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Abstract

The internet of things is predicated on tiny, cheap, lower power computers being embedded in devices everywhere. However such tiny devices by definition have very little memory and computing power available to support user interfaces or extended servers, and so the user interface needs to be distributed over the network.

This paper describes techniques using standard technologies based on XML for creating remote user-interfaces for the Internet of Things.

1. Introduction

Moore’s Law is alive and well; to use the quote attributed to Mark Twain, the reports of its death have been greatly exaggerated. This year the 50th anniversary of Moore’s Law was celebrated, which means since Moore’s original paper was published, there have been 33⅓ iterations of the law, which represents an improvement factor of ten thousand million since 1965.

As an excellent test point of Moore’s Law’s continuation, in February this year, almost exactly three years after the announcement of the first version, version 2 of the Raspberry Pi computer was announced. Moore’s Law leads us to expect that every eighteen months you can get twice as many components per unit of surface area on an integrated circuit at the same price. (Note that, for instance, it doesn’t say anything about expected clock speeds of computers). Since three years is exactly two cycles of Moore’s Law, does the new Raspberry Pi deliver a four-fold improvement? Well, it is reportedly six times faster, has four times as many cores, four times as much memory, and twice as many USB ports as the original, all for the same price. Moore’s Law has apparently done some pretty good work.

Moore’s Law has three parameters apart from time: price, size, and number of components. Hold any one of these constant, and the other two can vary accordingly. So apart from a Raspberry Pi that is the same price and size, but is better endowed, you can also reduce the price and size to get a less-powerful but nevertheless functional computer. This has been observable since the introduction of the first commercial computers in the 50’s: with each order-of-magnitude decrease in price of computers, a new generation of computers has emerged, that gets used in a different sort of way. In the 50’s you had mainframes that cost of the order of millions, in the 60’s and 70’s, minicomputers, of the order of 100,000; in the 70’s and 80’s, workstations, of the order of 10,000, and then starting in the early 80’s the first home computers and laptops, in the order of thousands. Now we have netbooks and tablets of the order of hundreds, and an emerging class of computers, like the Arduino and the Raspberry Pi that cost of the order of tens (and since we’re talking orders of magnitude, it doesn’t matter if we’re talking dollars, pounds, or euros, since they are all roughly of the same value).

Figure 1. Arduino

Recently the first computers of the order of one unit of currency have been appearing, such as the Arduino mini shown in Figure 1, “Arduino”.

2. User Interfaces for Devices

One of the unanticipated successes of HTML was in its adoption for controlling devices with embedded computers, such as home wifi routers. To make an adjustment to such a device, you direct your browser to the IP address the device is running from, and a small webservice on the device serves up webpages to you, that allow you to fill in values, and submit them to change the workings of the device.
However, the form-filling facilities of HTML are rather meager: you can fill in values, and submit them, but there is little checking possible on the client side, imposing a duty on the server to check values, and construct error pages that are sent back to the client asking for values to be corrected should they be wrong.

However, the tiny computers that are and will be embedded and form part of the internet of things typically have memory in kilobytes, not megabytes, and certainly don’t have the power to run a webserver that can serve and interpret webpages; therefore a different approach is called for.

One way is for the devices to serve up just the data of the parameters, and accept new values for them, so that the values can be injected into a remote interface served from elsewhere.

3. XForms

One technology suitable for just such usage, XForms, is a standard developed at W3C [1]. XForms is a technology that was originally designed for improving the handling of forms on the web. It has two essential parts. The first part is the model, that specifies details of the data being collected, where it comes from, its structure, and constraints; it allows combining data from several sources, and submitting data to different places.

The second part of XForms is the user interface, that displays values, and specifies controls for collection, modification, and submission of the data described, in a device-independent way.

XForms has already been used for a number of years to control devices in this way at many petrol stations in the USA. Each device, storage tank, petrol pump, cash register, and so on, contains a simple server that delivers its data as XML instances. XForms interfaces are then used to read and combine these values, and update control values (for instance the price of fuel being displayed on pumps and charged at tills).

4. Example: A Thermostat

As an example of how it could be used, Nest, a well-known producer of internet thermostats, has published the data-model interface to its devices¹. A simple interface to this could look like this:

```xml
<model>
  <instance id="thermostat" resource="http://thermostat.local/"
  bind ref="ambient_temperature_c" type="decimal" readonly="true()"/>
  bind ref="target_temperature_c" type="decimal"/>
  bind ref="target_temperature_f" type="decimal" calculate="/target_temperature_c*9 div 5+32"/>
  submission resource="http://thermostat.local/data" method="put" replace="instance"/>
</model>
```

Here we see an instance that contains the data obtained from the thermostat, and three binds that assign properties to the data, in this case types, the property that the ambient temperature value is read-only, and a calculation that relates the values of the target temperature in Fahrenheit and Celsius, which ensures that whenever the Celsius value is changed, the Fahrenheit value automatically changes with it.

