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## CONSTRUCTING FORMAL GROUPS. VIII: FORMAL A-MODULES

Michiel Hazewinkel

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic integers, let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and let $A$ be the ring of integers of $K$. A formal $A$-module is, grosso modo, a commutative one dimensional formal group which admits $A$ as a ring of endomorphisms. For a more precise definition cf. 2.1 below. For some results concerning formal $A$-modules cf. [1], [2] and [6].

It is the purpose of the present note to use the techniques of [3] and [5], cf. also [4], to construct a universal formal $A$-module, a universal $A$-typical formal $A$-module and a universal strict isomorphism of $A$-typical formal $A$-modules. For the notion of a $A$-typical formal $A$-module, cf. 2.6 below. As corollaries one then obtains a number of the results of [1], [2] and [6].

In particular we thus find a new proof that two formal $A$-modules over $A$ are (strictly) isomorphic iff their reductions over $k$, the residue field of $K$, are (strictly) isomorphic.

As a matter of fact the techniques developed below also work in the characteristic $p>0$ case. Thus we simultaneously obtain the analogues of some of the results of [1], [2], [6] for the case of formal $A$-modules where $A$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathrm{F}_{p}((t))$, where $\mathrm{F}_{p}$ is the field of $p$-elements.

All formal groups will be commutative and one dimensional; $N$ denotes the set of natural numbers $\{1,2,3, \ldots\} ; \mathbb{Z}$ stands for the integers, $Z_{p}$ for the ring of $p$-adic integers, $\mathbf{Q}$ for the rational numbers and $\mathbf{O}_{p}$ the $p$-adic numbers.
$A$ will always be the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ or $F_{p}((t))$, the field of Laurent series over $F_{p}$. The quotient field of $A$ is denoted $K, \pi$ is a uniformizing element of $A$ and $k=A / \pi A$ is the residue field. We use $q$ to denote the number of elements of $k$.

## 2. Definitions, constructions and statement of main results.

2.1. Definitions. Let $B \in \operatorname{Alg}_{A}$, the category of $A$-algebras. A formal A-module over $B$ is a formal group law $F(X, Y)$ over $B$ together with a homomorphism of rings $\rho_{F}: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}(F(X, Y))$ such that $\rho_{F}(a) \equiv a X \bmod ($ degree 2$)$ for all $a \in A$. We shall also write $[a](X)$ for $\rho_{F}(a)$.

If $B$ is torsion free (i.e. $B \rightarrow B \otimes_{z} Q$ is injective) and $F(X, Y)$ is a formal group over $B$, then there is at most one formal $A$-module structure on $F(X, Y)$, viz $\rho_{F}(a)=f^{-1}(a f(X))$ where $f(X)$ is the logarithm of $F(X, Y)$. On the other hand if $\operatorname{char}(K)=p$, then every formal $A$-module over $B \in \mathrm{Alg}_{A}$ is isomorphic to the additive formal group $\mathrm{G}_{a}(X, Y)=X+Y$ over $B$. In this case all the structure sits in the structural morphism $\rho_{F}: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}(F(X, Y))$.

Let $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right),\left(G(X, Y), \rho_{G}\right)$ be two formal $A$-modules over $B$. A homomorphism of formal A-modules over $B$, $\alpha(X):\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right) \rightarrow\left(G(X, Y), \rho_{G}\right) \quad$ is a power series $\alpha(X)=$ $b_{1} X+b_{2} X^{2}+\cdots, b_{i} \in B \quad$ such that $\quad \alpha(F(X, Y))=G(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y))$, $\alpha\left([a]_{F}(X)\right)=[a]_{G}(\alpha(X)) ; \alpha(X)$ is an isomorphism if $b_{1}$ is a unit and $\alpha$ is a strict isomorphism if $b_{1}=1$.
2.2. Let $R$ be a ring, $R[U]=R\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots\right]$. If $f(X)$ is a power series over $R[U]$ and $n \in N$ we denote by $f^{(n)}(X)$ the power series obtained from $f(X)$ by replacing each $U_{i}$ with $U_{i}^{n}, i=1,2, \ldots$ Let $A[V], A[V ; T], A[S]$ denote respectively the rings $A\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots\right]$, $A\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right], A\left[S_{2}, S_{3}, \ldots\right]$. Let $p$ be the residue characteristic of $A$. The three power series $g_{v}(X), g_{V, r}(X), g_{s}(X)$ over respectively $K[V], K[V ; T]$ and $K[S]$ are defined by the functional equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{V}(X)=X+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{i}}{\pi} g^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right)  \tag{2.2.1}\\
g_{V, T}(X)=X+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{i} X^{q^{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{i}}{\pi} g_{V . T}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right)  \tag{2.2.2}\\
g_{S}(X)=X+\sum_{\substack{i=2 \\
i \text { not a } \\
\text { power of } q}}^{\infty} S_{i} X^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{q^{i}}}{\pi} g_{S}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right) \tag{2.2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first few terms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{v}(X)=X+\frac{V_{1}}{\pi} X^{q}+\left(\frac{V_{1} V_{1}^{q}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{V_{2}}{\pi}\right) X^{q^{2}}+\cdots \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{V, T}(X)= & X+\left(\frac{V_{1}}{\pi}+T_{1}\right) X^{q}  \tag{2.2.5}\\
& +\left(\frac{V_{1} V_{1}^{q}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{V_{1} T_{1}^{q}}{\pi}+\frac{V_{2}}{\pi}+T_{2}\right) X^{q^{2}}+\cdots \\
g_{S}(X)= & X+S_{2} X^{2}+\cdots+S_{q-1} X^{q-1}+\frac{S_{q}}{\pi} X^{q}+S_{q+1} X^{q+1}+\cdots  \tag{2.2.6}\\
& +S_{2 q-1} X^{2 q-1}+\left(\frac{S_{q} S_{2}^{\dot{q}}}{\pi}+S_{2 q}\right) X^{q^{2}}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

