THE RATE OF GROWTH OF SAMPLE MAXIMA

BY LAURENS DE HAAN AND ARIE HORDIJK

Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam

The sequence of partial maxima for i.i.d. random variables is considered. Two theorems concerning the sample behaviour of the maxima are proved. Also a large deviations result is given, connected with weak convergence to the double exponential distribution.

0. Introduction. Suppose \( X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots \) are independent real-valued random variables with common distribution function \( F \). Suppose \( F \) has a positive derivative \( F'(x) \) for all sufficiently large \( x \). We define

\[
Y_n = \max (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n).
\]

In a recent paper (1970), Robbins and Siegmund gave conditions for sequences of real numbers to belong to the upper (or lower) class (in the sense of P. Lévy) for \( \{Y_n\} \). Here we consider the classical problem of the law of the iterated logarithm: find sequences of real numbers \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{a_n\} \) (\( a_n > 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \)) such that the sequence \( a_n^{-1}(Y_n - b_n) \) has at least two different but only finite limitpoints for \( n \to \infty \).

From von Mises' work (1936) we know that weak convergence properties of \( \{Y_n\} \) are closely related to the behaviour of the function \( f \) defined by

\[
f(x) = \frac{1 - F(x)}{F'(x)}
\]

for \( x \to \infty \). It will be shown that much about the sample behaviour of \( \{Y_n\} \) can be concluded from the behaviour of the function \( g \) defined by

\[
g(x) = \frac{\log \log \log (1/(1 - F(x)))}{F'(x)}
\]

for \( x \to \infty \).

Our exposition is based on a few lemmas of an analytic nature which are proved in Section 1. In Section 2 first we give conditions under which almost surely

\[
0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} < \infty
\]

with \( b_n \) defined by \( F(b_n) = 1 - 1/n \). For the special case that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} \) exists almost surely, a more refined result is proved which previously has been stated by J. Pickands III (1967). However, the proof given there seems to contain an error.
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Most of our conditions imply that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left\{ \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n)} \leq x \right\} = \exp(-e^{-x}).
\]

In Section 3 we give a large deviations result in connection with this weak convergence property.

1. Lemmas. In this section we give some lemmas which we need afterwards. Lemmas 1 and 3 play a basic role in our attack.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose \( \psi \) is a real-valued function with positive derivative \( \psi' \) and \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \psi(x) = \infty \). If for some constant \( c \) \( (0 \leq c \leq \infty) \)
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log \psi(t)}{t \cdot \psi'(t)} = c,
\]
then for all positive \( x \)
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\psi(tx) - \psi(t)}{\log \psi(t)} = \frac{\log x}{c}.
\]

**Proof.** First suppose \( 0 < c \leq \infty \). Without loss of generality we assume \( \psi(1) = 2 \). Define the function \( p \) by
\[
p(t) = \frac{t \cdot \psi'(t)}{\log \psi(t)},
\]
then
\[
\int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds = \int_1^t \frac{\psi'(s)}{\log \psi(s)} \, ds = \int_{\psi(t)}^{\psi(1)} \frac{d s}{\log s}.
\]
If we denote the function \( \int_{\psi}^t (\log s)^{-1} \, ds \) by \( I(x) \) and its inverse function by \( K \), we get
\[
\psi(t) = K \left( \int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right).
\]

Applying de l'Hôpital's rule one sees that \( \log I(y) \sim \log y \) for \( y \to \infty \). Substitution of \( x \) for \( I(y) \) gives \( \log K(x) \sim \log x \) for \( x \to \infty \). Hence
\[
K'(x) = \log K(x) \sim \log x \quad \text{for} \quad x \to \infty.
\]

