MODULI AND CANONICAL FORMS FOR LINEAR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, III: THE ALGEBRAIC-GEOMETRIC CASE

Michiel Hazewinkel Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands

.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we treat the algebraic-geometric version of the topological theory developed in [3]. That is we study linear dynamical systems over an algebraically closed field k

$$x_{t+1} = Fx_t + Gu_t, x_t \in k^n, u_t \in k^m$$

$$y_t = Hx_t, \quad y_t \in k^p$$
(1.1)

where F,G,H are matrices with coefficients in k of the appropriate sizes. A change of basis in state space changes the triple of matrices (F,G,H) into  $(TFT^{-1},TG, HT^{-1})$  and as in [3] we are interested in such questions as the following.

Does the set of orbits under this action have a (natural) structure of an algebraic variety? Do there exist continuous canonical forms? Similar questions for

the case of two matrices were studied and answered in [1], cf. also [2].

Essentially the answers are as in [3]. This paper used a moderate amount of algebraic geometry (nothing much beyond definitions). Appendices 1, 2 and 3 of [1] provide sufficient background information for this paper. (Related results, usually couched in more sophisticated algebraic-geometric language can be found in [7].) All schemes in this paper will be reduced and of finite type over k, and we shall identify them with their associated algebraic varieties of closed points. We use  $\underline{A}^{T}$  to denote affine space of dimension r over k, and we give the space of all triples of matrices (F,G,H) of dimension n × n, n × m, p × n respectively, the algebraic variety structure of  $\underline{A}^{n(n+m+p)}$ . Let  $L_{m,n,p}$  denote this algebraic variety.

Then the assignment

$$(T,(F,G,H)) \rightarrow (TFT^{-1},TG,HT^{-1}) = (F,G,H)^{T}$$

(1.2)

defines an action of the algebraic group  $GL_n$  of invertible n × n matrices with coefficients in k on  $L_{m,n,p}$ . Cf. [1] Appendix 2. We can now define what a continuous algebraic canonical form on a subvariety  $L' \subset L_{m,n,p}$  would be.

## 1.3. Definition

A continuous algebraic canonical form on L' is an algebraic morphism c: L'  $\cap$  L' such that

for every (F,G,H) 
$$\varepsilon$$
 L' there is a T  $\varepsilon$  GL such  
that (F,G,H)<sup>T</sup> = c(F,G,H) (1.3.1)

$$c(F,G,H) = c(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$$
 iff there is a T  $\varepsilon$  GL<sub>n</sub> such  
that  $(F,G,H)^{T} = (\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$  (1.3.2)

Again, as in [3], we have that continuous algebraic canonical forms on all of  $L_{m,n,p}$  cannot exist for trivial reasons. ("Jump phenomena"). The conditions "completely reachable," "completely observable," "rank of G maximal and rank of H maximal and completely reachable and completely observable" all define open subvarieties of  $L_{m,n,p}$ which we shall denote with  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{O}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{\rho}$ respectively. In addition, we consider the condition "F is diagonalizable (i.e. semisimple) with distinct eigenvalues all different from zero" which defines a (non-open) subvariety  $L_{m,n,p}^{\mu}$  of  $L_{m,n,p}$ . Combining different attributes we have the following list of (possibly interesting) subvarieties of  $L_{m,n,p}$ .

# 1.4. List of subvarieties

 $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{co}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co} = L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{co}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{\mu}$ 

 $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co,\mu} = L_{m,n,p}^{\mu} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}, L_{m,n,p}^{\rho}, L_{m,n,p}^{\rho,\mu} = L_{m,n,p}^{\rho} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{\mu}$ All these subvarieties of  $L_{m,n,p}$  are  $GL_n$ -invariant. We now have the following theorem.

1.5. Theorem

The following table gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of continuous algebraic canonical forms on various subvarieties of  $L_{m,n,p}$ .

	variety L'	necessary and sufficient con- dition for the existence of an algebraic continuous canonical form
(i)	$L^{*} = L_{m,n,p}^{CT}$	m=1
(ii)	$L' = L_{m,n,p}^{CO}$	p=1
(iii)	L' = L <sup>cr,co</sup> m,n,p	m=1 or p=1
(iv)	$L' = L^{CT,CO,\mu}_{m,n,p}$	m=1 or p=1
(v)	$L^{*} = L^{\rho}_{m,n,p}$	m=1 or p=1 or m=n or p=n
(vi)	$L' = L^{\rho,\mu}_{m,n,p}$	m=1 or p=1 or m=n or p=n

This theorem is "identical" with theorem 1.7 of [3]. The proof is similar in spirit but different in details.

There is of course also a corollary similar to corollary 1.8 of [3]. We shall see that the "orbit space"

 $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}/GL_n$  has the structure of a quasi-projective algebraic variety and its open subvariety  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}/GL_n$  is in fact a quasi-affine algebraic variety. Let  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  denote this algebraic variety. Then we shall also see that  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is a fine moduli variety for a suitable definition of (algebraic) families of linear dynamical systems.

As we said the field k we work over is supposed to be algebraically closed. This is mainly a matter of convenience: the varieties  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr,co}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{M,n,p}$ ,  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,co}$ ,  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ ,  $M_{m,n,p}^{\rho}$  are all defined over any field k; in fact they are even defined over Z. This also explains our notation  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  (R), etc. of [3]: the underlying sets of these real manifolds are simply the real points of the variety  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ , etc. However, some care must be taken in interpreting the results of e.g. part (iii) of theorem 1.5 in this context.

Consider e.g. the following situation: let k be a finite field; let  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(k)$  be the set of all k rational points of  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$ , i.e. the set of all completely reachable and completely controllable triples of matrices with coefficients in k; let  $GL_n(k)$  be the group of  $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in k acting on  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(k)$  in the abvious way. Then part (iii) of theorem 1.5 does not say that there is no map  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(k) \rightarrow L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(k)$  (locally) given by polynomials such that the analogues of (1.3.1) and (1.3.2) hold. E.g. such a map always exists when k is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , the field of two elements. But part (iii) of theorem 1.5 does say that the map  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(\overline{k}) + L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}(\overline{k})$  defined by the same polynomials, does not satisfy the analogues of (1.3.1) and (1.3.2). Here  $\overline{k}$  is the algebraic closure of k.

A large part of the proofs and constructions of [3] can be carried through unchanged in the algebraic geometric case. In these cases we shall as a rule simply refer to the appropriate section of [3].

The contents of the paper are:

- 1. Introduction and Statement of some of the Results
- 2. The Quotient Variety  $M_{m,n-n}^{Cr}$ .
  - 2.1. Nice Selections
  - 2.2. The Local Quotients  $U_{\alpha}/GL_{n}$
  - 2.3. The Quotient Variety M<sup>Cr</sup><sub>m.n.p</sub>
  - 2.4. Some Realization Theory
  - 2.5. Equations for  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$ .

2.6. The Algebraic Principal Fibre Bundle  $\pi$ :  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ 

- 2.7. The Codimension of  $(M_{m,n,p}^{cr} \setminus M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co})$  in  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ .
- 3. The Fine Moduli Variety M<sup>Cr</sup><sub>m,n,p</sub>
  - 3.1. Families of Linear Dynamical Systems
  - 3.2. The Universal Family  $\Sigma^{U}$  over  $M_{m,n,p}^{CT}$

3.3. The Fine Moduli Variety M<sup>CT</sup><sub>m,n,p</sub>

- Existence and Nonexistence of Algebraic Continuous Canonical Forms
  - 4.1. Triviality of E<sup>u</sup> and Existence of Continuous Algebraic Canonical Forms
  - 4.2. Duality
  - 4.3. Example of a Nontrivial Algebraic Line Bundle
  - 4.4. Examples
  - 4.5. An embedding  $X \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$
  - 4.6. Nonexistence of Continuous Algebraic Canonical Forms
  - 4.7. On relations between Various Local Canonical Forms
- 2. THE QUOTIENT VARIETY Mm, n, p

### 2.1. Nice Selections

Let (F,G,H)  $\in L_{m,n,p}$ . The matrices R(F,G) and Q(F,H) are defined as in [3], 2.2. The conditions "R(F,G) has rank n" i.e. "complete observability" define open subvarities of  $L_{m,n,p}$  which we denote by  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ ,  $L_{m,n,p}^{co}$  respectively.

In addition we put  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co} = L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$   $L_{m,n,p}^{co}$  which is also an open subvariety of  $L_{m,n,p}$ . As in [3], 2.3 we let  $J_{n,m}$  denote the set of column indices of R(F,G). Nice selections  $\alpha(\text{from } J_{n,m})$  and the successor indices s(a,j),  $j = 1, \dots, m$  of the nice selection  $\alpha$  are defined as in [3], 2.3. We again have (c.f. [1] 2.4.1 for a proof).