We now define

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{V}(X, Y) & =g_{V}^{-1}\left(g_{V}(X)+g_{V}(Y)\right)  \tag{2.2.7}\\
G_{V, T}(X, Y) & =g_{V, T}^{-1}\left(g_{V}(X)+g_{V}(Y)\right)  \tag{2.2.8}\\
G_{S}(X, Y) & =g_{S}^{-1}\left(g_{S}(X)+g_{S}(Y)\right) \tag{2.2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where if $f(X)=X+r_{2} X^{2}+\cdots$ is a power series over $R$, then $f^{-1}(X)$ denotes the inverse power series, i.e. $f^{-1}(f(X))=X=f\left(f^{-1}(X)\right)$. And for all $a \in A$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a]_{V}(X)=g_{V}^{-1}\left(a g_{V}(X)\right) \tag{2.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[a]_{V, T}(X)=g_{V, T}^{-1}\left(a g_{V, T}(X)\right)}  \tag{2.2.11}\\
{[a]_{S}(X)=g_{S}^{-1}\left(a g_{S}(X)\right)} \tag{2.2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

2.3. Integrality Theorems: (i) The power series $G_{V}(X, Y)$, $G_{V, T}(X, Y)$ and $G_{S}(X, Y)$ have their coefficients respectively in $A[V]$, $A[V, T], A[S]$; (ii) For all $a \in A$, the power series $[a]_{V}(X),[a]_{V, T}(X)$, $[a]_{S}(X)$ have their coefficients respectively in $A[V], A[V, T], A[S]$.
2.4. Corollary: $G_{V}(X, Y), G_{V, T}(X, Y)$ and $G_{S}(X, Y)$ with the structural homomorphisms $\rho_{V}(a)=[a]_{V}(X), \rho_{V, T}(a)=[a]_{V, T}(X), \rho_{S}=$ $(a)=[a]_{s}(X)$ are formal A-modules.
2.5. Universality Theorem: $\left(G_{S}(X, Y), \rho_{S}\right)$, where $\rho_{S}(a)=$ $[a]_{S}(X)$, is a universal formal $A$-module.
I.e. for every formal $A$-module $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ over $B \in \operatorname{Alg}_{A}$, there is a unique $A$-algebra homomorphism $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi_{*} G_{S}(X, Y)=F(X, Y)$ and $\phi_{*}[a]_{S}(X)=\rho_{F}(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Here $\phi_{*}$ means: "apply $\phi$ to the coefficients of the power series involved".

### 2.6. A-logarithms

Let ( $F\left(X, Y\right.$ ), $\rho_{F}$ ) be a formal $A$-module over $B \in$ Alg $_{A}$. Suppose that $B$ is $A$-torsion free, i.e. that $B \rightarrow B \otimes_{A} K$ is injective. Let $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$ be the unique homomorphism taking ( $G_{S}(X, Y), \rho_{S}$ ) into $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$. Then $\phi_{*} g_{S}(X)=f(X) \in B \otimes_{A} K[[X]]$ is a power series such that $F(X, Y)=f^{-1}(f(X)+f(Y)),[a](X)=f^{-1}(a f(X))$ for all $a \in$ $A$, and such that $f(X) \equiv X \bmod ($ degree 2$)$. We shall call such a power series an $A$-logarithm for $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$. We have just seen that $A$-logarithms always exist (if $B$ is $A$-torsion free). They are also unique because there are no nontrivial strict formal $A$-module automorphisms of the additive formal $A$-module $G_{a}(X, Y)=X+Y$, $[a](X)=a X$ over $B \otimes_{A} K$, as is easily checked.

### 2.7. A-typical formal A-modules

A formal $A$-module $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ over $B \in \operatorname{Alg}_{A}$ is said to be A-typical if it is of the form $F(X, Y)=\phi_{*} G_{V}(X, Y), \rho_{F}(a)=$ $\phi_{*}[a]_{V}(X)$ for some homomorphism $\phi: A[V] \rightarrow B$. It is then an immediate consequence of the constructions of $G_{S}(X, Y)$ and $G_{V}(X, Y)$ that $\left(G_{V}(X, Y), \rho_{V}\right), \rho_{V}(a)=[a]_{V}(X)$, is a universal A-typical formal $A$-module (given theorem 2.5).
2.8. Theorem: Let $B$ be A-torsion free. Then $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ is an A-typical formal $A$-module if and only if its $A$-logarithm $f(X)$ is of the form

$$
f(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{q^{\prime}}, \quad a_{i} \in B \otimes_{A} K, \quad a_{0}=1
$$

Let $\kappa: A[V] \rightarrow A[S]$ be the injective homomorphism defined by $\kappa\left(V_{i}\right)=S_{q^{i}}$, and let $\lambda: A[V] \rightarrow A[V, T]$ be the natural inclusion.
2.9. Theorem: (i) The formal A-modules $G_{V}^{\kappa}(X, Y)$ and $G_{S}(X, Y)$ are strictly isomorphic; (ii) The formal A-modules $G_{V}^{\lambda}(X, Y)$ and $G_{V, T}(X, Y)$ are strictly isomorphic.
2.10. Corollary: Every formal A-module is isomorphic to an A-typical one.
2.11. Let $\alpha_{V, T}(X)$ be the (unique) strict isomorphism from $G_{V}^{\lambda}(X, Y)$ to $G_{V, T}(X, Y)$. I.e. $\alpha_{V, T}(X)=g_{V, T}^{-1}\left(g_{V}(X)\right)$.
2.12. Theorem: The triple $\left(G_{V}(X, Y), \alpha_{V, T}(X), G_{V, T}(X, Y)\right)$ is universal for triples consisting of two A-typical formal A-modules
and a strict isomorphism between them over $A$-algebras $B$ which are A-torsion free.

