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## ABSTRACT

For any undirected graph $G$, let $\mu(G)$ be the graph invariant introduced by Colin de Verdière. In this paper we study the behavior of $\mu(G)$ under clique sums of

[^0]graphs. In particular, we give a forbidden minor characterization of those clique sums G of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ for which $\mu(G)=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Colin de Verdière [2] (cf. [3]) introduced an interesting new invariant $\mu(G)$ for graphs $G$, based on algebraic and analytic properties of matrices associated with $G$. He showed that the invariant is monotone under taking minors and that $\mu(G) \leqslant 3$ if and only if $G$ is planar.

Colin de Verdière conjectured that $\gamma(G) \leqslant \mu(G)+1$, where $\gamma(G)$ is the coloring number of $G$. This conjecture would follow from Hadwiger's conjecture [as $\mu\left(K_{n}\right)=n-1$ ] and is true for $\mu(G) \leqslant 4$.

Graph $G$ is a clique sum of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ if $V G=V G_{1} \cup V G_{2}$ and $E G^{\prime}=E G_{1} \cup E G_{2}$, where $V G_{1} \cap V G_{2}$ is a clique both in $G_{1}$ and in $G_{2}$. Note that for the coloring number $\bar{\gamma}$ one has that $\gamma(G)=\max \left\{\gamma\left(G_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\gamma\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$ if $G$ is a clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. A similar relation holds for the size of the largest clique minor in a graph.

We therefore are interested in studying the behavior of $\mu(G)$ under clique sums (cf. also [4]). A critical example is the graph $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$ (the graph obtained from the complete graph $K_{t+3}$ by deleting the edges of a triangle). One has $\mu\left(K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta\right)=t+1$ [since the star $K_{4} \backslash \Delta$ has $\mu\left(K_{4} \backslash \Delta\right)=2$ and since adding a new vertex adjacent to all existing vertices increases $\mu$ by 1].

However, $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$ is a clique sum of $K_{t+1}$ and $K_{t+2} \backslash e$ (the graph obtained from $K_{t+2}$ by deleting an edge), with common clique of size $t$. Both $K_{t+1}$ and $K_{t+2} \backslash e$ have $\mu=t$. So, generally one does not have that, for fixed $t$, the property $\mu(G) \leqslant t$ is maintained under clique sums. Similarly, $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$ is a clique sum of two copies of $K_{t+2} \backslash e$, with common clique of size $t+1$.

These examples where $\mu$ increases by taking a clique sum are in a sense the only cases: We show that if $G$ is a clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, with common clique $S$, then $\mu(G)>t:=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$ if and only if $t>0$ and either $|S|=t$ and $G-S$ has three components, the contraction of which makes with $S$ a $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$, or $|S|=t+1$ and $G-S$ has two components, the contraction of which makes with $S$ a $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$. Moreover, if $\mu(G)>t$, then $\mu(G)=t+1$ and $\mu\left(G_{1}\right)=\mu\left(G_{2}\right)=t$.

So $\mu(G)=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$ if and only if $G$ does not contain $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$ as a minor.

In Section 2 we give the definition of Colin de Verdière's invariant, including an alternative linear algebraic characterization of the "strong Arnold
hypothesis." In Section 3 we prove a lemma, while in Section 4 we derive our main characterization.

If $M$ is a matrix, then $M_{K}$ denotes the submatrix of $M$ induced by the row and column indices in $K$. Similarly, if $x$ is a vector, then $x_{K}$ denotes the subvector of $x$ induced by the indices in $K$. We denote the $i$ th eigenvalue (from below) of $M$ by $\lambda_{i}(M)$.

## 2. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE'S INVARIANT

We describe Colin de Verdière's invariant. Important is a certain general position assumption for matrices called the strong Arnold hypothesis. We here formulate it and give an equivalent linear algebraic characterization.

Let $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Let $R(M)$ be the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A$ with $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}(M)$. Let $S(M)$ be the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ such that $a_{i, j}=0$ whenever $i \neq j$ and $m_{i, j}=0$.