2.1.1. Lemma

If (F,G,H)  $\in L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ , then there is a nice selection such that  $det(R(F,G)_{\alpha} \neq 0$ .

2.2. The Local Quotients  $U_{\alpha}/GL_{n}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a nice selection. One defines the subvarieties of  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ 

 $U_{\alpha} = \{(F,G,H) \in L_{m,n;p} | det(R(F,G)_{\alpha}) \neq 0\}$  (2.2.1)

$$W_{\alpha} = \{(F,G,H) \in L_{m,n,p} | R(F,G)_{\alpha} = I_{n}\}$$
 (2.2.2)

The map  $\psi_{\alpha}$  of [3], 2.4.5 now defines an isomorphism of algebraic varieties

$$\psi_{\alpha}: \underline{A}^{nm+np} \stackrel{\gamma}{\rightarrow} W \qquad (2.2.3)$$

We define a morphism  $t_{\alpha}$  :  $U_{\alpha} \rightarrow GL_{n} x W_{\alpha}$ 

$$t_{\alpha} : (F,G,H) \neq (T^{-1},(F,G,H)^{T}), \text{ where } T = R(F,G)_{\alpha}^{-1}$$
  
(2.2.4)

2.2.5. Lemma

 $t_{\alpha}$  is a  $GL_{p}$ -invariant isomorphism of algebraic

varieties (where  $GL_n$  acts on  $GL_n \times W_\alpha$  by left multiplication on the left hand factor.)

2.2.6. Corollary

The (categorial) quotients  $U_{\alpha}/GL_n$  exist (as algebraic varieties) and are isomorphic to the affine space  $A^{nm+np}$ .

This follows from 2.5.5 and the isomorphism  $\psi_{\alpha}$ . For the notion of categorical quotient cf. [1] A.2.7. As a matter of fact  $U_{\alpha}/GL_n$  is also a geometric quotient in the sense of [6]; we shall not need this fact.

# 2.3. The Quotient Variety Mm,n,p

We are now going to define a quotient prevariety  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  by gluing the local quotients  $U_{\alpha}/GL_{n}$  together in suitable way. For each nice selection  $\alpha$  let  $V_{\alpha} = \underline{A}^{mn+np}$ and for each second nice selection  $\beta$  let  $V_{\alpha\beta}$  be the open subvariety  $V_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(W_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})$ . We define  $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : V_{\alpha\beta} \neq$  $V_{\beta\alpha}$  by the formula (identical of [3], (2.5.4)).

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(x) = y \leftrightarrow (F_{\alpha}(x), G_{\alpha}(x), H_{\alpha}(x))^{T} = (F_{\beta}(y), G_{\beta}(y), H_{\beta}(y))$$
  
with  $T = R(F_{\alpha}(x), G_{\alpha}(x))^{-1}_{\beta}$  (2.3.1)

where we have written  $\psi_{\alpha}(x) = (F_{\alpha}(x), G_{\alpha}(x), H_{\alpha}(x)) \in W_{\alpha}$ and similarly for  $\psi_{\beta}(y)$ . These  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  are well defined and define isomorphisms of algebraic varieties  $V_{\alpha\beta} \neq V_{\beta\alpha}$ , which moreover satisfy the cocycle condition  $\phi_{\beta\gamma}\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\alpha\gamma}$ whenever the left hand side is defined. This means that by gluing together the various  $V_{\alpha}$  by means of the  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  we obtain a certain prevariety which we shall denote  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ . To prove that  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  is an (abstract) variety we have to prove that it is separated. This can either be done by using the algebraic geometric version of [3], 2.5.7 or by means an embedding argument. To carry this embedding argument through we first observe.

#### 2.3.2. Lemma

The natural projections  $\pi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow V_{\alpha}$  combine to define an algebraic morphism  $\pi: L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ , and  $\pi$  is a categorical quotient <u>in the category of prevarieties</u> for the action of  $GL_n$  on  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  defined by (1.2).

<u>Proof</u>. It is obvious that  $\pi: L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \to M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  kills the action of  $GL_n$ . Now let  $\phi: L_{n,n,p}^{cr} \to X$  be any morphism which kills the action of  $GL_n$ . Let  $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ . Then we know that  $U_{\alpha} \to V_{\alpha}$  and  $U_{\alpha\beta} \to V_{\alpha\beta}$  are categorical quotients by 2.2.6. Let  $\phi_{\alpha}$  be the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $U_{\alpha}$ . By the categorical quotient property of  $U_{\alpha} \to V_{\alpha}$  there are unique morphisms  $\chi_{\alpha}: V_{\alpha} \to X$  such that  $\phi_{\alpha} = \chi_{\alpha}\pi_{\alpha}$ . Because  $U_{\alpha\beta} \to V_{\alpha\beta}$  are categorical quotients we also know that  $\chi_{\beta}\phi_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \chi_{\alpha}(x)$  for  $x \in V_{\alpha\beta}$ , where  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  is as in (2.3.1). It follows that the  $\chi_{\alpha}$  combine to define a morphism

 $\chi: \underset{m,n,p}{\text{M}} \to X$  such that  $\phi = \chi \pi$ . The morphism  $\chi$  is unique because on each  $V_{\alpha}$  it must equal  $\chi_{\alpha}$ . Essentially the same proof was used for [1], 3.2.14.

#### 2.3.3. The Morphisms

h:  $L_{m,n,p} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{r}$  and g:  $L_{m,n,p} \rightarrow G_{n,(n+1)m}$ . Let (F,G,H)  $\in L_{m,n,p}$ . We let h(F,G,H)  $\in \underline{A}^{r}$ , where r =  $(n+1)^{2}mp$ , be the block Hankel matrix

$$h(F,G,H) = \begin{pmatrix} HG & HFG & . & . & HF^{n}G \\ HFG & & . & . \\ . & & . & . \\ . & & . & . \\ HF^{n}G & . & . & HF^{2n}G \end{pmatrix} = Q(F,H)R(F,G)$$

This defines a morphism h:  $L_{m,n,p} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{r}$ , which certainly kills the action of  $GL_{n}$ .

Restricting to  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  and applying lemma 2.3.2 we obtain an induced morphism

$$\overline{h}: M_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{r}, r = (n+1)^{2}pm \qquad (2.3.4)$$

Let  $L_{m,n}^{cr}$  be the algebraic variety of all pairs of matrices (F,G) of sizes  $n \times n$  and  $n \times m$ . In [1] we constructed a morphism g:  $L_{m,n}^{cr} \rightarrow G_{n,(n+1)m}$  which kills the action of  $GL_n$  on  $L_{m,n,}^{cr}$ , where  $G_{n,(n+1)m}$  is the Grassmann variety of n-planes in (n+1)m space; g assigns to (F,G) the point of  $G_{n(n+1)m}$  corresponding to the rank n matrix R(F,G) of size nx(n+1)m. We proved that the quotient variety  $M_{m,n}$ =  $L_{m,n}^{cr}/GL_n$  exists and that g induces an embedding  $\overline{g}$ :  $M_{m,n} \neq G_{n,(n+1)m}$ . Cf. [1] Theorem 3.2.13 and proposi tion 3.2.14. Now let g':  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \neq G_{n,(n+1)m}$  be the composed morphism  $(F,G,H) \neq (F,G) \neq g(F,G)$ . This morphism kills the action of  $GL_n$  and hence induces a morphism

g: 
$$M_{m,n,p}^{cr} + G_{n,(n+1)m}$$
 (2.3.5)

From the remarks made above we know that if (F,G,H), (F',G',H') (F',G',H')  $\varepsilon L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  are such that g'(F,G,H) = g'(F,G,H) then there is a T  $\varepsilon$  GL<sub>n</sub> such that (F,G)<sup>T</sup> = ( $\overline{F},\overline{G}$ ).

2.3.4 An embedding  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow G_{n,(n+1)m} \times \underline{A}^{r}$ 

The morphisms h,g of (2.3.4) and (2.3.5) together define a morphism

i: 
$$M_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \rightarrow G_{n,(n+1)m} \times \underline{A}^{r}$$
 (2.3.6)

We claim that i is injective. Indeed if (F,G,H),  $(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$   $\varepsilon L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  are such that  $h(F,G,H) = h(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$  and g'(F,G,H)  $= g'(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$ , then we know that there is a T  $\varepsilon$  GL<sub>n</sub> such that  $(F,G)^{T} = (\overline{F},\overline{G})$  i.e.  $TR(F,G) = R(\overline{F},\overline{G})$ , and then because  $h(F,GH) = h(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$  we have in particular  $HR(F,G) = \overline{HR}(\overline{F},\overline{G})$  so that  $\overline{H}TR(F,G) = HR(F,G)$  and hence  $\overline{H} = HT^{-1}$  because R(F,G) has rank n. This concludes the proof that i is injective.