There is also a triple $\left(G_{S}(X, Y), \alpha_{S . U}(X), G_{S . U}(X, Y)\right)$ which is universal for triples of two formal $A$-modules and a strict isomorphism between them. The formal $A$-module $G_{S . U}(X, Y)$ over $A[S ; U]$ is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{S, U}(X)=X+\sum_{\substack{i \geq 2 \\ i \text { not power } \\ \text { of } q}} S_{i} X^{i}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} U_{i} X^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{q^{i}}}{\pi} g_{S, U}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right) \tag{2.12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.12.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{S . U}(X, Y)=g_{S, U}^{-1}\left(g_{S . U}(X)+g_{S . U}(Y)\right) \tag{2.12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strict isomorphism between $G_{S, U}(X, Y)$ and $G_{S, U}(X, Y)$ is $\alpha_{S, U}(X)=g_{S, U}^{-1}\left(g_{S}(X)\right)$.
2.13. Let $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ be a formal $A$-module over $A$ itself. Let $\omega: A \rightarrow k=A / \pi A$ be the natural projection. The formal $A$-module $\left(\omega_{*} F(X, Y), \omega_{*} \rho_{F}\right)$ is called the reduction $\bmod \pi$ of $F(X, Y)$. We also write $\left(F^{*}(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right.$ for $\left(\omega_{*} F(X, Y), \omega_{*} \rho_{F}\right)$.
2.14. Theorem: (Lubin [6] in the case $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ ): Two formal A-modules over $A$ are (strictly) isomorphic if and only if their reductions over $A$ are (strictly) isomorphic.
2.15. Remark: If the two formal $A$-modules over $A$ are both A-typical then they are (strictly) isomorphic if and only if their reductions are equal.

## 3. Formulae

### 3.1. Some formulae

The following formulae are all proved rather easily, directly from the definitions in 2.2. Write

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{V}(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}(V) X^{q^{i}}, \quad a_{0}(V)=1  \tag{3.1.1}\\
g_{V . T}(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}(V, T) X^{q^{i}}, \quad a_{0}(V, T)=1 \tag{3.1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}(V)=\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r}=i} \frac{V_{i_{1}} V_{i_{2}}^{q_{1} i_{1}} \ldots V_{i_{r}}^{q_{1} i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r-1}}}{\pi^{r}} \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}(V)=a_{0}(V) \frac{V_{i}}{\pi}+a_{1}(V) \frac{V_{i-1}^{q}}{\pi}+\cdots+a_{i-1}(V) \frac{V_{1}^{q^{i-1}}}{\pi} \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.1.5) $a_{i}(V, T)=a_{i}(V)+a_{i-1}(V) T_{1}^{q^{i-1}}+\cdots+a_{1}(V) T_{i-1}^{q}+a_{0}(V) T_{i}$
3.2. We define for all $i, j \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i j}=\pi^{-1}\left(V_{i} T_{j}^{q^{i}}-T_{i} V_{j}^{q^{i}}\right), \quad Z_{i j}=\pi^{-1}\left(V_{i} T_{j}^{p^{i}}-T_{j} V_{i}^{p^{i}}\right) \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbols $Y_{i j^{\left(q^{r}\right)}}^{\left(Z_{i j}^{\left(q^{r}\right)}\right.}$ then have the usual meaning, i.e. $Y_{i j}^{\left(q^{r}\right)}=$ $\pi^{-1}\left(V_{i}^{q^{r}} T_{j}^{q^{r+i}}-T_{i}^{q^{r}} V_{j}^{q^{r+i}}\right)$

### 3.3. Lemma:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n}(V, T) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n-i}(V, T) \frac{V_{i}^{q^{n-i}}}{\pi}+\sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(V) Y_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i)}\right.}+T_{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n-i}(V, T) \frac{V_{i}^{q^{n-i}}}{\pi}+\sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(V) Z_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i}\right)}+T_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: That the two expressions on the right are equal is obvious from the definitions of $Z_{i, j}$ and $Y_{i j}$ (because $Z_{i j}+Z_{j i}=Y_{i j}+Y_{j i}$ ). We have according to (3.1.4) and (3.1.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n}(V, T)= & a_{n}(V)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n-i}(V) T_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \\
= & \pi^{-1} V_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V) V_{i}^{q^{n-i}}+T_{n} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) V_{i}^{q^{n-i-i}} T_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \\
= & \pi^{-1} V_{n}+T_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) T_{j}^{q^{n-i-i}} V_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i-j}(V) V_{j}^{q^{n-i-i}} T_{i}^{q^{n-i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & T_{n}+\pi^{-1} V_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \\
& +\sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j<n} a_{n-i-j} Y_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i)}\right.} \\
= & T_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}(V, T) V_{i}^{q^{n-i}}+\sum_{i \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j} Y_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-1)}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.4. Some congruence formulae

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$; we write $g_{V(n)}(X), G_{V(n)}(X, Y), \ldots$ for the power series obtained from $g_{V}(X), G_{V}(X, Y), \ldots$ by substituting 0 for all $V_{i}$ with $i \geq n$.