The matrix $M$ is said to fulfill the strong Arnold hypothesis (SAH) if $R(M)$ intersects $S(M)$ at $M$ "transversally"; that is, if the tangent space of $R(M)$ at $M$ and the tangent space of $S(M)$ at $M$ together span the space of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. In other words, if the intersection of the normal spaces at $M$ of $R(M)$ and $S(M)$ only consists of the all-zero matrix.

The tangent space of $R(M)$ at $M$ consists of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $N$ such that $x^{T} N x=0$ for each $x \in \operatorname{ker}(M)$. Thus the normal space of $R(M)$ at $M$ is equal to the space generated by all matrices $x x^{T}$ with $x \in \operatorname{ker}(M)$. This space is equal to the space of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $X$ satisfying $M X=0$. Trivially, the normal space of $S(M)$ at $M$ consists of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $X=\left(x_{i, j}\right)$ such that $x_{i, j}=0$ whenever $i=j$ or $m_{i, j} \neq 0$. Therefore, the SAH is equivalent to:
there is no nonzero symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $X=\left(x_{i, j}\right)$ such that $M X=0$ and such that $x_{i, j}=0$ whenever $i=j$ or $m_{i, j} \neq 0$.

Now Colin de Verdière's invariant $\mu(G)$ is defined as follows. Let $G$ be an undirected graph, which throughout this paper we assume without loss of generality to have vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $\mu(G)$ is the largest corank of any symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$ satisfying:
$M$ has exactly one negative eigenvalue (of multiplicity 1 ), and for all $i, j$ with $i \neq j, m_{i, j}<0$ if $i$ and $j$ are adjacent, and $m_{i, j}=0$ otherwise,
and such that $M$ fulfills the SAH. [The corank corank $(M)$ of a matrix $M$ is the dimension of its kernel.]

It turns out, as proved in [2], that if $G^{\prime}$ is a minor of $G$, then $\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant$ $\mu(G)$. (In proving this, the SAH is essential.) So for each fixed $t$, the class of graphs $G$ satisfying $\mu(G) \leqslant t$ is closed under taking minors. Hence, by the theorem of Robertson and Seymour [6] there is a finite collection of "forbidden minors" for such a class of graphs.

Colin de Verdière [2] showed that the graphs $G$ satisfying $\mu(G) \leqslant 1$ are exactly the paths, those satisfying $\mu(G) \leqslant 2$ are exactly the outerplanar graphs, and those satisfying $\mu(G) \leqslant 3$ are exactly the planar graphs. If $\mu(G) \leqslant 4$, then $G$ is linklessly embeddable, since each graph $G$ in the complete class of forbidden minors found by Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [7] has $\mu(G)>4$ (cf. Bacher and Colin de Verdière [1]). In fact, Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [8] conjecture that also the reverse implication holds.

## 3. A LEMMA

The following lemma gives us some tools:

Lemma. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and let $M$ be a matrix satisfying (2). Let $S \subseteq V$ and let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ be the components of $G-S$. Then:
(i) If $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{1}}\right)<0$, then $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{j}}\right)>0$ for all $j \neq 1$.
(ii) If $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{1}}\right)=0$, then there are at least $\operatorname{corank}(M)-|S|+2$ components $C_{i}$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)=0$.
(iii) If $M$ fulfills the $S A H$, then there are at most three components $C_{i}$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)=0$.

Proof. If (i) does not hold, we may assume that $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{1}}\right)<0$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{2}}\right) \leqslant 0$. Let $z, x_{1}$, and $x_{2}$ be the eigenvectors belonging to the smallest eigenvalues of $M, M_{C_{1}}$, and $M_{C_{2}}$, respectively. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem we may assume that $z, x_{1}, x_{2}>0$ and by scaling that $z_{C_{1}}^{T} x_{1}=z_{C_{2}}^{T} x_{2}$.

Define $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $y_{i}:=\left(x_{1}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{1}, y_{i}:=-\left(x_{2}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{2}$, and $y_{i}:=0$ if $i \notin C_{1} \cup C_{2}$. Then $z^{T} y=z_{C_{1}}^{T} x_{1}-z_{C_{2}}^{T} x_{2}=0$ and $y^{T} M y=$ $x_{1}^{T} M_{C_{1}} x_{1}+x_{2}^{T} M_{C_{2}} x_{2}<0$. However, $z^{T} y=0$ and $y^{T} M y<0$ imply that $\lambda_{2}(M)<0$, contradicting (2).