2.3.5. Corollary

The prevariety M<sup>Cr</sup> is separated, i.e. M<sup>Cr</sup> is m,n,p is a variety.

2.3.6. Corollary

 $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is a quotient for the action of  $GL_n$ on  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  in the category of algebraic varieties. In fact  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is also a geometric quotient in the sense of [6], but we shall not need this.

2.3.7.

Let  $V_{\alpha}^{CO} = \psi_{\sigma}^{-1}(W_{\alpha} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO})$  and  $V_{\alpha\beta}^{CO} = V_{\alpha}^{CO} \cap V_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then the  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}: V_{\alpha\beta} \neq V_{\beta\alpha}$  induce isomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{CO}: V_{\alpha\beta}^{CO} \neq V_{\beta\alpha}^{CO}$ . Gluing together the  $V_{\alpha}^{CO}$  by means of the  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{CO}$  we obtain an open subvariety  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO}$  of  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  which is the image of  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO}$  under  $\pi:L_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \neq M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ . It follows that the induced morphism  $\pi^{CO}: L_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO} \neq M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO}$  is also a categorical quotient.

2.3.8.

Similarly, using 
$$V_{\alpha}^{\rho} = \psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(W_{\alpha} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{\rho})$$
,

$$\begin{split} & V_{\alpha}^{\mu\rho} = \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} (W_{\alpha} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{\mu}), \ V_{\alpha}^{\mu\rho} = \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} (W_{\alpha} \cap L_{m,n,p}^{\mu,\rho}) \text{ and the} \\ & \text{corresponding } V_{\alpha\beta}^{*} \text{ we obtain categorical quotients } L_{m,n,p}^{\rho} \\ & \stackrel{}{\to} M_{m,n,p}^{\rho}, \ L_{m,n,p}^{\mu} \xrightarrow{} M_{m,n,p}^{\mu}, \ L_{m,n,p}^{\rho,\mu}, \ M_{m,n,p}^{\rho,\mu} \text{ where the} \\ & M_{m,n,p}^{*} \text{ are subvarieties of } M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}. \end{split}$$

#### 2.4. Some Realization Theory

The morphism  $\overline{h}$  of (2.3.4) above induces a morphism  $\widehat{h}: M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{r}$ . It is the purpose of this and the following subsection to show that  $\widehat{h}$  is injective and to derive equations for the subvariety  $\widehat{h}(M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO}) \subset \underline{A}^{r}$ . To do this we use some (partial) realization theory an embodied by proposition 2.4.3 below. First a definition

#### 2.4.1. Definition

Let  $A_0, A_1, \ldots$  be a sequence of  $p \times m$  matrices. Then  $h_{q,r}(A)$  denotes the block Hankel matrix

1

 $h_{q,r}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0} & A_{1} & \cdots & A_{r} \\ A_{1} & & & & \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_{q} & \cdot & \cdot & A_{r+q} \end{pmatrix}$ 

2.4.2. Definition

If A is a matrix and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{_{C}}$  is a subset of the column

indices of A, and  $a_r$  is a subset of the row indices of A, then we define

- $A_{\alpha_c}$  = matrix obtained from A by removing all columns whose index is not in  $\alpha_c$
- A<sub>α</sub> = matrix obtained from A by removing all rows whose a<sub>r</sub> index is not in α<sub>r</sub>
- $A_{\alpha_r,\alpha_c}$  = matrix obtained from A by removing all rows and columns whose indices are not in  $\alpha_r, \alpha_c$  respectively.

#### 2.4.3. Proposition

Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{2n-1}$  be a sequence of 2n matrices with coefficients in k, all of size  $p \times m$ , and suppose that rank $(h_{n-1,n-1}(A)) = rank(h_{n,n-1}(A)) = rank(h_{n-1,n}(A))$ = n. Then there exists an (F,G,H)  $\in L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$  such that  $HF^iG = A_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ .

Moreover, if  $(\overline{F}, \overline{G}, \overline{H}) \in L_{m,n,p}^{cr.co}$  is a second triple such that  $\overline{HF}^{i}\overline{G} = A_{i}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$  then there is a T  $\in$  GL<sub>n</sub> such that  $(\overline{F}, \overline{G}, \overline{H}) = (F, G, H)^{T}$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Existence of a triple (F,G,H)  $\in L_{m,n,p}$  such that

$$HF^{i}G = A_{i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n-1$$
 (2.4.4)

holds is assured by the realizability criterion 11.32 of

Chapter 10 of [4]. We define

$$\overline{R}(F,G) = (G,FG...F^{n-1}G),$$
  
 $\overline{Q}(F,H)' = (H'F'H'...(F')^{n-1}H')$  (2.4.5)

Then it follows from (2.4.3) that  $\overline{Q}(F,H)\overline{R}(F,H) = h_{n-1,n-1}(A)$ . Now we have rank  $(R(F,G)) \leq n$ , rank $(Q(F,H)) \leq n$  and rank $(h_{n-1,n-1}(A)) = n$ . It follows that rank(R(F,G)) = rank(Q(F,H)) = n, so that  $(F,G,H) \in L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$ . Not let  $(\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})$  be a second triple in  $L_{m,n,p}$  such that

$$\overline{HF}^{i}\overline{G} = A_{i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$$
 (2.4.6)

Then as above we find  $\overline{Q}(\overline{F},\overline{H})\overline{R}(\overline{F},\overline{G}) = h_{n-1,n-1}(A)$ . Now because  $\overline{R}(F,G)$  has rank n there is a subset  $\alpha_c$  of size n of the column indices of R(F,G) such that  $R(F,G)_{\alpha_c}$  is invertible; further because  $\overline{Q}(F,H)$  has rank n there is a subset  $\alpha_r$  of size n of the row indices of  $\overline{Q}(F,H)$  such that  $\overline{Q}(F,H)$  is invertible. We have

$$(h_{n-1,n-1}(A))_{\alpha_{r},\alpha_{c}} = \overline{Q}(F,H)_{\alpha_{r}}\overline{R}(F,G)_{\alpha_{c}} =$$

$$\overline{Q}(\overline{F},\overline{H})_{\alpha_{r}}\overline{R}(\overline{F},\overline{G})_{\alpha_{c}} \qquad (2.4.7)$$

so that it follows that all five  $n \times n$  matrices occurring in (2.4.7) are invertible.

Now let

CANONICAL FORMS

$$(F_1,G_1,H_1) = (F,G,H)^T$$
, where  $T = \overline{Q}(F,H)_{\alpha_r}$  (2.4.8)

$$(\overline{F}_1, \overline{G}_1, \overline{H}_1) = (\overline{F}, \overline{G}, \overline{H})^T$$
, where  $\overline{T} = \overline{Q}(\overline{F}, \overline{H})_{\alpha_r}$  (2.4.9)

Then we have of course

$$H_1F_1^iG_1 = \overline{H}_1\overline{F}_1^i\overline{G}_1 = A_i \text{ for } i = 0,...,2n-1$$
 (2.4.10)

which means

$$\overline{Q}(F_1, H_1) R(F_1, G_1) = \overline{Q}(\overline{F}_1, \overline{H}_1) R(\overline{F}_1, \overline{G}_1) = h_{n-1, n} (A)$$
(2.4.11)

and moreover because

$$\overline{Q}(F_1,H_1) = \overline{Q}(F,H)T^{-1}, \ \overline{Q}(\overline{F}_1,\overline{H}_1) = \overline{Q}(\overline{F},\overline{H})\overline{T}^{-1}$$

we have

$$Q(F_1, H_1)_{\alpha_r} = I_n = Q(F_1, H_1)_{\alpha_r}$$
 (2.4.12)

Now combine (2.4.12) and (2.4.11) to obtain that  $R(F_1,G_1) = R(F_1,G_1)$  which be corollary 2.4.2 of [1] means that  $F_1 = \overline{F}_1$  and  $G_1 = \overline{G}_1$ . and because  $R(\overline{F}, \overline{G}) = R(F, G)$  has rank n, it follows from (2.4.11) that also  $H_1 = \overline{H}_1$ . We therefore have  $(F,G,H)^T = (F_1,G_1,H_1) = (\overline{F}_1,\overline{G}_1,\overline{H}_1) = (\overline{F},\overline{G},\overline{H})^T$ , which proves the second statement of the proposition.

# 2.4.4. Corollary

The morphism  $\hat{h}: M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co} + \underline{A}^r$  of (2.3.4) above is injective.

# 2.5. Equations for Mm,n,p

By means of the injective morphism  $\hat{h}$  we can now consider  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$  as a subvariety of  $\underline{A}^{r}$ ,  $r = (n+1)^{2}pm$ , where we write  $x \in \underline{A}^{r}$  as an  $(n+1)n \times (n+1)m$  matrix. We now consider the following sets of polynomials in the coordinates of  $\underline{A}^{r}$ .