One then has

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{V}(x) \equiv g_{V(n)}(X)+\frac{V_{n}}{\pi} X^{q^{n}} \bmod \left(\text { degree } q^{n}+1\right)  \tag{3.4.1}\\
& g_{S}(X) \equiv g_{S(n)}(X)+\tau(n) S_{n} X^{n} \bmod (\text { degree } n+1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau(n)=1$ if $n$ is not a power of $q$ and $\tau(n)=\pi^{-1}$ if $n$ is a poweI of $q$. Further
(3.4.3) $\quad g_{V, T}(X) \equiv g_{V, T(n)}(X)+T_{n} X^{q_{n}} \bmod \left(\right.$ degree $\left.q^{n}+1\right)$
(3.4.4) $G_{V}(X, Y) \equiv G_{V(n)}(X, Y)-V_{n} \pi^{-1} B_{q^{n}}(X, Y) \bmod \left(\right.$ degree $\left.q^{n}+1\right)$
(3.4.5) $G_{S}(X, Y) \equiv G_{S(n)}(X, Y)-S_{n} \tau(n) B_{n}(X, Y) \bmod ($ degree $n+1)$
where $B_{i}(X, Y)=(X+Y)^{i}-X^{i}-Y^{i}$, and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{S, U}(X) \equiv g_{S . U(n)}(X)+U_{n} X^{n} \bmod (\text { degree } n+1) \tag{3.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The functional equation lemma

Let $A[V ; W]=A\left[V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots ; W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots\right]$. If $f(X)$ is a power series with coefficients in $K[V ; W]$ we write $P_{1.2} f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=f\left(X_{1}\right)+f\left(X_{2}\right)$ and $P_{a} f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=a f\left(X_{1}\right), a \in A$.
4.1. Let $e_{r}(X), r=1,2$ be two power series with coefficients in $A[V, W]$ such that $e_{r}(X) \equiv X \bmod ($ degree 2$)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(X)=e_{r}(X)+\sum_{i=1}^{x} \frac{V_{i}}{\pi} f_{r}^{\left(a^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right) \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

And for each operator $P$, where $P=P_{1,2}$ or $P=P_{a}, a \in A$ and $r$,
$t \in\{1,2\}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{V, i_{r} e_{t}}^{P}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=f_{r}^{\prime}\left(P f_{t}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2. Functional Equation Lemma: (i) The power series $F_{V_{i, r_{r}}}^{p}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ have their coefficients in $A[V ; W]$ for all $P, e_{r} e_{t} ;$ (ii) If $d(X)$ is a power series with coefficients in $A[V ; W]$ such that $d(X) \equiv$ $X \bmod ($ degree 2$)$ then $f_{r}(d(X))$ satisfies a functional equation of type (4.1.1).

Proof: Write $F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ for $F_{V, c_{1}, e_{t}}^{p}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. (If $P \neq P_{1,2}, X_{2}$ does not occur). Write

$$
F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=F_{1}+F_{2}+\cdots
$$

where $F_{i}$ is homogeneous of degree $i$. We are going to prove by induction that all the $F_{i}$ have their coefficients in A[V; W]. This is obvious for $F_{1}$ because $e_{r}(X) \equiv e_{t}(X) \equiv X \bmod ($ degree 2 ). Let $a\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ be any power series with coefficients in $A[V ; W]$. Then we have for all $i, j \in N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)^{)^{i-j}} \equiv\left(a^{\left(q^{\prime} i\right.}\left(X_{1}^{q^{i}}, X_{2}^{q^{i}}\right)\right)^{q^{\prime}} \bmod \left(\pi^{j+1}\right) \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows imnediately from the fact that $a^{4} \equiv a \bmod \pi$ for all $a \in A$ and $p \in \pi A$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i}(r) X^{i}, \quad b_{1}(r)=1 \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have, if $q^{f} \mid n$ but $q^{\ell+1} \nmid n$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}(r) \pi^{\epsilon} \in A[V ; W] \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is obvious from the defining equation 4.1.1). Now suppose we have shown that $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ have their coefficients in $A[V ; W], n \geq 1$. We have for all $d \geq 2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{d} \equiv\left(F_{1}+\cdots+F_{n}\right)^{d} \bmod (\text { degree } n+2) \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from (4.2.4), (4.2.3) and (4.2.1) that
(4.2.5) $f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{q^{i}}\right) \equiv f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(F^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X_{1}^{q^{i}}, X_{2}^{q^{i}}\right)\right) \bmod (\pi$, degree $n+2)$