We now calculate the limit (4). Using (5) we have
\[
\frac{\psi(tx) - \psi(t)}{\log \psi(t)} = \frac{K \left( \int_1^x \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right) - K \left( \int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right)}{\log \psi(t)}
\]
\[
= \frac{K \left( \int_1^x \frac{p(ts)}{s} \, ds + \int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right) - K \left( \int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right)}{\log K \left( \int_1^t \frac{p(s)}{s} \, ds \right)}.
\]
Consequently
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\psi(tx) - \psi(t)}{\log \psi(t)} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{K(y + a(y)) - K(y)}{\log K(y)} , \]
where
\[ \lim_{y \to \infty} a(y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{1}^{t} \frac{p(ts)}{s} ds = \frac{\log x}{c} . \]
By the mean value theorem of differential calculus we get for some \(0 \leq \theta(y) \leq 1\)
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\psi(tx) - \psi(t)}{\log \psi(t)} = \lim_{y \to \infty} a(y) \frac{K'(y + \theta(y) \cdot a(y))}{\log K(y)} \]
\[ = \lim_{y \to \infty} a(y) \frac{\log K(y + \theta(y) \cdot a(y))}{\log K(y)} \]
\[ = \lim_{y \to \infty} a(y) \frac{\log (y + \theta(y) \cdot a(y))}{\log (y)} = \frac{\log x}{c} . \]
For \(c = 0\), the same procedure shows (4) for \(x > 1\). Suppose (4) does not hold
for \(x < 1\). Then for some \(x_0 > 1\) and sequence \(t_n \to \infty\) we have
\[ \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi(t_n x_n) - \psi(t_n)}{\log \psi(t_n)} < \infty . \]
On the other hand,
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi(t_n x_n) - \psi(t_n)}{\log \psi(t_n)} = \infty , \]
hence
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \psi(t_n x_n)}{\log \psi(t_n)} = \infty . \]
Since clearly \(\xi (\log x - \log \xi) < \gamma - \xi\) for \(0 < \xi < \gamma\), we have
\[ \frac{\psi(t_n x_n) - \log \psi(t_n)}{\log \psi(t_n)} = \frac{\psi(t_n x_n) - \psi(t_n)}{\log \psi(t_n)} . \]
As for \(n \to \infty\), the left-hand member tends to infinity and the right-hand member is bounded; by contradiction we have (4) for all positive \(x\). 

**Remark.** With the aid of Theorem 1.4.2 from Section 1.4 of de Haan (1970)
one can prove that for non-decreasing \(\psi\) with \(\lim_{y \to \infty} \psi(y) = \infty\) and \(0 < c < \infty\)
relation (4) is equivalent to
\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\psi(x) - x^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} \psi(t) \, dt}{\log \psi(x)} = \frac{1}{c} . \]

**Lemma 2.** Suppose \(f\) is a positive differentiable function and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} f'(t) = 0\).
Then
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{f(t + x f(t))} = 1 \]
uniformly on each bounded \(x\)-interval.
Proof. By the mean value theorem of differential calculus for some $0 \leq \theta(t, x) \leq 1$

$$f(t + xf(x)) = f(t) + xf(t)f'(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t)).$$

From $f'(t) \to 0$ for $t \to \infty$ we get $t^{-1} f(t) \to 0$ and hence $t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \to \infty$

for all $x$. Now the statement of the lemma follows as

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f'(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t)) = 0$$

uniformly on each bounded $x$-interval. □

Lemma 3. Suppose $\phi$ is a twice differentiable real-valued function with positive derivative $\phi'$ and $\lim_{x \to \infty} \phi(x) = \infty$. Define the function $q$ by

$$q(t) = \frac{\log \phi(t)}{\phi'(t)}$$

and suppose $\lim_{t \to \infty} q'(t) = 0$, then for all real $x$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\phi(t + x q(t)) - \phi(t)}{\log \phi(t)} = x.$$  

Proof. We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1. Again we suppose $\phi(1) = 2$ and get

$$\phi(t) = K \left( \int_1^t \frac{ds}{q(s)} \right).$$

Now

$$\frac{\phi(t + x q(t)) - \phi(t)}{\log \phi(t)} = \frac{K \left( \int_1^{t + x q(t)} \frac{ds}{q(s)} + \int_1^t \frac{ds}{q(s)} \right)}{\log K \left( \int_1^t \frac{ds}{q(s)} \right)}.$$ 

Consequently

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\phi(t + x q(t)) - \phi(t)}{\log \phi(t)} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{K(b(y) + y) - K(y)}{\log K(y)}$$

where by Lemma 2

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} b(y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_1^{t + x q(t)} \frac{ds}{q(s)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_1^t \frac{q(s)}{q(t + s q(t))} ds = x.$$ 

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1 the statement (8) follows. □

The following lemma is of a probabilistic character. The elements for this lemma can be found in Geffroy (1958), Barndorff-Nielsen (1963), and Pickands (1967). We consider the situation described in the Introduction.