 $P_a(x)$ : these polynomials are such that  $P_a(x) = 0$ for all a if and only if the matrix x is of block Hankel type (cf. 2.4.1) with the blocks of size  $p \times m$ . (2.5.1)

 $Q_b(x)$ : here  $Q_b(x)$  runs through all determinants of (n+1) × (n+1) submatrices of x. (2.5.2)

 $R_c(x)$ : here  $R_c(x)$  runs through all determinants of n × n submatrices of the submatrix x' of x which is obtained by removing the last p rows and the last m columns.

2.5.4. Lemma

Let  $(F,G,H) \in L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$ ,  $x = h(F,G,H) \in \underline{A}^r$ . Then we have  $P_a(x) = 0$  for all a,  $Q_b(x) = 0$  for all b and there is a c such that  $R_c(x) \neq 0$ .

Proof. Obvious because h(F,G,H) = Q(F,H)R(F,G).

2.5.5. Proposition

 $\hat{h}(M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}) \stackrel{\underline{A}}{=}^{r} \text{ is the subvariety consisting of those}$   $x \in \underline{A}^{r} \text{ such that } P_{a}(x) = 0 \text{ for all } a, Q_{b}(x) = 0 \text{ for all}$   $b \text{ and such that these is a c such that } R_{c}(x) \neq 0.$ 

<u>Proof</u>. Because of lemma 2.5.4 we only have to show that if  $x \in \underline{A}^S$  satisfies  $P_a(x) = 0$  all a,  $Q_b(x) = 0$  all b and  $R_c(x) \neq 0$  for some c, then x is in  $\hat{h}(M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co})$ . Write x as a block Hankel matrix

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ A_2 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_n & & \ddots & & A_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

This can be done because  $P_a(x) = 0$  for all a. Cf. 2.5.1. Then the matrices  $A_1, \ldots, A_{2n-1}$  satisfy the conditions of proposition 2.4.3 so that there is a triple (F,G,H)  $\varepsilon$  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}$  such that  $HF^iG = A_i$  for  $i = 0,1,2,\ldots,2n-1$ . To show that h(F,G,H) = x it therefore only remains to show that  $HF^{2n}G = A_{2n}$ . This follows from lemma 2.5.6 below.

2.5.6 Lemma

Let E,E' be two partitioned matrices

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \hline \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{E}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \hline \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$

and suppose that rank(E) = rank(E') = rank(A). Then D = D'.

<u>Proof.</u> Let d be an element of D and d' the corresponding element of D'. Let A' be an n × n submatrix of A such that det(A')  $\neq 0$  where n = rank(A). Suppose A' =  $E_{\alpha_r,\alpha_c}$ , then also A' = E'  $\alpha_r,\alpha_c$ . Let  $\beta_r = \alpha_r \cup \{i\}$  where i is the index of the row in E containing d (and of the row in E' containing d') and  $\beta_c = \alpha_c \cup \{j\}$  where j is the index of the column in E containing d (and of the column in E' containing d'). Then we have det $(E_{\beta_r,\beta_c}) = 0 =$ det $(E_{\beta_r,\beta_c})$ . All elements of  $E_{\beta_r,\beta_c}$  and  $E_{\beta_r,\beta_c}'$  except possibly the one in the right hand lower corner are equal and det(A')  $\neq 0$ . It follows that d = d'. (By expanding the determinants along the last row e.g.).

2.5.7. Corollary (of proposition 2.5.5.)

M<sup>cr,co</sup> is a quasiaffine variety.

2.5.8.

Using similar arguments as above combined with those used in [1] to find equations for the variety  $M_{m,n}$  (cf.

[1] section 3.2), it is not difficult to find equations for the variety  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  (as a subvariety of  $G_{n,(n+1)m} \times \underline{A}^{r}$ or as a subvariety of  $\underline{P}^{r'} \times \underline{A}^{r}$ , where  $r' = \binom{(n+1)m}{n} -1$ .

 $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is a quasiprojective variety but not a quasi affine variety if m > 1. This last statement is seen as follows. The embedding  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  given by (FG)  $\rightarrow$ (F,G,O) where O is zero matrix of appropriate size, induces an embedding  $M_{m,n} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ . Now according to [1] section 3.3 there is an embedding  $\underline{P}^1 \rightarrow M_{m,n}$ . Combining these we find an embedding  $\underline{P}^1 \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  which shows that  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is not quasi affine. (Cf. also the proof of theorem 3.4.6 in [1]).

#### 2.6 The Algebraic Principal Fiber Bundle

 $\pi: L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ . As in [3] we can now show that  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is an algebraic principal  $GL_n$  fibre bundle over the variety  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ , and we could use an analysis of the nontriviality or triviality of this bundle to prove nonexistence and existence of algebraic continuous canonical forms. This can be done almost exactly as in [3] section 3 except that one has to construct a different example because the example of [3], section 3.2 is essentially nonalgebraic. Cf. also section 4.1 below for further comments. In this paper, however, we shall first

discuss the fame moduli variety properties of  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  and then use these to investigate the existence of continuous algebraic canonical forms; this is the same procedure as in [2], cf. especially theorem 6.1 of [2]. The two approaches are essentially equivalent because the underlying vectorbundle of the universal family over  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is the algebraic n-vectorbundle associated to the principal  $GL_n$  bundle  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} + M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ .

# 2.7 <u>The Codimension of</u> $(M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co} \land M_{m,n,p}^{cr,co}) \xrightarrow{in} M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ .

Let  $K_{m,n,p}$  be the subvariety of  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  defined by the equations det(Q(F,H))<sub>β</sub>) = 0 for all subsets of size n of the row indices of Q(F,H). i.e.

$$K_{m,n,p} = M_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \setminus M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,Co}$$
(2.7.1)

We want to find out something about the codimension of the closed subvariety  $K_{m,n,p}$  of  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ . The result is:

2.7.2. Proposition

The codimension of  $K_{m,n,p}$  in  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  is 1 if p = 1 and it is  $\geq p$  if  $p \geq 2$ .

To prove proposition 2.7.2 we use the following combinatorial lemma.

# 2.7.3 Lemma

Let X =  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite set of n elements. Let X<sub>0</sub> be a subset of X and  $\sigma: X_0 \rightarrow X$  an injective map with the following property

If  $Y \subset X_0$  then  $\sigma(Y) \not\subset Y$  unless  $Y = X_0 = X$ . (2.7.2) Then there exists a cyclic permutation  $\hat{\sigma}: X \rightarrow X$  of order n such that  $\hat{\sigma}(a) = \sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in X_0$ .

<u>Proof.</u> If  $X_0 = X$  then condition (2.7.4) says that  $\sigma$  is already a cyclic permutation of order n. We can therefore assume that  $X_0 \neq X$ . We are going to show that there is  $b \in X \setminus X_0$  and an injective map  $\sigma_1$ :  $X_1 + X$  with  $X_1 = X_0 \cup \{b\}$  and  $\sigma_1(a) = \sigma(a)$  for a  $\in X_0$  such that (2.7.4) holds with  $X_0$  replaced by  $X_1$ . By induction (with respect to the number of elements in  $X \setminus X_0$ ) this proves the lemma. Because  $X_0 \neq X$  there is an  $a_1 \in X$  which is not in the image of  $\sigma$ . If  $a_1 \in X_0$  let  $a_2 = \sigma(a_1)$ , if  $a_1 \notin X_0$ stop; if  $a_2 \in X_0$  let  $a_3 = \sigma(a_2)$ , if  $a_2 \notin X_0$  stop; continuing in this way we find a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r, r \ge 1$  such that

> $a_1 \notin Im(\sigma), a_i = \sigma(a_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ ,  $a_r \notin X_0$

Note that the a1,...,ar are all different from one another

because  $\sigma$  is injective and  $a_1 \notin Im\sigma$ . There now are two possibilities

(i) There is no b  $\not\in X \setminus \text{Im}\sigma$  different from  $a_1$ .

In this case Imo has n-1 elements and hence so has  $X_0$ . Therefore  $X \setminus X_0 = \{a_r\}$ . Let  $Y = X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and suppose  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have  $Y \subset X_0$  because  $X \setminus X_0 = \{a_r\}$ . We also have  $\sigma(Y) \subset Y$  because  $\sigma(\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \cap X_0) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ .

Therefore, because is injective, we would have  $\sigma(Y) = Y$  contradicting (2.7.2). Therefore  $Y = \emptyset$  and  $X = \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$  in this case (i.e. r = n). We now take  $b = a_1$  and define  $\sigma_1(b) = a_1$ . Then  $X_1 = X_0 \cup \{a_r\} = X$  and  $\sigma_1$ :  $X \to X$  is clearly the desired cyclic permutation.