Now from (4.1.2) it follows that for all $i \in N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(F^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)=P f_{t}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.2.5), (4.2.6) and (4.1.1) we now see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right) & =e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1} \pi^{-1} V_{i} f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{4^{i}}\right)\right. \\
& \equiv e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i}^{\left(q_{r}^{\left.q^{i}\right)}\left(F^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X_{1}^{q^{\prime}}, X_{2}^{4^{i}}\right)\right)\right.}\right. \\
& =e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i} P f_{t}^{\left(4^{i}\right)}\left(X_{1}^{q^{i}}, X_{2}^{q^{i}}\right)\right. \\
& =e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)+\left(P \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i} f_{t}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
& =e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)+P f_{t}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-P e_{t}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where all congruences are $\bmod (1$, degree $n+2)$. But $f_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)=$ $P f_{t}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. And hence $e_{r}\left(F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-\left(P e_{t}\right)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod (1\right.$, degree $n+2$ ), which implies that $F_{n+1}$ has its coefficients in $A[V, W]$. This proves the first part of the functional equation lemma. Now let $d(X)$ be a power series with coefficients in $A[V, W]$ such that $d(X) \equiv$ $X \bmod (d e g r e e ~ 2)$. Then we have because of (4.2.1) and (4.2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{r}(X)=f_{r}(d(X)) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i} f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(d(X)^{q^{i}}\right) \\
& \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i} f_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(d^{\left(4^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1} V_{i} g_{r}^{\left(q^{i}\right)}\left(X^{q^{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the congruences are $\bmod (1)$. This proves the second part.
4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3 (and corollary 2.4): Apply the functional equation lemma part (i). (For $G_{S}(X, Y)$ and $[a]_{S}(X)$ take $V_{i}=S_{q^{i}}$.

## 5. Proof of the universality theorems

We first recall the usual comparison lemma for formal groups icf. e.g. [3]).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\left.B_{n}(X, Y)=\left((X+Y)^{n}-X^{n}-Y^{n}\right)\right)$ and $C_{n}(X, Y)=\nu(n)^{-1} B_{n}(X, Y)$, where $v^{\prime}(n)=1$ if $n$ is not a power of a prime number, and $\nu\left(p^{r}\right)=p, r \in \mathbb{N}$, if $p$ is a prime number.
5.1. If $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ are formal groups over a ring $B$, and $F(X, Y) \equiv G(X, Y) \bmod ($ degree $n)$, there is a unique $b \in B$ such that $F(X, Y) \equiv G(X, Y)+b C_{n}(X, Y) \bmod ($ degree $n+1)$.
5.2. Proof of the Universality Theorem 2.5: Let $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ be a formal A-module over B. Let $A[S]_{n}$ be the subalgebra $A\left[S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n-1}\right]$ of $A[S]$. Suppose we have shown that there exists a homomorphism $\phi_{n}: A[S]_{n} \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{n *}\left(G_{S}(X, Y)\right) \equiv F(X, Y) \bmod (\text { degree } n)  \tag{5.2.1}\\
\phi_{n *}[a]_{S}(X) \equiv[a]_{F}(X) \bmod (\text { degree } n) \tag{5.2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and that $\phi_{n}$ is uniquely determined on $A[S]_{n}$ by this condition. This holds obviously for $n=2$ so that the induction starts.

Now, according to the comparison lemma 5.1 above there exist unique elements $m, m_{a}, a \in A$, in $B$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{n *} G_{S}(X, Y) \equiv F(X, Y)+m C_{n}(X, Y) \bmod (\text { degree } n+1)  \tag{5.2.3}\\
\phi_{n *}[a]_{s}(X) \equiv[a]_{F}(X)+m_{a} X^{n} \bmod (\text { degree } n+1) \tag{5.2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the fact that $a \mapsto[a]_{S}(X)$ and $a \mapsto[a]_{F}(X)$ are ring homomorphisms one now obtains easily the following relations between the $r n$ and $m_{a}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a^{n}-a\right) m=\nu(n) m_{a}  \tag{5.2.5}\\
m_{a+b}-m_{a}-m_{b}=C_{n}(a, b) m  \tag{5.2.6}\\
a m_{b}+b^{n} m_{a}=m_{a b} \tag{5.2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $n$ is not a power of $p=\operatorname{char}(k)$, then $\nu(n)$ is a unit. Let $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$ be the unique homomorphism, which agrees with $\phi_{n}$ on $A[S]_{n}$ and which is such that $\phi_{n+1}\left(S_{n}\right)=m \nu(n)^{-1}$. Then obviously (5.2.1) holds with $n$ replaced by $n+1$, and (5.2.2) holds with $n$ replaced by $n+1$ because of (5.2.5) and because (3.4.2) implies that (with the obvious notations)
(5.2.8) $[a]_{S}(X) \equiv[a]_{S(n)}(X)-\tau(n)\left(a^{n}-a\right) S_{n} X^{n} \bmod ($ degree $n+1)$.

Now let $n=p^{r}$, but $n$ not a power of $q$, the number of elements of $k$. Then there is an $y \in A$ such that $\left(y-y^{n}\right)$ is a unit in $A$. Let $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$ be the unique homomorphism which agrees with $\phi_{n}$ on $A\left[S_{n}\right.$ and which takes $S_{n}$ to $\left(y-y^{n}\right)^{-1} m_{v}$. Now (5.2.7) implies that for all $a \in A$

$$
\left(y-y^{n}\right) m_{a}=\left(a-a^{n}\right) m_{v}
$$

Hence $\phi_{n+1}\left(a-a^{n}\right) S_{n}=m_{a}$ for all $a$ so that (5.2.2) holds with $n$ replaced with $n+1$. Finally, again because $\left(y-y^{n}\right)$ is a unit, we find

$$
\left(\phi_{n+1}\right)_{*}\left(-S_{n} \tau(n) B_{n}(X, Y)\right)=\left(y^{n}-y\right)^{-1} m_{y} B_{n}(X, Y)=m C_{n}(X, Y)
$$

Finally let $n$ be a power of $q$. In this case there is a unique homomorphism $\phi_{n+1}: A[S]_{n+1} \rightarrow B$ which agrees with $\phi_{n}$ on $A[S]_{n}$ and which takes $S_{n}$ into $\left(1-\pi^{n-1}\right)^{-1} m_{\pi}$. Of course this is the only possible choice for $\phi_{n+1}$ because of (5.2.8).