To see (ii), if $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{1}}\right)=0$, then by (i), $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right) \geqslant 0$ for all $i$; that is, $M_{C_{i}}$ is positive semidefinite for each $i$. Let $D$ be the vector space of all vectors $x \in \operatorname{ker}(M)$ with $x_{s}=0$ for all $s \in S$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for each vector } x \in D \text { and each component } C_{i} \text { of } G-S \text {, } \\
& x_{C_{i}}=0, x_{C_{i}}>0 \text { or } x_{C_{i}}<0 \text {; if moreover } \lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)>0 \text {, then }  \tag{3}\\
& x_{C_{i}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, if $x \in D$, then $M_{C_{i}} x_{C_{i}}=0$. Hence if $x_{C_{i}} \neq 0$ (as $M_{C_{i}}$ is positive semidefinite), $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)=0$ and $x_{C_{i}}$ is an eigenvector belonging to $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)$, and hence (by the Perron-Frobenius theorem) $x_{C_{i}}>0$ or $x_{C_{i}}<0$.

Let $m^{\prime}$ be the number of components $C_{i}$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)=0$. By (3), $\operatorname{dim}(D) \leqslant m^{\prime}-1$ (since each nonzero $x \in D$ has both positive and negative components, as it is orthogonal to $z$ ).

Since $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{1}}\right)=0$, there exists a vector $w>0$ such that $M_{C_{1}} w=0$. Let $F$ be the vector space of all vectors $x_{S}$ with $x \in \operatorname{ker}(M)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(F)=|S|$. Let $j$ be a vertex in $S$ adjacent to $C_{1}$. Then there is a vector $y \in \operatorname{ker}(M)$ with $y_{j}=-1$ and $y_{i}=0$ if $i \in S \backslash\{j\}$. Let $u$ be the $j$ th column of $M$. So $u_{C_{1}}=M_{C_{1}} y_{C_{1}}$. Since $u_{C_{1}} \leqslant 0$ and $u_{C_{1}} \neq 0$, we have $0>u_{C_{1}}^{T} w=y_{C_{1}}^{T} M_{C_{1}} w \stackrel{ }{=} 0$, a contradiction.

Hence $\operatorname{dim}(F) \leqslant|S|-1$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}-1 \geqslant \operatorname{dim}(D)=\operatorname{corank}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(F) \geqslant \operatorname{corank}(M)-|S|+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (iii) does not hold, we may assume that $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C_{i}}\right)=0$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. Let $x_{i}$ be an eigenvector belonging to the smallest eigenvalue of $M_{C_{i}}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. Let $z$ be the eigenvector belonging to smallest eigenvalue of $M$. We may assume that $z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}>0$ and that $z_{C_{1}}^{T} x_{1}=z_{C_{2}}^{T} x_{2}$ and $z_{C_{3}}^{T} x_{3}=$ $z_{C_{4}}^{T} x_{4}$. Define the vectors $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ by $\left(y_{1}\right)_{i}:=\left(x_{1}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{1},\left(y_{1}\right)_{i}:=$ $-\left(x_{2}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{2}$, and $\left(y_{1}\right)_{i}:=0$ if $i \notin C_{1} \cup C_{2}$, and $\left(y_{2}\right)_{i}:=\left(x_{3}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{3},\left(y_{2}\right)_{i}:=-\left(x_{4}\right)_{i}$ if $i \in C_{4}$, and $\left(y_{2}\right)_{i}:=0$ if $i \notin C_{3} \cup C_{4}$. Then $z^{T} y_{1}=z_{C_{1}}^{T} x_{1}-z_{C_{2}}^{T} x_{2}=0$ and $z^{T} y_{2}=z_{C_{3}}^{T} x_{3}-z_{C_{4}}^{T} x_{4}=0$. Since $y_{1}^{T} M y_{1}=$ $x_{1}^{T} M_{C_{1}} x_{1}+x_{2}^{T} M_{C_{2}} x_{2}=0$ and similarly $y_{2}^{T_{3}^{3}} M y_{2}=0$, the vectors $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ belong to $\operatorname{ker}(M)$.