- (ii) There is a  $b_1 \in X$  Im which is different from  $a_1$ . In this case we take  $b = a_r$  and define  $\sigma_1(b) = b_1$ . The map  $\sigma_1$  is injective because  $b_1 \notin$  Im $\sigma$ . Now suppose  $Y \subset X_1$  is such that  $\sigma_1(Y) = Y$ . Note that in this case  $X \setminus$  Im $\sigma$  has at least two elements, hence so has  $X - X_0$ , so that  $X_1 \neq X$ . There are two possibilities.
- (ii<sub>1</sub>)  $b = a_r \notin Y$ . In this case  $Y = \sigma_1(Y) = \sigma(Y)$  and  $Y \subset X_o$  which contradicts (2.7.4).

(ii<sub>2</sub>) b =  $a_r \in Y$ . Then because  $\sigma_1(Y) = Y$  we must have

 $a_{r-1} \in Y$ ,  $a_{r-2} \in Y$ ,..., $a_1 \in Y \subset X_1$ , which is a contradiction because there is no  $c \in X$  such that  $\sigma(c) = a_1$  because  $a_1 \notin Im\sigma_1 = Im\sigma \cup \{b_1\}$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.

2.7.6.

Now consider  $x \in \underline{A}^{mn}$ ; consider  $(F_{\alpha}(x), G_{\alpha}(x), where \alpha$  is a nice selection,  $\alpha \subset J_{m,n}$ . We recall how  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  and  $G_{\alpha}(x)$  are defined (cf. 1 section 2.3). Let  $J = \alpha \cup \{s(\alpha, 1), \ldots, s(\alpha, m)\}$  as an ordered subset of  $J_{m,n}$ . Let  $x_1$  be the column vector consisting of the first n coordinates of x,  $x_2$  the column vector consisting of the second n coordinates, etc. We now define n + m column vectors  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m+n$  of length n as follows

$$y = \begin{cases} e_{\ell} \text{ if the i-th element of J is the } \ell-\text{th element} \\ & \text{of } \alpha \\ x_{j} \text{ if the i-th element of J is } s(\alpha,j) \qquad (2.7.3) \end{cases}$$

where  $e_{\ell}$  is the  $\ell$ -th standard basis vector.

The matrices  $G_{\alpha}(x)$  and  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  are now defined by

$$G_{\alpha}(x)_{j} = y_{j}, j = 1,...,m; F_{\alpha}(x)_{j} = y_{m+j}, j = 1,...,n$$
  
(2.7.4)

It readily follows from this that  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  is a matrix of

2.7.9 Lemma

For every nice selection  $\alpha$ , there is an x  $\epsilon \underline{A}_{mn}$  such that  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  is a cyclic permutation of order n of the standard basis vectors.

2.7.10.

Let  $\alpha$  be a nice selection. Now consider  $K_{m,n,p} \cap V_{\alpha}$ =  $V_{\alpha} \setminus V_{\alpha}^{CO}$  where  $\alpha$  is a nice selection. This closed subvariety of  $U_{\alpha}$  is defined by the equations det(Q( $F_{\alpha}(x)$ ,  $H_{\alpha}(x)$ )<sub> $\beta$ </sub>) = 0 for all subsets  $\beta$  of size n of the row indices of Q( $F_{\alpha}(x)$ , $H_{\alpha}(x)$ ). We number the rows of Q( $F_{\alpha}(x)$ , $H_{\alpha}(x)$ ) as follows

(/<sup>-</sup>,1),...,(0,p); (1,p),...,(1,p);...
...,(n,1,...,(n,p))

Take  $\beta_1 = \{(0,1), (1,1), \dots, (n-1,1)\}$ . Write  $x \in V_{\alpha} = \underline{A}^{mn} \times \underline{A}^{pn}$  as x = (y,z) and write z as the matrix  $(z_{ij})$ ,

i = 1,...,p, j = 1,...,n. We write  $F_{\alpha}(x) = F_{\alpha}(y)$ ,  $H_{\alpha}(x) = z$ . Now consider the equation

$$det(Q(F_{\alpha}(x),H_{\alpha}(x))_{\beta_{1}}) = 0 \qquad (2.7.5)$$

Now specify the y such that  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  is a cyclic permutation matrix of order n and suppose that the first row vector of  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  under this specification is the *l*-th standard basis vector. Now take  $z_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \neq l$ . Then (2.7.5) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} z_{1k}^{n} = 0 \qquad (2.7.6)$$

If p = 1, equation (2.7.11) defines  $K_{m,n,p} \cap V_{\alpha}$  (because if rank Q(F,G) = n then there is a nice "selection"  $\beta$ from the row indices of Q(F,H) such that det(Q(F,H)<sub> $\beta$ </sub>)  $\neq 0$ by the transposed version of lemma 2.1.1). Equation (2.7.12) which is obtained from (2.7.11) by a suitable specification of some of the variables shows that (2.7.5) is nontrivial, so that the codimension of  $K_{m,n,p} \cap V_{\alpha}$  in  $V_{\alpha}$  is one for each nice selection  $\alpha$  proving that the codimension of  $K_{m,n,1}$  in  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  is one. Now suppose that p > 1. And consider the selections

$$\beta_{i} = \{(0,i), (1,i), \dots, (n-1,i)\}$$
 i = 1,...,p

Specifying the y and z as before (NB the specification to be used depends on a!), the equations

$$det(Q(F_{\alpha}(x),H_{\alpha}(x))_{\beta_{i}}) = 0 \quad i = 1,...,p \quad (2.7.7)$$

specify to

$$z_{il}^{n} = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, p \quad (2.7.8)$$

The equations (2.7.14) are independent, hence so are the equations (2.7.13) proving that the codimension of  $K_{m,n,p} \cap V_{\alpha}$  in  $V_{\alpha}$  is  $\geq p$ . This holds for all nice selections  $\alpha$  so that the codimension of  $K_{m,n,p}$  in  $M_{m,n,p}^{CT}$  is always  $\geq p$ . We have now proved assertion 2.7.2.

#### 2.7.12. Remark

To prove 2.7.2 all one really needs is the existence of a triple (F,G,H)  $\in$  W<sub>a</sub> for each a such that F' is a cyclic matrix. This can be seen as follows: U is a nonempty open subvariety of L<sub>m,n,p</sub>. Let L' = '{(F,G,H)  $\in$ L<sub>m,n,p</sub>|F' is cyclic} this also defined a nonempty open subvariety of L<sub>m,n,p</sub>. Because L<sub>m,n,p</sub> is irreducible L'  $\cap$  U<sub>a</sub> \neq \phi. Let (F,G,H)  $\in$  L'  $\cap$  U and let (F,G,H) = (F,G,H)<sup>T</sup> where T = R(F,G)<sup>-1</sup><sub>a</sub>. Then (F,G,H)  $\in$  W<sub>a</sub> and F' is cyclic.

# 3. THE FINE MODULI VARIETY M<sup>Cr</sup><sub>m,n,p</sub>.

We now proceed to study families of linear dynamical systems. Some motivation as to why one would like to

study families is given in section 1.8 of [3]. Moreover, in this paper we shall use families to investigate whether there exist continuous canonical forms or not. This is not necessary; one can also use the principal algebraic  $GL_n$  bundle  $L_{m,n,p}^{CT} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{CT}$ . Cf. also 2.6 above. This part of the theory in the algebraic geometric case is practically completely analogous to the corresponding part of the topological case which was treated in section 4 of [3].

#### 3.1. Families of Linear Dynamical Systems

#### 3.1.1. Definition

A family of linear dynamical systems over a variety S of <u>dimensions</u> (n,m,p) consists of

(i) an algebraic n-dimensional vectorbundle  $p:E \rightarrow S$ (ii) an algebraic vectorbundle endomorphism  $F:E \rightarrow E$ (iii) an algebraic vectorbundle homomorphism  $G:SxA^m \rightarrow E$ (iv) an algebraic vectorbundle homomorphism  $H:E \rightarrow SxA^p$ . Let s  $\epsilon$  S, then F,G,H induce homomorphisms  $F_s:E_s \rightarrow E_s$ ,  $G_s:sx\underline{A}^m \rightarrow E_s$ ,  $H_s:E_s \rightarrow sx\underline{A}^p$ ;  $E_s = p^{-1}(s)$  is the fibre over s. (Cf. Appendix 3 of [1]). Choosing a basis  $e_1(s), \dots, e_n(s)$  of  $E_s$  and taking the obvious bases in  $sx\underline{A}^m$  and  $sx\underline{A}^p$  we calculate the matrices of  $F_s, G_s, H_s$ relative these bases. Let the result be (F(s,e),G(s,e),H(s,e). This triple depends on  $e_1(s),...,e_n(s)$  only to the extent that a different choice of  $e_1(s),...,e_n(s)$  gives a triple in the same orbit (under  $GL_n$ ) as (F(s,e),G(s,e),H(s,e)).