Now consider the $A$-module generated by symbols $\hat{m}, \hat{m}_{a}, a \in A$ subject to the relations $\left(a^{n}-a\right) \hat{m}=\nu(n) \hat{m}_{a}, \hat{m}_{a+h}-\hat{m}_{a}-\hat{m}_{h}=$ $C_{n}(a, b) \hat{m}, a \hat{m}_{b}+b^{n} \hat{m}_{a}=\hat{m}_{a b}$, for all $a, b \in A$. This module is free on one generator $\hat{m}_{\pi}$. This will be proved in 5.3 below. It follows that all the $\hat{m}_{\mu}$ and $\hat{m}$ can be written as multiples of $\hat{m}_{\pi}$. These multiples turn out to be

$$
\hat{m}_{a}=\pi^{-1}\left(a^{n}-a\right)\left(\pi^{n-1}-1\right)^{-1} \hat{m}_{\pi}, \quad \hat{m}=\pi^{-1} \nu(n)\left(\pi^{n-1}-1\right)^{-1} .
$$

simply because if one takes an arbitrary element $\hat{m}_{\pi}$ and one defines $\hat{m}_{a}, \hat{m}$ as above, then all the required relations are satisfied. It follows in particular that

$$
m_{a}=\pi^{-1}\left(a^{n}-a\right)\left(\pi^{n-1}-1\right)^{-1} m_{\pi}, \quad m=\pi^{-1} \nu(n)\left(\pi^{n-1}-1\right)^{-1} m_{\pi}
$$

where $m, m_{a}, a \in A$ are as in (5.2.3), (5.2.4) above. Hence

$$
\phi_{n+1}\left(\pi^{-1}\left(a-a^{n}\right) S_{n}\right)=m_{a}, \quad \phi_{n+1}\left(-S_{n} \pi^{-1} \nu(n)\right)=m
$$

so that, by (5.2.8) and (3.45), (5.2.1) and (5.2.2) hold with $n$ replaced by $n+1$. This completes the induction step and (hence) the proof of theorem 2.5 .
5.3. Lfmma: Let $X$ be the A-module generated by symbols $m . m_{a}$ for all $a \in A$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a^{n}-a\right) m=\nu(n) m_{a} \quad \text { for all } a \in A  \tag{5.3.1}\\
m_{a+b}-m_{a}-m_{b}=C_{n}(a, b) m \text { for all } a, b \in A  \tag{5.3.2}\\
a m_{b}+b^{n} m_{a}=m_{a b} \quad \text { for all } a, b \in A \tag{5.3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose moreover that $n$ is a power of $q$. Then $X$ is a free A-module of rank 1, with generator $m_{\pi}$.

Proof: Let $\bar{X}=X / A m_{\pi}$. For each $x \in X$ we denote with $\bar{x}$ its image in $\bar{X}$. Then because $\left(\pi-\pi^{n}\right) m_{a}=\left(a-a^{n}\right) m_{\pi}$ and because $1-$ $\pi^{n-1}$ is a unit in $A$ we have that $\pi \bar{m}_{a}=0$ in $\bar{X}$. Further $\left(\pi^{n}-\pi\right) m=$ $\nu(n) m_{\pi}$ so that also $\pi \bar{m}=0$ in $\bar{X}$. This proves that $\bar{X}$ is a $k$-module. Now $b^{n} \equiv b \bmod \pi($ as $n$ is a power of $q)$. Hence $\bar{m}_{a b}=a \bar{m}_{b}+b \bar{m}_{a}$ in $\bar{X}$ proving that the map $C: k \rightarrow \bar{X}$, defined by $\bar{a} \mapsto \bar{m}_{a}$ is well defined and satisfies $C(\bar{a} \bar{b})=\bar{a} C(\bar{b})+\bar{b} C(\bar{a})$. In particular $C\left(\bar{a}^{n}\right)=n \bar{a}^{n-1} C(\bar{a})=$ 0 . But $\bar{a}^{n}=\bar{a}$. Hence $C(\bar{a})=\bar{m}_{a}=0$ for all $a \in A$.

With induction one finds from (5.3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{p}}-m_{a_{1}}-\cdots-m_{a_{p}}=C_{n, p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right) m \tag{5.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n, p}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{p}\right)=p^{-1}\left(\left(Z_{1}+\cdots+Z_{p}\right)^{n}-Z_{1}^{n}-\cdots-Z_{p}^{n}\right)$. Taking $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{p}=1$ we find that $m_{p}-p m_{1}=\left(p^{n-1}-1\right) m$, and hence $\bar{m}=0$ because $1-p^{n-1}$ is a unit of $A$. This proves that $\bar{X}$ is zero so that $X$ is generated by $m_{\pi}$. Now define $X \rightarrow A$ by $m_{a} \mapsto \pi^{-1}\left(a^{n}-a\right), m \mapsto \pi^{-1} p$. This is well defined and surjective. Hence $X \simeq A$.
5.4. Proof of Theorem 2.8: First, $\left(G_{V}(X, Y), \rho_{V}\right)$ has the $A$ logarithm $g_{v}(X)$ and hence satisfies the $A$-logarithm condition of theorem 2.8. The $A$-logarithm of $\left(\phi_{*} G_{V}(X, Y), \phi_{*} \rho_{V}\right)$ is $\phi_{*} g_{V}(X)$, which also satisfies the condition of theorem 2.8. Inversely, let $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ have an $A$-logarithm of the type indicated. Let $\phi: A[S] \rightarrow B$ be such that $\phi_{*} G_{S}(X, Y)=F(X, Y), \phi_{*} \rho_{S}=\rho_{F}$. Then, as $B$ is $A$-torsion free, $\phi_{*} g_{s}(X)=f(X)$ because $A$-logarithms are unique. From the definition of $G_{S}(X, Y)$ (cf. (3.4.1), (3.4.2)) we see that $\phi\left(S_{i}\right)=0$ unless $i$ is a power of $q$. Hence $\phi$ factorizes through $A[S] \rightarrow A[V], S_{i} \mapsto 0$ if $i$ is not a power of $q, S_{q_{i}} \mapsto V_{i}$, and, comparing $g_{v}(X)$ and $g_{s}(X)$, we see that $\psi_{*} g_{V}(X)=f(X)$, where $\psi$ is the $A$-homomorphism $A[V] \rightarrow B$ induced by $\phi$. q.e.d.