Lemma 4. Suppose $[c_n]$ is a sequence of positive constants, $h_n = \inf \{ x \mid 1 - F(x) \leq 1/n \}$ and $[c_n x + b_n]$ is an ultimately non-decreasing sequence for all real $x > -1$.

(a) For all distribution functions $F$ we have almost surely

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{c_n} \leq 0.$$
(b) Suppose \( c \) is a finite constant. We have almost surely
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{c_n} = c
\]
if and only if
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n x + b_n)]
\]
converges for all \( x > c \) and diverges for all \( x < c \).

(c) If for all \(-1 < x < 0\)
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_n x + b_n)] \exp \{-n(1 - F(c_n x + b_n))\} < \infty,
\]
then almost surely
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{c_n} \geq 0.
\]

Proof. (a)
\[
P[Y_n \leq b_n \text{ infinitely often}] \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} P[Y_n \leq b_n] = \limsup_{n \to \infty} F^n(b_n)
\geq (1 - 1/n)^n = e^{-1} > 0.
\]

As \( \{Y_n \leq b_n \text{ infinitely often}\} \) is a tail event, we have
\[
P[Y_n/c_n \leq b_n/c_n \text{ infinitely often}] = P[Y_n \leq b_n \text{ infinitely often}] = 1.
\]

(b) As \( \{c_n x + b_n\} \) is a non-decreasing sequence for all real \( x > -1 \), we have \( Y_n > c_n x + b_n \) infinitely often if and only if \( X_n > c_n x + b_n \) infinitely often. As the \( X_n \) are independent, part (b) is a direct consequence of the Borel-Cantelli lemmas.

(c) As \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(b_n)] = \infty \), we have almost surely \( Y_n > b_n \) i.o. Hence also \( Y_n > c_n x + b_n \) i.o. for all \( x < 0 \). So to prove (11) it is sufficient to show that almost surely
\[
P[Y_n \leq c_n x + b_n \text{ and } X_{n+1} > c_{n+1} x + b_{n+1} \text{ finitely often}] = 1,
\]
or equivalently (as \( \{c_n x + b_n\} \) is non-decreasing for \( x > -1 \))
\[
P[Y_n \leq c_n x + b_n \text{ and } X_{n+1} > c_{n+1} x + b_{n+1} \text{ finitely often}] = 1.
\]

By the first Borel-Cantelli lemma this is true if
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[Y_n \leq c_n x + b_n \text{ and } X_{n+1} > c_{n+1} x + b_{n+1}]
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(c_{n+1} x + b_{n+1})] \cdot F^n(c_n x + b_n)
\]
converges. Now
\[
1 - F(c_{n+1} x + b_{n+1}) \leq 1 - F(c_n x + b_n)
\]
and
\[
F^n(c_n x + b_n) = \exp \{n \log F(c_n x + b_n)\} \leq \exp \{-n(1 - F(c_n x + b_n))\},
\]
hence the convergence of (12) is implied by (10). \( \square \)

2. Rate of growth of \( \{Y_n\} \). In the situation described in the introduction we prove the following statement concerning the rate of growth of \( \{Y_n\} \).
Theorem 1. Suppose \( F \) is a distribution function with positive derivative \( F'(x) \) for all real \( x \). If for some constant \( c (0 \leq c < \infty) \)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = c
\]

(with \( g \) defined by (2)), then almost surely

\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} = 1
\]

\[
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} = e^c.
\]

Here \( b_n \) is defined by \( F(b_n) = 1 - 1/n \).

If (13) holds with \( c = \infty \), then almost surely \( \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} = \infty \).

Remark. For \( c = 0 \) the theorem has been proved by Geffroy (1958).

Proof. We use Lemma 1 with \( \psi(x) = \log 1/(1 - F(x)) \). Then

\[
\frac{\log \psi(t)}{t \psi'(t)} = \frac{[1 - F(t)] \log \log [1/(1 - F(t))]}{t F'(t)} = \frac{g(t)}{t} \to c \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty
\]

and hence for \( x > 0 \)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \log \left\{ \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \log \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right\}^{-1} = -\frac{\log x}{c}
\]

or equivalently

\[
1 - F(tx) = [1 - F(t)] \left\{ \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right\}^{\epsilon(t)}
\]

with

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \epsilon(t) = -\frac{\log x}{c}.
\]