The family  $\Sigma$  is said to be <u>completely reachable</u> if (F(s,e),G(s,e)H(s,e))  $\varepsilon L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  for all s. (This is well defined because  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  is GL<sub>n</sub> invariant).

# 3.1.2. The Canonical Morphism Associated to Completely Reachable Family

Now let  $\Sigma$  be a completely reachable family. Then  $F_s, G_s, H_s$  define a unique orbit in  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  and thus a unique point in  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  which we shall denote  $f_{\Sigma}(s)$ . Thus we have a map  $f_{\Sigma} : S + M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ . Using the local triviality of the bundle E one shows by means of the algebraic analogues of the constructions in 4.1.2 - 4.1.8 of [3] that  $f_{\Sigma}$  is a morphism in the category of varieties.

#### 3.1.3

In the topological case we associated a continuous map  $f_{\Sigma} : X \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}(\mathbb{R})$  to every family  $\Sigma$ , and used this map to define complete reachability of families. This cannot be done in the algebraic geometric case because the variety  $M_{m,n,p}$  does not exist.

3.2. The Universal Family  $\Sigma^{U}$  over  $M_{m,n,p}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a nice selection. Let  $E_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha} \times \underline{A}^n$ ,  $p_{\alpha}$ :  $E_{\alpha} \rightarrow V_{\alpha}$  the obvious projection. We define families  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ of linear dynaminal systems with underlying bundles  $E_{\alpha}$ by the formulas

$$F_{\alpha}(x,v) = (x,F_{\alpha}(x)v), G_{\alpha}(x,u) = (x,G_{\alpha}(x)u),$$
$$H_{\alpha}(x,v) = (x,H_{\alpha}(x)v) \qquad (3.2.1)$$

where for  $x \in V_{\alpha}$ ,  $\psi_{\alpha}(x) = (F_{\alpha}(x), G_{\alpha}(x), H_{\alpha}(x))$ , cf. [3].

2.4.5

Now let  $E_{\alpha\beta} = V_{\alpha\beta} \times \underline{A}^n$  and define the isomorphisms  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}: E_{\alpha\beta} \neq E_{\beta\alpha}$  by formula (4.3.6) of [3]. Then glueing together the  $E_{\alpha}$  by means of the  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  we obtain an algebraic vectorbundle  $E^u$ . The  $F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}$  are compatible with the  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$  in the sense of (4.3.9) - (4.3.11) of [3] and thus define homomorphisms  $F^u: E^u \neq E^u, g^u: M_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \times \underline{A}^m \neq E^u$ ,  $H^u: E^u + M_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \times \underline{A}^p$ . This defines the family  $\Sigma^u$ . The family  $\Sigma^u$  is completely reachable (because this is true for the families  $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ ), and the associated map  $f_{\Sigma^u}: M_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \neq$   $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  is the identity map (because the triple ( $F_{\alpha}(x)$ ,  $G_{\alpha}(x), H_{\alpha}(x)$ ) maps to  $x \in V_{\alpha} \subset M_{m,n,p}$  under  $\pi: L_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \neq$  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ .

3.3. The Fine Moduli Variety Mm,n,p

3.3.1

Two families  $\Sigma$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}$  are isomorphic if there is an algebraic vectorbundle isomorphism  $\phi: E \rightarrow E$  such that  $\overline{F}\phi = \phi F$ ,  $\phi G = \overline{G}$ ,  $H = \overline{H}\phi$ . For each  $S \in \underline{Sch}_k$ , the category of algebraic varieties over k, let  $\phi_{m,n,p}(S)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of completely reachable families of linear dynamical systems over S. By means of the pullback construction we turn  $\phi_{m,n,p}(S)$  into a functor  $\phi_{m,n,p}: \underline{Sch}_k^{opp} \rightarrow \underline{Set}$ .

#### 3.3.2. Theorem

The variety  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  is a fine moduli variety for  $\Phi_{m,n,p}$  or, in other words, the functor  $\Phi_{m,n,p}$  is representable by  $M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ . More precisely, the assignment  $\Sigma + f_{\Sigma}$  induces a functorial isomorphism  $\Phi_{m,n,p}(S) \rightarrow \frac{Sch_{k}(S,M_{m,n,p}^{cr})}{Sch_{k}(S,M_{m,n,p}^{cr})}$ ; the inverse isomorphism assigns the isomorphism class of  $f_{\Sigma}^{i} \Sigma^{u}$  to  $f: S \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Identical with the proof of the correspond-

# 4. EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE OF ALGEBRAIC CONTINUOUS CANONICAL FORMS

In [1] we used the fact that  $M_{m,n}$  admits an embedding  $\mathbb{P}^1 \to M_{m,n}$  if  $m \ge 2$  to show that there is no algebraic

#### CANONICAL FORMS

continuous form for completely reachable pairs of matrices. This cannot be used to prove e.g. part (iii) of theorem 1.5 because as we have seen  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr,CO}$  is a quasi-affine algebraic variety. Further the example we used in [3] to prove nonexistence of continuous canonical forms for real linear dynamical systems if  $m \ge 2$  and  $p \ge 2$  is essentially nonalgebraic. There is, however, a three (instead of one) dimensional version of it which is algebraic and that is the example we shall use in this paper. We proceed via moduli varieties as in [2].

# 4.1. <u>Triviality of E<sup>u</sup> and Existence of Continuous Alge-</u> braic Canonical Forms

#### 4.1.1. Theorem

Let  $L \subset L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  be a  $GL_n$ -invariant subvariety of  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  and let  $M = \pi(L)$ . Then there exists a continuous algebraic canonical form on L if and only if the algebraic vector bundle  $E^u|M$  is trivial.

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $\phi_{m,n,p}^{L}$  be the subfunctor of  $\phi_{m,n,p}$ defined by considering only isomorphism classes of families  $\Sigma$  over S such that  $f_{\Sigma}$  maps S into M =  $\pi(L)$ . It follows directly from theorem 3.3.2 that  $\Sigma + f_{\Sigma}$  then defines a functorial isomorphism  $\phi_{m,n,p}^{L}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} Sch_{k}(S,M)$  and that the inverse isomorphism is given by  $f + f^{!}(\Sigma^{u}|M)$  where  $\Sigma^{u}|M = (E^{u}|M,F^{u}|M,G^{u}|M,H^{u}|M)$  is the restriction of  $\Sigma^{u}$  to

M. Now suppose that there exists a continuous algebraic canonical form c:  $L \rightarrow L$ . Because c kills the action of  $GL_n$  there is a unique morphism  $\overline{c}$ :  $M \rightarrow L$  such that  $c = \overline{c}\pi$ . For each x  $\varepsilon$  M we write  $\overline{c}(x) = (F_c(x), G_c(x), H_c(x))$ . Note that  $\pi \overline{c} = id$ , by condition (1.3.1) of the definition of canonical form.

We now define a family  $\Sigma^{c}$  over M as follows:  $\Sigma^{c} = (C^{c}, F^{c}, G^{c}, H^{c})$  with  $E^{c} = M \times A^{n}$ ,  $F^{c}(x, v) = (x, F_{c}(x)v)$ ,  $G^{c}(x, u) = (x, G_{c}(x)u)$ ,  $H^{c}(x, v) = (x, H_{c}(x)v)$ . Because  $\pi c =$ id and  $c(x) = (F_{c}(x), G_{c}(x), H_{c}(x))$  we have that  $f_{\Sigma^{c}}$ :  $M \to M_{\Sigma^{c}}$ is the identity morphism, cf. 3.1.2. But, according to theorem 3.3.2, or rather the relative version discussed in the beginning of this proof, we have that  $(F_{\Sigma^{c}})^{!}(E^{u}|M)_{\Sigma^{c}}$ is isomorphic to  $\Sigma^{c}$ . which in particular means that  $(f_{\Sigma^{c}})^{!}(E^{u}|M) \simeq E^{c} = M \times \underline{A}^{n}$ ; but  $f_{\Sigma^{c}} = id$ , hence  $E^{u}|M$  is trivial.

Inversely suppose that  $E^{u}|M$  is trivial. Then we can find n algebraic sections  $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \colon M \to E^{u}|M$  such that  $e_{1}(x), \ldots, e_{n}(x)$  is a basis for  $E_{x}^{u}$  for all  $x \in M$ . Let F(x,e), G(x,e), H(x,e) be the matrices of  $F_{x} \colon E_{x}^{u} \to E_{x}^{u}, G_{x} \colon$  $\{x\} \times A^{m} \to E_{x}^{u}, H_{x} \colon E_{x}^{u} \to xxA^{p}$  relative the obvious bases in  $x \times \underline{A}^{m}$  and  $x \times \underline{A}^{p}$  and the basis  $\{e_{1}(x), \ldots, e_{n}(x)\}$ of  $E_{x}^{u}$ . We now define a morphism c: L + L as follows

c(F,G,H) = (F(x,e),G(x,e),H(x,e)) where  $x = \pi(F,G,H)$ 

One easily checks that this is a continuous algebraic canonical form.