## 6. Proofs of the isomorphism theorems

6.1. Proof of Theorem 2.9: Apply the functional equation lemma.
6.2. Proof of the University of the Triple: $\left(G_{S}(X, Y)\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{S, U}(X), \quad G_{S, U}(X, Y)\right):$ Let $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ be two formal Amodules over $B$ and let $\beta(X)$ be a strict isomorphism from $F(X, Y)$ to $G(X, Y)$. Because $G_{S}(X, Y)$ is universal there is a unique homomorphism $\quad \phi: A[S] \rightarrow B \quad$ such that $\quad \phi_{*} G_{S}(X, Y)=F(X, Y)$, $\phi_{*} \rho_{S}=\rho_{\mathrm{F}}$. Now $\alpha_{S . U}(X)=g_{S, U}^{-1}\left(g_{S}(X)\right)$, hence we have by (3.4.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{S . U}(X) \equiv \alpha_{S . U(n)}(X)-U_{n} X^{n} \bmod (\text { degree } n+1) \tag{6.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from this that there is a unique extension $\psi: A[S, U] \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi_{*} \alpha_{S, U}(X)=\beta(X)$, and then $\psi_{*} G_{S, U}(X, Y)=G(X, Y)$, $\psi_{*} \rho_{S, U}=\rho_{G}$, automatically.
6.3. Proof of Theorem 2.12: Let $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ be two $A$ typical formal $A$-modules over $B$, and let $\beta(X)$ be a strict isomorphism from $F(X, Y)$ to $G(X, Y)$. Let $f(X), g(X)$ be the logarithms of $F(X, Y)$ and $G(X, Y)$. Then $g(\beta(X))=f(X)$. Because of the universality of the triple $\left(G_{S}(X, Y), \alpha_{S, U}(X), G_{S, U}(X, Y)\right)$ there is a unique $A$-algebra homomorphism $\psi: A[S, U] \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
\psi_{*} G_{S}(X, Y)=F(X, Y), \quad \psi_{*} \rho_{S}=\rho_{F} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{*} \alpha_{S . U}(X)=\beta(X)
$$