The submission element specifies where the data is to be submitted, and what to do with the reply, in this case that it is data that replaces the instance values.

A nice feature of this is that even if Nest changes the data structure returned by the thermostat, as long as the names of the elements used here remain the same, this interface will continue to work.

5. Display Values

For a user interface for the thermostat, we need some extra local data values. In particular we want to offer the user the choice between Fahrenheit and Celsius in a single control. For this we need to add an extra instance to the model for the display values:

```xml
<model>
  <instance id="display" resource="http://thermostat.local/"
  bind ref="ambient_temperature_c" type="decimal" readonly="true()"/>
  bind ref="target_temperature_c" type="decimal"/>
  bind ref="target_temperature_f" type="decimal" calculate="/target_temperature_c*9 div 5+32"/>
  submission resource="http://thermostat.local/data" method="put" replace="instance"/>
</model>
```

¹ Nest API Reference - https://developer.nest.com/documentation/api-reference
Here we specify that the displayed temperature is related to the data from the device, but with a conversion if the user chooses for the Fahrenheit scale. Similarly, we have to add a relation back to the thermostat instance, so that the input required temperature is converted to Celsius if necessary:

```
<bind ref="instance('thermostat')/target_temperature_c"
      calculate="if(instance('display')/scale='C',
                  instance('display')/target,
                  (instance('display')/target - 32) * 5 div 9")/>
```

6. The User Interface

XForms controls are specified in a device independent manner, that only describes what they are meant to achieve (for instance "pick one value from this list") and not how to do it (using radio buttons, using drop-downs etc.) This makes it easier to adapt the interface to different devices, screen sizes, etc., while still allowing the use of specific interfaces, such as radio buttons, via style sheets. For instance, to specify a control that allows the user to chose the temperature scale, we specify

```
<select1 ref="instance('display')/scale"
        label="Scale">
    <item label="°C" value="C"/>
    <item label="°F" value="F"/>
</select1>
```

This specification allows several different possible controls, for instance as a drop-down, or using radio buttons, depending on style-sheet options:

```
<bind ref="instance('thermostat')/target_temperature_c"
      calculate="if(instance('display')/scale='C',
                  instance('display')/target,
                  (instance('display')/target - 32) * 5 div 9")/>
```

Similarly, the range control specifies an input for a number, that allows different styling options, such as nudge buttons, a slider, or a dial; a step attribute specifies the granularity of the changes:

```
<range ref="instance('display')/target" step="0.5"
      start="0" end="30"/>
```

Of course, these start and end values are in Celsius, and we want to specify the limits in terms of the scale used. However, any attribute can have a calculated value, using attribute value templates:

```
<range ref="instance('display')/target" step="0.5"
      start="{instance('display')/start}" end="{instance('display')/end}"/>
```

and add these values to the display instance:

```
<instance id="display">
  <data xmlns="">
    <temperature/>
    <target>20</target>
    <start/>
    <end/>
    <scale>C</scale>
  </data>
</instance>
```

```
<bind ref="instance('display')/start"
      calculate="if(../scale='C', 0, 32")/>
<bind ref="instance('display')/end"
      calculate="if(../scale='C', 30, 90")/>
```
7. Submitting Data

Normally in a form-based interface, there is an explicit [submit] button or similar that indicates you are ready with the data and want to submit it to be used.

For instance, in XForms, you would typically have details about where the data is to be submitted, in the form of a submission element in the model, as above:

```xml
<submission resource="http://thermostat.local/data" method="put" replace="instance"/>
```

This specifies the URL that the data is to be submitted to, the method to be used (PUT in this case), and what to do with the result. In the case of the thermostat, the state of the internal values are returned again, and these are just used to overwrite the values in the instance.

Then in the user interface, there would be a submit control, that initiates the submission, normally displayed as a clickable button:

```xml
<submit label="Submit"/>
```

However, typically in a direct-manipulation style interface such as a thermometer, there is no moment that you explicitly submit the data: it just happens. To effect this in XForms, the submission has to be done automatically. This can be done by using the standard event mechanism inherited in XForms from DOM-based systems [2], and using XML Events [3] to listen for events, and react to them.