Define $X:=y_{1} y_{2}^{T}+y_{2} y_{1}^{T}$. Then $x_{i, j} \neq 0$ implies $i \in C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ and $j \in$ $C_{3} \cup C_{4}$ or conversely. As $M X=0$, this contradicts the SAH.

## 4. CLIQUE SUMS OF GRAPHS

Now let $G$ be a clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Let $S:=V G_{1} \cap V G_{2}$ and $t:=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. For any $U \subseteq V G$, let $N(U)$ denote the set of vertices in $V G \backslash U$ that are adjacent to at least one vertex in $U$.

Theorem. If $\mu(G)>t$, then $\mu(G)=t+1$ and we can contract two or three components of $G-S$ so that the contracted vertices together with $S$ form a $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$.

Proof. We apply induction on $|V G|+|S|$. Let $M$ be a matrix satisfying (2) and fulfilling the SAH, with corank equal to $\mu(G)$. We first show that $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C}\right) \geqslant 0$ for each component $C$ of $G-S$. Suppose $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C}\right)<0$. Hence by (i) of the lemma, $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C^{\prime}}\right)>0$ for each other component $C^{\prime}$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $C \cup S$; so $G^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. Let $L$ be the union of the other components, so $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{L}\right)>0$. We write

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{C} & U_{C} & 0  \tag{5}\\
U_{C}^{T} & \Pi & U_{L} \\
0 & U_{L}^{T} & M_{L}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
0 & I & -U_{L} M_{L}^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then by Sylvester's law of inertia (cf. [5, Section 5.5]), the spectrum of the matrix

$$
A M A^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{C} & U_{C} & 0  \tag{7}\\
U_{C}^{T} & \Pi-U_{L} M_{L}^{-1} U_{L}^{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & M_{L}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has the same signature as the spectrum of $M$; that is, $A M A^{T}$ has exactly one negative eigenvalue and has the same corank as $M$. Let $\Pi^{\prime}=\Pi-U_{L} M_{L}^{-1} U_{L}^{T}$.

As $M_{L}$ is positive definite, the matrix

$$
M^{\prime}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{C} & U_{C}  \tag{8}\\
U_{C}^{T} & \Pi
\end{array}\right)
$$

has exactly one negative eigenvalue and has the same corank as $M$. Since $\left(M_{L}\right)_{i, j} \leqslant 0$ if $i \neq j$, we know that $\left(M_{L}^{-1}\right)_{i, j} \geqslant 0$ for all $i, j$. [Indeed, for any symmetric positive-definite matrix $D$, if each off-diagonal entry of $D$ is nonpositive, then each entry of $D^{-1}$ is nonnegative. This can be seen directly, and also follows from the theory of " $M$-matrices" (cf. [ 5, Section 15.2]): Without loss of generality, each diagonal entry of $D$ is at most l. Let $B:=I-D$. So $B \geqslant 0$ and the largest eigenvalue of $B$ is equal to $1-\lambda_{1}(D)$ $<1$. Hence $D^{-1}=I+B+B^{2}+B^{3}+\cdots \geqslant 0$ (cf. Theorem 2 in Section 15.2 of [5]).]

Hence, $\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)_{i, j} \leqslant 0$ for each $i$ and $j$ with $i \neq j$. Thus $M^{\prime}$ satisfies (2) with respect to $G^{\prime}$.

The matrix $M^{\prime}$ also fulfills the SAH. To see this, let $X^{\prime}$ be a symmetric matrix with $M^{\prime} X^{\prime}=0$ and $\left(X^{\prime}\right)_{i j}=0$ if $i$ and $j$ are adjacent or if $i=j$. As $S$ is a clique, we can write

$$
X^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{C}^{\prime} & Y  \tag{9}\\
Y^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $Z:=-Y U_{L} M_{L}^{-1}$ and

$$
X:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{C}^{\prime} & Y & Z  \tag{10}\\
Y^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
Z^{T} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $X$ is a symmetric matrix with $(X)_{i, j}=0$ if $i$ and $j$ are adjacent or if $i=j$, and $M X=0$. So $X=0$ and hence $X^{\prime}=0$.

