction from the problem of computing the volume of a knapsack polytope, proved $\#P$ -hard in [3].

Let \mathbb{Z}_+ denote the nonnegative integers and \mathbb{Q}_+ the rational numbers.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10107-005-0597-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-005-0597-0).

✉ Leen Stougie
stougie@cwi.nl

Martin Dyer
dyer@comp.leeds.ac.uk

¹ School of Computing, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven and CWI, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Definition 3.2 Let $P = \{w \in [0, 1]^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j w_j \leq \beta\}$ be the knapsack polytope, where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) and $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. We consider the following two computational problems.

- (i) COUNTING KNAPSACK SOLUTIONS. Compute $N(P) = |\{0, 1\}^n \cap P|$.
- (ii) VOLUME OF KNAPSACK POLYTOPE. Compute $\text{Vol}(P)$, the volume of P .

These problems are \sharp P-hard. In [3], VOLUME OF KNAPSACK POLYTOPE was proved \sharp P-hard by reduction from the known \sharp P-hard problem COUNTING KNAPSACK SOLUTIONS.

Claim 1 COUNTING KNAPSACK SOLUTIONS remains \sharp P-hard if $\alpha_n = \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1$.

Proof If $\alpha_n = \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1$, let $P' = \{w^{n-1} \in [0, 1]^{n-1} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j w_j \leq \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$. Then $N(P') = N(P)$, since $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ($j = 1, \dots, n - 1$), and $w \in \{0, 1\}^n \cap P$ implies $w_n = 0$. Clearly, computing $N(P')$ is \sharp P-hard. Hence computing $N(P)$ is \sharp P-hard when $\alpha_n = \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1$. □

Claim 2 VOLUME OF KNAPSACK POLYTOPE remains \sharp P-hard if $\alpha_n > \beta$.

Proof For P as in Claim 1, let $P_i = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j w_j \leq \lfloor \beta \rfloor + i/n\}$, where $(0 \leq i < n)$. So $N(P_i) = N(P)$ for all $0 \leq i < n$, since $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), and $\lfloor \beta \rfloor \leq \lfloor \beta \rfloor + i/n < \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1$. Thus computing $N(P_i)$ is \sharp P-hard.

The reduction on p.970 of [3] implies that, if computing $N(P)$ is \sharp P-hard, then computing $\text{Vol}(P_k)$ is \sharp P-hard, for some $0 \leq k < n$. It follows that computing $\text{Vol}(P)$ is \sharp P-hard if $\beta = \lfloor \beta \rfloor + k/n < \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1 = \alpha_n$. □

Theorem 3.2 Determining the optimal solution of a two-stage stochastic programming problem with continuously distributed parameters is \sharp P-hard, even if all the stochastic parameters have the uniform $[0, 1]$ distribution.

Proof Define i.i.d. random variables q_1, \dots, q_{n-1} , each uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, and write $\mathbf{q}^{n-1} = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$. Now, given an instance of computing the volume of a knapsack polytope as in Claim 2, consider the following two-stage stochastic programming problem with continuously distributed parameters:

$$\max\{-cx + Q(x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\},$$

where

$$Q(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{q}^{n-1}} \left[\max \left\{ \beta y - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i y_i \mid 0 \leq y \leq x, y_j \geq \alpha_j y \ (j = 1, \dots, n) \right\} \right].$$

For any realisation q^{n-1} of \mathbf{q}^{n-1} , the optimal solution of the second-stage problem is $y_j = \alpha_j y \geq 0$, ($j = 1, \dots, n - 1$), so

$$Q(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{q}^{n-1}} \left[\max \left\{ \left(\beta - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i \alpha_i \right) y \mid 0 \leq y \leq x \right\} \right].$$

Thus $y = x$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q_j \alpha_j \leq \beta$, and $y = 0$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q_j \alpha_j > \beta$.

Notice that, since $\alpha_n > \beta$, the constraint $w_n \leq 1$ is redundant in P in Claim 2. Define $\varphi(w^{n-1}) = (\beta - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j w_j) / \beta$. Thus $\varphi(w^{n-1}) \leq 1$ in P' as defined in the proof of Claim 1. In P this implies that $\alpha_n w_n / \beta \leq \varphi(w^{n-1})$.

Now the solution value of the two-stage problem can be written as

$$\max \{(\beta\Phi - c)x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \text{ where } \Phi = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{q}^{n-1}}[\max(\varphi(\mathbf{q}^{n-1}), 0)].$$

Thus $x = 1$, with optimal objective value $\beta\Phi - c$, if $\Phi > c/\beta$, and $x = 0$, with optimal objective value 0, otherwise. Therefore computing the optimal solution to the stochastic program requires determining whether or not $\Phi = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{q}^{n-1}}[\max(\varphi(\mathbf{q}^{n-1}), 0)] > c/\beta$.

Claim 3 Computing Φ is \sharp P-hard.

Proof Since, from Claim 2 above, computing $\text{Vol}(P)$ is \sharp P-hard, this claim follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{q}^{n-1}}[\max(\varphi(\mathbf{q}^{n-1}), 0)] = \int_{P'} \varphi(w^{n-1}) \, dw^{n-1}, \text{ since } 0 \leq \varphi(w^{n-1}) \leq 1 \text{ in } P', \\ &= \int_{P'} \int_0^{\varphi(w^{n-1})} 1 \, d(\alpha_n w_n / \beta) \, dw^{n-1}, \\ &= (\alpha_n / \beta) \int_P 1 \, dw_n \, dw^{n-1}, \text{ since } 0 \leq \alpha_n w_n / \beta \leq \varphi(w^{n-1}) \text{ in } P, \\ &= (\alpha_n / \beta) \text{Vol}(P). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, from [3], it follows that $\text{Vol}(P)$ is a rational number with known denominator, when P is a knapsack polytope. Hence we can compute $\text{Vol}(P)$ exactly if we can compute it to a close enough approximation. Hence there must exist values of c for which deciding $\Phi > c/\beta$ is \sharp P-hard. Otherwise we could use bisection to compute the \sharp P-hard quantity $\text{Vol}(P)$ exactly, in a polynomial number of iterations, a contradiction.

□

Showing that this problem is in $\text{P}^{\sharp\text{P}}$ would require additional conditions on the input distributions. We note that a result of Lawrence [9] implies that exact computation may not even be in PSPACE.

References

[3] Dyer, M.E., Frieze, A.M.: On the complexity of computing the volume of a polyhedron. *SIAM J. Comput.* **17**, 967–974 (1988)

[9] Lawrence, J.: Polytope volume computation. *Math. Comput.* **57**, 259–271 (1991)

[HKW] Hanasusanto, G.A., Kuhn, D., Wiesemann, W.: A comment on Computational complexity of stochastic programming problems. 2015. http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_HTML/2015/03/4825.html