Because $F(X, Y)$ is $A$-typical we know that $\psi\left(S_{i}\right)=0$ if $i$ is not a power of $q$. Because $F(X, Y)$ and $G(X, Y)$ are $A$-typical we know that $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are of the form $\Sigma c_{i} X^{q i}$. But $g(\beta(X))=f(X)$. It now follows from (6.2.1) that we must have $\psi\left(U_{i}\right)=0$ if $i$ is not a power of $q$. This proves the theorem.
6.4. Proof of Theorem 2.14: It suffices to prove the theorem for the case of strict isomorphisms. Let $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ be two formal $A$-modules over $A$ and suppose that $F^{*}(X, Y)$ and $G^{*}(X, Y)$ are strictly isomorphic. By taking any strict lift of the strict isomorphism we can assume that $F^{*}(X, Y)=G^{*}(X, Y)$. Finally by Theorem 2.9 (i) and its corollary 2.10 we can make $F(X, Y)$ and $G(X, Y)$ both $A$-typical and this does not destroy the equality $F^{*}(X, Y)=G^{*}(X, Y)$ because the theorem gives us a universal way of making an $A$-module A-typical. So we are reduced to the situation: $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ are $A$-typical formal $A$-modules over $A$ and $F^{*}(X, Y)=G^{*}(X, Y)$. Let $\phi, \phi^{\prime}$ be the unique homomorphisms $A[V] \rightarrow A$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{*} G_{V}(X, Y)=F(X, Y), & \phi_{*} \rho_{V}=\rho_{F}, \\
\phi_{*}^{\prime} G_{V}(X, Y)=G(X, Y), & \phi_{*}^{\prime} \rho_{V}=\rho_{G} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $v_{i}=\phi\left(V_{i}\right), v_{i}^{\prime}=\phi^{\prime}\left(V_{i}\right)$. Because $F^{*}(X, Y)=G^{*}(X, Y), \rho *=\rho_{i}^{*}$ we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i} \equiv v_{i}^{\prime} \bmod \pi A, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{6.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(by the uniqueness part of the universality of ( $\left.F_{V}(X, Y), \rho_{V}\right)$ ).
If we can find $t_{i} \in A$ such that $a_{n}(v, t)=a_{n}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ for all $n$ then $\alpha_{v, t}(X)$ will be the desired isomorphism. Let us write $z_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i)}\right.}$ for the element of $A \otimes_{z} O$ obtained by substituting $v_{i}$ for $V_{i}$ and $t_{j}$ for $T_{j}$ in $Z_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i}\right)}$. Then the problem is to find $t_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}\left(v^{\prime}\right) v_{i}^{q^{n-i}}+\sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(v) z_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i)}\right.}+t_{n} \tag{6.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{-1} a_{n-i}\left(v^{\prime}\right) v_{i}^{\prime q^{n-i}} \tag{6.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that $t_{n}$ is determined by the recursion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n-i}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \pi^{-1}\left(v_{i}^{\prime n^{n-i}}-v_{i}^{q^{n-i}}\right)-\sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j \leq n} a_{n-i-j}(v) z_{i j}^{\left(q^{n-i-i}\right)} \tag{6.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And what we have left to prove is that these $t_{n}$ are elements of $A$ (and not just elements of $K$ ). However,
(6.4.5) $\quad \pi^{n-i} a_{n-i}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in A, \quad z_{i j}=\pi^{-1}\left(v_{i} t_{j}^{q^{i}}-t_{j} v_{i}^{q j}\right), \quad v_{i} \equiv v_{i}^{\prime} \bmod \pi$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}^{\prime q^{n-i}} \equiv v_{i}^{q^{n-i}} \bmod \pi^{n-i+1}, \quad z_{i j}^{(q n-i-j)} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi^{n-i-j} \tag{6.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows recursively that the $t_{n}$ are integral. This proves the theorem.
6.5. Proof of Remark 2.15. If $F(X, Y)$ and $G(X, Y)$ are $A$ typical formal $A$-modules over $A$, which are strictly isomorphic then $F^{*}(X, Y)=G^{*}(X, Y)$. Indeed, because $F(X, Y), G(X, Y)$ are strictly isomorphic $A$-typical formal $A$-modules we have that there exist unique $v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}, t_{i} \in A$ such that (6.4.2), (6.4.3) and hence (6.4.4) hold. Taking $n=1$ we see that $v_{1} \equiv v_{1}^{\prime} \bmod \pi$. Assuming that $v_{i} \equiv v_{i}^{\prime} \bmod \pi, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, it follows from (6.4.4) that $v_{n} \equiv v_{n}^{\prime}$. Finally, let $F(X, Y)$ be an $A$-typical
formal $A$-module, $F(X, Y)=G_{v}(X, Y), v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \in A$, and let $u \in A$ be an invertible element of $A$. If $f(X)=\sum a_{i} X^{4}$ is the logarithm of $F(X, Y)$, then the logarithm of $F^{\prime}(X, Y)=u^{-1} F(u X, u Y)$ is equal to $\sum a_{i} u^{4^{\prime}}{ }^{1} X^{u^{4}}$, so that $F^{\prime}(X, Y)=G_{v}(X, Y)$ with $v_{1}^{\prime}=u^{4-1} v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}=u^{4^{n-1}} v_{n}, \ldots$ and it follows that $v_{i}^{\prime} \equiv v_{i} \bmod \pi$, i.e. $F^{\prime *}(X, Y)=F^{*}(X, Y)$.

## 7. Concluding remarks

Several of the results in [1], [2] and [6] follow readily from the theorems proved above. For example the following. Let $F(X, Y)$ be a formal $A$-module; define $\operatorname{END}(F)$, the absolute endomorphism ring of $F$, to be the ring of all endomorphisms of $F$ defined over some finite extension of $K$. Let $\phi_{h}: A[V] \rightarrow A$ be any homomorphism such that $\phi_{h}\left(V_{i}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, h-1, \quad \phi_{h}\left(V_{h}\right) \in A^{*}$, the units of $A$ and $\phi_{h}\left(V_{h+1}\right) \neq 0$. Then $\left(\left(\phi_{h}\right)_{*} F_{V}(X, Y),\left(\phi_{h}\right)_{*} \rho_{V}\right)$ is a formal $A$-module of formal $A$-module height $h$ and with absolute endomorphism ring equal to $A$.
(If $\operatorname{char}(K)=p$ then formal $A$-module height is defined as follows. Let $B$ be the ring of integers of a finite extension of $K$; let $m$ be the maximal ideal of $B$. Consider $[\pi]_{F}(X)$ for $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)$ a formal $A$-module over $B$. If $[\pi]_{F}(X) \equiv 0 \bmod (m)$, then one shows that the first monomial of $[\pi]_{F}(X)$ which is not $\equiv 0 \bmod (m)$ is necessarily of the form $a X^{q^{h}}$. Then $A$-height $\left(F(X, Y), \rho_{F}\right)=h$. If $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ this agrees with the usual definition $A$-height $=\left[\mathrm{K}: \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right]^{-1}$ Height).

## REFERENCES

[1] L. Cox: Formal A-modules. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79, 4 (1973) 690-6994.
[2] L. Cox: Formal A-modules over p-adic integer rings, Compositio Math. 29 (1974) 287-308.
[3] M. Hazewinkel: Constructing Formal Groups I-VII; I: Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 9 (1977), 131-149; II: ibid. 151-161; III: ibid, 10 (1977) 1-18; IV-VII: Adv. Math., to appear.
M. Hazewinkel: A Universal Formal Group and Complex Cobordism. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975) 930-933.
[5] M. Hazewinkel: A Universal Isomorphism for p-typical Formal Groups and Operations in Brown-Peterson Cohomology, Indagationes Math. 38 (1976) 195-199.
[6] J. Lubin: Formal A-modules defined over A. Symposia Mat. INDAM 3 (1970) 241-245.

Erasmus University
Econometric Institute
Burg. Oud-laan 50, Rotterdam
The Netherlands

