

Information Processing Letters

Information Processing Letters 78 (2001) 1-3

www.elsevier.com/locate/ipl

Preface

The value of a body of knowledge depends very much on whether it is accessible; more precisely, on how well it is accessible. Very roughly the value of seventy five volumes of the journal *Information Processing Letters (IPL)*, representing four thousand one hundred three articles, is practically zero if it is just standing on your shelves in the library, and one has no way to selectively find information in it. One potentially valuable tool is a comprehensive index. Here is a (subject and author) index for volumes 1–75 of the journal *IPL*, in which precisely 4143 articles appeared.

Other similar indexes for Journal of Logic Programming and for Linear Algebra and its Applications are in progress or being planned. There already exist indexes for the first 200 volumes of Theoretical Computer Science (some 42000 terms), ¹ the first 89 volumes of Artificial Intelligence (some 18000 terms), ² the first 91 volumes of the journal Discrete Applied Mathematics (some 20500 terms), ³ and the first 200 volumes of Discrete Mathematics (some 60000 terms). ⁴ These are the first steps in an attempt to build up an adequate (standardized) phrase vocabulary for mathematics and computer science. A dictionary of words is of but very limited use for information retrieval purposes in science (as can already be guessed from the numbers just quoted).

The numbers behind the key phrases in the index itself refer to the 4151 articles that have appeared in these 75 volumes (there are ten empty numbers, viz. 844, 1524, 2858, 2896–2900, 3909–3910). They are numbered in more or less historical order. This volume also contains the thus numbered list of these articles giving author(s), titles, volume numbers, and page numbers. There are a few gaps in the numbering sequence; that is just the result of the procedure that assigns numbers to articles and does not mean that there are any articles missing; the list is complete.

The corresponding 'Author Index' has already been published in Information Processing Letters, Vol. 76 (2000).

The index was generated from titles and abstracts only (and key words, in the low percentage of cases that these were available; these author supplied key phrases were usually far from adequate to describe the article in question).

There are 30224 different 'index phrases' and 55981 'citations' (= reference numbers), for an average of about 2 citations average per index phrase. That is reasonably high

¹ Theoretical Computer Science 213/214 (1999) 1–659.

² Artificial Intelligence 96 (1997) 1–302.

³ Discrete Applied Mathematics 106 (2000) 1–261.

⁴ Discrete Mathematics 227/228 (2001) 1-648.

for this type of index which is in first instance for human use (as opposed to computer use). Meaningful single words, like 'algorithm' and 'bound' occur quite frequently in the material at hand. They are of little use to (computer-less) humans but have been retained. But they have not been separately cited if they also occur in a cited compound phrase.

The index is 'reference-complete' in the following sense: if a phrase occurs in the index then all occurences are listed, taking account of such obvious linguistic variations as occurred, unless the phrase was part of a larger index phrase.

I also believe that this index is pretty much complete as regards meaningful phrases from Information Processing in so far as they occurred in the material available. One way to try to judge completeness of an index for a given field is on the basis of a simple stochastic model that is briefly explained in [1]. The saturation phenomenon that that model predicts (for a currently complete index; most scientific fields continue to grow) are clearly starting to show in the case of the present index, suggesting that this one goes some way towards completeness. How far is hard to say. Precise statistical tools for judging such matters are being developed. ⁵

The index is also reference-complete in the sense that the available material was checked against the complete word-phrase list of the four previous indexes mentioned above, which comprises some 109000 terms.

Fan 2374, 3626, 3693, 4943, 5042, 5294 fan 3747, 4453 fan argument 5158 Fan condition 5906 Fan condition for Hamiltonicity 3693 fan sequence 1759 Fan type condition 3838

Mathematical symbols are usually largely ignored in an index like this (partially because the various fonts that are available order very differently). In the present case practically none occur except ∞ and a few Greek letters. The glyph ∞ falls somewhere between 9 and A, and the Greek letters are treated as if they were written out: thus α is treated like alpha, ϕ like phi, etc.

When looking for something like "P-complete, look just after P-complete."

The hyphen is at the end of the sorting order. Thus to find something like 'p-selective', or 'P-complete', look at the very end of the items in the section 'P'.

⁵ Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Lithuanian Academy of Sciences, Vilnius, Lithuania.

A reasonable amount of effort has gone in identifying and lumping together linguistic variations and spelling differences. Thus of singular and plural versions just one occurs in the index with the singular preferred if that made sense. If both American and English spellings occur a see also reference directs the user to orthographic variants; thus, for example: 'colorable, see also colourable'. Hyphenated versions and 'written together versions' have been treated similarly. For instance 'fixpoint', 'fixed point', 'fixed-point', 'fix-point', with, in this case, 'fixed point' preferred. In my opinion, hyphens are used far too much in scientific writing. However, there certainly remain quite a few instances of phrases that occur separately, are further apart linguistically, but really have the same mathematical meaning. Most items start with a lower case letter. The exceptions are: acronyms (all capital letters, proper names (initial upper case letter), names of programs, computer languages, ..., such as Algol, Scheme, Cell, ... (initial upper case letter); of course in the case of Scheme and Cell the lower case versions also occur separately as technical concepts.

If a concept name derives from a proper name an upper case letter is used; for instance 'Abelian', 'Boolean'. In compound words this leads to the use of hyphens as in 'anti-Horn expression'.

Like the various other indexes already mentioned, this one is a first attempt, an offering to the community, to be used, criticized, improved, and enlarged.

Michiel Hazewinkel <mich@cwi.nl> Bussum, 3 January 2001

Reference

[1] M. Hazewinkel, Topologies and metrics on information spaces, CWI Quarterly 12(2) (1999) 93-110.