It follows that $\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{corank}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{corank}(M)=\mu(G)>t$, a contradiction, since $G^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$.

So we have that $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C}\right) \geqslant 0$ for each component $C$ of $G-S$. Suppose next that $N(C) \neq S$ for some component $C$ of $G-S$.

Assume that $C \subseteq V G_{1}$. Let $H_{1}$ be the graph induced by $C \cup N(C)$ and let $H_{2}$ be the graph induced by the union of all other components and S. So
$G$ is also a clique sum of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, with common clique $S^{\prime}:=N(C)$, and $H_{2}$ is a clique sum of $G_{1}-C$ and $G_{2}$.

If $\mu(G)=\mu\left(H_{2}\right)$, then $\mu\left(H_{2}\right)>t^{\prime}:=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}-C\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. As $\left|V H_{2}\right|+|S|<|V G|+|S|$, by induction we know that $\mu\left(H_{2}\right)=t^{\prime}+1$, and thus $\mu(G)=\mu\left(H_{2}\right)=t^{\prime}+1 \leqslant t+1$. Thus $t^{\prime}=t$ and $\mu(G)=t+1$. Moreover, either $|S|=t+1$ and $H_{2}-S$ has two components $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ with $N\left(C^{\prime}\right)=N\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left|N\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=t$, or $|S|=t$ and $H_{2}-S$ has three components $C^{\prime}$ with $N\left(C^{\prime}\right)=S$, and the theorem follows.

If $\mu(G)>\mu\left(H_{2}\right)$, then $\mu(G)>t^{\prime}:=\max \left\{\mu\left(H_{1}\right), \mu\left(H_{2}\right)\right\}$. As $|V G|+$ $\left|S^{\prime}\right|<|V G|+|S|$, we know that $\mu(G)=t^{\prime}+1$, implying $t^{\prime} \geqslant t$, and that either $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=t^{\prime}+1$ or $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=t^{\prime}$. However, $\left|S^{\prime}\right|<|S| \leqslant t+1 \leqslant t^{\prime}+1$, so $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=t^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime}=t$. Moreover, $G-S^{\prime}$ has three components $C^{\prime}$ with $N\left(C^{\prime}\right)=S^{\prime}$. This implies that $G-S$ has two components $C^{\prime}$ with $N\left(C^{\prime}\right)=$ $S^{\prime}$, and the theorem follows.

So we may assume that $N(C)=\mathrm{S}$ for each component $C$. If $|S|>t$, then $G_{1}$ would contain a $K_{t+2}$ minor, contradicting the fact that $\mu\left(G_{1}\right) \leqslant t$. So $|S| \leqslant t$. Since $\operatorname{corank}(M)>|S|$, we have $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C}\right)=0$ for at least one component $C$ of $G-S$. Hence, by (ii) of the lemma, $G-S$ has at least corank $(M)$ $-|S|+2=\mu(G)-|S|+2 \geqslant 3$ components $C$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(M_{C}\right)=0$, and by (iii) of the lemma, $\mu(G)-|S|+2 \leqslant 3$, that is, $t \geqslant|S| \geqslant \mu(G)-1 \geqslant t$.

We give as direct consequences the following corollaries:

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and let $S:=V G_{1} \cap$ $V G_{2}$. Then $\mu(G)=\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$ if $\mu\left(G_{1}\right) \neq \mu\left(G_{2}\right)$, or $|S|<\mu\left(G_{1}\right)$, or $|S|=\mu\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $G-S$ has at most two components $C$ with $N(C)=S$.

Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a clique sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and let $t=$ $\max \left\{\mu\left(G_{1}\right), \mu\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. Then $\mu(G)=t$ if and only if $G$ does not have $a$ $K_{t+3} \backslash \Delta$-minor.

We thank the referee for carefully reading the paper and for helpful suggestions.
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