One of the events that XForms generates is the xforms-value-changed event, which is generated everytime a value is changed in an instance by a control. There are several ways of specifying this, but the most direct is to include, as a child of the control, an action that responds to the event:

```xml
<range ref="instance('display')/target" step="0.5" start="instance('display')/start" end="instance('display')/end">
    <action ev:event="xforms-value-changed">
        <send/>
    </action>
</range>
```

This says that whenever the <range> control receives the xforms-value-changed event because the bound value has been changed, then the <send/> action is initiated, which causes the submission to do its work. When an <action> element only has one child like this, then it can be contracted:

```xml
<range ref="instance('display')/target" step="0.5" start="instance('display')/start" end="instance('display')/end">
    <send ev:event="xforms-value-changed"/>
</range>
```

8. Polling

As a result of submitting data as shown above, the thermostat returns the current values in its internal state, including the currently measured temperature, which then gets displayed.

Of course, you want to continue to display the current temperature, even if the user hasn’t changed anything via the interface. To achieve this, the data has to be periodically polled. This can be done also using the event mechanism, by listening for timing events: at start up you initiate a timer, and then listen for the event to go off. When it goes off, you respond, and then re-initiate the timer:

```xml
<action ev:event="my-timer">
    <send/>
    <dispatch name="my-timer" delay="20000" targetid="parent"/>
</action>
```

This <action/> element can go anywhere, as long as its parent element has id 'parent' (as named in this case). The delay is specified in milliseconds, so in this case, every 20 seconds the thermostat is polled for its current values.

The only other thing that has to be done is to start off the initial timer, by listening for the xforms-ready event, which is dispatched when an XForm starts up:

```xml
<action ev:event="xforms-ready">
    <dispatch name="my-timer" delay="20000" targetid="parent"/>
</action>
```

As in the earlier case, this can be shortened:

```xml
<dispatch ev:event="xforms-ready" name="my-timer" delay="20000" targetid="parent"/>
```

Since the xforms-ready event is dispatched to the <model> element, this <dispatch/> element should be a direct
child of it, and since it doesn’t matter where the other action is placed, it can also be placed there:

```xml
<model id="model">
  ...
  <dispatch ev:event="xforms-ready" name="my-timer" delay="20000" targetid="model"/>
  <action ev:event="my-timer">
    <send/>
    <dispatch name="my-timer" delay="20000" targetid="model"/>
  </action>
</model>
```

Of course, the delay value doesn’t have to be hard-wired like this, but can also be stored in an instance, and accessed from there:

```xml
<model id="model">
  ...
  <dispatch ev:event="xforms-ready" name="my-timer" delay="{{instance('display')/poll-interval}}" targetid="model"/>
  <action ev:event="my-timer">
    <send/>
    <dispatch name="my-timer" delay="{{instance('display')/poll-interval}}" targetid="model"/>
  </action>
</model>
```

9. Repetition

In many applications, there can be a variable number of values for a particular field; for instance in a router, there can be several rules for firewall exceptions. Traditionally an interface is used that offers several blank entries to be filled in. However XForms offers a dynamic control that grows and shrinks with the number of entries, and allows entries to be added and deleted:

```xml
<repeat ref="firewall/rules" label="Exceptions">
  <output ref="/port" label="Port"/>
  <output ref="/url" label="URL"/>
</repeat>
```

10. Multilingual Interfaces

Every XForms control has a label. Of course, it is good to be able to offer an interface in the language of the user. Attribute value templates make this almost trivially easy: you create an instance to hold the messages and labels:

```xml
<instance id="label"
  resource="http://example.com/labels-en.xml"/>
```

which can have a structure like:

```xml
<labels lang="en">
  <submit>Submit</submit>
  <help>Help</help>
  <scale>Scale</scale>
  ...
</labels>
```

and then reference these in the controls:

```xml
<select ref="instance('display')/scale"
  label="{{instance('label')/scale}}"> ...
</select>
```

Changing the language is then a simple case of having a control that selects the language wanted:

```xml
<select ref="instance('lang')/language"
  label="{{instance('label')/language}}"> ...
</select>
```

and when an xforms-value-changed happens on this control, the value chosen can be submitted, and the labels instance replaced with the returned instance.

These also has the advantage that labels are not hard-wired in the application, and can be updated on the fly. And of course the languages available can also be provided by an external instance, so that new languages can be added on the fly.

11. Experience

XForms has been used in many projects connecting to devices, including some very large projects of many person-years. Experience has repeatedly shown that the time needed to implement such projects is about one tenth of equivalent projects done using traditional programming methods. This advantage can largely be ascribed to the declarative nature of XForms, so that much administrative code that is normally needed in programs is not needed in XForms, since the system ensures that invariants are kept up to date.

12. Specifications and implementations

The current official version of XForms is XForms 1.1 [4], though XForms 2.0 is in preparation and close to completion [5]. There are several implementations available, that work both server-side and client-side, both commercial and open-source. There is a tutorial [6] and a quick reference [7] available.
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