4.1.2. The Local Canonical Froms c#c.

Let  $\alpha$  be a nice selection. The bundle  $E^{u}|U_{\alpha}$  is trivial (by the definition of  $E^{u}$  cf. 3.2) hence by theorem 4.1.1 there exist continuous algebraic canonical forms on  $U_{\alpha}$ . Such canonical forms are well known. An example is the canonical form  $c_{\#\alpha}$  defined by

$$c_{\#\alpha}(F,G,H) = (F,G,H)^{T}, T = R(F,G)_{\alpha}^{-1}$$
 (4.1.3)

4.1.3 Corollary

If m = 1 there is a continuous algebraic canonical form on  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ .

<u>Proof.</u> If m = 1 there is only one nice selection  $\alpha$ , and hence  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr} = U_{\alpha}$  by lemma 2.1.1.

# 4.2. Duality

The assignment  $\delta$ : (F,G,H)  $\rightarrow$  (F',H',G') defines an isomorphism of algebraic varieties  $L_{m,n,p} \rightarrow L_{p,n,m}$ . If  $L \subset L_{m,n,p}$  is  $GL_n$ -invariant then so is  $\delta(L) \subset L_{p,n,m}$  (but  $\delta$  is not  $GL_n$ -invariant). As in [3], 3.1.6 one now easily shows that there is a continuous canonical form on  $\delta(L)$ .

# 4.2.1. Corollary

There is an algebraic continuous canonical form on  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  if p = 1.

# 4.3. Example of a Nontrivial Algebraic Line Bundle

Let  $U_1 = \underline{A}^1 \times (\underline{A}^2 \setminus (0,0))$ ,  $U_2 = \underline{A}^1 \times (\underline{A}^2 \setminus (0,0))$ . We give  $U_1$  coordinates  $(t,y_1,y_2)$  and  $U_2$  coordinates  $(s,x_1,x_2)$ . Let  $U_{12} = ((t,y_1,y_2) \in U_1 t \neq 0)$ ,  $U_{21} = ((s,x_1,x_2) \in U_2 | s \neq 0)$ . We define an isomorphism  $\phi: U_{12} = U_{21}$  by  $(t,y_1,y_2) \neq (t^{-1},y_1,t,y_2t)$ . Let X be the prevariety obtained by glueing  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  together by means of  $\phi$ . In fact X is a variety viz. the quasi-affine subvariety of  $\underline{A}^4 = \{(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\}$  given by  $z_1z_4 = z_2z_3$  and  $(z_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } z_2 \neq 0 \text{ or } z_3 \neq 0 \text{ or } z_4 \neq 0)$ . The embeddings of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  is this subvariety are given by  $(t,y_1,y_2) \neq (y_1t,y_2t,y_2)$ ,  $(s,x_1,x_2) = (x_1,x_1s,x_2,x_2s)$ . It is easy to check that this respects the identification  $\phi$  given above.

We now define an algebraic line bundle V over X by glueing  $U_1 \times \underline{A}^1$  and  $U_2 \times \underline{A}^1$  together by means of the isomorphism

$$\hat{\phi}: U_{12} \times \underline{A}^1 \rightarrow U_{21} \times \underline{A}^1, (t, y_1, y_2, u) \rightarrow (s, x_1, x_2, v) \text{ iff}$$
  
ts = 1, x<sub>1</sub> = ty, x<sub>2</sub> = ty<sub>2</sub>, v = t<sup>-1</sup>u (4.3.1)

Now suppose that this line bundle is trivial. Then

there must be everywhere nonzero sections  $U_1 \rightarrow U_1 \times \underline{A}^1$ ,  $(t,y_1,y_2) \rightarrow ((t,y_1,y_2), g_1(t,y_1,y_2)); U_2 \rightarrow U_2 \times \underline{A}^1$ ,  $(s,x_1,x_2) \rightarrow ((s,x_1,x_2), g_2(s,x_1,x_2))$  compatible with the identification  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are morphisms  $\underline{A}_1 \times (\underline{A}_2 \times (0,0)) \rightarrow \underline{A}_1$ . Because  $A_1 \times ()$  is of codimension 2  $\underline{A}^1 \times \underline{A}^2 = \underline{A}^3$  this means that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  extend to morphisms on all of  $\underline{A}^3$ , i.e.  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are polynomials. Putting everything together we therefore have that C is a trivial line bundle iff there are polynomials  $g_1(t,y_1,y_2)$ ,  $g_2(s,x_1,x_2)$  such that  $g_1(t,y_1,y_2) \neq 0$  if  $y_1 \neq 0$  or  $y_2 \neq 0$ and  $g_2(s,x_1,x_2) \neq 0$  if  $x_1 \neq 0$  or  $x_2 \neq 0$  and such that moreover

$$tg_1(t,y_1,y_2) = g_2(t^{-1},ty_1,ty_2)$$
 (4.3.2)

for all points  $(t,y_1,y_2)$  such that  $t \neq 0$  and  $y_1 \neq 0$  or  $y_2 \neq 0$ . One easily checks that the only polynomials  $g_1(t,y_1,y_2)$  such that  $g_1(t,y_1,y_2) \neq 0$  for all  $(t,y_1,y_2)$ for which  $y_1 \neq 0$  or  $y_2 \neq 0$  are constants. Similarly  $g_2(s,x_1,x_2)$  is a constant. But then (4.3.2) is a contradiction. So we have proved

# 4.3.2 Lemma

The line bundle V defined by 4.3.1 is nontrivial.

#### 4.4. Examples

Let  $p \ge 2$  and  $m \ge 2$ . We write down a number of G,F

and H matrices as follows

If 
$$n = 1, m \ge 2$$
  $G_{1,m}(t,s) = (t \le 0 \dots 0)$   
(4.4.1)

If 
$$n < 2 < m < n$$
  $G_{n,m}(t,s) = \begin{pmatrix} t & s & 0 & . & . & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & . & . & 0 \\ a & 1 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & B & \\ a & 1 & & & \\ a & 1 & & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$ 

(4.4.2)

where a is a nonzero element of k different from 1, and where B is an  $(n-2) \times (m-2)$  matrix with coefficients in k such that the columns of B and the column vector (1,...,1)' together span an m-1 dimensional subspace of  $k^{n-2}$ . Such a B exists because 2 < m < n.

If 
$$n > 2 = m$$
  $G_{n,2}(t,s) = \begin{cases} t & s \\ 1 & 1 \\ a & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a & 1 \end{cases}$  (4.4.3)

$$If m > n \ge 2 \quad G_{n,m}(t,s) = \begin{pmatrix} t & s & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\$$

where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are n different elements of k which are all different from zero

$$H_{p,n}(y_1, y_2) = G_{n,p}(y_1, y_2)', \qquad (4.4.7)$$

4.5 An Embedding  $X \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ 

Let  $U_1$ ,  $U_2$  be as in 4.3 above. We define for all n,m,p with m  $\geq 2$  and p  $\geq 2$ 

$$\sigma_{n,m,p}: U_1 \neq L_{m,n,p}^{cr}, (t, y_1, y_2) \neq (F_n, G_{n,m}(t, 1), H_{p,n}(y_1, y_2))$$
  
(4.5.1)

$$\overline{\sigma}_{n,m,p}: U_2 \rightarrow L_{m,n,p}^{cr}, (s, x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (F_n, G_{n,m}(1, s), H_{p,n}(x_1, x_2))$$

We now note that if ts = 1, 
$$x_1 = y_1 t$$
,  $x_2 = y_2 t$   
 $(F_n, G_{n,m}(t, 1), H_{p,n}(y_1, y_2))^{T(t)} = (F_n, G_{n,m}(1, s), H_{p,n}(x_1, x_2))^{T(t)}$ 

where

$$T(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(4.5.2)

This means that the morphisms  $U_1 \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ ,  $U_2 \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ ,  $U_1 \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$ ,

obtained from the morphisms  $\sigma_{n,m,p}$  and  $\overline{\sigma}_{n,m,p}$  of (4.5.1) be composing with  $\pi: L_{m,n,p}^{Cr} \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ , combine to define a morhpism

$$\tau_{m,n,p}: X \to M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$$
(4.5.3)

where X is the variety defined in 4.3 above.

4.5.4.