Substitution of \( b_n \) for \( t \) gives

\[
1 - F(b_n x) = [1 - F(b_n)] \left\{ \log \frac{1}{1 - F(b_n)} \right\}^{r_n} = \frac{(\log n)^{r_n}}{n}
\]

with

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = -\frac{\log x}{c}.
\]

First we prove the statement concerning the \( \lim \sup \) for \( 0 \leq c \leq \infty \). As the right-hand side of (16) is less than \(-1\) for \( x > e^c \) and larger than \(-1\) for \( x < e^c \), we have proved

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(b_n x)] < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad x > e^c
\]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - F(b_n x)] = \infty \quad \text{for} \quad x < e^c
\]

and by part (b) of Lemma 4 (with \( c_n = b_n \)) we have almost surely

\[
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n}{b_n} = e^c.
\]

To prove the statement concerning the \( \lim \inf \) for \( 0 \leq c < \infty \) we verify
condition (10) of Lemma 4 with \( c_n = b_n \). Using (15) we have for \( 0 < x < 1 \)
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1 - F(b_n x)\} \exp\{-n(1 - F(b_n x))\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-i}(\log n)^{\gamma n} \exp\{-(\log n)^{\gamma n}\}.
\]
Take \( M \geq (-2c/\log x) + 1 \), then
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1 - F(b_n x)\} \exp\{-n(1 - F(b_n x))\} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-i}(\log n)^{\gamma n}(\log n)^{-\gamma M} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-i}(\log n)^{-1} < \infty
\]
and we have almost surely
\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} Y_n / b_n \geq 1.
\]
By part (a) of Lemma 4 (with \( c_n = b_n \)) the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Remark.** In the usual way (see e.g. Geffroy (1958) page 121) the result can be translated as follows: if \( g(x) \to c \) (0 \( \leq c \leq \infty \)), then \( P[\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} (Y_n - b_n) = c] = 1 \); moreover \( P[\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} Y_n - b_n = 0] = 1 \) for 0 \( \leq c < \infty \).

For \( 0 < c < \infty \) this theorem provides exact information concerning the behaviour of \( Y_n \). For \( c = 0 \) we prove a refined statement.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose \( F \) is a twice differentiable distribution function and \( F'(x) \) is positive for all real \( x \). If
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} g'(t) = 0
\]
(with \( g \) defined by (2)), then almost surely
\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} = 0
\]
\[
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} = 1
\]
(\textit{here} \( f \) \textit{is defined by (1) and} \( b_n \) \textit{defined by} \( F(b_n) = 1 - 1/n \)).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. We use Lemma 3 with \( \phi(x) = \log 1/(1 - F(x)) \). Then
\[
g'(t) = g'(t) \to 0 \quad \text{for } t \to \infty
\]
and hence
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \log \left\{ \frac{1 - F(t + xy(t))}{1 - F(t)} \right\} \left\{ \log \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right\}^{-1} = -x
\]
or equivalently
\[
1 - F(t + xy(t)) = [1 - F(t)][\log \log 1/(1 - F(t))]^{c(t)}
\]
with
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} c(t) = -x.
\]
Substitution of \( b_n \) for \( t \) gives
\[
g(b_n) = f(b_n) \log \log 1/(1 - F(b_n)) = f(b_n) \log \log n
\]
and

\[(19) \quad 1 - F(b_n + x f(b_n) \log \log n) = \left(1 - F(b_n)\right) \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - F(b_n)}\right)^r_n = \frac{(\log n)^r_n}{n}\]

with

\[(20) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = -x.\]

We want to apply Lemma 4 with \(c_n = f(b_n) \log \log n\). By (17) for all real \(x\) the sequence \([b_n \pm x f(b_n) \log \log n] = [b_n \pm x f(b_n)]\) is ultimately non-decreasing.

As the right-hand member of (20) is less than \(-1\) for \(x > 1\) and larger than \(-1\) for \(x < 1\), we have proved

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 - F(b_n + x f(b_n) \log \log n) < \infty \quad \text{for } x > 1 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 - F(b_n + x f(b_n) \log \log n) = \infty \quad \text{for } x < 1
\]

and by part (b) of Lemma 4 we have almost surely

\[
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} = 1.
\]

By part (a) of Lemma 4 we have

\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} \leq 0.
\]

To prove the other statement concerning the \(\lim \inf\) we verify condition (10) of Lemma 4. Using (19) and (20) we have for \(x < 0\) with \(M \geq -2x^{-1} + 1\)

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - F(b_n + x f(b_n) \log \log n)\right] \exp\{-n(1 - F(b_n + x f(b_n) \log \log n))\} \\
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} (\log n)^r_n \exp\{-(\log n)^r_n\} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} (\log n)^{r_n(1-M)} \\
\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} (\log n)^{-\varepsilon} \ll \infty
\]

and hence almost surely

\[
\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} \geq 0. \quad \Box
\]

**Remark.** Theorem 2 has been stated first by J. Pickands (1967) but the proof seems to contain an error. Taking the last relation of page 1572 in Pickands (1967) and translating it into our terminology, we get \((-\varepsilon < x < 1 + \varepsilon\) for some fixed \(\varepsilon > 0\))

\[(*) \quad \psi(t + x \psi(t)) - \psi(t) - x \log \psi(t) \to 0 \quad \text{for } t \to \infty. \]

The structure of the proof is: (2.15) \(\Rightarrow\) (*1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2.16). Relation (*) is stronger than our relation (8) and is not fulfilled for all distribution functions satisfying (2.15) as is shown in the example

\[F(x) = 1 - \exp \left\{- \int_{1-x}^{1} \left(\frac{\log \log t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \, dt\right\}\]

(the left-hand side of (*1) then tends to \(\pm \infty\) according to \(x > 0\) or \(x < 0\)).
Remark. Relation (17) implies relation (13) of Theorem 1 with $c = 0$. On the other hand, for distribution functions satisfying (13)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(b_n)}{b_n} \log \log n = c,$$

hence for $0 < c < \infty$ the condition (13) implies

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} = 0$$

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n) \log \log n} = \frac{e^c - 1}{c}$$

almost surely.

Examples of distribution functions satisfying Theorem 2 are given by Pickands. The distribution functions

$$F(x) = 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\log \log t}{c \cdot t} \right\}^p$$

with positive $p$ and $c$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} g'(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 1$$

$$= c \quad \text{for } p = 1$$

$$= \infty \quad \text{for } p < 1.$$ 

As all these distribution functions are in the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution, this answers a question raised by Pickands whether Theorem 2 holds for all distribution functions from this domain of attraction.

It is clear that if (18) from Theorem 2 holds, then this relation is still true if we replace $Y_n = \max \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ by $Y_n = 1 + \max \{[X_1] + 1, [X_2] + 1, \ldots, [X_n] + 1\}$ (here $[a]$ is the largest integer not exceeding $a$). As (18) holds for the exponential distribution with $b_n = \log n$ and $f(b_n) = 1$, this relation is also true for the geometric distribution

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-[x]} \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$ 

Hence the validity of (18) does not imply that $F$ belongs to the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution.

3. A large deviations result. Let us reconsider the condition of Theorem 2.

$$g'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1 - F(t)}{F'(t)} \log \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1 - F(t)}{F'(t)} \right\} \log \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} + \left\{ \log \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= f'(t) \cdot \log \log 1/(1 - F(t)) + o(1) \quad \text{for } t \to \infty.$$ 

So $g'(t) \to 0$ for $t \to \infty$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f'(t) \cdot \log \log 1/(1 - F(t)) = 0$$

(21)
and both imply von Mises' condition \( f'(t) \to 0 \) for the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution. So (21) implies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left\{ \left\{ \frac{Y_n - b_n}{f(b_n)} \leq x \right\} = \exp(-e^{-x}) .
\]

We shall prove a large deviations result related to this weak convergence property under a condition of the type (21).

**Theorem 3.** Suppose \( \phi \) is a non-decreasing function and \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \phi(x) = \infty \). If

\[
(22) \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} f'(t)\phi(1/(1 - F(t))) = 0
\]

(with \( f \) defined by (1)), then

\[
(23) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F^\Phi(b_n + x_n f(b_n))}{1 - \exp(-e^{-x_n})} = 1
\]

for all sequences of positive numbers \( \{x_n\} \) with \( x_n = O(\phi(n)) \) for \( n \to \infty \). Here \( b_n \) is defined by \( F(b_n) = 1 - 1/n \).