Let  $\alpha$  be the nice selection  $\{(0,2),(1,2),\ldots,(n-1,2)\}$ then we see from 4.4 that  $\sigma_{m,n,p}(U_1) \subset U_{\alpha}$  and hence  $\tau_{m,n,p}(U_1) \subset V_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\beta$  be the nice selection  $\{(0,1),(1,1),\ldots,(n-1,1)\}$  then we see from 4.4 that  $\overline{\sigma}_{m,n,p}(U_2) \subset U_{\beta}$ and hence  $\tau_{m,n,p}(U_2) \subset V$ . It follows that the pullback of  $E^{U}$  by means of  $\tau_{m,n,p}$  is an algebraic vectorbundle over X whose restrictions to  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are trivial, and the gluing data of this bundle are given by (Cf. [1] Appendix 3.6).

$$\hat{\forall}: \ U_{12} \times \underline{A}^{n} \to U_{21} \times \underline{A}^{n}$$

$$(4.5.5)$$

$$((t,y_{1},y_{2}),u) \to ((t^{-1},ty_{1},ty_{2}),T(t,y_{1},y_{2})u)$$

where  $T(t,y_1,y_2)$  is equal to the matrix

$$R(F_{n},G_{n,m}(t,1))_{\beta}^{-1}R(F_{n},G_{n,m}(t,1))_{\alpha} \qquad (4.5.6)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the nice selections  $\{(0,2), (1,2), \dots, (n-1,2)\}$  and  $\{(0,1), (1,1), \dots, (n-1,1)\}$ . Let  $E \neq X$  be

this bundle. The exterior product bundle  $\stackrel{n}{\wedge} E \rightarrow X$  is then the line bundle obtained by gluing together  $U_1 \times \underline{A}^1$  and  $U_2 \times \underline{\Lambda}^1$  by means of the isomorphism

$$\psi: U_{12} \times \underline{A}^{1} \to U_{21} \times \underline{A}^{1}$$

$$(4.5.7)$$

$$((t,y_{1},y_{2}),u) \quad ((t^{-1},ty_{1},ty_{2}), det(T(t,y_{1},y_{2}))u) \quad ($$

and from (4.5.6) we see that

$$det(T(t,y_1,y_2)) = \begin{cases} t^{-1} & \text{if } n \leq 2 \\ t^{-1}a^{n-2} & \text{if } n \leq 2 \end{cases}$$
(4.5.8)

It follows that the line bundle defined by  $\hat{\psi}$  is nontrivial Cf. 4.3 above.

# 4.5.9. Proposition

The algebraic vector bundle  $\tau_{n,m,p}^{!}F^{u}$  is nontrivial if  $p \ge 2$ ,  $m \ge 2$ .

<u>Proof</u>. This follows from the above because if E + X is a trivial algebraic n-dimensional vector bundle then  $\bigwedge^{n} E \rightarrow X$  is a trivial line bundle.

## 4.5.10. Corollary

Let M be a subvariety of  $M_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  such that  $\tau_{n,m,p}^{(x)} \subset M$ . Then  $E^{u}|M$  is a nontrivial algebraic vectorbundle.

### 4.6. Nonexistence of Continuous Algebraic Canonical Forms

We can now prove theorem 1.5.

### 4.6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5

First let  $m \ge 2$  and  $p \ge 2$ . Let  $M^W = \pi(L_{m,n,p}^W)$  where  $L_{m,n,p}^W$  runs through the subvarieties listed in 1.4. Then we see from 4.4

$$\tau_{m,n,p}(X) \subset M^{\rho,\mu}$$
(4.6.1)

if  $m \neq n$  and  $p \neq n$ , and that in any case (still assuming  $p \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 2$ )

$$\tau_{m,n,p}(X) \subset M^{cr,co,\mu}$$
(4.6.2)

By corollary 4.5.10 and theorem 4.4.1 this takes care of the only if parts of statements (iii), (iv), (v), (vi) of theorem 1.5. (Because  $L_{m,n,p}^{\rho,\mu} \subset L_{m,n,p}^{\rho}$  and  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co} \subset$  $L_{m,n,p}^{cr,co,\rho}$ ). On the other hand if m = 1 in cases (iii) and (iv) and m = 1 or n in cases (v) and (vi) then the respective subvarities are contained in one  $U_{\alpha}$  for a certain nice selection  $\alpha$ . By 4.1.2 there are therefore continuous algebraic canonical forms in these cases. The corresponding fact for p = 1 in cases (iii), (iv) and p = 1 or n in cases (v), (vi) follows by duality. Cf. 4.2. This proves (iii) - (vi) of theorem 1.5. The if part of (i) is corollary 4.1.3; the if part of (ii) follows by duality. Cf. 4.2. To prove the only if part of (i) observe that if  $m \ge 2 \pi((F_n, G_{n,m}(t,s), 0),$ where  $t \ne 0$  or  $s \ne 0$ , depends only on the point  $(t:s) \ge \underline{p}^1$ and not on the actual t and s. Thus

$$t: (t:s) \rightarrow \pi((F_n, G_{n,m}(t,s), 0))$$

defines a morphism  $\underline{p}^1 \rightarrow M_{m,n,p}^{cr}$  for all (m,n,p) such that  $m \geq 2$ . As in 4.5 one now proves that  $\tau^{!}E^{u}$  is nontrivial. By 4.5.10 and 4.4.1 this proves the only if part of (i). The only if part of (ii) follows by duality. Cf. 4.2. This concludes the proof of theorem 4.5.

#### 4.7. On Relations between Various Local Canonical Forms

Let  $U \subseteq L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$  be a  $GL_n$  invariant subvariety of  $L_{m,n,p}^{Cr}$ , and suppose that there is a continuous algebraic canonical form c:  $U \neq U$ . Let  $\kappa: U \neq \underline{A}^1$  be a morphism, e.g. a "coordinate function." Then  $\kappa c: U \neq A^1$  is  $GL_n$  invariant, showing that "the coordinate functions of a canonical form are invariants."

4.7.1

Now let  $*: U \rightarrow GL_n$  be a morphism which kills the action of  $GL_n$  on U. Then if c:  $U \rightarrow U$  is a continuous algebraic canonical form so is  $c^a$ :  $U \rightarrow U$  which is

defined by  $(F,G,H) \rightarrow c(F,G,H)^{a}(F,G,H)$ . Inversely if c' is a second continuous algebraic canonical form on U then c' = c<sup>a</sup> for some morphism a: U  $\rightarrow$  GL<sub>n</sub> which kills the action of GL<sub>n</sub> on U. All this is proved as in section 3.6 of [1].

4.7.3

The situation becomes slightly more complicated if we take  $U = U_{\alpha}^{CO}$ . We still have the canonical forms  $c_{\#\alpha}$  and all other canonical forms are obtained by means of a morphism  $\hat{a}: V_{\alpha}^{CO} + GL_n$ . Now if p = 1 then det( $\hat{a}(x)$ ) need not be a constant independent of  $x \in V_{\alpha}^{CO}$ , because the codimension of  $V_{\alpha} \setminus V_{\alpha}^{CO}$  in  $V_{\alpha}$  is one if p = 1. An example of this is found by taking m = 1 = p and comparing the canonical form  $c_{\#\alpha}$  and its dual on  $M_{1,n,1}^{cr,cO}$ . However if  $p \ge 2$ , then the codimension of  $V_{\alpha} \setminus V_{\alpha}^{CO}$  in  $V_{\alpha}$ is  $\ge 2$  (cf. section 2.7 above), which means that in this case we again have that  $\hat{a}: V_{\alpha}^{CO} + GL_n$  is given by  $n^2$  polynomials such that det( $\hat{a}(x)$ ) is a constant independent of  $x \in V_{\alpha}^{CO}$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

 M. Hazewinkel, R. E. Kalman. Moduli and Canonical Forms for Linear Dynamical Systems. (To appear; a preliminary version of this is available in preprint form as report 7504, Econometric Inst., Erasmus Univ. Rotterdam).

- [2] M. Hazewinkel, R. E. Kalman. On Invariants, Canonical Forms and Moduli for Linear Constant, Finite Dimensional, Dynamical Systems. In: Proc. CNR-CISM Symposium on "Algebraic System Theory," Udine, 1975. To appear in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer.
- [3] M. Hazewinkel. Moduli and Canonical Forms for Linear Dynamical Systems, II: The Topological Case. Report 7609, Econometric Inst., Erasmus Univ. Rotter dam, 1976.
- [4] R. E. Kalman, P. L. Falb, M. A. Arbib. Topics in Mathematical Systems Theory. McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- [5] D. Mumford. Introduction to Algebraic Geometry. Preliminary Version of the First Three Chapters. Lecture Notes, Harvard Univ.
- [6] D. Mumford. Geometric Invariant Theory. Springer, 1965.
- [7] C. J. Byrnes, N. E. Hurt. On the moduli of linear dynamical systems. (To appear in Adv. Math.)