**Proof.** Obviously (22) implies \( f(t) \to 0 \) for \( t \to \infty \) and hence by von Mises' criterion (von Mises 1936)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F^\Phi(b_n + x f(b_n)) = \exp(-e^{-x})
\]

uniformly on each bounded \( x \)-interval. Hence (23) holds trivially for each bounded sequence \( \{x_n\} \). Next suppose \( x_n \to \infty \) for \( n \to \infty \). From \(- \ln y \sim 1 - y \) for \( y \uparrow 1 \) it follows

\[
1 - F^\Phi(b_n + x_n f(b_n)) \sim n[1 - F(b_n + x_n f(b_n))]
\]

and \( 1 - \exp(-e^{-x_n}) \sim \exp(-x_n) \) for \( n \to \infty \). So we have to prove

\[
(24) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} ne^\Phi[1 - F(b_n + x_n f(b_n))] = 1 .
\]

By (1) we have

\[
\frac{1}{f(t)} = \frac{F'(t)}{1 - F(t)}
\]

and hence

\[
\int_{\tau} \frac{dt}{f(t)} = -\log[1 - F(x)] + \log[1 - F(1)]
\]

or equivalently (with \( c_0 = 1 - F(1) \))

\[
1 - F(x) = c_0 \exp\left\{ -\int_{\tau} \frac{dt}{f(t)} \right\} .
\]

Substitution in (24) gives (as \( u = 1/(1 - F(b_n)) \))

\[
n e^\Phi[1 - F(b_n + x_n f(b_n))] = \exp\left\{ x_n - \int_{b_n}^{b_n + x_n f(b_n)} \frac{ds}{f(s)} \right\}
\]

\[
= \exp\left\{ \int_{b_n}^{b_n + x_n f(b_n)} f(b_n) - x_n \left( \frac{f(b_n)}{f(b_n + x_n f(b_n))} - 1 \right) ds \right\} .
\]
As \( x_n = O(\varphi(n)) \), for proving the theorem it is sufficient to show

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(n) \left\{ \frac{f(b_n)}{f(b_n + xf(b_n) \varphi(n))} - 1 \right\} = 0
\]

uniformly on any bounded \( x \)-interval from \([0, \infty)\). Substitution of \( t \) for \( b_n \) gives \( \varphi(n) = \varphi(1/(1 - F(t))) \) and (25) becomes

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \psi(t) \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t))} - 1 \right\} = 0
\]

with \( \psi(t) = \varphi(1/(1 - F(t))) \).

Using the mean value theorem of differential calculus we get for some \( 0 \leq \theta(t, x) \leq 1 \)

\[
\psi(t) \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t))} - 1 \right\} = \frac{\varphi(t)}{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t))} (-xf(t) \psi(t)f'(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t)))
\]

\[
= -x \left\{ \frac{\varphi(t)}{f(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t))} \right\} \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t))} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[ f'(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t)) \psi(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t)) \right].
\]

Now we treat the last three factors separately.

As \( \varphi \) is non-decreasing the first factor is bounded by 1. By assumption the last factor tends to zero uniformly on \([0, \infty)\). As

\[
\frac{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t)) - f(t)}{f(t) \psi(t)} = x \frac{f(t)}{\psi(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t))}
\]

\[
\times f'(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t)) \psi(t + \theta(t, x)xf(t) \psi(t))
\]

and \( \psi(t) \leq \varphi(t) \) for sufficiently large \( t \), it follows

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{f(t + xf(t) \psi(t))} = 1
\]

uniformly on every bounded \( x \)-interval from \([0, \infty)\) and we have proved the theorem.

**Remark.** The condition of the theorem cannot be improved essentially: suppose \( f'(t)\varphi(t)/c = 0 \) \( 0 < c < \infty \) and \( t \varphi'(t) \to 0 \), then one can prove

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - \frac{F(c_n)}{1 - \exp(-e^{-c_n})}}{1 - \exp(-e^{-c_n})} = c^{1/2}.
\]

As an example we consider the normal distribution. Here

\[
f'(t) = te^{t^2/2} \int_t^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds \sim -t^{-2} \quad \text{for} \quad t \to \infty.
\]

As the inverse function of \( 1/(1 - F(t)) \) is asymptotically equal to \((2 \log s)^{1/2} \), (22) holds if

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} f'(t) \varphi^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \right) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f'(t)\varphi(t)/(1 - F(t))}{t^2} = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{\varphi^2(s)}{2 \log s} = 0
\]
and (23) is true for sequences \( \{x_n\} \) with
\[
x_n = o((\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}})
\]
for \( n \to \infty \).
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